

WEAK AND STRONG MEAN CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR SUPER HYBRID MAPPINGS IN HILBERT SPACES

MAYUMI HOJO*, WATARU TAKAHASHI** AND JEN-CHIH YAO***,1

*Graduate School of Science and Technology
Niigata University, Niigata, Japan
E-mail: f07n013g@mail.cc.niigata-u.ac.jp

**Department of Applied Mathematics
National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan
E-mail: wataru@is.titech.ac.jp

***Department of Applied Mathematics
National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan
E-mail: yaojc@math.nsysu.edu.tw

Abstract. In this paper, we first introduce a class of nonlinear mappings called extended hybrid in a Hilbert space containing the class of generalized hybrid mappings. The class is different from the class of super hybrid mappings which was defined by Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [12]. We prove a fixed point theorem for generalized hybrid nonself-mapping in a Hilbert space. Next, we prove a nonlinear ergodic theorem of Baillon's type for super hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space. Finally, we deal with two strong convergence theorems of Halpern's type for these nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space.

Key Words and Phrases: Hilbert space, nonexpansive mapping, nonspreading mapping, hybrid mapping, fixed point, mean convergence.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 47H05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a real Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty subset of H . Then a mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is said to be *nonexpansive* if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$. The set of fixed points of T is denoted by $F(T)$. From Baillon [2] we know the following first nonlinear ergodic theorem in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then, for any $x \in C$,*

$$S_n x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k x$$

¹Corresponding author.

converges weakly to an element $z \in F(T)$.

The following strong convergence theorem of Halpern's type [7] was proved by Wittmann [26]; see also [19].

Theorem 1.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For any $x_1 = x \in C$, define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in C by*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ satisfies $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .

An important example of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space is a firmly nonexpansive mapping. Let C be a nonempty subset of H . A mapping $F : C \rightarrow H$ is said to be *firmly nonexpansive* if

$$\|Fx - Fy\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, Fx - Fy \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in C$; see, for instance, Browder [4] and Goebel and Kirk [6]. It is known that a firmly nonexpansive mapping F can be deduced from an equilibrium problem in a Hilbert space; see, for instance, [3] and [5]. Recently, Kohsaka and Takahashi [14], and Takahashi [21] introduced the following nonlinear mappings which are deduced from a firmly nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space. A mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is called *nonspreading* [14] if

$$2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. A mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is called *hybrid* [21] if

$$3\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. They proved fixed point theorems for such mappings; see also Kohsaka and Takahashi [13] and Iemoto and Takahashi [10]. Very recently, Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [12] introduced a broad class of mappings $T : C \rightarrow H$ called *generalized hybrid* such that for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta\|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Such a class contains the classes of nonexpansive mappings, nonspreading mappings, and hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space. Further, they defined a more broad class of nonlinear mappings than the class of generalized hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space. Such a class is called a class of *super hybrid mappings*. A generalized hybrid mapping with a fixed point is *quasi-nonexpansive*. However, a super hybrid mapping is not quasi-nonexpansive generally even if it has a fixed point.

In this paper, we first introduce a class of nonlinear mappings called *extended hybrid* in a Hilbert space containing the class of generalized hybrid mappings. The class is different from the class of super hybrid mappings which was defined by Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [12]. We prove a fixed point theorem for generalized hybrid nonself-mapping in a Hilbert space. Next, we prove a nonlinear ergodic theorem of Baillon's type for super hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space. Finally, we deal with two strong convergence theorems of Halpern's type for these nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers and by \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers. Let H be a (real) Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. We denote the strong convergence and the weak convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to $x \in H$ by $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, respectively. From [20], we know the following basic equality: For $x, y, u, v \in H$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 = \lambda\|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Further, we know that for $x, y, u, v \in H$

