

FIXED POINT PROPERTY OF FULL HILBERT C*-MODULES OVER UNITAL C*-ALGEBRAS

FARHANG JAHANGIR* AND KOUROSH NOUROUZI**

*Faculty of Mathematics, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

**Faculty of Mathematics, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: nourouzi@kntu.ac.ir

Abstract. We show that full Hilbert C*-modules over a commutative unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} have fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings if and only if \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional. We also show that the same is true for every Hilbert C*-module with unit vectors over an arbitrary unital C*-algebra. In particular, a classification of full Hilbert C*-modules with unit vectors over unital C*-algebras is given via fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings.

Key Words and Phrases: Fixed point, nonexpansive mapping, Hilbert C*-module, continuous field of Hilbert spaces, unit vector.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 46C50.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A self-mapping T on a nonempty subset C of a Banach space X is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|,$$

for every $x, y \in C$. The Banach space X is said to have fixed point property (FPP for short) if for every nonempty closed, convex and bounded subset C of X , every nonexpansive mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ has a fixed point. The FPP of Banach spaces has been extensively investigated for quite a while, and as known so far, all classical reflexive Banach spaces have FPP. More information on this issue can be found, for instance, in monographs [3, 4, 8].

An important generalization of Hilbert spaces are Hilbert C*-modules which play a crucial role in KK-theory, Morita equivalence of C*-algebras and noncommutative geometry. The first investigation of the FPP of Hilbert C*-modules has been recently carried out by Gloabi and the second author in [5], where it was proved that Hilbert C*-modules over finite dimensional C*-algebras are reflexive as well as they have FPP.

In this paper, we investigate the FPP of full Hilbert C*-modules over unital C*-algebras. We first show, in Theorem 2.2, that a Hilbert C*-module over a commutative unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} has FPP if and only if \mathcal{A} is reflexive, or equivalently, finite dimensional. For this purpose, we use two known facts: one is the commutative case of the Gelfand-Naimark theorem for commutative unital C*-algebras and the other

one is the Serre-Swan theorem which states that Hilbert C^* -modules over commutative unital C^* -algebras may be alternatively described as continuous fields of Hilbert spaces over some compact Hausdorff space. In Theorem 2.3, we show that the same is true for every Hilbert C^* -module with unit vectors over an arbitrary unital C^* -algebra. Finally, in Theorem 2.4, we give a classification of full Hilbert C^* -modules with unit vectors over unital C^* -algebras via FPP for nonexpansive mappings. In particular, Theorem 2.4 gives an affirmative answer to the open problems stated in [5] in the case of full Hilbert C^* -modules with unit vectors over unital C^* -algebras.

We begin with some definitions and basic facts which we will use in the sequel.

A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with an involution $a \rightarrow a^*$ such that $(a^*)^* = a$, $(\lambda a + b)^* = \bar{\lambda}a^* + b^*$, $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$ and satisfies the C^* -identity $\|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. A detailed information about C^* -algebras can be found, for instance, in [2] and [12]. Let us also give the following definition:

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a left \mathcal{A} -module such that $\lambda(ax) = (\lambda a)x = a(\lambda x)$ whenever $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. An \mathcal{A} -valued inner product on \mathcal{M} is a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the following properties for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$:

- (1) $\langle \lambda x + y, z \rangle = \lambda \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$;
- (2) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$;
- (3) $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (4) $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$;
- (5) $\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$.

If \mathcal{M} is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\| := \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then \mathcal{M} is called a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module or a Hilbert C^* -module (module) over \mathcal{A} .

A Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} is said to be full if $\langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} \rangle$, the closure of the linear span of $\{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}$, is equal to \mathcal{A} . We refer the reader to [9] and [11] for more details about Hilbert C^* -modules.

Hereafter, we assume that Z is a compact Hausdorff space and $C(Z)$ is the commutative unital C^* -algebra consisting of all complex-valued continuous functions on Z , equipped with pointwise operations and the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem, any commutative unital C^* -algebra is isometrically $*$ -isomorphic to $C(Z)$, for some compact Hausdorff space Z . Hence, one can consider Hilbert $C(Z)$ -modules instead of Hilbert C^* -modules over commutative unital C^* -algebras. On the other hand, a generalization of the Serre-Swan theorem [14] asserts that the category of Hilbert $C(Z)$ -modules is equivalent to the category of continuous fields of Hilbert spaces over Z . Therefore, such objects themselves can be regarded as Hilbert $C(Z)$ -modules as well. This opens an approach toward investigating the FPP of Hilbert $C(Z)$ -modules. Let us recall the notion of continuous fields of Hilbert spaces and some related facts; see [2] and [7, Remark 4.4, Proposition 4.8] for useful details on the continuous field of Banach spaces.

