

SOME RESEARCH DIRECTIONS IN FIBRE CONTRACTION THEORY AND ITS APPLICATIONS

ADRIAN PETRUȘEL, IOAN A. RUS, and MARCEL-ADRIAN ȘERBAN

Abstract. In this paper, we will discuss the fixed point theory of triangular operators in the setting of generalized metric spaces and for contraction type operators. Global asymptotic stability of the fixed point, well-posedness of the fixed point problem, Ulam-Hyers stability and Ostrowski property are investigated. Some applications of the basic fibre contraction principles are also considered.

MSC 2020. 47H10, 54H25, 47H09, 45N05, 34K28.

Key words. Triangular operator, fibre contraction, weakly Picard operator, generalized metric space, generalized contraction, well-posedness, Ostrowski property, Ulam-Hyers stability, functional integral equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will present the fixed point theory of triangular operators in the setting of generalized metric spaces and for contraction type operators. Global asymptotic stability of the fixed point, well-posedness and Ulam-Hyers stability of the fixed point problem, as well as the Ostrowski stability property are investigated. Some applications of the basic fibre contraction principles are also considered. Throughout this paper we follow the notation and terminology in [8]. See also [57, 46, 40].

2. FIBRE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

The starting result in fibre contraction theory is the following one, see ([17]).

THEOREM 2.1 (Hirsch-Pigh (1970)). *Let (X_1, d_1) be a metric space and $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ be an operator having an attractive fixed point $x_1^* \in X_1$. Let (X_2, d_2) be a complete metric space and $T_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ be an operator such that:*

- (i) *There exists $l \in]0, 1[$ such that $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l -contraction, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$.*
- (ii) *The triangle operator, $T : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$,*

$$T(x_1, x_2) := (T_1(x_1), T_2(x_1, x_2))$$

Corresponding author: Marcel-Adrian Șerban.

is continuous.

Let x_2^* be the fixed point of $T_2(x_1^*, \cdot)$. Then (x_1^*, x_2^*) is an attractive fixed point of T .

Proof. The proof is a standard one in the successive approximations theory.

Let $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}) \in X_1 \times X_2$ and $(x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}) = T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $x_{1,n+1} = T_1(x_{1,n})$ and $x_{2,n+1} = T_2(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the contraction principle, it is clear that $x_{1,n} \rightarrow x_1^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, let for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(x_{2,n+1}, x_2^*) &= d_2(T_2(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)) \\ &\leq d_2(T_2(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), T_2(x_{1,n}, x_2^*)) + d_2(T_2(x_{1,n}, x_2^*), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)) \\ &\leq l d_2(x_{2,n}, x_2^*) + d_2(T_2(x_{1,n}, x_2^*), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)) \leq \dots \\ &\leq l^{n+1} d_2(x_{2,0}, x_2^*) + l^n d_2(T_2(x_{1,0}, x_2^*), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)) + \dots \\ &\quad + l d_2(T_2(x_{1,n-1}, x_2^*), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)) + d_2(T_2(x_{1,n}, x_2^*), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)). \end{aligned}$$

By a well-known Cauchy lemma (see e.g. [49]) we have $x_{2,n} \rightarrow x_2^*$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

REMARK 2.2. In the terminology of [8], Theorem 2.1 takes the following form:

Theorem I. Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = 1, 2$, be two metric spaces and $T = (T_1, T_2)$ a triangular operator. We suppose that:

- (i) (X_2, d_2) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) the operator $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a Picard operator;
- (iii) $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l -contraction, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$.
- (iv) The triangle operator, $T : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$,

$$T(x_1, x_2) := (T_1(x_1), T_2(x_1, x_2))$$

is continuous.

Then the operator T is a Picard operator.

In connection with this result we present the following questions:

PROBLEM 2.3. Extend Theorem I to the case of contraction type ([8]) conditions (see also [36, 46, 57, 1, 21]).

Commentaries:

Other extensions of the Theorem I can be obtained by replacing condition

(iii) by one of the following conditions:

- (1) φ -contraction (see [54, 57])
- (iii') $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is a φ -contraction, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$.

(2) Kannan condition

(iii'') $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is a Kannan operator, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$, i.e. there exist $0 < l < \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq l[d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), x_2) + d_2(T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2), \tilde{x}_2)],$$

$\forall x_1 \in X_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_2 \in X_2$.

Related to the Kannan condition we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = 1, 2$, be two metric spaces and $T = (T_1, T_2)$ a triangular operator. We suppose that:*

- (i) (X_2, d_2) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) the operator $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a Picard operator;
- (iii''') there exist $L > 0$ and $0 < l < \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq Ld_1(x_1, \tilde{x}_1) + l[d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), x_2) + d_2(T_2(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2), \tilde{x}_2)],$$

$$\forall (x_1, x_2), (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \in X_1 \times X_2;$$

Then the operator T is a Picard operator.