$$2\langle x - y, u - v \rangle = \|x - v\|^2 + \|y - u\|^2 - \|x - u\|^2 - \|y - v\|^2. \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.2), we have also the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y + u - v\|^2 &= \|x - y\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 + 2\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 + \|x - v\|^2 + \|y - u\|^2 - \|x - u\|^2 - \|y - v\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and let T be a mapping from C into itself. Then, we denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T . A mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is said to be *nonexpansive* if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. A mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ is called *quasi-nonexpansive* if $\|x - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x \in F(T)$ and $y \in C$. It is well-known that the set $F(T)$ of fixed points of a quasi-nonexpansive mapping T is closed and convex; see Ito and Takahashi [11]. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and $x \in H$. Then, we know that there exists a unique nearest point $z \in C$ such that $\|x - z\| = \inf_{y \in C} \|x - y\|$. We denote such a correspondence by $z = P_C x$. P_C is called the *metric projection* of H onto C . It is known that P_C is nonexpansive and

$$\langle x - P_C x, P_C x - u \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $x \in H$ and $u \in C$. Further, we know that

$$\|P_C x - P_C y\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, P_C x - P_C y \rangle \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x, y \in H$; see [20] for more details. The following lemma was proved by Takahashi and Toyoda [23].

Lemma 2.1. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let P be the metric projection of H onto D and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in H . If $\|x_{n+1} - u\| \leq \|x_n - u\|$ for all $u \in D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{P x_n\}$ converges strongly.*

Let C be a nonempty subset of H . Then, a nonself-mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is called *generalized hybrid* [12] if there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta\|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x, y \in C$. We call such a mapping an (α, β) -*generalized hybrid* mapping. We observe that the mapping above covers several well-known mappings. For example, an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping is nonexpansive for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, nonspreading

for $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$, and hybrid for $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$. We can also show that if $x = Tx$, then for any $y \in C$,

$$\alpha\|x - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta\|x - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2$$

and hence $\|x - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$. This means that an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping with a fixed point is quasi-nonexpansive.

Let C be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space H . A mapping $S : C \rightarrow H$ is called *super hybrid* [12, 25] if there are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\|Sx - Sy\|^2 + (1 - \alpha + \gamma)\|x - Sy\|^2 \leq \\ (\beta + (\beta - \alpha)\gamma)\|Sx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta - (\beta - \alpha - 1)\gamma)\|x - y\|^2 \\ + (\alpha - \beta)\gamma\|x - Sx\|^2 + \gamma\|y - Sy\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y \in C$. We call such a mapping an (α, β, γ) -*super hybrid* mapping. An $(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ -super hybrid mapping is (α, β) -generalized hybrid. So, the class of super hybrid mappings contains the class of generalized hybrid mappings. Let us consider a super hybrid mapping S with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$. Then, we have

$$\|Sx - Sy\|^2 + \|x - Sy\|^2 \leq -\|Sx - y\|^2 + 3\|x - y\|^2 + \|x - Sx\|^2 + \|y - Sy\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. This is equivalent to

$$\|Sx - Sy\|^2 + 2\langle x - y, Sx - Sy \rangle \leq 3\|x - y\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. In the case of $H = \mathbb{R}$, consider $Sx = 2 \cos x - x$ for all $x \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Sx - Sy|^2 + 2\langle x - y, Sx - Sy \rangle \\ = |2 \cos x - x - (2 \cos y - y)|^2 + 2\langle x - y, 2 \cos x - x - (2 \cos y - y) \rangle \\ = 4(\cos x - \cos y)^2 - 2\langle x - y, 2 \cos x - 2 \cos y \rangle + (x - y)^2 \\ - (x - y)^2 + 2\langle x - y, 2 \cos x - 2 \cos y \rangle \\ \leq 4(x - y)^2 - (x - y)^2 \\ = 3(x - y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence S is super hybrid. However, S is not quasi-nonexpansive. Further, we have that

$$Tx = \frac{1}{2}(2 \cos x - x) + \frac{1}{2}x = \cos x$$

and hence T is a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point. The following theorem was proved in [25] and [12].

