

## STRICT FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR MULTIVALUED CONTRACTIONS ON GAUGE SPACES

GABRIELA PETRUȘEL\* AND IONUȚ LUCA\*

\*Faculty of Business  
Babeș-Bolyai University  
Horia Street no. 7  
400174 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
E-mails: gabi.petrusel@tbs.ubbcluj.ro ionut.luca@tbs.ubbcluj.ro

**Abstract.** The purpose of this article is to present some strict fixed point results for multivalued  $\delta_\alpha$ -contractions on complete gauge space. Our theorems generalize and extend some recent results in the literature.

**Key Words and Phrases:** gauge space, multivalued operator, fixed point, strict fixed point, data dependence, well-posedness of a fixed point problem.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H04, 47H10, 54H25, 54C60.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{E}$  will denote a nonempty set  $E$  endowed with a separating gauge structure  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a directed set (see [6] for definitions). Let  $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and let  $\mathbb{N}^* := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .

A sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements in  $E$  is said to be Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there is an  $N$  with  $p_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The sequence  $(x_n)$  is called convergent if there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  with  $p_\alpha(x_0, x_n) \leq \varepsilon$ , for all  $n \geq N$ .

A gauge space  $\mathbb{E}$  is called complete if any Cauchy sequence is convergent. A subset of  $X$  is said to be closed if it contains the limit of any convergent sequence of its elements. See also J. Dugundji [6] for other definitions and details.

Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$  be the set of all subsets of  $E$ . We will use the following symbols:

$$P(\mathbb{E}) := \{Y \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E}) : Y \neq \emptyset\}; P_{cl}(\mathbb{E}) := \{Y \in P(\mathbb{E}) : Y \text{ is closed}\}.$$

Let us define the gap functional between  $Y$  and  $Z$  in the  $(E, \mathcal{D})$  gauge space

$$D_\alpha : P(\mathbb{E}) \times P(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, D_\alpha(Y, Z) = \inf\{d_\alpha(y, z) \mid y \in Y, z \in Z\}$$

and the (generalized) Pompeiu-Hausdorff functional

$$H_\alpha : P(\mathbb{E}) \times P(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\},$$
$$H_\alpha(Y, Z) = \max\{\sup_{y \in Y} D_\alpha(y, Z), \sup_{z \in Z} D_\alpha(Y, z)\}.$$

Denote also by  $\delta_\alpha : P_b(\mathbb{E}) \times P_b(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ , by

$$\delta_\alpha(Y, Z) := \sup\{d_\alpha(y, z) \mid y \in Y, z \in Z\}, \alpha \in \Lambda.$$

Notice that  $\delta_\alpha(Y, Y) := \delta_\alpha(Y)$ .

Let  $Y \in P(\mathbb{E})$  be a nonempty set. Then, the set  $Y$  is bounded with respect to the gauge structure  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  if

$$\delta_\alpha(Y) := \sup\{d_\alpha(y, z) \mid y, z \in Y\} < +\infty, \text{ for each } \alpha \in \Lambda.$$

We will denote by  $P_b(\mathbb{E})$  the family of all nonempty and bounded (with respect to the gauge structure  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ ) subsets of  $\mathbb{E}$ .

If  $T : E \rightarrow P(E)$  is a multivalued operator, then  $x \in E$  is called fixed point for  $T$  if and only if  $x \in T(x)$ . The set  $F_T := \{x \in E \mid x \in T(x)\}$  denotes the fixed point set of  $T$ , while the symbol  $(SF)_T := \{x \in E \mid \{x\} = T(x)\}$  denotes the set of all strict fixed points of  $T$ .

Recall also that the multivalued operator  $T$  is called closed if its graph  $Graph(T) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} : y \in T(x)\}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$ .

Following Frigon [8], we recall the notion of admissible contraction with respect to  $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{E} := (E, \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  be a gauge space endowed with a complete gauge structure  $\{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . A multivalued operator  $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_{cl}(\mathbb{E})$  is called an admissible multivalued  $a_\alpha$ -contraction if  $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]0, 1[^\Lambda$  and, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- i)  $H_\alpha(T(x), T(y)) \leq a_\alpha \cdot d_\alpha(x, y)$ , for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{E}$
- ii) for every  $x \in \mathbb{E}$  and every  $\{\epsilon_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]1, +\infty[^\Lambda$  there exists  $y \in T(x)$  such that  $d_\alpha(x, y) \leq D_\alpha(x, T(x)) + \epsilon_\alpha$ .

