# MULTIPLE POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF A NONLINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM ON $\mathbb{R}^N_+$

## AMAR PAL VERMA and RASMITA KAR

**Abstract.** In this article, we study the multiplicity of positive solutions for the following elliptic problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + B(y)w = A(y)w^s, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = \mu H(y)|w|^{r-2}w + G(y)|w|^{p-2}w, \quad y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu > 0$ ,  $1 < r < 2 < s < p < 2^*$ ,

$$2^* = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2} & \text{if } N \ge 3, \\ \infty & \text{if } N = 2, \end{cases}$$

and the upper half plane of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}^N_+ = \{(y^{N-1}, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R} | y_N > 0\}$  and the maps A, B, G, and H satisfy some appropriate conditions. We show that, assuming  $\mu > 0$  is sufficiently small, the equation has at least two positive solutions using the decomposition of the Nehari manifold.

MSC 2020. 35J35, 35J60.

**Key words.** Nehari manifold, Palais-Smale sequence, fibering method, Ekeland's variational principle, nonlinear boundary condition.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we are interested in the multiplicity results of non-trivial positive solutions for the following elliptic problem:

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + B(y)w = A(y)w^{s}, & y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = \mu H(y)|w|^{r-2}w + G(y)|w|^{p-2}w, & y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu > 0$ ,  $1 < r < 2 < s < p < 2^*$ ,

$$2^* = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2} & \text{if } N \ge 3, \\ \infty & \text{if } N = 2, \end{cases}$$

DOI: 10.24193/mathcluj.2025.2.11

The authors thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions. The authors thank the Science and Engineering Research Board, India (CRG/2020/003927) for the financial support.

and the upper half plane of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}^N_+ = \{(y^{N-1}, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}^N \}$ 

We suppose that the maps A, B, G, and H satisfy the following assumptions:

- (a1)  $A(y) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^N_+);$ (b1)  $B(y) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  and  $B(y) \geq B_0 > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N_+;$ (g1)  $G \in C(\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+)$  and here is a positive number  $R_h < r$  such that  $G(y) \geq 1 + C_0 \exp(-R_h|y|)$  for some  $C_0 < 1$  and for all  $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^N_+$  and  $G(y) \to 1$
- (h1)  $H \in L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}(\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $H_{\pm}(y) = \pm \max\{\pm H(y), 0\} \neq 0$ .

We admit that the variational functional  $I_{\mu}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  of problem (1) is

(2) 
$$I_{\mu}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} A|w|^{s+1} dy - \frac{\mu}{r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma,$$

where  $d\sigma$  is used to represent the measure on the boundary and  $||w||_{H^1}$  $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} (|\nabla w|^2 + B(y)|w|^2) dy)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the norm in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ . We know that  $I_{\mu} \in$  $C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+))$  and the solutions of problem (1) are the critical points of the variational functional  $I_{\mu}$ .

When nonlinear components are added to an equation, or when a problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions of the form  $-\Delta w = g(y, w)$  is taken into consideration, there has been a lot of interest in the study of existence and multiplicity; see for example [1,2,5,9,11,14,20,22], etc.

On the other hand, nonlinear boundary conditions have just recently been taken into account. For the semi-linear (or linear) elliptic equations with a nonlinear boundary condition, see for example [7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 21], etc. Recently, in [23], for the nonlinear boundary value problem Wu studied the existence of multiple solutions by using the Nehari manifold and the fibering maps method.

Our work is motivated by Wu [23]. In this paper, we discuss the Nehari manifold and studied carefully the relation between the Nehari manifold and the fibering map, we will show that the existence of the two positive solutions for the problem (1).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations and preliminaries. In Section 3, we prove the existence of a local minimum for  $I_{\mu}$ . In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.1. Eq. (1) has at least two solutions if there exists  $\mu^0 > 0$  such that  $\mu \in (0, \mu^0)$ .

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, the Sobolev trace constant is denoted by  $C_p$  for the embedding of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  into  $L^p(\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+)$ :

(3) 
$$C_p = \inf_{w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} (|\nabla w|^2 + B(y)|w|^2) dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G|w|^p d\sigma\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}} > 0.$$

In particular,  $\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N} |w|^p d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_p^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||w||_{H^1}$  for all  $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ .

We define the Palais-Smale (denoted by (PS)) sequences, (PS)-values, and (PS)-conditions in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  for  $I_\mu$  as given.

DEFINITION 2.1. (i) For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , a sequence  $\{w_n\}$  is a  $(PS)_{\gamma}$ - sequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  for  $I_{\mu}$  if  $I_{\mu}(w_n) = \gamma + O(1)$  and  $I'_{\mu}(w_n) = O(1)$  strongly in  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ;

(ii)  $I_{\mu}$  satisfies the  $(PS)_{\gamma}$ -condition in  $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N})$  if all  $(PS)_{\gamma}$ -sequences in  $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N})$  for  $I_{\mu}$  contains a convergent subsequence.

The variational functional  $I_{\mu}$ , is not bounded below on the whole space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ , but it is bounded below and has a minimizer on an appropriate subset of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ , then this minimizer is a critical point of  $I_{\mu}$ . So it is a solution of the given problem (1). It is of help us to consider the variational functional  $I_{\mu}$  on the Nehari manifold  $N_{\mu} = \{w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle I'_{\mu}(w), w \rangle = 0\}$ . Clearly,  $w \in N_{\mu}$  iff

$$(4) \qquad \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} A|w|^{s+1} \mathrm{d}y - \mu \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} H|w|^{r} \mathrm{d}\sigma - \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} G|w|^{p} \mathrm{d}\sigma = 0.$$

Furthermore, we prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. The variational functional  $I_{\mu}$  is bounded below and coercive on  $N_{\mu}$ .