Theorem 2.2. *Let C be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space H and let α, β and γ be real numbers with $\gamma \neq -1$. Let S and T be mappings of C into H such that $T = \frac{1}{1+\gamma}S + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}I$. Then, S is (α, β, γ) -super hybrid if and only if T is (α, β) -generalized hybrid. In this case, $F(S) = F(T)$. In particular, let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H and let α, β and γ be real numbers with $\gamma \geq 0$. If a mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$ is (α, β, γ) -super hybrid, then the mapping $T = \frac{1}{1+\gamma}S + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}I$ is an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping of C into itself.*

Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [12] also proved the following fixed point theorem for super hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.3. *Let C be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and let α , β and γ be real numbers with $\gamma \geq 0$. Let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an (α, β, γ) -super hybrid mapping. Then, S has a fixed point in C . In particular, if $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping, then S has a fixed point in C .*

To prove one of our main results, we need the following lemma [1]:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers, let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence of $[0, 1]$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty$, and let $\{\gamma_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n \leq 0$. Suppose that*

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)s_n + \alpha_n\gamma_n + \beta_n$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

3. FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR NON-SELF MAPPINGS

In this section, we prove a fixed point theorem for generalized hybrid nonself-mappings in a Hilbert space. Before proving it, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty subset of H . Let α and β be in \mathbb{R} . Then, a nonself-mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is (α, β) -generalized hybrid if and only if it satisfies that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|^2 &\leq (\alpha - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|y - Tx\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

Proof. We have that for all $x, y \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|^2 &\leq (\alpha - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|y - Tx\|^2 \\ \iff \|Tx - Ty\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 + (\alpha - 1)\|x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha - 1)(\|x - Ty\|^2 + \|y - Tx\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 - \|Tx - Ty\|^2) \\ &\quad + \beta\|y - Tx\|^2 - (\alpha - 1)\|y - Tx\|^2 \\ \iff \alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 &+ (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta\|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using Lemma 3.1, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty bounded subset of H . If a nonself-mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is generalized hybrid, then TC is bounded.*

Proof. Since $T : C \rightarrow H$ is a generalized hybrid mapping, there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta\|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in C$. We have from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|^2 &\leq (\alpha - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|y - Tx\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Fix $z \in C$. Then, we have that for any $y \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tz - Ty\|^2 &\leq (\alpha - \beta)\|z - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle z - Tz, y - Ty \rangle - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|y - Tz\|^2 \\ &\leq |\alpha - \beta|\|z - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2|\alpha - 1|\|z - Tz\|\|y - Ty\| + |\alpha - \beta - 1|\|y - Tz\|^2 \\ &= |\alpha - \beta|\|z - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + |\alpha - 1|\|z - Tz\|(\|y - Tz\| + \|Tz - Ty\|) + |\alpha - \beta - 1|\|y - Tz\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\{\|Tz - Ty\| : y \in C\}$ is bounded and hence TC is bounded. \square

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and let α, β and γ be real numbers. Then, $U : C \rightarrow H$ is called an (α, β, γ) -extended hybrid mapping if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(1 + \gamma)\|Ux - Uy\|^2 + (1 - \alpha(1 + \gamma))\|x - Uy\|^2 \\ \leq (\beta + \alpha\gamma)\|Ux - y\|^2 + (1 - (\beta + \alpha\gamma))\|x - y\|^2 \\ - (\alpha - \beta)\gamma\|x - Ux\|^2 - \gamma\|y - Uy\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in C$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and let α, β and γ be real numbers with $\gamma \neq -1$. Let T and U be mappings of C into H such that $U = \frac{1}{1+\gamma}T + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}I$, where $Ix = x$ for all $x \in H$. Then, for $1 + \gamma > 0$, $T : C \rightarrow H$ is an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping if and only if $U : C \rightarrow H$ is an (α, β, γ) -extended hybrid mapping.*