Proof. Let $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}) \in X_1 \times X_2$ and $(x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}) = T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $x_{1,n+1} = T_1(x_{1,n})$ and $x_{2,n+1} = T_2(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

T_1 is a Picard operator, so $F_{T_1} = \{x_1^*\}$ and $x_{1,n} \rightarrow x_1^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (3''') we have that $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ satisfies (3') for all $x_1 \in X_1$ and from Kannan theorem we have that $T_2(x_1, \cdot)$ has an unique fixed point for all $x_1 \in X_1$. Let $F_{T_2(x_1, \cdot)} = \{x_2^*\}$. We prove that $x_{2,n} \rightarrow x_2^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(x_{2,n+1}, x_2^*) &= d_2(T_2(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)) \leq \\ &\leq Ld_1(x_{1,n}, x_1^*) + ld_2(T_2(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), x_{2,n}) \\ &\leq Ld_1(x_1^n, x_1^*) + ld_2(x_{2,n+1}, x_2^*) + ld(x_{2,n}, x_2^*). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &d_2(x_{2,n+1}, x_2^*) \\ &\leq \frac{L}{1-l}d_1(x_{1,n}, x_1^*) + \frac{l}{1-l}d(x_{2,n}, x_2^*) \\ &\leq \frac{L}{1-l}d_1(x_{1,n}, x_1^*) + \frac{l}{1-l} \left[\frac{L}{1-l}d_1(x_{1,n-1}, x_1^*) + \frac{l}{1-l}d(x_{2,n-1}, x_2^*) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{L}{1-l}d_1(x_{1,n}, x_1^*) + \frac{l}{1-l} \cdot \frac{L}{1-l}d_1(x_{1,n-1}, x_1^*) + \dots \\ &+ \left(\frac{l}{1-l} \right)^n \frac{L}{1-l}d_1(x_{1,0}, x_1^*) + \left(\frac{l}{1-l} \right)^{n+1} d_2(x_{2,0}, x_2^*) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as in Theorem 2.1, by the Cauchy lemma. \square

For other results in terms of admissible perturbation of an operator see: [55].

PROBLEM 2.5. *Extend Theorem 2.1 to the case of generalized metric spaces (see [12, 30, 34, 46, 23, 15, 18, 42, 1, 21, 61]).*

Commentaries:

(1) The case of \mathbb{R}_+^p -metric spaces

If (X, d) is a generalized metric space in the sense that the metric takes vector values (i.e., $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$), we can use the notion of Perov contraction. More precisely, an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called a Q -contraction if there exists a matrix $Q \in M_{pp}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that Q is a matrix convergent to zero (i.e., Q^k converges to the zero matrix as $k \rightarrow +\infty$) and

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq Qd(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

THEOREM 2.6 (I.A. Rus (1999) [36]). *Let (X_1, d_1) be a metric space and (X_2, d_2) be a generalized metric space. Let $T = (T_1, T_2)$ be a triangular operator. We suppose that:*

- (i) (X_2, d_2) is a complete generalized metric space;
- (ii) the operator $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a Picard operator;
- (iii) $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an Q -contraction, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$.
- (iv) The triangle operator, $T : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$, $T(x_1, x_2) := (T_1(x_1), T_2(x_1, x_2))$ is continuous.

Then the operator T is a Picard operator.

(2) The case of dislocated metric spaces (see [23, 15, 12])

Let X be a nonempty set. Then $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a dislocated metric on X if the following axioms hold:

- (i) $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \implies x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

PROBLEM 2.7. *Extend Theorem 2.1 to the case when (X_2, d_2) is a complete dislocated metric space and $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l -contraction, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$.*

PROBLEM 2.8. *Extend Theorem 2.1 to the case of generalized metric spaces and contraction type conditions.*

Commentaries:

(1) The Kannan type condition and the framework of a \mathbb{R}_+^p -metric space

To study the above problem under the assumptions that (X_2, d_2) is a complete generalized metric space with $d_2 : X_2 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$ and $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is a Q -Kannan operator, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$, i.e. there exists a matrix $Q \in M_{pp}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $(I - Q)^{-1}Q$ a matrix convergent to zero, such that:

$$d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq Q[d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), x_2) + d_2(T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2), \tilde{x}_2)],$$

$\forall x_1 \in X_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_2 \in X_2$.

(2) The Φ -contraction condition and the framework of a \mathbb{R}_+^p metric space

To study the above problem if (X_2, d_2) is a complete generalized metric space with $d_2 : X_2 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$ and $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an Φ -contraction, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$, i.e.:

$$d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq \Phi(d_2(x_2, \tilde{x}_2)),$$

$\forall x_1 \in X_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_2 \in X_2$, where $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$ such that:

- (i) Φ is increasing;
- (ii) $\Phi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$.