*Proof.* If  $w \in N_{\mu}$ , then by the Sobolev trace, Hölder inequalities, (2) and (4), we have

(5) 
$$I_{\mu}(w) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy$$
$$- \left(\frac{\mu}{r} - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma$$
$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy$$
$$- \mu \left(\frac{p-r}{pr}\right) \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}} C_{p}^{\frac{-r}{2}} \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{r}.$$

Thus,  $I_{\mu}$  is coercive and bounded below on  $N_{\mu}$ .

The behaviour of the function  $\Phi_w: t \to I_{\mu}(tw)$  for t > 0 is strongly related to the Nehari manifold  $N_{\mu}$ . These maps, referred to as fibering maps, were first introduced by Drabek and Pohozaev in [17–19] and are examined by Brown and Zhang [5]. If  $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ , then we have

$$\begin{split} &\Phi_{w}(t) \\ &= \frac{t^{2}}{2} \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{t^{s+1}}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} \mathrm{d}y - \frac{\mu t^{r}}{r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} \mathrm{d}\sigma - \frac{t^{p}}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} \mathrm{d}\sigma; \\ &\Phi'_{w}(t) = t \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - t^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} \mathrm{d}y - \mu t^{r-1} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} \mathrm{d}\sigma - t^{p-1} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} \mathrm{d}\sigma; \\ &\Phi''_{w}(t) = \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - st^{s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} \mathrm{d}y - \mu (r-1)t^{r-2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &- (p-1)t^{p-2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}^{N}} G|w|^{p} \mathrm{d}\sigma. \end{split}$$

Here we see that

$$t\Phi'_w(t) = ||tu||_{H^1}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A|tu|^{s+1} dy - \mu \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N} H|tu|^r d\sigma - \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N} G|tu|^p d\sigma$$

and so, for  $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \setminus \{0\}$  and t > 0,  $tw \in N_\mu$  iff  $\Phi_w'(t) = 0$ , i.e., points on the Nehari manifold correspond to positive critical points of  $\Phi_w$ . In particular,  $\Phi_w'(1) = 0$  iff  $w \in N_\mu$ . Thus, we split  $N_\mu$  into three parts corresponding to local minima, points of inflection and local maxima and so we define  $N_\mu^+ = \{w \in N_\mu \mid \Phi_w''(1) > 0\}; N_\mu^0 = \{w \in N_\mu \mid \Phi_w''(1) = 0\}; N_\mu^- = \{w \in N_\mu \mid \Phi_w''(1) < 0\}$ . Next, we acquire some basic properties of  $N_\mu^+$ ,  $N_\mu^-$ , and  $N_\mu^0$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Assume that  $w_*$  is a local minimizer for  $I_{\mu}$  on  $N_{\mu}$  and that  $w_* \notin N_{\mu}^0$ . Then  $I'_{\mu}(w_*) = 0$  in  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ .

*Proof.* Our proof corresponds to that of Theorem 2.3 in [6], (or [3]).  $\square$ For every  $w \in N_{\mu}$  and by (4), we have

$$\Phi_{w}''(1) = \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - s \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy - \mu(r-1) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma 
- (p-1) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma 
= (2-p) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - (s+p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy - \mu(r-p) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma 
= (2-r) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - (s+r-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy - (p-r) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma.$$

Lemma 2.4. (i) For every  $w \in N_{\mu}^+ \cup N_{\mu}^0$ , we have  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H|w|^r d\sigma > 0$ ;

(ii) For every  $w \in N_{\mu}^-$ , we have  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G|w|^p d\sigma > 0$ .

*Proof.* The outcomes now instantly follow from (6).

Let

$$\Pi_* = \left[\frac{C_p}{p-r}\right]^{\frac{p-r}{p-2}} \left[\frac{p-2}{\|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}}}\right] \left[\frac{2-r}{\|G\|_{\infty}}\right]^{\frac{2-r}{p-2}}.$$

Then, we prove the following results.

LEMMA 2.5. For every  $\mu \in (0, \Pi_*)$ , we have  $N_{\mu}^0 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* We argue, by contradiction. Then there exists  $\mu \in (0, \Pi_*)$  such that  $N_{\mu}^0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then for  $w \in N_{\mu}^0$ , by (6), the Sobolev trace and the Hölder inequalities we have

$$(2-p)\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} = (s+p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy + \mu(r-p) \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma$$

$$(2-p)\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \ge \mu(r-p) \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma$$

$$\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \le \mu\left(\frac{p-r}{p-2}\right) \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma$$

$$\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \le C_{p}^{\frac{r}{(r-2)}} \left[\mu\left(\frac{p-r}{p-2}\right) \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}}\right]^{\frac{2}{2-r}}.$$

Similarly by the Sobolev trace inequality and by (6), we have

$$(2-r)\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} = (s+r-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy + (p-r)\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma$$

$$(2-r)\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} > (p-r)\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma$$

$$\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} > C_{p}^{(p-2)} \left[\frac{2-r}{(p-r)\|G\|_{\infty}}\right]^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

From (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{split} C_p^{\frac{p}{(p-2)}} \left[ \frac{2-r}{(p-r)\|G\|_{\infty}} \right]^{\frac{2}{p-2}} &\leq C_p^{\frac{r}{(r-2)}} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{p-r}{p-2} \right) \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}} \right]^{\frac{2}{2-r}} \\ \mu &\geq \left[ \frac{C_p}{p-r} \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p-2}} \left[ \frac{p-2}{\|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}}} \right] \left[ \frac{2-r}{\|G\|_{\infty}} \right]^{\frac{2-r}{p-2}} &= \Pi_*, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, here completes the proof.