Proof. Since $U = \frac{1}{1+\gamma}T + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}I$, we have $T = (1 + \gamma)U - \gamma I$. So, we have from Theorem 3.1 that for any $x, y \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \\ \leq \beta\|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \\ \iff \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq (\alpha - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \\ + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|y - Tx\|^2 \\ \iff \|(1 + \gamma)Ux - \gamma x - (1 + \gamma)Uy + \gamma y\|^2 \leq (\alpha - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \\ + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle (1 + \gamma)(x - Ux), (1 + \gamma)(y - Uy) \rangle \\ - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|y - (1 + \gamma)Ux + \gamma x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iff \|(1+\gamma)(Ux - Uy) - \gamma(x - y)\|^2 \leq (\alpha - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2(\alpha - 1)(1 + \gamma)^2 \langle x - Ux, y - Uy \rangle \\
&\quad - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|y - Ux + \gamma(x - Ux)\|^2 \\
&\iff \alpha(1 + \gamma)^2\|Ux - Uy\|^2 + (1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha(1 + \gamma))\|x - Uy\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 + \gamma)(\beta + \alpha\gamma)\|Ux - y\|^2 + (1 + \gamma)(1 - \beta - \alpha\gamma)\|x - y\|^2 \\
&\quad - (1 + \gamma)\gamma(\alpha - \beta)\|x - Ux\|^2 - \gamma(1 + \gamma)\|y - Uy\|^2 \\
&\iff \alpha(1 + \gamma)\|Ux - Uy\|^2 + (1 - \alpha(1 + \gamma))\|x - Uy\|^2 \\
&\leq (\beta + \alpha\gamma)\|Ux - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta - \alpha\gamma)\|x - y\|^2 \\
&\quad - (\alpha - \beta)\gamma\|x - Ux\|^2 - \gamma\|y - Uy\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let C be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and let α and β be real numbers. Let T be an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping with $\alpha - \beta \geq 0$ of C into H . Suppose that there exists $m > 1$ such that for any $x \in C$, $Tx = x + t(y - x)$ for some $y \in C$ and t with $1 \leq t \leq m$. Then, T has a fixed point in C .*

Proof. By the assumption, we have that for any $x \in C$, there are $y \in C$ and t with $1 \leq t \leq m$ such that $Tx = x + t(y - x)$. We have $Tx = ty + (1 - t)x$ and hence $y = \frac{1}{t}Tx + \frac{t-1}{t}x$. Define $Ux \in C$ as follows:

$$Ux = (1 - \frac{t}{m})x + \frac{t}{m}(\frac{1}{t}Tx + \frac{t-1}{t}x).$$

So, we have $Ux = \frac{1}{m}Tx + \frac{m-1}{m}x$. Taking $\gamma > 0$ with $m = 1 + \gamma$, we have

$$Ux = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma}Tx + \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma}x. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, we can define a mapping U of C into itself satisfying (3.2). Since $T : C \rightarrow H$ is an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping with $\alpha - \beta \geq 0$, from Theorem 3.3 U is an (α, β, γ) -extended hybrid mapping of C into itself, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(1 + \gamma)\|Ux - Uy\|^2 + (1 - \alpha(1 + \gamma))\|x - Uy\|^2 \\
&\leq (\beta + \alpha\gamma)\|Ux - y\|^2 + (1 - (\beta + \alpha\gamma))\|x - y\|^2 \\
&\quad - (\alpha - \beta)\gamma\|x - Ux\|^2 - \gamma\|y - Uy\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in C$. From $\alpha - \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(1 + \gamma)\|Ux - Uy\|^2 + (1 - \alpha(1 + \gamma))\|x - Uy\|^2 \\
&\leq (\beta + \alpha\gamma)\|Ux - y\|^2 + (1 - (\beta + \alpha\gamma))\|x - y\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in C$. This implies that U is an $(\alpha(1 + \gamma), \beta + \alpha\gamma)$ -generalized hybrid mapping of C into itself. So, we have a fixed point from Theorem 2.3. This completes the proof. \square

Let us give an example of mappings $T : C \rightarrow H$ such that for any $x \in C$, there are $y \in C$ and t with $1 \leq t \leq m$ such that $Tx = x + t(y - x)$. In the case of $H = \mathbb{R}$, consider a mapping $T : [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$Tx = (1 + 2x) \cos x - 2x^2, \quad \forall x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$

Then, we have

$$Tx = (1 + 2x)(\cos x - x) + x, \quad \forall x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$

For any $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, take $t = 1 + 2x$, $y = \cos x$ and $m = 1 + \pi$. Then, we have $Tx = t(y - x) + x$, $y = \cos x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $1 \leq t = 1 + 2x \leq 1 + \pi$.