Frigon [8] (see also [9] for an excellent synthesis) proved the following fixed point result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a complete gauge space and let  $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_{cl}(\mathbb{E})$  be an admissible multivalued  $a_\alpha$ -contraction. Then  $F_T$  is nonempty and closed.*

The aim of this paper is to present some strict fixed point theorems for admissible multivalued contractions with respect to  $\{\delta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , on complete gauge space. The results of the paper extend and generalize some previous theorems given in R.P. Agarwal, J. Dshalalow, D. O'Regan [1], S. Reich [14], I.A. Rus, A. Petrușel and G. Petrușel [19], A. Petrușel, I.A. Rus and M.A. Șerban [12] and they are related to the works of A. Chiș, R. Precup [3], R. Espinola, A. Petrușel [7], I.A. Rus [16], C. Chifu, G. Petrușel [2] and G. Petrușel [13].

Some results of this type were proved by D.H. Tan in [20], where the existence and the uniqueness of the strict fixed point for a multivalued operator satisfying a Ćirić type condition (see Ćirić [5] and Minh [10]) with respect to  $\delta_\alpha$  was obtained in complete gauge spaces satisfying the following additional condition:

$$d_\alpha(x, y) = 0, \text{ for each } \alpha \in \Lambda \Rightarrow x = y.$$

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

We start this section by presenting the notion of admissible multivalued  $\delta_\alpha$ -contraction of Reich type, as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbb{E} := (E, \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  be a complete gauge space. A multivalued operator  $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_b(\mathbb{E})$  is called an admissible multivalued  $\delta$ -contraction of Reich type with coefficients  $(a, b, c)$  if  $a := \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, b := \{b_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, c := \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]0, +\infty[^\Lambda$  and for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  we have  $a_\alpha + b_\alpha + c_\alpha < 1$  and the following conditions are satisfied:  
i)  $\delta_\alpha(T(x), T(y)) \leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x, y) + b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, T(x)) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(y, T(y))$ , for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{E}$ ;  
ii) for every  $x \in \mathbb{E}$  and every  $\{q_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]1, +\infty[^\Lambda$  there exists  $y \in T(x)$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(x, T(x)) \leq q_\alpha d_\alpha(x, y)$ .

Let us start this section by presenting our first strict fixed point result.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\mathbb{E} := (E, \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  be a complete gauge space and  $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_b(\mathbb{E})$  be a closed admissible multivalued  $\delta$ -contraction of Reich type. Then

$$F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$  be arbitrary and  $\{q_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]1, +\infty[^\Lambda$ . Then, there exists  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq q_\alpha d_\alpha(x_0, x_1)$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Hence, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) &\leq \delta_\alpha(T(x_0), T(x_1)) \leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_0, x_1) + b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_0, T(x_0)) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) \\ &\leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_0, x_1) + b_\alpha q_\alpha d_\alpha(x_0, x_1) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq \frac{a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha}{1 - c_\alpha} \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1), \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Lambda.$$

As before, for  $x_1$  already chosen, there exists  $x_2 \in T(x_1)$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq q_\alpha d_\alpha(x_1, x_2)$ . Then, we also have:

$$d_\alpha(x_1, x_2) \leq \delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq \frac{a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha}{1 - c_\alpha} \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1), \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Lambda.$$

By induction, we obtain a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  having the following properties:

- (i)  $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(T)$  is arbitrary and  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $d_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha}{1 - c_\alpha}\right)^n \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1)$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will prove now that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Denote  $l_\alpha := \frac{a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha}{1 - c_\alpha}$ . Then, for  $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p \geq 1$ , we successively have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+p}) &\leq d_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + d_\alpha(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}) \\ &\leq l_\alpha^n \cdot \frac{1 - l_\alpha^p}{1 - l_\alpha} \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let us chose  $1 < q_\alpha < \frac{1 - a_\alpha - c_\alpha}{b_\alpha}$ . Then  $l_\alpha \in [0, 1[$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we get that  $d_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Thus, the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{E}$  and hence it is convergent to a certain element  $x^* \in \mathbb{E}$ . Since the  $\text{Graph}(T)$  is closed, we immediately get that  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ . Thus  $x^* \in F_T$ .

We will prove now that  $F_T \subset (SF)_T$ . For this purpose, let us consider  $x = y := x^* \in F_T$  in the  $\delta$ -contraction condition. Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha(T(x^*)) &:= \delta_\alpha(T(x^*), T(x^*)) \\ &\leq b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x^*, T(x^*)) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x^*, T(x^*)) \\ &= (b_\alpha + c_\alpha) \delta_\alpha(T(x^*)), \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Thus, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we get that  $\delta_\alpha(T(x^*)) = 0$ , which means that  $T(x^*)$  is a singleton. Since  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ , we obtain that  $x^* \in (SF)_T$ . Thus  $F_T \subset (SF)_T$ .