Denote

$$\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^N) = \left\{ w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} G|w|^p d\sigma > 0 \right\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N).$$

To better understand fibering maps and the Nehari manifold, we observe the function  $\chi_w : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\chi_w(t) = t^{2-r} \|w\|_{H_1}^2 - t^{s-r+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} A|w|^{s+1} dy - t^{p-r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G|w|^p d\sigma,$$

for t>0. Consequently,  $tw\in N_{\mu}$  iff  $\chi_w(t)=\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^N_+}G|w|^p\mathrm{d}\sigma$ . Moreover,

(9) 
$$\chi'_{w}(t) = (2-r)t^{1-r} \|w\|_{H_{1}}^{2} - (s-r+1)t^{s-r} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy - (p-r)t^{p-r-1} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma.$$

Hence, it is simple to observe that, if  $tw \in N_{\mu}$ , then  $t^{r-1}\chi'_w(t) = \Phi''_w(t)$ . Hence  $\chi_w(t) < 0 \text{ (or } > 0)$  iff  $tw \in N_{\mu}^- \text{ (or } N_{\mu}^+)$ .

Let  $w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ . Then, by (9) we have  $t = t^{\max}(w)$  is a critical point of  $\chi_w$  and obviously  $\chi_w$  is  $\uparrow$  on  $(0, t^{\max}(w))$  and  $\downarrow$  on  $(t^{\max}(w), \infty)$ . Furthermore, if  $\mu \in (0, \Pi_*)$ , then  $\chi_w(t^{\max}(w)) > \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} \mu H|w|^r d\sigma$ .

Then, we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.6. For every  $w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ , we obtain:

(i) If  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H|w|^r d\sigma \leq 0$ , then there is a unique  $t_- = t_-(w) > t^{\max}(w)$  such that  $t_-(w) \in N_{\mu}^-$  and  $\chi_w$  is increasing on  $(0, t_-)$  and decreasing on  $(t_-, \infty)$ . Moreover,

(10) 
$$I_{\mu}(t_{-}w) = \sup_{t>0} I_{\mu}(tw);$$

(ii) If  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H|w|^r d\sigma > 0$ , then there are unique  $0 < t_+ = t_+ w < t^{\max}(w) < t_-$  such that  $t_+ w \in N_\mu^+$ ,  $t_- w \in N_\mu^-$ ,  $\chi_w$  is  $\downarrow$  on  $(0, t_+)$ ,  $\uparrow$  on  $(t_+, t_-)$  and  $\downarrow$  on  $(t_-, \infty)$ . Moreover,

(11) 
$$I_{\mu}(t_{+}w) = \inf_{0 \le t \le t^{\max}(w)} I_{\mu}(tw); \ I_{\mu}(t_{-}w) = \sup_{t \ge t_{+}} I_{\mu}(tw);$$

(iii)  $t_{-}(w)$  is a continuous function on  $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+})$ ;

(iv)

$$N_{\mu}^{-} = \left\{ w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}) \mid \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}} t_{-} \left( \frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}} \right) = 1 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* For a fixed  $w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ , we have the following.

- (i) Assume  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H|w|^r d\sigma \leq 0$ . Then  $\chi_w(t) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} \mu H|w|^r d\sigma$  has a unique solution  $t_- > t^{\max}(w)$  and  $\chi'_w(t_-) < 0$ . Hence  $\chi_w$  has a unique turning point at  $t = t_-$  and  $0 > \Phi''_w(t)$ . Thus  $t_-w \in N^-_\mu$  and (10) are true.
  - (ii) Assume  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N} H|w|^r d\sigma > 0$ . Since

$$\chi_w(t^{\max}(w)) > \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} \mu H|w|^r d\sigma,$$

the equation

$$\chi_w(t) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} \mu H |w|^r \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

has exactly two solutions  $t_+ < t^{\max}(w) < t_-$  such that  $0 < \chi'_w(t_+)$  and  $0 > \chi'_w(t_-)$ . Hence there are exactly two multiple of w lying in  $N_\mu$ , i.e.  $t_+w \in N_\mu^+$  and  $t_-w \in N_\mu^-$ .

Thus,  $\chi_w$  has turning points at  $t = t_+$  and  $t = t_-$  with  $\Phi''_w(t_+) > 0$  and  $\Phi''_w(t_-) < 0$ . Thus,  $\chi_w$  is  $\downarrow$  on  $(0, t_+)$ ,  $\uparrow$  on  $(t_+, t_-)$  and  $\downarrow$  on  $(t_-, \infty)$ . Hence, (11) must be true.

- (iii) By the external property of  $t_{-}(w)$  and the uniqueness of  $t_{-}(w)$ ,  $t_{-}(w) \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+})$  is a continuous function.
- (iv) For  $w \in N_{\mu}^{-}$ , we have  $W = \frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}}$ . By parts (i) and (ii), here is a unique  $t_{-}(W) > 0$  such that  $t_{-}(W)W \in N_{\mu}^{-}$  or  $t_{-}\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}}\right) \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}} w \in N_{\mu}^{-}$ .