Let $(H_z, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_z)_{z \in Z}$ be a family of Hilbert spaces. A function x defined on Z such that $x(z) \in H_z$ for each $z \in Z$, is called a vector field over Z . Notice that each vector field is an element of $\prod_{z \in Z} H_z$.

Definition 1.2. A continuous field of Hilbert spaces over Z is a pair $((H_z)_{z \in Z}, \Gamma)$, where $(H_z)_{z \in Z}$ is a family of Hilbert spaces and Γ is a complex linear subspace of

$$C(Z) - \prod_{z \in Z} H_z := \left\{ x \in \prod_{z \in Z} H_z : [z \mapsto \|x(z)\|] \in C(Z) \right\},$$

which satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For every $z \in Z$, $\{x(z) : x \in \Gamma\} = H_z$;
- (ii) For any vector field $x \in \prod_{z \in Z} H_z$, if for every $z \in Z$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $x' \in \Gamma$ such that $\|x(s) - x'(s)\| < \epsilon$, for all s in some neighborhood of z , then $x \in \Gamma$.

The linear subspace Γ in the continuous field of Hilbert spaces $((H_z)_{z \in Z}, \Gamma)$ can be considered as a Hilbert $C(Z)$ -module when it is equipped with the point-wise multiplication

$$(f \cdot x)(z) = f(z)x(z),$$

and $C(Z)$ -valued inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle(z) = \langle x(z), y(z) \rangle,$$

for all $f \in C(Z)$, $x, y \in \Gamma$, and $z \in Z$. Notice that the function $z \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle(z)$ belongs to $C(Z)$ (see [2, 10.7.1]). Furthermore, Γ is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{z \in Z} \|x(z)\|$. The fact that every Hilbert $C(Z)$ -module \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to some continuous field of Hilbert spaces $((H_z)_{z \in Z}, \Gamma)$ as Hilbert $C(Z)$ -modules (see [7] and [15, Theorem 3.12]), is crucial for our first result. It is worth mentioning that a Hilbert $C(Z)$ -module \mathcal{M} is full if and only if each Hilbert space $H_z = \{x(z) : x \in \Gamma\}$ in its isomorphic continuous field of Hilbert spaces $((H_z)_{z \in Z}, \Gamma)$ is nontrivial.

Besides the results and examples given in [5], the following examples will help us to have more insight to the FPP in Hilbert C*-modules. For this, in the following we assume that H is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $K(H)$ and $B(H)$ denote the C*-algebras consisting of all compact linear operators and all bounded linear operators on H , respectively. Notice that every C*-algebra \mathcal{A} may be treated as a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module equipped with the natural left multiplication $a \cdot x := ax$ and \mathcal{A} -valued inner product $\langle x, y \rangle := xy^*$, for all $a, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 1.3. Given two vectors $x, y \in H$, let $x \otimes y$ denote the elementary operator on H , i.e., $x \otimes y : u \mapsto \langle u, y \rangle x$. Obviously, $\|x \otimes y\| = \|x\| \|y\|$ and every rank-one projection on H is of the form $x \otimes x$, for some unit vector $x \in H$ (i.e., $\langle x, x \rangle = 1$). Moreover, the set of all finite rank linear operators on H is spanned by rank-one projections ([12, Theorem 2.4.6]). Now, H may be regarded as a $K(H)$ -module if we define the $K(H)$ -valued inner product by $(x, y) \mapsto x \otimes y$. The $K(H)$ -module norm on H coincides with the original norm and since finite rank operators on H are dense in $K(H)$ ([12, Theorem 2.4.5]), H as a $K(H)$ -module is full. In particular, the Hilbert $K(H)$ -module H has FPP.

Example 1.4. The C*-algebras $K(H)$ and $B(H)$ are full as Hilbert $K(H)$ -module and $B(H)$ -module, respectively, and they fail to have FPP (see [1]). The first Hilbert C*-module is over a non-unital C*-algebra while the second one is over a unital C*-algebra. Note that $K(H)$ may also be regarded as a Hilbert $B(H)$ -module which is not full.

2. MAIN RESULTS

The second example in [5] shows that a Hilbert $C(Z)$ -module which is not full may have FPP. On the other hand, as the examples above show, full Hilbert C^* -modules over infinite dimensional C^* -algebras may or may not have FPP. On the other hand, any Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{M} can be regarded full over the C^* -algebra $\langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} \rangle$. This is why we consider only full Hilbert C^* -modules.