4. NONLINEAR ERGODIC THEOREM

In this section, using the technique developed by Takahashi [17], we prove a nonlinear ergodic theorem of Baillon's type [2] for super hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space. Before proving it, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let T be a generalized hybrid mapping from C into itself. Suppose that $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded for some $x \in C$. Define $S_n x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n x - TS_n x\| = 0$. In particular, if C is bounded, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in C} \|S_n x - TS_n x\| = 0.$$

Proof. Since $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a generalized hybrid mapping, there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha \|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha) \|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta \|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta) \|x - y\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. For any $y \in C$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \beta \|T^{k+1}x - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta) \|T^k x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha \|T^{k+1}x - Ty\|^2 - (1 - \alpha) \|T^k x - Ty\|^2 \\ &= \beta \{ \|T^{k+1}x - Ty\|^2 + 2 \langle T^{k+1}x - Ty, Ty - y \rangle + \|Ty - y\|^2 \} \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta) \{ \|T^k x - Ty\|^2 + 2 \langle T^k x - Ty, Ty - y \rangle + \|Ty - y\|^2 \} \\ &\quad - \alpha \|T^{k+1}x - Ty\|^2 - (1 - \alpha) \|T^k x - Ty\|^2 \\ &= \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \langle \beta T^{k+1}x + (1 - \beta) T^k x - Ty, Ty - y \rangle \\ &\quad + (\beta - \alpha) \{ \|T^{k+1}x - Ty\|^2 - \|T^k x - Ty\|^2 \} \\ &= \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \langle T^k x - Ty + \beta(T^{k+1}x - T^k x), Ty - y \rangle \\ &\quad + (\beta - \alpha) \{ \|T^{k+1}x - Ty\|^2 - \|T^k x - Ty\|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up these inequalities with respect to $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq n \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2 \langle \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x - nTy, Ty - y \rangle + 2\beta \langle T^{n+1}x - Tx, Ty - y \rangle \\ &\quad + (\beta - \alpha) (\|T^{n+1}x - Ty\|^2 - \|Tx - Ty\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Deviding this inequality by n , we have

$$0 \leq \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2\langle S_n x - Ty, Ty - y \rangle + \frac{1}{n} 2\beta \langle T^{n+1}x - Tx, Ty - y \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{n} (\beta - \alpha) (\|T^{n+1}x - Ty\|^2 - \|Tx - Ty\|^2).$$

where $S_n x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x$. Replacing y by $S_n x$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \|TS_n x - S_n x\|^2 \\ + 2\langle S_n x - TS_n x, TS_n x - S_n x \rangle + \frac{1}{n} 2\beta \langle T^{n+1}x - Tx, TS_n x - S_n x \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{n} (\beta - \alpha) (\|T^{n+1}x - TS_n x\|^2 - \|Tx - TS_n x\|^2)$$

and hence

$$\|TS_n x - S_n x\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} 2\beta \langle T^{n+1}x - Tx, TS_n x - S_n x \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{n} (\beta - \alpha) (\|T^{n+1}x - TS_n x\|^2 - \|Tx - TS_n x\|^2).$$

By the assumption, $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded. So, $\{S_n x\}$ is also bounded. By Lemma 3.2, $\{TS_n x\}$ is bounded. So, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n x - TS_n x\| \leq 0$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n x - TS_n x\| = 0$. In particular, if C is bounded, then we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in C} \|S_n x - TS_n x\| \leq 0$$

and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in C} \|S_n x - TS_n x\| = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let α, β and γ be real numbers with $\gamma \geq 0$ and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an (α, β, γ) -super hybrid mapping with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and let P be the metric projection of H onto $F(T)$. Then, for any $x \in C$,*

$$S_n x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{1+\gamma} S + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} I \right)^k x$$

converges weakly to $z \in F(S)$, where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PT^n x$ and $T = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} S + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} I$.