Since  $w \in N_{\mu}^-$ , we have  $t_-\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^1}}\right) \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^1}} = 1$ , this implies that

$$N_{\mu} \subset \left\{ w \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}) \mid \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}} t_{-} \left( \frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}} \right) = 1 \right\}.$$

On the other hand, let  $w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  such that  $\frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^1}}t_-\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^1}}\right)=1$ . Then

$$t_{-}\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}}\right)\frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}}\in N_{\mu}^{-}$$
. Hence,

$$N_{\mu}^{-} = \left\{ w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}) \mid \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}} t_{-} \left( \frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^{1}}} \right) = 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

## 3. MAIN RESULT

Here, by Lemma 2.5, we write  $N_{\mu}=N_{\mu}^{+}\cup N_{\mu}^{-}$  for all  $\mu\in(0,\Pi_{*})$ . Furthermore, by Lemma 2.6  $N_{\mu}^{+}\neq\emptyset$  and  $N_{\mu}^{-}\neq\emptyset$ , and by Lemma 2.2 we define  $\beta_{\mu}^{+}=\inf_{w\in N_{\mu}^{+}}I_{\mu}(w)$  and  $\beta_{\mu}^{-}=\inf_{w\in N_{\mu}^{-}}I_{\mu}(w)$ . Therefore, we have the following results.

Theorem 3.1. We have:

(i) for all  $\mu \in (0, \Pi_*), \beta_{\mu}^+ < 0$ .

(ii) if  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$ , then  $\beta_{\mu}^- > c_0$  for some  $c_0 > 0$ .

In particular, for all  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$ , we have  $\beta_{\mu}^+ = \inf_{w \in N_{\mu}^+} I_{\mu}(w)$ .

*Proof.* (i) For  $w \in N_{\mu}^{+}$ , by (6), we have

$$(2-p)\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} > (s+p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} dy + \mu(r-p) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma$$

$$(2-p)\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} > \mu(r-p) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma$$

$$\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} < \mu\left(\frac{p-r}{p-2}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} d\sigma.$$

Then by Lemma 2.4 and (5), we have

$$\begin{split} I_{\mu}(w) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w|^{s+1} \mathrm{d}y \\ &- \left(\frac{\mu}{r} - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \left(\frac{\mu}{r} - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &< -\mu \frac{(p-r)(2-r)}{2pr} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w|^{r} \mathrm{d}\sigma < 0, \end{split}$$

and thus  $\beta_{\mu}^{+} < 0$ .

(ii) For  $w \in N_{\mu}^{-}$ , we have

$$(2-r)\|w\|_{H^1}^2 < (s+r-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} A|w|^{s+1} dy + (p-r)\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G|w|^p d\sigma,$$

which gives

$$\left(\frac{2-r}{p-r}\right)\|w\|_{H^1}^2 < \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G|w|^p \mathrm{d}\sigma, \ \forall \ w \in N_\mu^-.$$

Moreover, by (3), we have

$$\left(\frac{2-r}{p-r}\right)\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} < C_{p}^{\frac{-p}{2}}\|G\|_{\infty}\|w\|_{H^{1}}^{p}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\left(\frac{2-r}{p-r}\right)\frac{C_p^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\|G\|_{\infty}} < \|w\|_{H^1}^{p-2}.$$

Then, we get

$$\left(\frac{2-r}{(p-r)\|G\|_{\infty}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} C_p^{\frac{p}{2(p-2)}} < \|w\|_{H^1}, \ \forall \ w \in N_{\mu}^-.$$

By (5), we get

$$I_{\mu}(w) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} A|w|^{s+1} dy$$

$$- \mu \left(\frac{p-r}{pr}\right) \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}} C_{r}^{\frac{-r}{2}} \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{r}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \mu \left(\frac{p-r}{pr}\right) \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}} C_{p}^{\frac{-r}{2}} \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{r}$$

$$\geq \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right) \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2-r} - \mu \left(\frac{p-r}{pr}\right) \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}} C_{p}^{\frac{-r}{2}}\right].$$

From (12), if  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$ , then  $\beta_{\mu}^- > c_0$  for some  $c_0 > 0$ . Hence, the proof is now complete.

Next, we consider the below elliptic PDE:

(13) 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + B(y)w = A(y)w^{s}, & y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}; \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = |w|^{p-2}w, & y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}. \end{cases}$$

We admit the variational functional  $I_{\infty}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  of problem (13)

$$I^{\infty}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} A|w|^{s+1} dy - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma.$$

Consider the minimizing problem  $\beta^{\infty} = \inf_{w \in N^{\infty}} I^{\infty}(w)$ , where

$$N^{\infty} = \{ w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle (I^{\infty})'(w), w \rangle = 0 \}.$$

In a similar way as in del Pino and Flores [16], eq. (13) has a positive ground state solution  $\bar{W}$  such that  $I^{\infty}(\bar{W}) = \beta^{\infty} > 0$ . Moreover, if  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$ , then we have the following proposition for the (PS)-sequence of  $I_{\mu}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. If  $\{w_n\}$  is a  $(PS)_{\gamma}$ -sequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  for  $I_{\mu}$  with  $\gamma < \beta_{\mu}^+ + \beta^{\infty}$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{w_n\}$  and a nonzero  $\bar{w}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  such that  $\{w_n\}$  converges  $\bar{w}$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  and  $I_{\mu}(\bar{w}) = \gamma$ . Furthermore,  $\bar{w}$  is a solution of problem (1).

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 and the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem there exists a subsequence  $\{w_n\}$ , and  $\bar{w} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  is a solution of problem (1) such that

- $\{w_n\}$  converges to  $\bar{w}$  weakly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ ;
- $\{w_n\}$  converges to  $\bar{w}$  strongly in  $L^p_{loc}(\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+)$ :
- $\{w_n\}$  converges to  $\bar{w}$  almost everywhere in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+}$ .

