

A NOTE ON ANNIHILATORS AND INJECTIVITY

ROGER YUE CHI MING

Abstract. It is proved that every two-sided ideal of a ring A is generated by a central idempotent if and only if every two-sided ideal of A is the left and right annihilator of an element of A and the intersection of the Jacobson radical, the left singular ideal and the right singular ideal of A is zero. The following generalization of injective modules, distinct from p -injective modules, is studied: a left A -module M is said to satisfy property (*) if, for any left submodule N of M isomorphic to a complement left submodule C of M , every left A -monomorphism of N into C extends to a left A -homomorphism of M into C .

MSC 2000. 16D50, 16E50, 16P20.

Key words. Annihilator, von Neumann regular, module satisfying property (*), continuous regular, p -injective module, singular submodule.

Throughout, A denotes an associative ring with identity and A -modules are unital. J, Z, Y will stand respectively for the Jacobson radical, the left singular ideal and the right singular ideal of A . An ideal of A will always mean a two-sided ideal of A . Of course, J, Z, Y are ideals of A . For any left A -module M , $Z(M) = \{y \in M \mid l(y) \text{ is an essential left ideal of } A\}$ is the left singular submodule of M . The singular submodule $Z(R)$ of a right A -module R is similarly defined. Thus $Z = Z({}_A A)$ and $Y = Z(A_A)$. ${}_A M$ is called singular (respectively non-singular) if $Z(M) = M$ (respectively $Z(M) = 0$). A is called a left non-singular ring if $Z = 0$. As usual, a submodule N of M is called a complement (or closed) submodule of M if N has no proper essential extension in M [7]. For results on non-singular rings and modules, consult Goodearl's classic [7]. The concept of non-singular rings is fundamental in the development of ring theory after the structure theory of N. Jacobson (cf. [6, p. 180]).

Following [6], write “ A is VNR ” if A is a von Neumann regular ring. A is VNR if and only if every left (right) A -module is p -injective ([1], [2], [12], [16], [18]) if and only if every left (right) A -module is YJ -injective [23, Theorem 9].

A left A -module M is called (a) p -injective if, for every principal left ideal P of A , any left A -homomorphism of P into M extends to one of A into M (cf. [6, p. 122], [15, p. 340], [18]); (b) YJ -injective if, for every $0 \neq a \in A$, there exist a positive integer n such that $a^n \neq 0$ and any left A -homomorphism of Aa^n into M extends to one of A into M ([4], [16], [19], [20], [22], [23]). P -injectivity and YJ -injectivity are similarly defined on the right side.

A is called a left p -injective (respectively YJ -injective) ring if ${}_A A$ is p -injective (respectively YJ -injective). YJ -injectivity is called GP -injectivity in [3], [10], [11], [13]. It may be noted that A is left YJ -injective if and only if, for every $0 \neq a \in A$, there exist a positive integer n such that $a^n A$ is a non-zero right annihilator [19, Lemma 3]. A left (right) ideal of A is called reduced if it contains no non-zero nilpotent element. A is called fully (respectively (a) fully left; (b) fully right) idempotent if every ideal (respectively (a) left ideal; (b) right ideal) of A is idempotent.

Recall that A is a biregular ring if, for every $a \in A$, AaA is generated by a central idempotent. This motivates the next result.

THEOREM 1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every ideal of A is generated by a central idempotent;*
- (2) *Every ideal of A is the left and right annihilator of an element of A and $J \cap Z \cap Y = 0$.*

Proof. Assume (1). Since J cannot contain a non-zero idempotent, $J = 0$. Now for any ideal T of A , $T = Ae$, where e is a central idempotent in A . Since $T = l(1 - e) = r(1 - e)$, assertion (1) implies (2).

Assume (2). Suppose there exists a non-zero ideal T of A such that $T^2 = 0$. If $0 \neq t \in T$, then $l(AtA)$ is an essential right ideal of A and $r(AtA)$ is an essential left ideal of A . By hypothesis, $AtA = l(b) = r(b)$, $b \in A$. Then $AtA = l(AbA) = r(AbA)$ and $r(AtA) = r(l(AbA)) = AbA$, which implies that AbA is an essential left ideal of A . Similarly, AbA is an essential right ideal of A . Now $AbA = l(c) = r(c)$, $c \in A$, which implies that $AbA = l(AcA) = r(AcA)$, whence $AcA \subseteq Z \cap Y$. Suppose that $AcA \neq 0$. Since AbA is essential in ${}_A A$, $N = AcA \cap AbA$ is a non-zero left ideal of A and $N^2 \subseteq AbAcA = 0$, which yields $N \subseteq J$, whence $N \subseteq AcA \cap J \subseteq Z \cap Y \cap J = 0$, which is a contradiction. We have proved that A is a semi-prime ring.

