

## WEAKLY PICARD OPERATORS ON A SET WITH TWO METRICS

IOAN A. RUS\*, ANTON S. MUREȘAN\*\* AND VIORICA MUREȘAN\*\*\*

\*Department of Applied Mathematics  
Babeș-Bolyai University  
Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
E-mail: iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro

\*\*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Economics Sciences  
Babeș-Bolyai University  
Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
E-mail: asmuresan@Econ.UBBCluj.ro

\*\*\*Department of Mathematics  
Technical University of Cluj-Napoca  
Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
vmuresan@math.utcluj.ro

**Abstract.** In this paper we present some results for weakly Picard operators on a set with two metrics. Applications to Fredholm integral equations are given.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Fixed points, weakly Picard operators, c-weakly Picard operators, operatorial inequalities, comparison theorems, data dependence, Fredholm integral equations.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 47J20, 45G10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  an operator. The operator  $A$  is weakly Picard operator (WPO) if the sequence of successive approximation,  $(A^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , converges for all  $x \in X$  and the limit (which may depend on  $x$ ) is a fixed point of  $A$ . If  $A$  is WPO and  $F_A = \{x^*\}$ , then by definition  $A$  is a Picard operator (PO).

For an WPO  $A$  we consider the operator  $A^\infty$  defined by

$$A^\infty : X \rightarrow X, \quad A^\infty(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(x).$$

Let  $c > 0$  be. Then an WPO  $A$  is  $c$ -WPO if

$$d(x, A^\infty(x)) \leq cd(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

For the basic results of the WPO theory see I. A. Rus [23], [24].

The aim of this paper is to study the WPOs on a set with two metrics. Some applications to Fredholm integral equations are given.

Throughout this paper we follow the terminologies and notations in [24].

## 2. WEAKLY PICARD OPERATORS

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $d$  and  $\rho$  two metrics on  $X$  and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  an operator. We have

**Theorem 2.1.** *We suppose that*

(i) *there exists  $c_1 > 0$  such that*

$$d(A(x), A(y)) \leq c_1 \rho(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X;$$

(ii)  *$(X, d)$  is a complete metric space;*

(iii)  *$A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is closed;*

(iv) *there exists  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$  such that*

$$\rho(A^2(x), A(x)) \leq \alpha \rho(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

*Then  $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is WPO.*

*If in addition we suppose that*

(v) *there exists  $c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\rho(x, y) \leq c_2 d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

*then  $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is  $c$ -WPO with  $c = 1 + \frac{c_1 c_2}{1 - \alpha}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$ . From (iv) we have that  $(A^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \rho)$ . From (i) we have that  $(A^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . From (ii) it converges in  $(X, d)$  and from (iii) the limit  $x^*(x)$  is a fixed point of  $A$ . So,  $A$  is WPO in  $(X, d)$ .

From (iv), (i) and (v) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, A^p(x)) &\leq d(x, A(x)) + d(A(x), A^p(x)) \\ &\leq d(x, A(x)) + d(A(x), A^2(x)) + \cdots + d(A^{p-1}(x), A^p(x)) \\ &\leq d(x, A(x)) + \frac{c_1}{1-\alpha} \rho(x, A(x)) \\ &\leq d(x, A(x)) + \frac{c_1 c_2}{1-\alpha} d(x, A(x)) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{c_1 c_2}{1-\alpha}\right) d(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall p \geq 1, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(x, A^\infty(x)) \leq \left(1 + \frac{c_1 c_2}{1-\alpha}\right) d(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *We suppose that the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. If*

(iv') *there exists  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that*

$$\rho(x, A(x)) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

*then  $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is WPO.*

*If in addition we have (v) in Theorem 2.1 and*

(vi)  $\varphi(x) \leq c_3 \rho(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X,$

*then  $A$  is  $c$ -WPO in  $(X, d)$  with  $c = 1 + c_1 c_2 c_3$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$  be. From (iv') we have that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(A^n(x), A^{n+1}(x)) \leq \varphi(x), \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (*)$$

Hence  $(A^n(x))$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \rho)$ . Now the proof that  $A$  is WPO is as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

From (\*), (v) and (vi) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, A^{n+1}(x)) &\leq d(x, A(x)) + c_1 \rho(x, A^n(x)) \\ &\leq d(x, A(x)) + c_1 \varphi(x) \leq d(x, A(x)) + c_1 c_3 \rho(x, A(x)) \\ &\leq d(x, A(x)) + c_1 c_2 c_3 d(x, A(x)) = (1 + c_1 c_2 c_3) d(x, A(x)). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$d(x, A^\infty(x)) \leq (1 + c_1 c_2 c_3) d(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

**Remark 2.1.** For other fixed point theorems in a set with two metrics see [1], [2], [5], [8]-[12], [17]-[20], [22], [28].