For the uniqueness of the strict fixed point, let  $y \in (SF)_T$ ,  $y \neq x^*$  be another strict fixed point. Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha(x^*, y) &= \delta_\alpha(T(x^*), T(y)) \\ &\leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x^*, y) + b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x^*, T(x^*)) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(y, T(y)) = a_\alpha d_\alpha(x^*, y), \end{aligned}$$

which represents a contradiction. Thus  $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ . The proof is now complete.  $\square$

Let us present now a concept of well-posedness for the fixed point problem in a complete gauge space.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathbb{E} = (E, \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  be a gauge space and  $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_b(\mathbb{E})$  be a multivalued operator. We say that the fixed point problem is well-posed with respect to  $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , if:

- (a)  $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ ;
- (b) if  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $H_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , imply that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent to  $x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This concept is important with respect to the approximation of the strict fixed point of a multivalued operator.

We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathbb{E} := (E, \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  be a complete gauge space and  $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_b(\mathbb{E})$  be a closed admissible multivalued  $\delta$ -contraction of Reich type. Then, the fixed point problem is well-posed with respect to  $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.2 we have that  $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ . Let us show that assertion (b) in Definition 2.3 holds. Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $H_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Then, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha(x_n, x^*) &\leq \delta_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) + \delta_\alpha(T(x_n), x^*) = \delta_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) + \delta_\alpha(T(x_n), T(x^*)) \\ &\leq \delta_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) + a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_n, x^*) + b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x^*, T(x^*)) \\ &= (1 + b_\alpha) \cdot \delta_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) + a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_n, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we get that:

$$d_\alpha(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{1 + b_\alpha}{1 - a_\alpha} \cdot \delta_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) = H_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent to  $x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

We will present now a data dependence result for the strict fixed point of a closed admissible multivalued  $\delta$ -contraction of Reich type.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\mathbb{E} := (E, \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  be a complete gauge space,  $T_1 : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_b(\mathbb{E})$  be a closed admissible multivalued  $\delta$ -contraction of Reich type, with coefficients  $(a, b, c)$  and  $T_2 : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_b(\mathbb{E})$  be a multivalued operator with the property that  $(SF)_{T_2} \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose there exists  $\eta := (\eta_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(T_1(x), T_2(x)) \leq \eta_\alpha$ , for each  $x \in \mathbb{E}$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Then, the following conclusions hold:

- (i)  $F_{T_1} = (SF)_{T_1} = \{x_1^*\}$ ;
- (ii) if  $x_2^* \in (SF)_{T_2}$ , then  $d_\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) \leq \frac{1+c_\alpha}{1-a_\alpha} \cdot \eta_\alpha$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

**Proof.** The assertion (i) follows by Theorem 2.2. let us show that (ii) holds too. For arbitrary  $x_2^* \in (SF)_{T_2}$  and for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) &\leq \delta_\alpha(T_1(x_1^*), T_2(x_2^*)) \leq \delta_\alpha(T_1(x_1^*), T_1(x_2^*)) + \delta_\alpha(T_1(x_2^*), T_2(x_2^*)) \\ &\leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) + b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_1^*, T_1(x_1^*)) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_2^*, T_1(x_2^*)) + \eta_\alpha \\ &= a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_2^*, T_1(x_2^*)) + \eta_\alpha \leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) + c_\alpha \delta_\alpha(T_2(x_2^*), T_1(x_2^*)) + \eta_\alpha \\ &= a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) + (1 + c_\alpha) \eta_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get that

$$d_\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) \leq \frac{1 + c_\alpha}{1 - a_\alpha} \cdot \eta_\alpha, \text{ for each } \alpha \in \Lambda. \quad \square$$

The existence of the strict fixed point (without uniqueness) can be obtained by the following contractive type condition.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathbb{E} := (E, \{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$  be a complete gauge space and  $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow P_b(\mathbb{E})$  be a multivalued operator. Suppose that:

- (i) there exist  $a := \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, b := \{b_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]0, +\infty[^\Lambda$  such that, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $a_\alpha + b_\alpha < 1$  and for each  $x \in \mathbb{E}$  there exists  $y \in T(x)$  with the property

$$\delta_\alpha(y, T(y)) \leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x, y) + b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, T(x));$$

- (ii) for every  $x \in \mathbb{E}$  and every  $\{q_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]1, +\infty[^\Lambda$  there exists  $y \in T(x)$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(x, T(x)) \leq q_\alpha d_\alpha(x, y)$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ;