3. SATURATED FIBRE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

Following the Saturated Contraction Principle from [44] we can give the corresponding Saturated Fibre Contraction Principle:

THEOREM 3.1 (Şerban (2017), [56]). *Let (X_1, d_1) be a metric space, (X_2, d_2) a complete metric space and $T = (T_1, T_2) : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ a triangular operator. We suppose that:*

- (i) $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a Picard operator ($F_{T_1} = \{x_1^*\}$);
- (ii) $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l -contraction, for all $x_1 \in X_1$;
- (iii) $T_2(\cdot, x_2) : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is L -Lipschitz, for all $x_2 \in X_2$.

Then:

- (a) T is a Picard operator;
- (b) $F_T = F_{T^n} = \{(x_1^*, x_2^*)\}$, where $\{x_2^*\} = F_{T_2(x_1^*, \cdot)}$.

If in addition, T_1 is ψ_1 -Picard operator, then:

- (c₁) T is ψ -Picard operator in $(X_1 \times Y_2, d_\infty)$, where

$$\psi(t) = \max \left\{ \psi_1(t), \frac{1}{1-l}[t + L\psi_1(t)] \right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$d_\infty((x_1, x_2), (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)) = \max \{d_1(x_1, \tilde{x}_1), d_2(x_2, \tilde{x}_2)\};$$

- (c₂) the fixed point problem for T is well-posed;
- (c₃) the fixed point equation for T is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable.

If in addition T_1 is an α -quasicontraction then:

- (d₁) T is an l_T -quasicontraction in $(X_1 \times Y_2, \rho_\infty)$, where

$$\rho_\infty((x_1, x_2), (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)) = \max \{r \cdot d_1(x_1, \tilde{x}_1), d_2(x_2, \tilde{x}_2)\},$$

with $r > \frac{L}{1-l}$ and

$$l_T = \max \left\{ \alpha, \frac{L}{r} + l \right\}.$$

- (d₂) T has the Ostrowski property.

Proof. The proof of (a) and (b) is the same as in Theorem 2.1.

- (c₁) Let $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$. If T_1 is ψ_1 -Picard operator, then

$$d_1(x_1, x_1^*) \leq \psi_1(d_1(x_1, T_1(x_1))), \quad \forall x_1 \in X_1,$$

where $\psi_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing, continuous at 0 with $\psi_1(0) = 0$. We know that $F_T = \{(x_1^*, x_2^*)\}$, where $\{x_2^*\} = F_{T_2(x_1^*, \cdot)}$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & d_2(x_2, x_2^*) \\ & \leq d_2(x_2, T_2(x_1, x_2)) + d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(x_1^*, x_2)) \\ & \quad + d_2(T_2(x_1^*, x_2), T_2(x_1^*, x_2^*)) \\ & \leq d_2(x_2, T_2(x_1, x_2)) + Ld_1(x_1, x_1^*) + ld_2(x_2, x_2^*), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(x_2, x_2^*) & \leq \frac{1}{1-l} [d_2(x_2, T_2(x_1, x_2)) + Ld_1(x_1, x_1^*)] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{1-l} [d_2(x_2, T_2(x_1, x_2)) + L\psi_1(d_1(x_1, T_1(x_1)))]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\infty((x_1, x_2), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) & \leq \max \left\{ \psi_1(d_1(x_1, T_1(x_1))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{1-l} [d_2(x_2, T_2(x_1, x_2)) + L\psi_1(d_1(x_1, T_1(x_1)))] \right\} \\ & \leq \psi(d_\infty((x_1, x_2), T(x_1, x_2))), \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\psi(t) = \max \left\{ \psi_1(t), \frac{1}{1-l} [t + L\psi_1(t)] \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing, continuous at 0 with $\psi(0) = 0$.

(c₂) Let $((x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X_1 \times X_2$ such that $d_\infty((x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, we have:

$$d_\infty((x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) \leq \psi(d_\infty((x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}))) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(c₃) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(y_1^*, y_2^*) \in X_1 \times X_2$ be a solution of the inequation

$$d_\infty((y_1, y_2), T(y_1, y_2)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since T is ψ -Picard operator, then

$$d_\infty((y_1^*, y_2^*), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) \leq \psi(d_\infty((y_1^*, y_2^*), T(y_1^*, y_2^*))) \leq \psi(\varepsilon),$$

so the fixed point equation for T is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable.

(d₁) Let $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$ and $r > \frac{L}{1-l}$. If T_1 is an α -quasicontraction then

$$\begin{aligned} r \cdot d_1(T_1(x), x_1^*) & \leq \alpha \cdot r \cdot d_1(x_1, x_1^*) \leq \\ & \leq \alpha \cdot \rho_\infty((x_1, x_2), (x_1^*, x_2^*)). \end{aligned}$$

$r > \frac{L}{1-l} \iff \frac{L}{r} + \alpha < 1$ and from (iii) and (iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), x_2^*) & \leq \frac{L}{r} \cdot r \cdot d_1(x_1, x_1^*) + l \cdot d_2(x_2, x_2^*) \leq \\ & \leq \left(\frac{L}{r} + l \right) \rho_\infty((x_1, x_2), (x_1^*, x_2^*)), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\infty(T(x_1, x_2), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) &= \max\{r \cdot d_1(T_1(x), x_1^*), d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), x_2^*)\} \leq \\ &\leq \max\left\{\alpha, \frac{L}{r} + l\right\} \cdot \rho_\infty((x, y), (x^*, y^*)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, so T is an l_T -quasicontraction in $(X_1 \times X_2, \rho_\infty)$ with $l_T = \max\{\alpha, \frac{L}{r} + l\}$.