Here we claim that  $\bar{w} \neq 0$ . If not, then by  $H \in L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}(\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+)$  and (g1), we get

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H|w_n|^r \mathrm{d}\sigma \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w_{n}|^{p} d\sigma \to \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |w_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1).$$

Thus,

$$||w_n||_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A|w_n|^{s+1} dy + \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N} |w_n|^p d\sigma + O(1)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w_{n}|^{p} d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \|w_{n}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w_{n}|^{s+1} dy 
- \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w_{n}|^{r} d\sigma - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1) 
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_{n}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|w_{n}|^{s+1} dy 
- \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w_{n}|^{r} d\sigma - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1) 
= \gamma + O(1).$$

From the Sobolev trace embedding and  $\{w_n\} \subset N_{\mu}^-$  we get  $\|w_n\|_{H^1} > c$  for some c > 0 and  $\beta^{\infty} \leq \gamma$ . This contradicts  $\gamma < \beta_{\mu}^+ + \beta^{\infty} < \beta^{\infty}$ . Thus,  $\bar{w}$  is a nontrivial solution of the problem (1) and  $\beta_{\mu}^+ \leq I_{\mu}(\bar{w})$ .

Take  $w_n = v_n + \bar{w}$  with  $v_n$  converges to 0 weakly  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ . Then, by the fact that  $v_n$  converges 0 weakly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  and the Brezis-Lieb lemma [4], we obtain

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w_{n}|^{p} d\sigma = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|v_{n} + \bar{w}|^{p} d\sigma$$
$$= \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|\bar{w}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1).$$

Since, we have that  $\{w_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ , then  $\{v_n\}$  is also bounded sequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ . Furthermore, by  $H \in L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}(\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+)$ , the Hölder inequality and the Egorov theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|v_{n}|^{r} d\sigma = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|w_{n}|^{r} d\sigma - \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} H|\bar{w}|^{r} d\sigma + O(1)$$
$$= O(1).$$

Thus for large n, we are able to draw that

$$\beta_{\mu}^{+} + \beta^{\infty}$$
>  $I_{\mu}(v_{n} + \bar{w})$ 

$$\geq I_{\mu}(\bar{w}) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_{n}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|v_{n}|^{s+1} dy - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1)$$

$$\geq \beta_{\mu}^{+} + \frac{1}{2} \|v_{n}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|v_{n}|^{s+1} dy - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1)$$

or

$$(14) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} A|v_n|^{s+1} dy - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} |v_n|^p d\sigma < \beta^{\infty} + O(1).$$

Also from the facts that  $I'_{\mu}(w_n) = O(1)$  in  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ ,  $\bar{w}$  is a solution of problem (1) and  $\{w_n\}$  is uniformly bounded, we have

$$(15) O(1) = \langle I'_{\mu}(w_n), w_n \rangle = \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} A|v_n|^{s+1} dy - \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G|v_n|^p d\sigma + O(1).$$

We claim that (14) and (15) can be hold simultaneously only if  $\{v_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{v_{n_i}\}$  such that  $\{v_{n_i}\} \to 0$  strongly. If not, then  $\|v_n\|_{H^1}$  is bounded away from zero, that is  $\|v_n\| > c$  for some c > 0.

Since.

$$\frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} A|v_n|^{s+1} dy < \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} A|v_n|^{s+1} dy,$$

then by (14), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma < \beta^{\infty} + O(1)$$

or

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} |v_n|^p d\sigma < \left(\frac{2p}{p-2}\right) \beta^{\infty} + O(1).$$

For large enough n, by (14) and (15), we have

$$\beta^{\infty} > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} ||v_{n}||_{H^{1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} A|v_{n}|^{s+1} dy - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma + O(1)$$

$$= \beta^{\infty}.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus,  $w_n$  converges  $\bar{w}$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  and  $I_{\mu}(\bar{w}) = \gamma$ 

THEOREM 3.3. For every  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$ , the functional  $I_{\mu}$  has a minimizer  $w^{\min}$  in  $N_{\mu}^+$  and satisfies the following.

- (i)  $I_{\mu}(w^{\min}) = \beta_{\mu}^{+};$
- (ii)  $w^{\min}$  is a positive solution of problem (1);
- (iii)  $As \mu \to 0 \implies ||w^{\min}||_{H^1} \to 0.$

Proof. By the Ekeland variational principle [10] (or by [22]), there exists  $\{w_n\} \subset N_{\mu}^+$  such that  $I_{\mu}(w_n) = \beta_{\mu}^+ + O(1)$  and  $I'_{\mu}(w_n) = O(1)$  in  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ . Then by Proposition 3.2, there exists a subsequence  $\{w_n\}$  and  $w^{\min} \in N_{\mu}^+$ , a solution of the problem (1) such that  $w_n$  converges to  $w^{\min}$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  and  $I_{\mu}(w^{\min}) = \beta_{\mu}^+$ . Since  $I_{\mu}(w^{\min}) = I_{\mu}(|w^{\min}|)$  and  $|w^{\min}| \in N_{\mu}^+$ , by Lemma 2.3, we may suppose that  $w^{\min} \geq 0$ . Moreover, the Hopf lemma and the maximum principle, we get  $w^{\min} > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ . Hence, by (6), we have

$$||w^{\min}||_{H^1}^{2-r} \le \mu C_p^{\frac{-r}{2}} \left(\frac{p-r}{p-2}\right) ||H||_{L^{\frac{p}{p-r}}}.$$

Thus, as  $\mu \to 0$  we have  $||w^{\min}||_{H^1} \to 0$ .

## 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Here, suppose  $\bar{W}(y)$  is a positive solution of problem (13) such that  $\beta^{\infty} = I^{\infty}(w)$ . Then by Lemma 3.3 in [11], there exists a positive number  $C_*$  such that

(16) 
$$|\bar{W}(y)| \le C_* \exp(-|y|) \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N_+.$$

Let  $W_l(y) = \overline{W}(y + le)$ , for  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e \in \mathbb{S}$ , where  $\mathbb{S} = \{y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^N_+ \mid |y| = 1\}$ . Clearly  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H|W_l|^r d\sigma = 0$  as  $l \to \infty$ . Then the results are as follows.