**Remark 2.2.** We can generalize the above theorems in the case of the generalized metrics. For example in the case when  $d(x, y), \rho(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , we have:

**Theorem 2.1'.** *We suppose that:*

(i) *there exists a matrix  $C_1 \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that*

$$d(A(x), A(y)) \leq C_1 \rho(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X;$$

(ii)  *$(X, d)$  is a complete metric space;*

(iii)  *$A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is closed;*

(iv) *there exists a matrix  $S \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}_+)$  that converges to zero, such that*

$$\rho(A^2(x), A(x)) \leq S \rho(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

*Then  $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is WPO.*

*If in addition we suppose that*

(v) *there exists  $C_2 \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that*

$$\rho(x, y) \leq C_2 d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

*then  $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is  $c$ -WPO with  $c = I_m + C_1 C_2 (I_m - S)^{-1}$ .*

**Theorem 2.2'.** *We suppose that the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 2.1' are satisfied. If*

(iv') *there exists  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^m$  such that*

$$\rho(x, A(x)) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

*then  $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is WPO.*

*If in addition we have (v) in Theorem 2.1' and*

(vi) *there exists  $C_3 \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that*

$$\varphi(x) \leq C_3 \rho(x, A(x)), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

*then  $A$  is  $c$ -WPO in  $(X, d)$  with  $c = I_m + C_1 C_2 C_3$ .*

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be an ordered metric space,  $(X, \rho)$  a metric space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  an operator. We suppose that we are in the conditions (i)-(iv) of the Theorem 2.1, or in the conditions (i)-(iii), (iv') of the Theorem 2.2 and in addition the operator  $A : (X, \leq) \rightarrow (X, \leq)$  is increasing. Then

(a)  $x \leq A(x) \Rightarrow x \leq A^\infty(x), \quad \forall x \in X;$

(b)  $x \geq A(x) \Rightarrow x \geq A^\infty(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$

These implications follow from Lemma 3.5 in I. A. Rus [24].

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be an ordered metric space,  $(X, \rho)$  a metric space and  $A, B, C : X \rightarrow X$  three operators. We suppose that the operators  $A, B, C$  satisfy the conditions (i)-(iv) in the Theorem 2.1, or the conditions (i)-(iii) and (iv') in Theorem 2.2. In addition we suppose that  $A \leq B \leq C$  and  $B : (X, \leq) \rightarrow (X, \leq)$  is increasing. Then

$$x \leq y \leq z \Rightarrow A^\infty(x) \leq A^\infty(y) \leq A^\infty(z).$$

This implication follows from Lemma 3.2 in [24].

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $A, B : X \rightarrow X$  as in the Theorem 2.1 or in the Theorem 2.2. In addition we suppose that there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $d(A(x), B(x)) \leq \eta$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Then

$$H_d(F_A, F_B) \leq c\eta,$$

where  $H_d$  is the functional of Pompeiu-Hausdorff.

The above estimation follows from Theorem 2.2 in [24].

**Remark 2.6.** For the WPOs theory in L-spaces see I. A. Rus [26].

### 3. APPLICATIONS TO FREDHOLM FUNCTIONAL-INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be a bounded domain. We consider the following functional-integral equation

$$x(t) = h(t, x|_{\partial\Omega}) + \int_{\Omega} K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in \bar{\Omega}. \quad (3.1)$$

We suppose that

- (1)  $K(t, s, u) = 0$ ,  $\forall t \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $s \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (2)  $h(\cdot, x|_{\partial\Omega}) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\forall x \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ;
- (3)  $h(t, x|_{\partial\Omega}) = x(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in \partial\Omega$ ;
- (4)  $K \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ ;
- (5) there exists  $L \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega})$  such that

$$|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, v)| \leq L(t, s)|u - v|,$$

for all  $t, s \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

- (6)  $\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} (L(t, s))^2 dt ds < 1$ .

