

## FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MULTIVALUED EXPANSIVE OPERATORS

Aurel Muntean

Carol I High School Sibiu

2400, Sibiu, Romania

**Abstract.** The main goal of this paper is to study the existence and data dependence of the fixed points for a class of generalized expansive multifunctions.

**Keywords:** expansive multifunction, fixed point, data dependence

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10

### 1 Introduction

In some papers from the 80's, some fixed point theorems for expansive-type single-valued mappings are proved (see [1], [2], [6] etc.). The main purpose of this note is to extend the above mentioned result to the multivalued case. The data dependence of the fixed points set is also studied.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Throughout the paper we use the following symbols:

$$P(X) := \{Y \subset X \mid Y \neq \emptyset\},$$

$P_p(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ has the property "p"}\}$ , where "p" could be:  $cl$  = closed,  $cp$  = compact,  $b$  = bounded, etc.

We consider now the following functionals:

$$D : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad D(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\};$$

$$\delta : P_b(X) \times P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\};$$

$$\rho : P_b(X) \times P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \rho(A, B) = \sup\{D(a, B) \mid a \in A\};$$

$$H : P_b(X) \times P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad H(A, B) = \max\{\rho(A, B), \rho(B, A)\}.$$

It is well known that  $(P_{b,cl}(X), H)$  is a metric space and if  $(X, d)$  is complete then  $(P_{b,cl}(X), H)$  is complete too. Also, the following properties are true (see [3]).

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $A, B \in P(X)$  and  $\eta > 0$ . If*

*i) for each  $a \in A$  there is  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq \eta$  and*

*ii) for each  $b \in B$  there is  $a \in A$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq \eta$ .*

*Then  $H(A, B) \leq \eta$ .*

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $A, B \in P(X)$  and  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $q > 1$ . Then for every  $a \in A$  there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq qH(A, B)$ .*

Let  $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$  be a multivalued operator. Then  $x^* \in X$  is a fixed point for  $T$  if  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ . The set of all fixed points will be denoted by  $F_T$ . If  $x^* \in X$  has the property  $\{x^*\} = T(x^*)$ , then  $x^*$  is said to be a strict fixed point for  $T$  and the

symbol  $(SF)_T$  denotes the strict fixed points set of  $T$ . The multivalued operator  $T$  is surjective if and only if  $T(X) := \bigcup_{x \in X} T(x) = X$ .

## 2 Main results

The first main result of the paper is the following existence theorems for a class of expansive multivalued operator.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  be a surjective multivalued operator. If there exist  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $b < 1$  and  $a + b + c > 1$ ) such that*

$$d(y_1, y_2) \geq ad(x_1, x_2) + bd(x_1, y_1) + cd(x_2, y_2), \text{ for each } x_i \in X \quad (1)$$

and each  $y_i \in T(x_i)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , with  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .

Then  $F_T \neq \emptyset$ .

Moreover, if  $a > 1$  then  $F_T = \{x^*\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrarily. Because  $T$  is surjective we can find  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \in T(x_1)$ . Using the same argument, we obtain a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $x_{n-1} \in T(x_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

If there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 1$  such that  $x_m = x_{m-1}$  then  $x_m \in F_T$  and the proof is complete. Let us suppose now that  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

From (1) we deduce:

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq ad(x_n, x_{n+1}) + bd(x_n, x_{n-1}) + cd(x_{n+1}, x_n), \text{ for } n \geq 1$$

and hence

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n), \text{ where } k = \frac{1-b}{a+c} < 1.$$

Obviously, we get that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

and after a simple computation we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x_0, x_1).$$

It follows that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent in the complete metric space  $(X, d)$ . Let  $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ . We will prove that  $x^* \in F_T$ . For this purpose, let us consider an element  $y^* \in T^{-1}(x^*)$ . We have successively:

$$d(x_n, x^*) \geq ad(x_{n+1}, y^*) + bd(x_{n+1}, x_n) + cd(y^*, x^*), \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $0 \geq (a+c)d(y^*, x^*)$  and hence  $x^* = y^*$ , proving that  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ .

For the uniqueness of the fixed point, let us suppose, by contradiction, that there exists  $x_1^*$  and  $x_2^*$  two distinct fixed points for  $T$ . Then

$$d(x_1^*, x_2^*) \geq ad(x_1^*, x_2^*) + bd(x_1^*, x_1^*) + cd(x_2^*, x_2^*) = ad(x_1^*, x_2^*).$$

Because  $a > 1$  we get the desired contradiction. Hence  $F_T = \{x^*\}$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $X = [0, 4]$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the metric given by the following formula:

$$d(t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (t_1, t_2) \in [0, 2) \times [0, 2) \cup [2, 4] \times [0, 1) \cup [0, 1) \times [2, 4] \\ \frac{3}{2}, & \text{if } (t_1, t_2) \in [1, 2) \times [2, 4] \cup [2, 4] \times [1, 2) \\ 2, & \text{if } (t_1, t_2) \in [2, 4] \times [2, 4], (t_1 \neq t_2) \\ 0, & \text{if } t_1 = t_2 \end{cases}$$

We consider now the multivalued operator  $T : [0, 4] \rightarrow P([0, 4])$  given by:

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} [3, 4), & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ [2, 3) & \text{if } x \in [1, 2) \\ \{\frac{5}{2}\}, & \text{if } x \in [2, 4] \end{cases}$$

Then  $T$  satisfy the contractive condition from Theorem 2.1. (with  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ ) and the fixed points set  $F_T = \{\frac{5}{2}\}$ .

Next, we shall discuss data dependence problem for the set of all fixed points of such multivalued expansive-type operators.

The second main result is:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T_1, T_2 : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  two surjective multivalued operators. We suppose:

i) there exist  $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $b_i < 1$  and  $c_i > 1$  such that

$$d(y_1, y_2) \geq a_i d(x_1, x_2) + b_i d(x_1, y_1) + c_i d(x_2, y_2),$$

for each  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \in T_i(x_1) \times T_i(x_2)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .

ii) there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\delta(T_1^{-1}(y), T_2^{-1}(y)) \leq \eta$ , for each  $y \in X$ .

Then

a)  $F_{T_i} \in P_{cl}(X)$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$

b)  $H(F_{T_1}, F_{T_2}) \leq \frac{\eta}{1 - \max\{k_1, k_2\}}$ , where  $k_i = \frac{1 - b_i}{a_i + c_i}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Proof.** a) From Theorem 2.1 we get  $F_{T_i} \in P(X)$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . We shall prove that  $F_T$  is closed, where  $T$  is  $T_1$  or  $T_2$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset F_T$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ . We suppose, by contradiction, that  $x^* \notin F_T$ , i.e.  $x^* \notin T(x^*)$ . Then, for  $x_n \in T(x_n)$  and every  $y \in T(x^*)$ , we have:

$$d(x_n, y) \geq ad(x_n, x^*) + bd(x_n, x_n) + cd(x^*, y).$$

Taking now  $\inf_{y \in T(x^*)}$  in the previous relation, we get:

$$D(x_n, T(x^*)) \geq ad(x_n, x^*) + cD(x^*, T(x^*)).$$

When  $n$  tends to infinite we obtain  $(c - 1)D(x^*, T(x^*)) \leq 0$  and hence  $D(x^*, T(x^*)) = 0$ . So  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ , that is a contradiction.

b) For the second part, let us consider any  $x_0 \in F_{T_1}$ , i.e.  $x_0 \in T_1(x_0)$ . Obviously  $x_0 \in T_1^{-1}(x_0)$ . Let us observe that for each  $x \in T_2^{-1}(x_0)$  we have

$$d(x_0, x) \leq \delta(T_1^{-1}(x_0), T_2^{-1}(x_0)) \leq \eta.$$

On the other hand, from the surjectivity of  $T_2$  we can deduce that there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \in T_2(x_1)$  or equivalently  $x_1 \in T_2^{-1}(x_0)$ . Obviously,  $d(x_0, x_1) \leq \eta$ .