(d₂) Let $((x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X_1 \times X_2$ such that $\rho_\infty((x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}), T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_\infty((x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) \leq \\ &\leq \rho_\infty((x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}), T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})) + \rho_\infty(T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) \leq \\ &\leq \rho_\infty((x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}), T(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})) + l_T \rho_\infty((x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) \leq \dots \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n l_T^j \cdot \rho_\infty((x_{1,n+1-j}, x_{2,n+1-j}), T(x_{1,n-j}, x_{2,n-j})) \\ &\quad + l_T^n \cdot \rho_\infty((x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}), (x_1^*, x_2^*)). \end{aligned}$$

From Cauchy Lemma we get

$$\rho_\infty((x_{1,n+1}, x_{2,n+1}), (x_1^*, x_2^*)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

so T has the Ostrowski property. \square

As in the case of Theorem 2.1, the following questions emerge from Theorem 3.1:

PROBLEM 3.2. *Extend Theorem 3.1 to the case of contraction type conditions.*

PROBLEM 3.3. *Extend Theorem 3.1 to the case of generalized metric spaces.*

PROBLEM 3.4. *Extend Theorem 3.1 to the case of generalized metric spaces and contraction type conditions.*

Commentaries:

(1) The Kannan condition and the context of a \mathbb{R}_+^p -metric space

To study the above problem if (X_2, d_2) is a complete generalized metric space with $d_2 : X_2 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$ and $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an Q -Kannan operator, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$, i.e. there exists a matrix $Q \in M_{pp}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $(I - Q)^{-1} \cdot Q$ a matrix convergent to zero, such that:

$$d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq Q[d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), x_2) + d_2(T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2), \tilde{x}_2)],$$

$\forall x_1 \in X_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_2 \in X_2$.

(2) The Φ -contraction condition and the context of a \mathbb{R}_+^p metric space

To study the above problem if (X_2, d_2) is a complete generalized metric space with $d_2 : X_2 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$ and $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an Φ -contraction, $\forall x_1 \in X_1$, i.e.:

$$d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(x_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq \Phi(d_2(x_2, \tilde{x}_2)),$$

$\forall x_1 \in X_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_2 \in X_2$, where $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$ such that:

- (i) Φ is increasing;
- (ii) $\Phi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$.

4. HYBRID FIBRE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

Another basic fibre contraction principle is the following theorem (see [37, 40]).

THEOREM 4.1 (Rus (1999, 2003)). *Let (X_1, \xrightarrow{F}) be an L -space, (X_2, d_2) a complete metric space and $T = (T_1, T_2) : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ a triangular operator. We suppose that:*

- (i) $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is weakly Picard operator;
- (ii) $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l -contraction;
- (iii) if $(x_1^*, x_2^*) \in F_T$, then $T_2(\cdot, x_2^*)$ is continuous in x_1^* .

Then the operator T is a weakly Picard operator. If T_1 is Picard operator, then T is a Picard operator.

The proof is similar with the proof of Theorem 2.1.

In the case of the above result we have the following questions:

PROBLEM 4.2. *Extend Theorem 4.1 to the case of contraction type conditions.*

PROBLEM 4.3. *Extend Theorem 4.1 to the case of generalized metric spaces.*

PROBLEM 4.4. *Extend Theorem 4.1 to the case of generalized metric spaces and contraction type conditions.*

As starting references for these problems see: [46, 57, 53, 49, 2, 3, 12, 36, 38, 39, 40, 47, 8] etc.

5. FIBRE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE ON A SUBSET OF THE CARTESIAN PRODUCT

Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = 1, 2$, be two metric spaces, $U \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be a nonempty subset such that

$$U_{x_1} := \{x_2 \in X_2 \mid (x_1, x_2) \in U\} \neq \emptyset, \forall x_1 \in X_1.$$

For the operators $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$, $T_2 : U \rightarrow X_2$, we consider the operator defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2) := (T_1(x_1), T_2(x_1, x_2)).$$

We have the following result ([35]):

THEOREM 5.1 (Petruşel-Rus-Şerban (2021)). *We suppose that:*

- (i) (X_2, d_2) is a complete metric space and U is a closed subset of $X_1 \times X_2$;
- (ii) $T(U) \subset U$;
- (iii) T_1 is weakly Picard operator;

(iv) there exist $L > 0$ and $0 < l < 1$ such that:

$$d_2(T_2(x_1, x_2), T_2(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq Ld_1(x_1, \tilde{x}_1) + ld_2(x_2, \tilde{x}_2),$$

for all $(x_1, x_2), (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \in U$.