Let  $A : C(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\overline{\Omega})$  be the operator defined by  $A(x)(t) :=$  second part of (3.1). Consider on  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  the following metrics

$$d(x, y) := \|x - y\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \text{ and } \rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

It is clear that (see Theorem 2.1),

$$c_1 = \sup_{t \in \overline{\Omega}} \left( \int_{\Omega} (L(t, s))^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad c_2 = m(\Omega)$$

and

$$\alpha = \left( \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} (L(t, s))^2 dt ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let us prove the result for  $\alpha$ . For this we consider the following partition of  $C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $C(\overline{\Omega}) = \bigcup_{\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega)} X_{\varphi}$ , where  $X_{\varphi} = \{x \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \mid x|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi\}$ . We

remark that  $X_{\varphi}$  is a closed subset of  $(C(\overline{\Omega}), \|\cdot\|_C)$  and from (3) we have that  $A(X_{\varphi}) \subset X_{\varphi}$ . Moreover from (5) and (6) it follows that  $A|_{X_{\varphi}} : (X_{\varphi}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2}) \rightarrow (X_{\varphi}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2})$  is  $\alpha$ -contraction, for each  $\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega)$ . So, we are in the conditions of the Theorem 2.1 and we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *We suppose that we are in the conditions (1)-(6). Let  $S_A \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$  be the solution set of the equation (3.1). Then  $\text{Card } S_A = \text{Card } C(\partial\Omega)$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *We suppose that the conditions (1)-(6) are satisfied. In addition we suppose that*

(7)  $h(t, \cdot) : C(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $K(t, s, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are increasing.

*Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two solutions of the equation (3.1). If  $x|_{\partial\Omega} \leq y|_{\partial\Omega}$  then  $x \leq y$ .*

**Proof.** From (1)-(6) we have that  $A$  is WPO. Because of (7)  $A$  is increasing. Let  $\tilde{x} \in X_{x|_{\partial\Omega}}$  and  $\tilde{y} \in X_{y|_{\partial\Omega}}$  be such that  $\tilde{x} \leq \tilde{y}$ ,  $\tilde{x}|_{\partial\Omega} = x|_{\partial\Omega}$ ,  $\tilde{y}|_{\partial\Omega} = y|_{\partial\Omega}$ . Now the proof follows because the operator  $A^{\infty}$  is increasing (see Lemma 3.1, [24]).

Let us consider the following functional-integral equations

$$x(t) = h_i(t, x|_{\partial\Omega}) + \int_{\Omega} K_i(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad i = \overline{1, 3}. \quad (3.2)$$

We suppose that

- (8) the corresponding conditions (1)-(6) are satisfied for  $h_i, K_i, L_i, i = \overline{1, 3}$ ;  
 (9)  $h_1 \leq h_2 \leq h_3$  and  $K_1 \leq K_2 \leq K_3$ ;  
 (10)  $h_2(t, \cdot) : C(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $K(t, s, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are increasing.

Let  $x_i$  be a solution of the corresponding equation (3.2) and  $A_i$  the corresponding operator,  $\forall i = \overline{1, 3}$ .

If  $x_1|_{\partial\Omega} \leq x_2|_{\partial\Omega} \leq x_3|_{\partial\Omega}$ , then  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3$ .

**Proof.** From (8) we have that  $A_i$  are WPO,  $i = \overline{1, 3}$ . Because of (10), the operator  $A_2$  is increasing. From (9) we have that  $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq A_3$ . So, we are in the conditions of Lemma 3.2 in [24].

**Remark 3.1.** More general we can consider the following equation

$$x(t) = h(t, x|_{\partial\Omega}) + g(t, x) \quad (3.2)$$

We have

**Theorem 3.1'.** *We suppose that*

- (1)  $g(t, x) = 0, \forall t \in \partial\Omega$  and  $x \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ ;  
 (2)  $g(\cdot, x) \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \forall x \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ ;  
 (3)  $h(\cdot, x) \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \forall x \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ ;  
 (4)  $h(t, x|_{\partial\Omega}) = x(t), \forall t \in \partial\Omega$   
 (5) *there exists  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$  such that*

$$|g(t, x) - g(t, y)| \leq \alpha\rho(x, y), \forall x, y \in C(\overline{\Omega}).$$

*Let  $S$  be the solution set of the equation (3.2). Then  $\text{Card}S = \text{Card}C(\partial\Omega)$ .*

In the case of Dirichlet problem

$$-\Delta x = f(t, x), \quad x \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$$

$$x|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi,$$

where  $f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega)$ , the corresponding operator is the following

$$A_f(x)(t) := \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial n_s} x(s) d\sigma_s + \int_{\Omega} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