In our first result, we show that the FPP of full Hilbert $C(Z)$ -modules completely depends on the FPP of the C^* -algebra $C(Z)$. We need the following fact for giving the related result:

Lemma 2.1. [10, Lemma 2.3] *Let Z be an infinite compact Hausdorff space. Then, there exists a continuous function $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(Z)$ is infinite.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a full Hilbert $C(Z)$ -module. Then, \mathcal{M} has FPP if and only if Z is finite.*

Proof. Since Z is finite, clearly \mathcal{M} has FPP by [5, Theorem 2.4]. Conversely, let Z be infinite. There exists, by Lemma 2.1, a continuous real-valued function f on Z such that $f(Z)$ is infinite. Let $(f(z_n))$ be a sequence of distinct elements of $f(Z)$. Since Z is compact, we may assume, by passing to a subsequence of (z_n) if necessary, that $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ for some $z_0 \in Z$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f(z_0) = 0$; otherwise we can consider $f - f(z_0)$, instead. Let $((H_z)_{z \in Z}, \Gamma)$ be the continuous field of Hilbert spaces which is isomorphic to \mathcal{M} . Since \mathcal{M} is full, there exist $v_0 \neq 0$ in H_{z_0} and $y \in \Gamma$ such that $y(z_0) = v_0$. Now, consider the set

$$C = \{x \in \Gamma : x(z_0) = v_0 \text{ and } \|x\| \leq \|y\|\}.$$

It is easy to see that C is nonempty, convex and bounded. Furthermore, C is closed. Indeed, if (x_n) is a sequence in C converging to $x \in \Gamma$, then

$$\|v_0 - x(z_0)\|_{z_0} = \|x_n(z_0) - x(z_0)\|_{z_0} \leq \|x_n - x\|_\Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

That is, $x \in C$. Define $g : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(z) := 1 - \frac{|f(z)|}{\|f\|_\infty} \quad (z \in Z).$$

Obviously $g \in C(Z)$ and $0 \leq g \leq 1$. Now, the mapping T defined as $Tx = g \cdot x$, for all $x \in C$ is a self-mapping on C . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|_\Gamma &= \sup_{z \in Z} \|Tx(z) - Ty(z)\|_z \\ &= \sup_{z \in Z} \|g(z)x(z) - g(z)y(z)\|_z \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in Z} \|x(z) - y(z)\|_z \\ &= \|x - y\|_\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in \Gamma$ which means that T is nonexpansive. But T has no fixed points in C . In fact, suppose on the contrary that $u \in C$ is a fixed point for T . From Definition 1.2, since $z \mapsto \|u(z)\|_z$ is a continuous function, we have that

$$\|u(z_n)\|_{z_n} \rightarrow \|u(z_0)\|_{z_0} = \|v_0\|_{z_0} \neq 0.$$

Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\|u(z_{n_0})\|_{z_{n_0}} > 0$ and $0 < g(z_{n_0}) < 1$. Since $u = g \cdot u$, we observe that

$$0 < \|u(z_{n_0})\|_{z_{n_0}} = \|g(z_{n_0})u(z_{n_0})\|_{z_{n_0}} < \|u(z_{n_0})\|_{z_{n_0}},$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

The second example in [5] gives a Hilbert $C(Z)$ -module with Z infinite which has FPP. This, in fact, shows that why the assumption of “fullness” is necessary in Theorem 2.2.

An element w in a Hilbert C^* -module over a unital C^* -algebra with unit $\mathbf{1}$ is said to be a unit vector if $\langle w, w \rangle = \mathbf{1}$. Every Hilbert C^* -module with unit vectors is full but unit vectors do not necessarily exist in full Hilbert modules over arbitrary unital C^* -algebras (see [13, Example 3.3] and [6, Example 1]).