Then T is a weakly Picard operator. If T_1 is a Picard operator, then T is a Picard operator too.

PROBLEM 5.2. Give a saturated variant of Theorem 5.1 (see [8, 35]).

6. TRIANGULAR OPERATOR ON $\prod_{i=1}^m X_i$

Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$. We consider the operators $T_j : X_1 \times \dots \times X_j \rightarrow X_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and

$$T : X \rightarrow X$$

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (T_1(x_1), T_2(x_1, x_2), \dots, T_m(x_1, \dots, x_m))$$

THEOREM 6.1 (Rus (1999), [37]). *Suppose that:*

- (i) (X_1, \xrightarrow{F}) be an L -space;
- (ii) $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is weakly Picard operator;
- (iii) (X_j, d_j) is a complete metric space, $j = 2, \dots, m$;
- (iv) $T_j(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, \cdot) : X_j \rightarrow X_j$ is l_j -contraction, $j = 2, \dots, m$;
- (v) If $(x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*) \in F_T$, then $T_j(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, x_j^*)$ is continuous in $(x_1^*, \dots, x_{j-1}^*)$, $j = 2, \dots, m$.

Then T is weakly Picard operator. Moreover, if T_1 is Picard operator, then T is Picard operator.

Proof. The proof can be obtained by induction using Theorem 5.1. □

THEOREM 6.2 (Şerban (1999), [54]). *Suppose that:*

- (i) (X_1, \xrightarrow{F}) be an L -space;
- (ii) $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is weakly Picard operator;
- (iii) (X_j, d_j) is a complete metric space, $j = 2, \dots, m$;
- (iv) $T_j(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, \cdot) : X_j \rightarrow X_j$ is φ_j -contraction, where φ_j is a subadditive strong comparison function, $j = 2, \dots, m$;
- (v) If $(x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*) \in F_T$, then $T_j(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, x_j^*)$ is continuous in $(x_1^*, \dots, x_{j-1}^*)$, $j = 2, \dots, m$.

Then T is weakly Picard operator. Moreover, if T_1 is Picard operator, then T is Picard operator.

7. APPLICATIONS OF FIBRE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLES

7.1 EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

In what follow we apply saturated fibre contraction principle to study the following system of integral equations:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} x(t) = \int_a^t K(t, s, x(s)) ds + k(t), & t \in [a, b] \\ y(t) = \int_a^b H(t, s, x(s), y(s)) ds + h(t), & t \in [a, b] \end{cases}$$

We consider $X_1 = (C[a, b], \|\cdot\|_\tau)$ and $X_2 = (C[a, b], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ where

$$\|x\|_\tau = \max_{t \in [a, b]} \left(\left| x(t) \cdot e^{-\tau(t-a)} \right| \right), \quad \tau > 0,$$

$$\|y\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [a, b]} (|y(t)|).$$

From (1) we define the triangular operator $T = (T_1, T_2) : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$, where $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$

$$T_1(x)(t) = \int_a^t K(t, s, x(s)) ds + k(t),$$

and $T_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$

$$T_2(x, y)(t) = \int_a^b H(t, s, x(s), y(s)) ds + h(t).$$

We have:

THEOREM 7.1. *We suppose that:*

- (i) $K \in C([a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R})$, $H \in C([a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, $k, h \in C[a, b]$;
- (ii) *there exists $L_K > 0$ such that*

$$|K(t, s, u_1) - K(t, s, u_2)| \leq L_K \cdot |u_1 - u_2|$$

for all $t, s \in [a, b]$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$;

- (iii) *there exists $l_H, L_H > 0$ such that*

$$|H(t, s, u_1, v_1) - H(t, s, u_2, v_2)| \leq l_H \cdot |u_1 - u_2| + L_H \cdot |v_1 - v_2|,$$

for all $t, s \in [a, b]$ and $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$;

- (iv) $L_H(b - a) < 1$.

Then:

- (a) *the system (1) has an unique solution $(x^*, y^*) \in X_1 \times X_2$.*

(b) the sequence (x_n, y_n) given by

$$\begin{aligned}x_{n+1} &= T_1(x_n) \\y_{n+1} &= T_2(x_n, y_n)\end{aligned}$$

with $(x_0, y_0) \in X_1 \times X_2$, converges uniformly to (x^*, y^*) for all $(x_0, y_0) \in X_1 \times X_2$;

(c) we have: $\|x_0 - x^*\|_\tau \leq \frac{1}{1-l_1} \|x_0 - T_1(x_0)\|_\tau$,

$$\|y_0 - y^*\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{1-l_2} \left(\|y_0 - T_2(x_0, y_0)\|_\infty + \frac{l}{1-l_1} \|x_0 - T_1(x_0)\|_\tau \right),$$

for any $(x_0, y_0) \in X_1 \times X_2$, where $l_1 = \frac{L_K}{\tau}$, with τ chosen such that $\tau > L_K$, $l_2 = L_H(b-a)$, $l = \frac{l_H}{\tau} (e^{\tau(b-a)} - 1)$;

(d) the fixed point problem for T is well-posed;

(e) the fixed point equation for T is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable;

(f) the operator T has the Ostrowski property.