Here  $G$  is the Green function. The WPOs' technique to this problem has been used by Dincuță ([6]), A. Buică ([4]) and I. A. Rus ([26]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Albu, *A fixed point theorem of Maia-Perov type*, Studia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, Mathematica, **23**(1978), 76-79.
- [2] V. Berinde, *A fixed point theorem of Maia type in  $K$ -metric spaces*, Sem. on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint **3**(1991), Babeș-Bolyai Univ., Cluj-Napoca, 7-14.
- [3] S. R. Bernfeld, V. Lakshmikantham, *An introduction to nonlinear boundary value problems*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [4] A. Buică, *The coincidence principles and applications*, (in Romanian), Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [5] B. C. Dhage, *On extension of a fixed point theorem of Maia*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., XXIV, **1-2**(1986), 65-69.
- [6] V. Dincuță, *An application of the weakly Picard operators technique to a Dirichlet problem*, Sem. on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, **1**(2000), 35-38.
- [7] K. Iseki, *A common fixed point theorem*, Math. Sem. Notes, **2**(1)(1974).
- [8] M. G. Maia, *Un' osservazione sulle contrazioni metriche*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **40**(1968), 139-143.
- [9] A. S. Mureșan, *Fixed point theorems of Maia type for expansion mappings*, Studia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, Oeconomica, **34**(1)(1989), 81-84.
- [10] A. S. Mureșan, *Some fixed point theorems of Maia type*, Sem. on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint **3**(1988), Babeș-Bolyai Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 35-42.
- [11] A. S. Mureșan, V. Mureșan, *A generalization of Maia's fixed point theorem*, Conferința de matematică aplicată și mecanică, 20-23 oct. 1988, vol. II, Institutul Politehnic Cluj-Napoca, Sem. Th. Angheluță, 185-190.
- [12] V. Mureșan, *Basic problem for Maia-Perov's fixed point theorem*, Sem. on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint **3**(1988), Babeș-Bolyai Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 43-48.
- [13] A. I. Perov, A. V. Kidenko, *On a certain general method for investigation of boundary value problems*, Izv. Akad. Nauk, SSSR, **30**(1996), 249-264.
- [14] A. Petrușel, *Fredholm-Volterra integral equations and Maia's theorem*, Sem. Fixed Point Theory, Preprint **3**(1988), Babeș-Bolyai Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 79-82.
- [15] V. Popa, *Fixed point theorems for expansion mappings*, Sem. Fixed Point Theory, Preprint **3**(1987), Babeș-Bolyai Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 25-30.
- [16] R. Precup, *Le théorème des contractions dans des espaces syntopogènes*, Mathematica, Revue d'analyse numérique et de la théorie de l'approximation, **9**(1980), 113-123.
- [17] R. Precup, *A fixed point theorem of Maia type in syntopogenous spaces*, Sem. on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint **3**(1988), Babeș-Bolyai Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 49-70.
- [18] B. K. Ray, *On a fixed point theorem in a space with two metrics*, The Mathematics Education, **9**(1975), Nr.3, 57-58.
- [19] I. A. Rus, *On a fixed point theorem of Maia*, Studia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, Mathematica, **1**(1977), 40-42.

- [20] I. A. Rus, *On a fixed point theorem in a set with two metrics*, *Mathematica, Revue d'analyse numérique et de la théorie de l'approximation*, **6**(1977), 197-201.
- [21] I. A. Rus, *Metrical fixed point theorems*, Babeş-Bolyai Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 1979.
- [22] I. A. Rus, *Basic problem for Maia's theorem*, *Sem. on Fixed Point Theory*, Preprint **3**(1981), Babeş-Bolyai Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 112-115.
- [23] I. A. Rus, *Weakly Picard mappings*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **34**, **4**(1993), 769-773.
- [24] I. A. Rus, *Weakly Picard operators and applications*, *Sem. on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca*, **2**(2001), 41-58.
- [25] I. A. Rus, *Generalized contractions and applications*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [26] I. A. Rus, *Picard operators and applications*, *Scientia Math. Japonica*, **58**(1)(2003), 191-219.
- [27] I. A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, *Fixed Point Theory 1950-2000. Romanian Contributions*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [28] B. Rzepecki, *A note on fixed point theorem of Maia*, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica*, **25**(2)(1980), 65-71.
- [29] B. Rzepecki, *Remarks on the Banach fixed point principle and its applications*, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **27**(1982), 81-93.
- [30] S. P. Singh, *On a fixed point theorem in metric space*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **43**(1970), 229-232.
- [31] S. P. Singh, C. W. Norris, *Fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces*, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. RSR*, **14**(1970), 87-91.

*Received March 24, 2005.*