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $w^{\min}$  be a positive solution of problem (1) as in Theorem 3.3. Then for all  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$  there exists  $0 < l^0$  such that for  $l^0 < l$ , we have

$$\sup_{t>0} I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_l) < \beta_{\mu}^+ + \beta^{\infty}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_l)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{2} \|w^{\min} + tW_l\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} A(w^{\min} + tW_l)^{s+1} \mathrm{d}y \\ &- \frac{\mu}{r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H(w^{\min} + tW_l)^r \mathrm{d}\sigma - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G(w^{\min} + tW_l)^p \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|w^{\min}\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} A(w^{\min})^{s+1} \mathrm{d}y - \frac{\mu}{r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} H(w^{\min})^r \mathrm{d}\sigma \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{p}\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}G(w^{\min})^{p}\mathrm{d}\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\|tW_{l}\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\frac{1}{s+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}A(tW_{l})^{s+1}\mathrm{d}y\\ &-\frac{1}{p}\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}G(tW_{l})^{p}\mathrm{d}\sigma-\frac{\mu}{r}\left[\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}H(w^{\min}+tW_{l})^{r}\mathrm{d}\sigma-\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}H(w^{\min})^{r}\mathrm{d}\sigma\right]\\ &-\frac{1}{p}\left[\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}G(w^{\min}+tW_{l})^{p}\mathrm{d}\sigma-\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}G(w^{\min})^{p}\mathrm{d}\sigma-\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}G(tW_{l})^{p}\mathrm{d}\sigma\right]\\ &+\frac{1}{s+1}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}A(w^{\min})^{s+1}\mathrm{d}y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}A(tW_{l})^{s+1}\mathrm{d}y\right]\\ &+t\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}G(w^{\min})^{p-1}W_{l}\mathrm{d}\sigma+\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}H(w^{\min})^{r-1}W_{l}\mathrm{d}\sigma\right).\\ &\leq\beta_{\mu}^{+}+I^{\infty}(tW_{l})\\ &-\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}G\left[(w^{\min}+tW_{l})^{p}-(w^{\min})^{p}-t^{r}W_{l}^{p}-p(w^{\min})^{p-1}tW_{l}\right]\mathrm{d}\sigma\\ &+\frac{\Pi_{s}t^{r}}{2}\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}HW_{l}^{r}\mathrm{d}\sigma-t^{p}\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}(G-1)W_{l}^{p}\mathrm{d}\sigma\\ &+\frac{1}{s+1}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}}A[(w^{\min})^{s+1}+(tW_{l})^{s+1}]\mathrm{d}y\right]. \end{split}$$

We know that, by Brown and Zhang [6], we have  $I^{\infty}(tW_l) \leq \beta^{\infty} \ \forall \ l \in \mathbb{R}$ . We remark that  $(w+v)^p - w^p - v^p - pw^{p-1}v \geq 0$  for all  $(w,v) \in [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$ . Hence,

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G\left[ (w^{\min} + tW_l)^p - (w^{\min})^p - t^p W_l^p - p(w^{\min})^{p-1} tW_l \right] d\sigma \ge 0.$$

Thus, we have

(17) 
$$I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_{l}) \leq \beta_{\mu}^{+} + \beta^{\infty} + \frac{\Pi_{*}t^{r}}{2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} HW_{l}^{r} d\sigma - t^{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} (G - 1)W_{l}^{p} d\sigma + \frac{1}{s+1} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} A[(w^{\min})^{s+1} + (tW_{l})^{s+1}] \right] dy.$$

Since,  $I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_l) \to I_{\mu}(w^{\min}) = \beta_{\mu}^+ < 0$  as  $t \to 0$  and  $I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_l) \to -\infty$  as  $t \to 0$ . Then easily we can find  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  such that

(18) 
$$I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_l) < \beta_{\mu}^+ + \beta^{\infty} \ \forall \ t \in [0, t_1] \cup [t_2, \infty).$$

Hence, we need to prove that there exists  $l^0 > 0$  such that for  $l^0 < l$ ,

$$\sup_{t_1 \le t \le t_2} I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_l) < \beta_{\mu}^+ + \beta^{\infty}.$$

By the condition (g1) and by del Pino and Flores [16, Lemma 2.2], we have

(19) 
$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} (G-1)W_{l}^{p} d\sigma = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} (G(y-le)-1)W_{0}^{p}(y)d\sigma$$

$$\geq D_{0} \int_{B_{+}^{N}(1)} (G(y-le)-1)d\sigma$$

$$\geq \bar{C}_{0} \exp(-R_{h}l)$$

where  $D_0 = \min_{y \in B_+^N(1)} W_0^p(y)$  and  $B_+^N(1) = \{ y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N \mid |y| = 1 \}.$ 

By (16) and the condition (h1), we also have

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} HW_{l}^{r} d\sigma \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} C_{0}^{r} |H| \exp(-r|y + le) d\sigma$$
$$\leq \bar{C}_{1} \exp(-rl).$$

Since  $R_h = r$  and  $t_1 < t < t_2$ , by (17) - (19) we can find  $l^0 > 0$  such that  $\sup_{t>0} I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + tW_l) < \beta_{\mu}^+ + \beta^{\infty}$  for all  $l^0 \le l$ .

Hence, the proof is complete.

Further, we prove the existence of a local minimum for  $I_{\mu}$  on  $N_{\mu}^{-}$ .