Proof. By standard technique, the operator $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$

$$T_1(x)(t) = \int_a^t K(t, s, x(s)) ds + k(t),$$

is a l_1 -contraction with $l_1 = \frac{L_K}{\tau}$ for suitable choice of $\tau > 0$, ($\tau > L_K$), thus T_1 is a Picard operator and we denote by $x^* \in X$ the unique fixed point of T_1 .

From condition (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}|T_2(x, y_1)(t) - T_2(x, y_2)(t)| &\leq \int_a^b |H(t, s, x(s), y_1(s)) - H(t, s, x(s), y_2(s))| ds \\ &\leq L_H \cdot \int_a^b |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \leq L_H(b-a) \|y_1 - y_2\|_\infty\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\|T_2(x, y_1) - T_2(x, y_2)\|_\infty \leq l_2 \cdot \|y_1 - y_2\|_\infty$$

for all $x \in X_1$ and $y_1, y_2 \in X_2$ which shows that $T_2(x, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l_2 -contraction for fixed $x \in X_1$. Also, we have

$$\|T_2(x_1, y) - T_2(x_2, y)\|_\infty \leq l \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\|_\tau,$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X_1$ and $y \in X_2$, where $l = \frac{l_H}{\tau} (e^{\tau(b-a)} - 1)$, which shows that $T_2(\cdot, y) : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l -Lipschitz operator for fixed $y \in X_2$, so, from Theorem 3.1 we get all the conclusions. \square

REMARK 7.2. For other applications of Fibre Contraction Principle and its variants to the existence of the solutions see [9, 14, 19, 20, 29, 32, 33, 41, 45, 48, 50, 52].

7.2 SMOOTHNESS OF SOLUTIONS

We consider the following system of integral equations:

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} x_1(t, \lambda) = \int_a^t K(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + k(t, \lambda), \\ x_2(t, \lambda) = \int_a^b H(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + h(t, \lambda), \end{cases}$$

$t \in [a, b]$, $\lambda \in J$, where $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a closed interval.

We consider $X_1 = (C([a, b] \times J), \|\cdot\|_\tau)$ and $X_2 = (C([a, b] \times J), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ where $\|x\|_\tau = \max_{(t, \lambda) \in [a, b] \times J} \left(|x(t, \lambda) \cdot e^{-\tau(t-a)}| \right)$, $\tau > 0$, $\|y\|_\infty = \max_{(t, \lambda) \in [a, b] \times J} (|y(t, \lambda)|)$.

From (1) we define the operators $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$, $T_1(x_1)(t, \lambda) = \int_a^t K(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + k(t, \lambda)$, $T_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$, $T_2(x_1, x_2)(t, \lambda) = \int_a^b H(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + h(t, \lambda)$.

We have:

THEOREM 7.3. *We suppose that:*

- (i) $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a closed interval;
- (ii) $K \in C([a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times J)$, $H \in C([a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times J)$ and $k, h \in C([a, b] \times J)$;
- (iii) there exists $L_K > 0$ such that $|K(t, s, u_1, \lambda) - K(t, s, u_2, \lambda)| \leq L_K \cdot |u_1 - u_2|$ for all $t, s \in [a, b]$, $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in J$;
- (iv) there exists $l_H, L_H > 0$ such that

$$|H(t, s, u_1, v_1, \lambda) - H(t, s, u_2, v_2, \lambda)| \leq l_H \cdot |u_1 - u_2| + L_H \cdot |v_1 - v_2|,$$

for all $t, s \in [a, b]$, $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in J$;

- (v) $L_H(b - a) < 1$.

Then:

- (a) the system (2) has an unique solution $(x_1^*, x_2^*) \in X_1 \times X_2$.
- (b) the sequence $(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$ given by $x_{1,n+1} = T_1(x_{1,n})$, $x_{2,n+1} = T_2(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$ with $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}) \in X_1 \times X_2$, converges uniformly to (x_1^*, x_2^*) for all $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}) \in X_1 \times X_2$;
- (c) If $K(t, s, \cdot, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times J)$, $H(t, s, \cdot, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times J)$, $k(t, \cdot), h(t, \cdot) \in C^1(J)$, for every $t, s \in [a, b]$ then $x_1^*(t, \cdot), x_2^*(t, \cdot) \in C^1(J)$, for every $t, s \in [a, b]$.