Proof. Put $T = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} S + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} I$. From Theorem 2.2, we have that $T : C \rightarrow C$ is an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping, i.e.,

$$\alpha \|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha) \|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta \|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta) \|x - y\|^2 \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Since T is a generalized hybrid mapping and $F(T) = F(S) \neq \emptyset$, T is quasi-nonexpansive. So, $F(T)$ is closed and convex. Let $x \in C$ and $u \in F(T)$. Then, we have $\|T^{n+1}x - u\| \leq \|T^n x - u\|$. Putting $D = F(T)$ in Lemma 2.1, we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PT^n x$ converges strongly. Put $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PT^n x$. Let us show $S_n x \rightharpoonup z$. Since $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded, so is $\{S_n x\}$. Let $\{S_{n_i} x\}$ be a subsequence of $\{S_n x\}$ such

that $S_{n_i}x \rightharpoonup v$. By Lemma 4.1, we know $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n x - TS_n x\| = 0$. If $v \neq Tv$, we have from Opial's theorem and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{n_i}x - v\|^2 \\
& \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{n_i}x - Tv\|^2 \\
& = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\|S_{n_i}x - TS_{n_i}x\|^2 + \|TS_{n_i}x - Tv\|^2 \\
& \quad + 2\langle S_{n_i}x - TS_{n_i}x, TS_{n_i}x - Tv \rangle) \\
& = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|TS_{n_i}x - Tv\|^2 \\
& \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|S_{n_i}x - v\|^2 + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle S_{n_i}x - TS_{n_i}x, v - Tv \rangle \\
& \quad - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - TS_{n_i}x\|^2) \\
& \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|S_{n_i}x - v\|^2 - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - TS_{n_i}x\|^2) \\
& \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|S_{n_i}x - v\|^2 - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - S_{n_i}x + S_{n_i}x - TS_{n_i}x\|^2) \\
& \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|S_{n_i}x - v\|^2 - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - S_{n_i}x\|^2) \\
& = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{n_i}x - v\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $v \in F(T)$. To show $S_n x \rightharpoonup z$, it is sufficient to prove $z = v$. From $v \in F(T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v - z, T^k x - PT^k x \rangle & = \langle v - PT^k x, T^k x - PT^k x \rangle + \langle PT^k x - z, T^k x - PT^k x \rangle \\
& \leq \langle PT^k x - z, T^k x - PT^k x \rangle \\
& \leq \|PT^k x - z\| \|T^k x - PT^k x\| \\
& \leq \|PT^k x - z\| L
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $L = \sup\{\|T^k x - PT^k x\| : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Summing these inequalities from $k = 1$ to n_i and dividing by n_i , we have

$$\left\langle v - z, S_{n_i}x - \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} PT^k x \right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \|PT^k x - z\| L.$$

Since $S_{n_i}x \rightharpoonup v$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $PT^n x \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\langle v - z, v - z \rangle \leq 0$. This implies $z = v$. Therefore, $\{S_n x\}$ converges weakly to $z \in F(T)$, where $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PT^n x$. So, we get the desired result. \square

5. STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

In this section, we first prove a strong convergence theorem of Halpern's type [7] for super hybrid nonself-mappings in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 5.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let γ be a real number with $\gamma \neq -1$ and let $S : C \rightarrow H$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|Sx - Sy\|^2 + 2\gamma \langle x - y, Sx - Sy \rangle \leq (1 + 2\gamma)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Let $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty$. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence generated by $x_1 = x \in C$, $u \in C$ and

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) P_C \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} S x_n + \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} x_n \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If $F(S) \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to an element v of $F(S)$, where $v = P_{F(S)} u$ and $P_{F(S)}$ is the metric projection of H onto $F(S)$.

