

A STABILITY RESULT IN FIXED POINT THEORY

SIMEON REICH^{1,2} AND ALEXANDER J. ZASLAVSKI²

¹Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences
Tokyo Institute of Technology
W8-49, 2-12-1 O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan
E-mail: sreich@tx.technion.ac.il

²Department of Mathematics
The Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
32000 Haifa, Israel
E-mail: ajzasl@tx.technion.ac.il

Abstract. Let K be a compact convex subset of a Banach space. We consider a complete metric space of all the continuous self-mappings of K and show that a typical element of this space (in the sense of Baire category) has a fixed point which is stable under small perturbations of the mapping.

Key Words and Phrases: Baire category, Banach space, compact convex set, continuous mapping, fixed point, generic property

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54E50, 54E52

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $K \subset X$ be a nonempty, compact and convex subset of a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Denote by \mathcal{A} the set of all continuous mappings $A : K \rightarrow K$. For each $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ set

$$d(A, B) = \sup\{\|Ax - Bx\| : x \in K\}.$$

It is clear that (\mathcal{A}, d) is a complete metric space. By Schauder's fixed point theorem [3], for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $x_* \in K$ such that $Ax_* = x_*$. In this note we are interested in the possible generic stability of such fixed points. For various other generic aspects of fixed point theory, see [1], [2], [4], and the references therein.

We begin with the following simple result.

Proposition 1.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Omega = \{x \in K : Ax = x\}$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a positive number δ such that for each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(A, B) \leq \delta$ and each $x \in K$ satisfying $Bx = x$, there exists $y \in \Omega$ such that $\|x - y\| \leq \epsilon$.

Proof. If this result were not true, there would exist a sequence $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$d(A, B_n) \leq 1/n \text{ for all integers } n \geq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

and a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset K$ such that for each integer $n \geq 1$,

$$B_n x_n = x_n \text{ and } \inf\{\|x_n - y\| : y \in \Omega\} > \epsilon. \quad (1.2)$$

Since K is a compact, we may assume without loss of generality that there exists

$$x_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n. \quad (1.3)$$

It follows from (1.3), (1.2), (1.1), and the continuity of A that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_* - x_*\| &\leq \|Ax_* - Ax_n\| + \|B_n x_n - Ax_n\| + \|B_n x_n - x_n\| \\ &+ \|x_n - x_*\| \leq \|Ax_* - Ax_n\| + 1/n + \|x_n - x_*\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Ax_* = x_*$, $x_* \in \Omega$, and (1.3) contradicts (1.2). The contradiction we have reached proves Proposition 1.1.

In view of this result, it is natural to ask if, given $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a fixed point $x_* \in K$ of A with the following property:

For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(A, B) \leq \delta$, there exists $y \in K$ such that $By = y$ and $\|y - x_*\| \leq \epsilon$.

Consider first the following simple example.

Example. Let $X = R^1$, $K = [0, 1]$, and let $Ax = x$ for all $x \in K$. Clearly, the fixed point set of A is the interval $[0, 1]$. For each integer $n \geq 1$, define

$$A_n x = (1 - 1/n)x \text{ and } B_n x = \min\{x + 1/n, 1\} \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

Clearly, $B_n, A_n \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that for each $n \geq 1$, the fixed point set of A_n is the singleton $\{0\}$, while the fixed point set of B_n is the interval $[1 - 1/n, 1]$.

This example shows that in general the answer to our question is negative. Nevertheless, we show in this paper that for a typical $A \in \mathcal{A}$ (in the sense of Baire category) the answer is positive.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let $K \subset X$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Denote by \mathcal{A} the family of all continuous mappings $A : K \rightarrow K$ such that the closure of $A(K)$ is a compact set in the norm topology. It is well known [3] that for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $x_A \in K$ such that $Ax_A = x_A$.

For each $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ set

$$d(A, B) = \sup\{\|Ax - Bx\| : x \in K\}. \tag{2.1}$$

It is not difficult to see that (\mathcal{A}, d) is a complete metric space. We now state the main result of our paper.

Theorem 2.1. There exists a subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$ which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense subsets of (\mathcal{A}, d) so that for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $x_* \in K$ such that

- (i) $Ax_* = x_*$;
- (ii) for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $d(A, B) \leq \delta$, then there is $z \in K$ which satisfies $Bz = z$ and $\|z - x_*\| \leq \epsilon$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

We precede the proof of Theorem 2.1 with two auxiliary propositions.

Proposition 3.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and let $x_* \in K$ satisfy $Ax_* = x_*$. Then there exist $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $d(B, A) \leq \epsilon$ and $Bz = x_*$ for each $z \in K$ satisfying $\|z - x_*\| \leq \delta$.

Proof. Since A is continuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $z \in K$ satisfying $\|z - x_*\| \leq 4\delta$, the following inequality holds:

$$\|Az - x_*\| \leq \epsilon/4. \tag{3.1}$$

By Urysohn's theorem, there exists a continuous function $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\lambda(z) = 1 \text{ for each } z \in X \text{ satisfying } \|z - x_*\| \leq \delta \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\lambda(z) = 0 \text{ for each } z \in X \text{ satisfying } \|z - x_*\| \geq 2\delta. \tag{3.3}$$

Define

$$Bz = \lambda(z)x_* + (1 - \lambda(z))Az \tag{3.4}$$

for all $z \in K$.