Now for any $z \in Z$, $AzA = l(AuA) = r(AuA)$, $u \in A$. Since A is semi-prime, $r(AzA) = l(AzA) = l(r(AuA)) = AuA$. Then $AzA + AuA = AzA + r(AzA)$ is an essential left ideal of A and $AzA + r(AzA) = l(w) = r(w)$, $w \in A$. Since $AzAw = 0$ and $l(AzA)w = r(AzA)w = 0$, we have $w \in r(l(AzA)) = AzA$. Therefore $(AwA)^2 \subseteq (AzA)(AwA) = 0$ and since A is semi-prime, $w = 0$. Now $AzA + r(AzA) = A$ and since $AzA \cap r(AzA) = 0$ (because A is semi-prime), we have $A = AzA \oplus r(AzA)$. But Z cannot contain a non-zero idempotent and hence $z = 0$, which yields $Z = 0$. For any ideal T of A , $T = l(d)$, $d \in A$, and if ${}_A E$ is an essential extension of ${}_A T$, for any $y \in E$, there exists an essential left ideal L of A such that $Ly \subseteq T$. Then $Lyd = 0$ implies that $yd \in Z = 0$, whence $y \in l(d) = T$. Therefore T is a complement left ideal of A . Now $T \cap r(T) = 0$ (A being semi-prime), and if K is a complement left ideal of A such that $S = (T \oplus r(T)) \oplus K$ is an essential left ideal of A , then $TK \subseteq T \cap K = 0$ implies that $K \subseteq r(T)$, whence $K = 0$ and $S = T \oplus r(T)$ is an essential left ideal of A . But S is a complement left ideal of A as above and

hence $A = S = T \oplus r(T)$. Therefore T is generated by a central idempotent (in as much as A is semi-prime). Thus (2) implies (1). \square

COROLLARY 1. *If every ideal of A is the left and right annihilator of an element of A and $J \cap Z \cap Y = 0$, then A is biregular.*

Applying [21, Theorem 1.6] to Theorem 1, we get

COROLLARY 2. *If every complement left ideal of A is an ideal of A and every ideal of A is the left and right annihilator of an element of A with $J \cap Z \cap Y = 0$, then A is a reduced fully left idempotent left Goldie ring.*

Remark. In Theorem 1, the condition $J \cap Z \cap Y = 0$ is not superfluous in (2) (otherwise, any principal left and right ideal quasi-Frobenius ring would be semi-simple Artinian!).

We now turn to generalizations of injectivity. As usual, a left A -module M is called continuous if (a) every complement left submodule of M is a direct summand of M and (b) every left submodule of M which is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a direct summand of M . A is called a left continuous ring (in the sense of Y. Utumi [14]) if ${}_A A$ is continuous. If A is left continuous, then A/J is left continuous regular and $Z = J$ [14, Lemma 4.1].

Here we introduce an effective generalization of injective modules, distinct from p -injective modules.

DEFINITION 1. A left A -module M satisfies property (*) if, for any left submodule N of M which is isomorphic to a complement left submodule C of M , every left A -monomorphism of N into C extends to a left A -homomorphism of M into C .

Since any simple left A -module satisfies property (*), then a module satisfying property (*) needs not be p -injective (otherwise, any ring would be fully left and right idempotent (cf. [1, p. 121] and [15, p. 340]). The converse is not true either (otherwise, any VNR ring would be continuous (cf. Theorem 3 below)).

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a left A -module satisfying property (*), $E = \text{End}({}_A M)$ and $J(E)$ the Jacobson radical of E . Then $J(E) = \{f \in E \mid \ker f \text{ is essential in } {}_A M\}$ and $E/J(E)$ is VNR .*

Proof. Set $T = \{f \in E \mid \ker f \text{ is essential in } {}_A M\}$. Then it is well-known that T is an ideal of E . We show that $T \subseteq J(E)$. Let $f \in T$, $b \in E$. With $u = 1 - bf$, since $\ker f \cap \ker u = 0$, we have $\ker u = 0$. If $v : uM \rightarrow M$ is the inverse isomorphism of $M \rightarrow uM$, then v extends to an endomorphism h of ${}_A M$. For any $m \in M$, $hu(m) = h(um) = v(um) = vu(m) = m$, which proves that hu is the identity map on M . Therefore $f \in J(E)$ and hence $T \subseteq J(E)$. Now if $0 \neq \bar{g} \in E/J(E)$, $g \in E$, $g \notin J(E)$ implies that $g \notin T$. Let C be a non-zero complement left submodule of M such that $L = \ker g \oplus C$ is an essential submodule of M . The restriction r of g to C is an isomorphism of C onto