THEOREM 4.2. For every  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$ , the functional  $I_{\mu}$  has a minimizer  $w_0^*$  in  $N_{\mu}^-$  and satisfies the following.

- (i)  $I_{\mu}(w_0^*) = \beta_{\mu}^-;$
- (ii)  $w_0^*$  is a positive solution of the problem (1).

*Proof.* First, we claim that  $\beta_{\mu}^{-} < \beta_{\mu}^{+} + \beta^{\infty}$ . Let

$$w_*^1 = \left\{ w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \mid \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^1}} t_- \left( \frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^1}} \right) > 1 \right\}$$

and

$$w_*^2 = \left\{ w \in \Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \mid \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^1}} t_- \left( \frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^1}} \right) < 1 \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.6,  $N_{\mu}^{-}$  disconnects  $\Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N})$  in two parts  $w_{*}^{1}$  and  $w_{*}^{2}$  and  $\Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}) \setminus N_{\mu}^{-} = w_{*}^{1} \cup w_{*}^{2}$ . Moreover, for all  $w \in N_{\mu}^{+}$ , we have  $1 < t^{\max}(w) < t_{-}(w)$  and  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|w|^{p} d\sigma > 0$ . Since

$$t_{-}(w) = \frac{1}{\|w\|_{H^1}} t_{-} \left(\frac{w}{\|w\|_{H^1}}\right),$$

then  $N_{\mu} \subset w^1_*$ . In particular,  $w^{\min} \in w^1_*$ . We claim that for each  $l^0 \leq l$  there exists  $s_0 > 0$  such that  $w^{\min} + s_0 W_l \in w^2_*$ . Indeed,  $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G |w^{\min} + s W_l|^p \mathrm{d}\sigma > 0$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . By Lemma 2.6, for all  $s \geq 0$  there is a unique

$$0 < t_{-} \left( \frac{w^{\min} + sW_{l}}{\|w^{\min} + sW_{l}\|_{H^{1}}} \right)$$

such that

$$t_{-} \left( \frac{w^{\min} + sW_{l}}{\|w^{\min} + sW_{l}\|_{H^{1}}} \right) \frac{w^{\min} + sW_{l}}{\|w^{\min} + sW_{l}\|_{H^{1}}} \in N_{\mu}^{-}.$$

First, we have to find a D > 0 such that  $0 < t_-\left(\frac{w^{\min} + sW_l}{\|w^{\min} + sW_l\|_{H^1}}\right) < D$  for all  $l \ge 0$ . Instead, there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  such that

$$s_n \to \infty$$
 and  $t_-\left(\frac{w^{\min} + s_n W_l}{\|w^{\min} + s_n W_l\|_{H^1}}\right) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $v_n = \frac{w^{\min} + s_n W_l}{\|w^{\min} + s_n W_l\|_{H^1}}$ . Since  $t_-(v_n)v_n \in N_{\mu}^- \subset N_{\mu}$  and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|v_{n}|^{p} d\sigma = \frac{1}{\|w^{\min} + s_{n}W_{l}\|_{H^{1}}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G(w^{\min} + s_{n}W_{l})^{p} d\sigma$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|w^{\min} + W_{l}\|_{H^{1}}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G\left(\frac{w^{\min}}{s_{n}} + W_{l}\right)^{p} d\sigma$$

$$\to \frac{\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} G|W_{l}|^{p} d\sigma}{\|W_{l}\|_{H^{1}}^{p}} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Then, we get

$$I_{\mu}(t_{-}(v_{n})v_{n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[t_{-}(v_{n})]^{2} - \frac{[t_{-}(v_{n})]^{s+1}}{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} Av_{n}^{s+1} dy - \frac{\mu[t_{-}(v_{n})]^{r}}{r} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} Hv_{n}^{r} d\sigma$$

$$- \frac{[t_{-}(v_{n})]^{p}}{p} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}} G(v_{n})^{p} d\sigma.$$

 $I_{\mu}(t_{-}(v_n)v_n)$  tends to  $-\infty$  as  $n\to\infty$ . Thus, we have a contradiction because  $I_{\mu}$  is bounded below on  $N_{\mu}$ . Let  $s_0=\frac{|D^2-\|w^{\min}\|_{H^1}^2|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|W_0\|_{H^1}}+1$ . Then, we have

Let 
$$s_0 = \frac{|D^2 - ||w^{\min}||_{H^1}^2|^2}{||W_0||_{H^1}} + 1$$
. Then, we have 
$$||w^{\min} + s_0 W_l||_{H^1}^2 = ||w^{\min}||_{H^1}^2 + s_0^2 ||W_l||_{H^1}^2 + 2s_0 \langle w^{\min}, W_l \rangle$$

$$> ||w^{\min}||_{H^1}^2 + |D^2 - ||w^{\min}||_{H^1}^2|$$

$$+ 2l_0 \left( \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} \mu H(w^{\min})^{r-1} W_l d\sigma + \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^N_+} G(w^{\min})^{p-1} W_l d\sigma \right)$$

$$> D^2 > \left[ t_- \left( \frac{w^{\min} + s_0 W_l}{||w^{\min} + s_0 W_l||_{H^1}} \right) \right]^2,$$

that is  $w^{\min} + s_0 W_l \in w_*^2$ .