- (iii) the functional  $f_\alpha : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f_\alpha(x) = \delta_\alpha(x, T(x))$  is lower semicontinuous for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

Then,  $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$  be arbitrary and  $\{q_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in ]1, +\infty[^\Lambda$ . Then, there exists  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq q_\alpha d_\alpha(x_0, x_1)$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Hence, by (i), we get that  $\delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq a_\alpha d_\alpha(x_0, x_1) + b_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq (a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha) \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1)$ . Similarly, there exists  $x_2 \in T(x_1)$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq q_\alpha d_\alpha(x_1, x_2)$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Thus,  $d_\alpha(x_1, x_2) \leq \delta_\alpha(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq (a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha) \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1)$ . By induction, we get a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  having, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , the following properties:

- (i)  $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(T)$  is arbitrary and  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $\delta_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n)) \leq (a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha)^n \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii)  $d_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha)^n \cdot d_\alpha(x_0, x_1)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us choose  $1 < q_\alpha < \frac{1-a_\alpha}{b_\alpha}$ . Then  $p_\alpha := a_\alpha + b_\alpha q_\alpha \in [0, 1[$ , for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

By a similar procedure as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we immediately obtain that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{E}$  and hence it is convergent to a certain element  $x^* \in \mathbb{E}$ .

Now, by the lower semicontinuity of the functional  $f_\alpha$  we get that

$$0 \leq f_\alpha(x^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_\alpha(x_n) = 0.$$

Hence  $f_\alpha(x^*) = 0$  and thus  $x^* \in (SF)_T$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, J. Dshalalow and D. O'Regan, *Fixed point and homotopy results for generalized contractive maps of Reich-type*, Appl. Anal., **82**(2003), 329-350.
- [2] C. Chifu, G. Petrușel, *Existence and data dependence of fixed points and strict fixed points for contractive-type multivalued operators*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2007**(2007), Article ID 34248, 8 pages.
- [3] A. Chiș, R. Precup, *Continuation theory for general contractions in gauge spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2004**(2004), 173-185.
- [4] L.B. Ćirić, *Fixed points for generalized multi-valued contractions*, Math. Vesnik, **9(24)**(1972), 265-272.
- [5] L.B. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **45**(1974), 267-273.
- [6] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn & Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [7] R. Espínola and A. Petrușel, *Existence and data dependence of fixed points for multivalued operators on gauge spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **309**(2005), 420-432.
- [8] M. Frigon, *Fixed point results for multivalued contractions in gauge spaces and applications*, Set Valued Mappings with Applications in Nonlinear Analysis, Ser. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 4, Taylor & Francis London 2002, 175-181.
- [9] M. Frigon, *Fixed point and continuation results for contractions in metric and gauge spaces*, Banach Center Publ., **77**(2007), 89-114.
- [10] N.A. Minh, *Close-to-normal structures. A fixed point theorem*, Acta Math. Vietnamica, **4**(1979), 92-99 (in Russian).
- [11] A. Petrușel and G. Petrușel, *Multivalued contractions of Feng-Liu type in complete gauge spaces*, Carpathian J. Math., **24**(2008), 392-396.
- [12] A. Petrușel, I.A. Rus and M.A. Șerban, *Fibre Picard operators on gauge spaces and applications*, Journal for Analysis and its Applications, **27**(2008), 399-415.
- [13] G. Petrușel, *Existence and data dependence of fixed points and strict fixed points for multivalued Y-contractions*, Carpathian J. Math., **23**(2007), 172-176.
- [14] S. Reich, *Fixed point of contractive functions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., **5**(1972), 26-42.
- [15] I.A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Transilvania Press Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [16] I.A. Rus, *The theory of a metrical fixed point theorem: theoretical and applicative relevances*, Fixed Point Theory, **9**(2008), 541-559.
- [17] I.A. Rus, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces*, Math. Japonica, **20**(1975), 21-24.
- [18] I.A. Rus and A. Petrușel, *Fixed point theorems in ordered L-spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134**(2005), 411-419.
- [19] I.A. Rus, A. Petrușel and G. Petrușel, *Fixed point theorems for set-valued Y-contractions*, Banach Center Publications, Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, **77**(2007), 227-237.
- [20] D.H. Tan, *A fixed point theorem for multivalued quasi-contractions in probabilistic metric spaces*, Review of Research-Faculty of Science-University of Novi Sad, **12**(1982), 43-54.

*Received: 28.05.2009; Accepted: 13.12.2009.*