$r(C)$. Let $z: r(C) \rightarrow C$ denote the inverse isomorphism of r . By hypothesis, z extends to a left A -homomorphism $t: M \rightarrow C$. If $j: C \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion map, for every $c \in C$, $jt \in E$, $jtgc(c) = jtr(c) = jzr(c) = j(c) = c$, which yields $L \subseteq \ker(gjtg - g)$, whence $\bar{g}(\overline{jt})\bar{g} = \bar{g} \in E/J(E)$, proving that $E/J(E)$ is a *VNR* ring. It remains to show that $J(E) \subseteq T$. If we suppose that there exists $w \in J(E)$ such that $w \notin T$, the preceding argument shows that there exist $d \in E$ such that $wdw - w \in T$. Since $dw \in J(E)$, there exists $s \in E$ such that $(1 - dw)s = 1$. Then $w = w(1 - dw)s = (w - wdw)s \in T$ (in as much as T is an ideal of E), which is a contradiction. Finally, we have $J(E) = T = \{f \in E \mid \ker f \text{ is essential in } {}_A M\}$. \square

LEMMA 1. *Any continuous left A -module satisfies property (*).*

Proof. If ${}_A M$ is continuous and N a submodule isomorphic to a complement left submodule C of M , then both N and C are direct summands of M . In that case, any left A -monomorphism of N into C extends to a left A -homomorphism of M into C . Therefore M satisfies property (*). \square

Combining Lemma 1 with Theorem 2, we get

PROPOSITION 1. *Let M be a continuous left A -module and $E = \text{End}({}_A M)$. Then the Jacobson radical of E is $J(E) = \{f \in E \mid \ker f \text{ is essential in } {}_A M\}$ and $E/J(E)$ is a *VNR* ring.*

LEMMA 2. *Let M be a left A -module satisfying property (*). Then every complement left submodule of M is a direct summand of M .*

Proof. Let C be a complement left submodule of M . If $i: C \rightarrow C$ is the identity map on C , then i extends to a left A -homomorphism of M into C . If $j: C \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion map, then there exist a left A -homomorphism $h: M \rightarrow C$ such that $hj = i$. This proves that C is a direct summand of M . \square

We say that “ A satisfies property (*)” if ${}_A A$ satisfies property (*).

THEOREM 3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is left continuous regular;
- (2) A is a left p -injective left non-singular ring satisfying property (*).

Proof. (1) implies (2) by Lemma 1.

Assume (2). Then any left ideal of A isomorphic to a direct summand of ${}_A A$ is a direct summand of ${}_A A$ (cf. [20, p. 439]). By Lemma 2, A is left continuous. Since $Z = 0$, A is *VNR* by [14, Lemma 4.1]. Thus (2) implies (1). \square

As usual, for a left submodule N of a left A -module M , $\text{Cl}_M(N) = \{y \in M \mid Ly \subseteq N \text{ for some essential left ideal } L \text{ of } A\}$ is the closure of N in M . A theorem of I. Kaplansky asserts that a commutative ring A is *VNR* if and only if every simple A -module is injective. This has motivated a large number

of papers on generalizations of those rings in the non-commutative case. Rings whose simple singular right modules are YJ -injective are studied in [4], [10], [11].

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be a semi-prime ring whose simple singular right modules are YJ -injective. For any homomorphic image Q of a left A -module satisfying property (*), $Z(Q)$ is a direct summand of Q .*

Proof. Let $g : M \rightarrow Q$ be an epimorphism of left A -modules M, Q with M satisfying property (*). Then $M/\ker g \cong Q$. Since every simple singular right A -module is YJ -injective and A is semi-prime, $Z = 0$ [22, Proposition 2]. Since g is an epimorphism, $g^{-1}(Z(Q)) = \text{Cl}_M(\ker g)$. Since $Z = 0$, $\text{Cl}_M(\ker g)$ is a complement submodule of M by [17, Theorem 4]. By Lemma 2, $\text{Cl}_M(\ker g)$ is a direct summand of M . Therefore $M = g^{-1}(Z(Q)) \oplus N$ for some submodule N of M . This yields $Q = g(M) = Z(Q) \oplus g(N)$, where $g(N) \cong N$. \square

PROPOSITION 3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *A is a left Noetherian ring whose p -injective left modules are injective;*
- (2) *Every p -injective left A -module is injective;*
- (3) *Every p -injective left A -module satisfies property (*).*

Proof. Clearly, (1) implies (2) while (2) implies (3).