Using the same construction as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we obtain the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  having the properties:

$$(\alpha) \quad x_{n-1} \in T_2(x_n); \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq 1$$

$$(\beta) \quad d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq \frac{k_2^n}{1 - k_2} d(x_0, x_1); \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \geq 1.$$

As before, the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $X$  and its limit  $x^*$  is a fixed point for  $T_2$ . For  $m \rightarrow \infty$  the relation  $(\beta)$  becomes:

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{k_2^n}{1 - k_2} d(x_0, x_1) \leq \frac{k_2^n}{1 - k_2} \eta, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If we consider  $n = 0$  we get

$$d(x_0, x^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1 - k_2}. \quad (2)$$

Let us consider now a fixed point  $y_0 \in F_{T_2}$ . Following the same method we obtain that there exist  $y^* \in F_{T_1}$  such that

$$d(y_0, y^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1 - k_1}. \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3), by using Lemma 1.1, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

If we consider  $b = c = 0$  then Theorem 2.1 becomes:

**Corollary 2.3.** (the dual form of the Avramescu-Markin-Nadlller theorem)

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  be a surjective multivalued operator. If there exists  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a > 1$  such that:

$d(y_1, y_2) \geq ad(x_1, x_2)$ , for each  $x_i \in X$  and each  $y_i \in T(x_i)$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $y_1 \neq y_2$ ,

then  $F_T = \{x^*\}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** If  $T$  is a singlevalued operator, then we get Theorem 3 in [1] (the dual form of the Banach contraction principle).

Another result of this type is:

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T_1, T_2 : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  be two surjective multivalued operators.

We suppose that:

i) there exist  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k_1 > 1$ ,  $k_2 > 1$  such that

$$d^2(y_1, y_2) \geq k_i \min\{d^2(x_1, y_1), d^2(x_2, y_2), d(x_1, y_1)d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, y_2)d(x_1, x_2)\}, \quad (4)$$

for each  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and each  $(y_1, y_2) \in T_i(x_1) \times T_i(x_2)$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

ii) there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that for each  $y \in X$

$$\delta(T_1^{-1}(y), T_2^{-1}(y)) \leq \eta.$$

Then:

a)  $F_{T_i} \in P_{cl}(X)$

$$b) H(F_{T_1}, F_{T_2}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \max\{k_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, k_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}} \eta.$$

**Proof.** a) Using the surjectivity of  $T_i = T$ , we can construct a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$  and  $x_{n-1} \in T(x_n)$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

From (4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\geq k \min\{d^2(x_n, x_{n-1}), d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n), \\ &d(x_n, x_{n-1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = \\ &= k \min\{d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n-1})d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = \\ &= kd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \min\{d(x_{n+1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n-1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following alternative is now possible:

I.  $d^2(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq kd^2(x_{n+1}, x_n)$  and hence

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

II.  $d^2(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq kd(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n-1})$  that means

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{k} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

From the both cases, it results:

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Obviously,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence it is convergent.

Let us denote  $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ . For  $x^* \in X$ , we consider  $y^* \in T^{-1}(x^*)$  and from (4)

we have

$$d^2(x_n, x^*) \geq k \min\{d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n), d^2(y^*, x^*), d(x_{n+1}, x_n)d(x_{n+1}, y^*), d(y^*, x^*)d(x_{n+1}, y^*)\}.$$

For  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude  $0 \geq kd(x^*, y^*)$  and so  $x^* = y^* \in T^{-1}(x^*)$ , proving that  $x^* \in F_T$ .

b) For the second part, the proof goes similar with part b) in Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** If  $T_i$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ) are singlevalued operator, then from Theorem 2.5 we get also Theorem 1 in Popa [2].

**Remark 2.7.** For other fixed point and date dependence theorems see also Rus-Petruşel-Sântămărian [5].

**Remark 2.8.** It is an open question to prove some strict fixed point results for such expansive-type multifunctions.

## References

- [1] C. Avramescu, *Théorèmes de point fixe pour les applications contractantes et anticontractantes*, Manuscripta Math., 6(1972), 405-411.
- [2] V. Popa, *Fixed point theorems for expansion mappings*, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint nr.3, 1987, 25-30.
- [3] I.A. Rus, *Generalized contractions*, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint nr.3, 1983, 1-130.
- [4] I.A. Rus, *Basic problems of the metric fixed point theory revisited (II)*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, seria Mathematica, 36(1991), 81-99.
- [5] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, A. Sântămărian, *Data dependence of the fixed points set for  $c$ -multivalued operators* (to appear).
- [6] S.Z. Wang, B.Y. Li, Z.M. Gao, K. Iseki, *Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings*, Math. Japonica, 29(1984), 631-636.