In our second result, we show that the FPP of a full Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{M} with unit vectors is derived from the FPP of the C^* -algebra over which \mathcal{M} is constructed.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a Hilbert C^* -module with unit vectors over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then, \mathcal{M} has FPP if and only if \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional.*

Proof. The if part is a consequence of [5, Theorem 2.4]. Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{A} is infinite dimensional and w is a unit vector for \mathcal{M} . Define a mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $\varphi(a) = a \cdot w$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, φ is linear. Moreover, since

$$\|a \cdot w\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \langle a \cdot w, a \cdot w \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \|a \langle w, w \rangle a^*\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|aa^*\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2$$

φ is an isometry. In particular, $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ is a Banach space. Now, since \mathcal{A} cannot have FPP (see [1]), there exist a nonempty closed, convex and bounded subset C of \mathcal{A} and a nonexpansive mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ which does not have any fixed point. On the other hand, $\varphi(C)$ is a nonempty closed, convex and bounded subset of $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the mapping

$$\tilde{T} : \varphi(C) \rightarrow \varphi(C)$$

defined by

$$\tilde{T}(a \cdot w) = T(a) \cdot w,$$

for any $a \in C$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}(x \cdot w) - \tilde{T}(y \cdot w)\|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \|(T(x) - T(y)) \cdot w\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \|T(x) - T(y)\|_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &\leq \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \|x \cdot w - y \cdot w\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Hence, \tilde{T} is nonexpansive. Suppose that $\tilde{T}(u \cdot w) = u \cdot w$, for some $u \in C$. Therefore, $T(u) \cdot w = u \cdot w$ and we have

$$T(u) = \langle T(u) \cdot w, w \rangle = \langle u \cdot w, w \rangle = u$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, \tilde{T} is a nonexpansive mapping on $\varphi(C)$ which fails to have fixed points. This completes the proof.

We may give the following classification of full Hilbert C^* -modules with unit vectors over unital C^* -algebras via FPP:

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a Hilbert C^* -module with unit vectors over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then, \mathcal{M} has FPP if and only if \mathcal{M} is a reflexive Banach space.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{M} has FPP. By Theorem 2.3, \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional and therefore by [5, Theorem 2.4] the Hilbert module \mathcal{M} is reflexive. Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{M} is reflexive as a Banach space over unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{A} cannot be infinite dimensional. Since otherwise, by the well-known fact that “a C^* -algebra is reflexive as a Banach space if and only if it is finite dimensional”, \mathcal{A} is not reflexive, and therefore from the isometry φ given in the proof of Theorem 2.3, $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ is a non-reflexive Banach subspace of \mathcal{M} . This implies that \mathcal{M} is itself non-reflexive which is a contradiction. Now, Lemma 2.1 in [5] gives the desired result.

Theorem 2.4 gives an affirmative answer to the open problems posed in [5] in the case of full Hilbert C^* -modules with unit vectors over unital C^* -algebras. We close the paper with the following questions related to Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

Question 2.5. Does every full Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{M} over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} have FPP only if \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional? We of course know, by Theorem 2.2, that this is true for any full Hilbert C^* -module over a commutative unital C^* -algebra.

And a weakened form of Problems 1 and 2 in [5]:

Question 2.6. Does every full Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{M} over a unital C^* -algebra have FPP if and only if \mathcal{M} is a reflexive Banach space?

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Dhompongsa, W. Fupinwong, W. Lawton, *Fixed point properties of C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **374**(2011), 22-28.
- [2] J. Dixmier, *C^* -Algebras*, Translated from French by Francis Jellet, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. **15**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977.
- [3] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [4] K. Goebel, S. Reich, *Uniform convexity, hyperbolic geometry, and nonexpansive mappings*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **83**, Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 1984.
- [5] M. Golabi, K. Nourouzi, *Fixed point property of Hilbert modules over finite dimensional C^* -algebras*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **21**(2019), no. 3, paper no. 87, 7 pp.
- [6] Z. Hassanpour-Yakhdani, K. Nourouzi, *Unit vectors in full Hilbert $C(Z)$ -modules*, Oper. Matrices, **16**(2022), no. 2, 349-356.
- [7] C. Heunen, M.L. Reyes, *Probenius structures over Hilbert C^* -modules*, Commun. Math. Phys., **361**(2018), 787-824.
- [8] W.A. Kirk, B. Sims, *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Springer Netherlands, 2001.
- [9] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -Modules, A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **210**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [10] H. Li, *A Hilbert C^* -module admitting no frames*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **42**(2010), 388-394.
- [11] V.M. Manuilov, E.V. Troitsky, *Hilbert C^* -Modules, Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. **226**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [12] G.J. Murphy, *C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- [13] M. Skeide, *Unit vectors, Morita equivalence and endomorphisms*, Publ. Rims. Kyoto. Univ., **45**(2009), 475-518.

- [14] R.G. Swan, *Vector bundles and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **105**(1962), 264-277.
- [15] A. Takahashi, *Hilbert modules and their representation*, Rev. Colombiana Mat., **13**(1979), no. 1, 1-38.

Received: July 19, 2021; Accepted: March 5, 2022.