Proof. We have that for any $x, y \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sx - Sy\|^2 + 2\gamma \langle x - y, Sx - Sy \rangle &\leq (1 + 2\gamma) \|x - y\|^2 \\ \iff \|Sx - Sy\|^2 + \gamma (\|x - Sy\|^2 + \|Sx - y\|^2 - \|Sx - x\|^2 - \|y - Sy\|^2) \\ &\leq (1 + 2\gamma) \|x - y\|^2 \\ \iff \|Sx - Sy\|^2 + \gamma \|x - Sy\|^2 \\ &\leq -\gamma \|Sx - y\|^2 + (1 + 2\gamma) \|x - y\|^2 + \gamma \|Sx - x\|^2 + \gamma \|y - Sy\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, S is a $(1, 0, \gamma)$ -super hybrid mapping of C into H . Put $T = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} S + \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} I$. Then, we have from Theorem 2.2 that T is a $(1, 0)$ -generalized hybrid mapping of C into H , i.e., T is a nonexpansive mapping of C into H . Furthermore, we have $F(S) = F(T)$. From Wittmann's theorem [26], we obtain $x_n \rightarrow P_{F(P_C T)} u$; see also Takahashi [19]. Let us show $F(P_C T) = F(T) = F(S)$. We know $F(T) = F(S)$. It is obvious that $F(T) \subset F(P_C T)$. We show $F(P_C T) \subset F(T)$. If $P_C T v = v$, we have from the property of P_C (2.4) that for $u \in F(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|v - u\|^2 &= 2\|P_C T v - u\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\langle T v - u, P_C T v - u \rangle \\ &= \|T v - u\|^2 + \|P_C T v - u\|^2 - \|T v - P_C T v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$2\|v - u\|^2 \leq \|v - u\|^2 + \|v - u\|^2 - \|T v - v\|^2.$$

So, we have $0 \leq -\|T v - v\|^2$ and hence $T v = v$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.2. We do not know whether a strong convergence theorem of Halpern's type for generalized hybrid mappings holds or not.

Next, using an idea of mean convergence, we prove a strong convergence theorem of Halpern's type for super hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 5.3. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and let α, β and γ be real numbers with $\gamma \geq 0$. Let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a (α, β, γ) -super hybrid mapping with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and let P be the metric projection of H onto $F(S)$. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence generated by $x_1 = x \in C$, $u \in C$ and

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) z_n, \\ z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{1 + \gamma} S + \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} I \right)^k x_n \end{cases}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$, $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to Pu .

Proof. For a (α, β, γ) -super hybrid mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$, define

$$T = \frac{1}{1+\gamma}S + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}I.$$

Then, from Theorem 2.2 $T : C \rightarrow C$ is an (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping such that $F(T) = F(S)$. Since $F(T)$ is nonempty, we take $q \in F(T)$. Put $r = \|u - q\|$. We define

$$D = \{y \in H : \|y - q\| \leq r\} \cap C.$$

Then D is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of C . D is T -invariant and contains u . Thus we assume that C is bounded without loss of generality. T is quasi-nonexpansive. So, we have that for all $q \in F(T)$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - q\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x_n - q \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|T^k x_n - q\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_n - q\| = \|x_n - q\|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Let us show $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, z_n - Pu \rangle \leq 0$. Since $\{z_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$ with $z_{n_i} \rightharpoonup v$. We may assume without loss of generality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, z_n - Pu \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, z_{n_i} - Pu \rangle.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - Tz_n\| = 0$. Using this equality and Opial's theorem, we have $v \in F(T)$. In fact, if $v \neq Tv$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n_i} - v\|^2 \\ &< \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n_i} - Tv\|^2 \\ &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\|z_{n_i} - Tz_{n_i}\|^2 + \|Tz_{n_i} - Tv\|^2 + 2\langle z_{n_i} - Tz_{n_i}, Tz_{n_i} - Tv \rangle) \\ &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tz_{n_i} - Tv\|^2 \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|z_{n_i} - v\|^2 + 2(\alpha - 1)\langle z_{n_i} - Tz_{n_i}, v - Tv \rangle \\ &\quad - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - Tz_{n_i}\|^2) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|z_{n_i} - v\|^2 - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - Tz_{n_i}\|^2) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|z_{n_i} - v\|^2 - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - z_{n_i} + z_{n_i} - Tz_{n_i}\|^2) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((\alpha - \beta)\|z_{n_i} - v\|^2 - (\alpha - \beta - 1)\|v - z_{n_i}\|^2) \\ &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n_i} - v\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $v \in F(T)$. Since P is the metric projection of H onto $F(T)$, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, z_{n_i} - Pu \rangle = \langle u - Pu, v - Pu \rangle \leq 0.$$

This implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, z_n - Pu \rangle \leq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Since $x_{n+1} - Pu = (1 - \alpha_n)(z_n - Pu) + \alpha_n(u - Pu)$, from (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - Pu\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(z_n - Pu) + \alpha_n(u - Pu)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|z_n - Pu\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - Pu, x_{n+1} - Pu \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - Pu\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - Pu, x_{n+1} - Pu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $s_n = \|x_n - Pu\|^2$, $\beta_n = 0$ and $\gamma_n = 2\langle u - Pu, x_{n+1} - Pu \rangle$ in Lemma 2.4, from $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and (5.2) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Pu\| = 0.$$

□

Acknowledgements. The second author and the third author are partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 19540167 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by the Grant NSC 99-2115-M-110-004-MY3, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, *Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **67**(2007), 2350-2360.
- [2] J.-B. Baillon, *Un theoreme de type ergodique pour les contractions non lineaires dans un espace de Hilbert*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A-B*, **280**(1975), 1511-1514.
- [3] E. Blum, W. Oettli, *From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems*, *Math. Student*, **63**(1994), 123-145.
- [4] F.E. Browder, *Convergence theorems for sequences of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces*, *Math. Z.*, **100**(1967), 201-225.
- [5] P.L. Combettes, A. Hirstoaga, *Equilibrium problems in Hilbert spaces*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **6**(2005), 117-136.
- [6] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] B. Halpern, *Fixed points of nonexpanding maps*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **73**(1967), 957-961.
- [8] T. Ibaraki, W. Takahashi, *Weak convergence theorem for new nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces and its applications*, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **11**(2007), 929-944.
- [9] T. Ibaraki, W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive type in Banach spaces*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **10**(2009), 21-32.
- [10] S. Iemoto, W. Takahashi, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and nonspreading mappings in a Hilbert space*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **71**(2009), 2082-2089.
- [11] S. Itoh, W. Takahashi, *The common fixed point theory of single-valued mappings and multi-valued mappings*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **79**(1978), 493-508.

- [12] P. Kocourek, W. Takahashi, J.-C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and weak convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math., to appear.
- [13] F. Kohsaka, W. Takahashi, *Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces*, SIAM J. Optim., **19**(2008), 824-835.
- [14] F. Kohsaka, W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math., **91**(2008), 166-177.
- [15] Y. Kurokawa, W. Takahashi, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for nonspreading mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., to appear.
- [16] W.R. Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4**(1953), 506-510.
- [17] W. Takahashi, *A nonlinear ergodic theorem for an amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **81**(1981), 253-256.
- [18] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohoma Publishers, Yokohoma, 2000.
- [19] W. Takahashi, *Convex Analysis and Approximation of Fixed Points*, (Japanese), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [20] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohoma Publishers, Yokohoma, 2009.
- [21] W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **11**(2010), 79-88.
- [22] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear operators and fixed point theorems in Hilbert spaces*, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1685**(2010), to appear.
- [23] W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **118**(2003), 417-428.
- [24] W. Takahashi, J.-C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and ergodic theorems for nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math., to appear.
- [25] W. Takahashi, J.-C. Yao, P. Kocourek, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for generalized hybrid nonself-mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., to appear.
- [26] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Arch. Math., **58**(1992), 486-491.

Received: March 22, 2010; Accepted: September 21, 2010.