Clearly, $B : K \rightarrow K$ is continuous, $B(K)$ is contained in a compact subset of X , and

$$Bx_* = x_*. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.4), (3.2) and (3.3), for each $z \in K$ satisfying $\|z - x_*\| \leq \delta$, we have

$$Bz = x_*, \quad (3.6)$$

and for each $z \in K$ satisfying $\|z - x_*\| \geq 2\delta$,

$$Bz = Az. \quad (3.7)$$

It follows from (3.4) and the choice of δ (see (3.1)) that for each $z \in K$ satisfying $\|z - x_*\| \leq 2\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Bz - Az\| &= \|\lambda(z)x_* + (1 - \lambda(z))Az - Az\| \leq \\ &\leq \|x_* - Az\| \leq \epsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\epsilon > 0$, let $x_* \in K$ be a fixed point of A , and let $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\delta > 0$ be as guaranteed by Proposition 3.1. Then for each $C \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(C, B) \leq \delta$, there is $y \in K$ such that

$$Cy = y \text{ and } \|y - x_*\| \leq d(C, B).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1,

$$d(A, B) \leq \epsilon \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$Bz = x_* \text{ for each } z \in K \text{ satisfying } \|z - x_*\| \leq \delta. \quad (3.9)$$

Assume that $C \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$d(C, B) \leq \delta. \quad (3.10)$$

Set

$$\Omega = \{z \in K : \|z - x_*\| \leq d(C, B)\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Clearly, Ω is a closed convex set. It follows from (3.11), (3.10) and (3.9) that for each $z \in \Omega$,

$$\|x_* - Cz\| \leq \|x_* - Bz\| + \|Bz - Cz\| = \|Bz - Cz\| \leq d(C, B)$$

and $Cz \in \Omega$. Thus $C(\Omega) \subset \Omega$. Clearly $C(\Omega) \subset C(X)$ is contained in a compact subset of X . By Schauder's fixed point theorem, there is $y \in \Omega$ such that $Cy = y$. Proposition 3.2 is proved.

Completion of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, there exist

$$A_\epsilon \in \mathcal{A}, x_{A,\epsilon} \in K, \text{ and } \delta_{A,\epsilon} \in (0, 1)$$

such that

$$d(A, A_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon, \tag{3.12}$$

$$A_\epsilon z = x_{A,\epsilon} \text{ for each } z \in K \text{ satisfying } \|z - x_{A,\epsilon}\| \leq \delta_{A,\epsilon}, \tag{3.13}$$

and the following property holds:

(P) For each $C \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(C, A_\epsilon) \leq \delta_{A,\epsilon}$ there is $y \in K$ such that

$$Cy = y \text{ and } \|y - x_{A,\epsilon}\| \leq d(C, A_\epsilon).$$

For each integer $i \geq 1$, set

$$\mathcal{U}(A, \epsilon, i) = \{C \in \mathcal{A} : d(C, A_\epsilon) < \delta_{A,\epsilon}/i\}. \tag{3.14}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(A, \epsilon, i) : A \in \mathcal{A}, \epsilon \in (0, 1)\}. \tag{3.15}$$

Clearly, \mathcal{F} is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense subsets of (\mathcal{A}, d) .

Let $B \in \mathcal{F}$. For each integer $i \geq 1$, there are $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon_i \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$B \in \mathcal{U}(A_i, \epsilon_i, i). \tag{3.16}$$

It follows from (3.16), (3.14), and property (P) that for each integer $i \geq 1$, there exists $y_i \in K$ such that

$$By_i = y_i \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\|y_i - x_{A_i, \epsilon_i}\| \leq d(A, (A_i)_{\epsilon_i}) \leq \delta_{A_i, \epsilon_i}/i. \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset B(K)$, there is a subsequence $\{y_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which converges to $x_* \in K$. It is obvious that $Bx_* = x_*$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a natural number k such that

$$i_k^{-1} < 8^{-1}\epsilon \text{ and } \|y_{i_k} - x_*\| \leq \epsilon/8. \tag{3.19}$$

It follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$\|y_{i_k} - x_{A_{i_k}, \epsilon_{i_k}}\| \leq 1/i_k < \epsilon/8. \quad (3.20)$$

Inequalities (3.19) and (3.20) imply that

$$\|x_* - x_{A_{i_k}, \epsilon_{i_k}}\| \leq \|x_* - y_{i_k}\| + \|y_{i_k} - x_{A_{i_k}, \epsilon_{i_k}}\| \leq \epsilon/4. \quad (3.21)$$

Let

$$C \in \mathcal{U}(A_{i_k}, \epsilon_{i_k}, i_k). \quad (3.22)$$

It follows from (3.22), (3.14), (3.19), and property (P) that there exists $z \in K$ such that

$$Cz = z \text{ and } \|z - x_{A_{i_k}, \epsilon_{i_k}}\| \leq d(C, (A_{i_k})_{\epsilon_{i_k}}) \leq 1/i_k \leq \epsilon/8.$$

When combined with (3.21), this implies that

$$\|z - x_*\| \leq \|z - x_{A_{i_k}, \epsilon_{i_k}}\| + \|x_{A_{i_k}, \epsilon_{i_k}} - x_*\| \leq \epsilon/2.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Acknowledgments. The work of the first author was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities (Grant 592/00), by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion, and by the Technion VPR Fund.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, *Generic aspects of metric fixed point theory*, Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory, Kluwer Dordrecht, 2001, 557-575.
- [2] S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, *Well-posedness of fixed point problems*, Far East J. Math. Sci., Special Volume (Functional Analysis and its Applications), Part III, 2001, 393-401.
- [3] J. Schauder, *Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalräumen*, Studia Math., **2** (1930), 171-180.
- [4] T. Zamfirescu, *A generic view on the theorems of Brouwer and Schauder*, Math. Z., **213** (1993), 387-392.

Received February 14, 2004.