Let

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{\|w^{\min} + sW_l\|_{H^1}} t_{-} \left(\frac{w^{\min} + sW_l}{\|w^{\min} + sW_l\|_{H^1}}\right) > 0.$$

By Lemma 2.6 (iii), f(s) is a continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ , since 1 < f(0)and  $f(s_0) < 1$ . By the intermediate value theorem, there exists  $s_1 \in (0, s_0)$ such that

$$f(s_1) = \frac{1}{\|w^{\min} + s_1 W_l\|_{H^1}} t_- \left(\frac{w^{\min} + s_1 W_l}{\|w^{\min} + s_1 W_l\|_{H^1}}\right) = 1.$$

Thus,  $w^{\min} + s_1 W_l \in N_{\mu}^-$  and  $I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + s_1 W_l) \geq \beta_{\mu}^-$ . Moreover, by Lemma 4.1, we have  $\beta_{\mu}^{-} \leq I_{\mu}(w^{\min} + s_1 W_l) < \beta_{\mu}^{+} + \beta^{\infty}$ .

By the Ekeland variational principle [10] (or [22]), there exists  $\{w_n\} \subset N_{\mu}^-$ 

such that  $I_{\mu}(w_n) = \beta_{\mu}^- + O(1)$  and  $I'_{\mu}(w_n) = O(1)$  in  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$ . Since,  $\beta_{\mu}^- < \beta_{\mu}^+ + \beta^{\infty}$  by Proposition 3.2, there exists a subsequence  $\{w_n\}$ and  $w_0^* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  such that  $w_n \to w_0^*$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$  which implies that  $w_0^* \in N_\mu^-$  and as  $n \to \infty$  then  $I_\mu(w_n)$  converges to  $I_\mu(w_0^*) = \beta_\mu^-$ .

Since  $I_{\mu}(w_0^*) = I_{\mu}(|w_0^*|)$  and  $|w_0^*| \in N_{\mu}^-$ , by Lemma 2.3 we may suppose that  $w_0^* \geq 0$ . Furthermore, by the Hopf Lemma and the maximum principle, we get that  $w_0^*$  is a positive solution of problem (1).

Now, we are ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Theorems 3.3 and 4.2, for  $\mu \in (0, \frac{r\Pi_*}{2})$ , eq. (1) has two positive solutions  $w^{\min}$  and  $w_0^*$  such that  $w^{\min} \in N_{\mu}^+$  and  $w_0^* \in N_{\mu}^-$ . Since  $N_{\mu}^{+} \cap N_{\mu}^{-} = \emptyset$ , then  $w^{\min}$  and  $w_{0}^{*}$  are different.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. P. Adimurthi and S. L. Yadava, On the number of positive solutions of some semilinear Dirichlet problems in a ball, Differential Integral Equations, 10 (1997), 1157–1170.
- A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, J. Funct. Anal., 122 (1994), 519–543.
- [3] P. A. Binding, P. Drabek and Y. X. Huang, On Neumann boundary value problems for some quasilinear elliptic equations, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 5 (1997), 1–11.
- H. Brézis and E. H. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 88 (1983), 486–490.
- K. J. Brown and T. F. Wu, A fibering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2007 (2007), 1–9.
- [6] K. J. Brown and Y. Zhang, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function, J. Differential Equations, 193 (2003), 481–499.
- [7] M. Chipot, M. Chlebík, M. Fila and I. Shafrir, Existence of positive solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  with a nonlinear boundary condition, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **223** (1998), 429–471.
- [8] M. Chipot, I. Shafrir and M. Fila, On the solutions to some elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions, Adv. Differential Equations, 1 (1996), 91–100.
- L. Damascelli, M. Grossi and F. Pacella, Qualitative properties of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in symmetric domains via the maximum principle, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 16 (1999), 631–652.

- [10] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 17 (1974), 324–353.
- [11] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. P. Gossez and P. Ubilla, Local superlinearity and sublinearity for indefinite semilinear elliptic problems, J. Funct. Anal., 199 (2003), 452–467.
- [12] J. Garcia-Azorero, I. Peral and J. D. Rossi, A convex-concave problem with a nonlinear boundary condition, J. Differential Equations, 198 (2004), 91–128.
- [13] B. Hu, Nonexistence of a positive solution of the Laplace equation with a nonlinear boundary condition, Differential Integral Equations, 7 (1994), 301–313.
- [14] T. Ouyang and J. Shi, Exact multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of semilinear problem. II, J. Differential Equations, 158 (1999), 94–151.
- [15] D. Pierrotti and S. Terracini, On a Neumann problem with critical exponent and critical nonlinearity on the boundary, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 20 (1995), 1155– 1187.
- [16] M. del Pino and C. Flores, Asymptotic behavior of best constants and extremals for trace embeddings in expanding domains, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 26 (2001), 2189–2210.
- [17] S. I. Pohozaev, On the global fibering method in nonlinear variational problems, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 219 (1997), 286–334.
- [18] S. I. Pohozaev, The fibering method in nonlinear variational problems, in Topological and variational methods for nonlinear boundary value problems. Proceedings of the 20th seminar in partial differential equations, Cholín, Czech Republic, June 5–9, 1995, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Vol. 365, Longman, Harlow, 1997, pp. 35–88.
- [19] S. I. Pohozaev, The fibering method and its applications to nonlinear boundary value problems, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, 31 (1999), 235–305.
- [20] M. Tang, Exact multiplicity for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems involving concave and convex nonlinearities, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 133 (2003), 705–717.
- [21] S. Terraccini, Symmetry properties of positive solutions to some elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions, Differential Integral Equations, 8 (1995), 1911–1922.
- [22] T. F. Wu, On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 318 (2006) 253–270.
- [23] T. F. Wu, Multiple positive solutions of a nonlinear boundary value problem involving a sign-changing weight, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), 4223–4233.

Received 17 February, 2024 Accepted 26 May, 2024 National Institute of Technology Rourkela
Department of Mathematics
Rourkela, Odisha, 769008, India
E-mail: amarpalverma100@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0005-7306-5811