Proof. (a)+(b) We consider $X_1 = (C([a, b] \times J), \|\cdot\|_\tau)$ and $X_2 = (C([a, b] \times J), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ where

$$\|x\|_\tau = \max_{(t, \lambda) \in [a, b] \times J} \left(|x(t, \lambda) \cdot e^{-\tau(t-a)}| \right), \quad \tau > 0, \quad \|y\|_\infty = \max_{(t, \lambda) \in [a, b] \times J} (|y(t, \lambda)|).$$

From (2) we define the operators $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$,

$$T_1(x_1)(t, \lambda) = \int_a^t K(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + k(t, \lambda),$$

$T_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$,

$$T_2(x_1, x_2)(t, \lambda) = \int_a^b H(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + h(t, \lambda)$$

and the triangular operator $T = (T_1, T_2) : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$. As in the proof of Theorem 7.1 we have that $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a l_1 -contraction with $l_1 = \frac{L_K}{\tau}$ for suitable choice of $\tau > 0$, ($\tau > L_K$), and $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l_2 -contraction for fixed $x_1 \in X_1$, $l_2 = L_H(b - a)$, so, from Theorem 3.1 we have that $T = (T_1, T_2)$ is a Picard operator, therefore we get (i) and (ii).

(c) We formally differentiate the equations of the system (2) with respect to λ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^t \frac{\partial K}{\partial u}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \lambda}(s, \lambda) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K}{\partial \lambda}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + \frac{\partial k}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \lambda}(s, \lambda) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \lambda}(s, \lambda) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

These relations suggest us to consider the spaces $X_3 = X_1$, $X_4 = X_2$ and the following operators $T_3 : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \rightarrow X_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^t \frac{\partial K}{\partial u}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot x_3(s, \lambda) ds + \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K}{\partial \lambda}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + \frac{\partial k}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

$$T_4 : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \times X_4 \rightarrow X_4$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot x_3(t, \lambda) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot x_4(t, \lambda) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and the triangular operator $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) : \prod_{i=1}^4 X_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^4 X_i$.

We already proved that $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a l_1 -contraction, so it is Picard operator, and $T_2(x_1, \cdot) : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is an l_2 -contraction for fixed $x_1 \in X_1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} &|T_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)(t, \lambda) - T_3(x_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_3)(t, \lambda)| \\ &\leq \int_a^t \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial u}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), \lambda) \right| \cdot |x_3(s, \lambda) - \tilde{x}_3(s, \lambda)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{L_K}{\tau} \cdot \|x_3 - \tilde{x}_3\|_\tau \cdot e^{\tau(t-a)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, \lambda) \in [a, b] \times J$, therefore

$$\|T_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) - T_3(x_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_3)\|_\tau \leq \frac{L_K}{\tau} \cdot \|x_3 - \tilde{x}_3\|_\tau$$

for all $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$ and $x_3, \tilde{x}_3 \in X_3$, so $T_3(x_1, x_2, \cdot) : X_3 \rightarrow X_3$ is an l_3 -contraction, where $l_3 = \frac{L_K}{\tau}$ for suitable choice of $\tau > 0$, ($\tau > L_K$).

Also, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &|T_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)(t, \lambda) - T_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, \tilde{x}_4)(t, \lambda)| \leq \\ &\leq \int_a^b \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(t, s, x_1(s, \lambda), x_2(s, \lambda), \lambda) \right| \cdot |x_4(s, \lambda) - \tilde{x}_4(s, \lambda)| ds \leq \\ &\leq L_H(b-a) \cdot \|x_4 - \tilde{x}_4\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, \lambda) \in [a, b] \times J$, hence

$$\|T_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - T_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, \tilde{x}_4)\|_\infty \leq L_H(b-a) \cdot \|x_4 - \tilde{x}_4\|_\infty,$$

for all $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$ and $x_4, \tilde{x}_4 \in X_4$, so $T_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdot) : X_4 \rightarrow X_4$ is an l_4 -contraction, where $l_4 = L_H(b-a)$.

Applying Theorem 6.1 for triangular operator $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) : \prod_{i=1}^4 X_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^4 X_i$ we conclude that T is Picard operator and the sequences $(x_{1,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(x_{2,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(x_{3,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(x_{4,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,n+1}(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^t K(t, s, x_{1,n}(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + k(t, \lambda), \\ x_{2,n+1}(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^b H(t, s, x_{1,n}(s, \lambda), x_{2,n}(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + h(t, \lambda), \\ x_{3,n+1}(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^t \frac{\partial K}{\partial u}(t, s, x_{1,n}(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot x_{3,n}(s, \lambda) ds + \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K}{\partial \lambda}(t, s, x_{1,n}(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + \frac{\partial k}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda) \\ x_{4,n+1}(t, \lambda) &= \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, s, x_{1,n}(s, \lambda), x_{2,n}(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot x_{3,n}(t, \lambda) ds + \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(t, s, x_{1,n}(s, \lambda), x_{2,n}(s, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot x_{4,n}(t, \lambda) ds + \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}(t, s, x_{1,n}(s, \lambda), x_{2,n}(s, \lambda), \lambda) ds + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

converge uniformly to $(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*, x_4^*) \in F_T$ for all $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, x_{3,0}, x_{4,0}) \in \prod_{i=1}^4 X_i$.