Assume (3). Let M be a p -injective left A -module and ${}_A E$ the injective hull of ${}_A M$. Set $Q = {}_A M \oplus {}_A E$. Then ${}_A Q$ is p -injective, which therefore satisfies property (*). Let $u : M \rightarrow E$ be the inclusion map and $j : E \rightarrow Q$ the natural injection. Then $ju : M \rightarrow Q$, and since ${}_A Q$ satisfies property (*), the identity map $i : M \rightarrow M$ extends to a left A -homomorphism $h : Q \rightarrow M$. Therefore $hju = i$. Since $u : M \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion map and $hj : E \rightarrow M$ a map such that $(hj)u$ is the identity map on M , ${}_A M$ is a direct summand of ${}_A E$, which yields $M = E$ injective. If S is a direct sum of injective left A -modules, since a direct sum of p -injective left A -modules is p -injective, then S is p -injective and hence injective. This proves that A is left Noetherian [5, Theorem 20.1]. Thus (3) implies (1). \square

YJ -injectivity effectively generalizes p -injectivity, even for rings [3]. Since a left and right YJ -injective left Noetherian ring is quasi-Frobenius, we get

COROLLARY 3. *If A is a left and right YJ -injective ring whose p -injective left modules satisfy property (*), then A is quasi-Frobenius.*

REFERENCES

- [1] BACCELLA, G., *Generalized V -rings and von Neumann regular rings*, Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova, **72** (1984), 117–133.
- [2] CHEN, J.L. and DING, N.Q., *On regularity of rings*, Alg. Colloq., **8** (2001), 267–274.
- [3] CHEN, J.L., ZHOU, Y.Q. and ZHU, Z.M., *GP -injective rings need not be p -injective*, Comm. Algebra, **33** (2005), 2395–2402.
- [4] DING, N.Q. and CHEN, J.L., *Rings whose simple singular modules are YJ -injective*, Math. Japonica, **40** (1994), 191–195.

- [5] FAITH, C., *Algebra II, Ring Theory*, Grundlehren 191, 1976.
- [6] FAITH, C., *Rings and things and a fine array of twentieth century associative algebra*, AMS Math. Surveys and Monographs, 65, 1999.
- [7] GOODEARL, K., *Nonsingular rings and modules*, Pure Appl. Math., Dekker, 1976.
- [8] GOODEARL, K., *Von Neumann regular rings*, Pitman (1979).
- [9] HIRANO, Y., *On nonsingular p -injective rings*, Publ. Mat., **38** (1994), 455–461.
- [10] KIM, J.Y., *Certain rings whose simple singular modules are GP-injective*, Proc. Japan Acad., **81**, Ser. A (2005), 125–128.
- [11] KIM, N.K., NAM, S.B. and KIM, J.Y., *On simple singular GP-injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, **27** (1999), 2087–2096.
- [12] KIM, J.Y. and KIM, N.K., *On rings containing a p -injective maximal left ideal*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc., **18** (2003), 629–633.
- [13] NAM, S.B., KIM, N.K. and KIM, J.Y., *On simple GP-injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, **23** (1995), 5437–5444.
- [14] UTUMI, Y., *On continuous rings and self-injective rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **118** (1965), 158–173.
- [15] WISBAUER, R., *Foundations of module and ring theory*, Gordon and Breach (1991).
- [16] XUE, W.M., *A note on YJ -injectivity*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, **6**, 1 (1998), 31–37.
- [17] YUE CHI MING, R., *A note on singular ideals*, Thoku Math. J., **21** (1969), 337–342.
- [18] YUE CHI MING, R., *On von Neumann regular rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **19** (1974), 89–91.
- [19] YUE CHI MING, R., *On regular rings and Artinian rings II*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, **(4) 11** (1985), 101–109.
- [20] YUE CHI MING, R., *On injectivity and p -injectivity*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **27** (1987), 439–452.
- [21] YUE CHI MING, R., *A note on classical quotient rings*, Comment. Math., **30** (1991), 273–282.
- [22] YUE CHI MING, R., *On YJ -injectivity and VNR rings*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **46 (94)** (2003), 87–97.
- [23] ZHANG, J.L. and WU, J., *Generalizations of principal injectivity*, Alg. Colloq., **6** (1999), 277–282.

Received October 30, 2006

*Université Paris VII-Denis Diderot
UFR de Mathématiques-UMR 9994
CNRS 2, Place Jussieu
75251 Paris Cedex 05, France*