If for fixed $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, x_{3,0}, x_{4,0}) \in \prod_{i=1}^4 X_i$ we chose $x_{3,0} = \frac{\partial x_{1,0}}{\partial \lambda}$ and $x_{4,0} = \frac{\partial x_{2,0}}{\partial \lambda}$ then $x_{3,1} = \frac{\partial x_{1,1}}{\partial \lambda}$ and $x_{4,1} = \frac{\partial x_{2,1}}{\partial \lambda}$. By induction we have $x_{3,n} = \frac{\partial x_{1,n}}{\partial \lambda}$, $x_{4,n} = \frac{\partial x_{2,n}}{\partial \lambda}$ and $x_{3,n} \rightrightarrows x_3^*$, $x_{4,n} \rightrightarrows x_4^*$, these imply that there exist $\frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial \lambda}$, respectively $\frac{\partial x_2^*}{\partial \lambda}$ and $\frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial \lambda} = x_3^*$, respectively $\frac{\partial x_2^*}{\partial \lambda} = x_4^*$ \square

REMARK 7.4. For other applications of Fibre Contraction Principle and its variants to the derivability of the solutions with respect to a parameter see [37, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59].

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Agarwal, M. Jleli and B. Samet, *Fixed point theory in metric spaces*, Springer, Singapore, 2018.
- [2] S. András, *Fibre φ -contraction on generalized metric spaces and applications*, *Mathematica*, **45**(2003), 3–8.
- [3] C. Bacoțiu, *Fibre Picard operators on generalized metric spaces*, *Semin. Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca*, **1** (2000), 5–8.
- [4] D.D. Bainov and S.G. Hristova, *Differential equations with maxima*, CRC Press, 2011.
- [5] L. Barreira and C. Valls, *Parameter dependence of stable manifolds for difference equations*, *Nonlinearity*, **23** (2010), 341–367.
- [6] L. Barreira and C. Valls, *Stable manifolds with optimal regularity for difference equations*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **32** (2012), 1537–1555.
- [7] L. Barreira and C. Valls, *Parameter dependence of smooth stable manifolds*, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **56** (2019), 825–855.
- [8] V. Berinde, A. Petrușel and I.A. Rus, *Remarks on the terminology of the mappings in fixed point iterative methods in metric spaces*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **24** (2023), 525–540.
- [9] A.M. Bica, S. Mureșan and G. Grebenișan, *Parameter dependence of the solution of second order nonlinear ODE's via Perov's fixed point theorem*, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **3** (2006), Article 10, 1–8.
- [10] T.A. Burton, *Stability by fixed point theory for functional differential equations*, Dover, New York, 2006.
- [11] C. Cuffi-Cabré and E. Fontich, *Differentiable invariant manifolds of nilpotent parabolic points*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **41** (2021), 4667–4704.
- [12] M.M. Deza and E. Deza, *Encyclopedia of distances*, Springer, 2009.
- [13] M. Dobrițoiu, W.W. Kecs and A. Toma, *An application of the fiber generalized contractions theorem*, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, **5** (2006), 1330–1335.
- [14] M. Dobrițoiu and M.-A. Șerban, *Step method for a system of integral equations from biomathematics*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **227** (2014), 412–421.
- [15] A.-D. Filip, *Conversions between generalized metric spaces and standard metric spaces with applications*, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **37** (2021), 345–354.
- [16] A. Hammerlindl, *Properties of compact center-stable submanifolds*, *Math. Z.*, **288** (2018), 741–755.
- [17] W.M. Hirsch and C.C. Pugh, *Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets*, *Proceedings of a Symposium in Pure Mathematics*, **14** (1970), 133–163.
- [18] P. Hitzler, *Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics*, Ph.D. Dissertation, National Univ. of Ireland, 2001.
- [19] V. Ilea, D. Otrocol, I.A. Rus and M.A. Șerban, *Applications of fibre contraction principle to some classes of functional integral equations*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **23** (2022), 279–292.
- [20] V. Ilea, D. Otrocol, M.-A. Șerban and D. Trif, *Integro-differential equations with two times lags*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **13** (2012), 85–97.
- [21] W.A. Kirk and N. Shahzad, *Fixed point theory in distance spaces*, Springer, 2014.
- [22] M. Li, J. Wang and D. O'Regan, *Stable manifolds for non-instantaneous impulsive nonautonomous differential equations*, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **82** (2019), 1–28.
- [23] S.G. Mathews, *Metric domains for completeness*, Technical Report 76, Department of Computer Science, Univ. Warwick, 1986.
- [24] V. Mureșan, *Existence, uniqueness and data dependence for the solution of a Fredholm integral equation with linear modification of the argument*, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **68** (2002), 117–124.

- [25] V. Mureşan, *Existence, uniqueness and data dependence results for the solution of a Volterra-Sobolev functional-integral equation*, *Automat. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **19** (2010), 343–350.
- [26] V. Mureşan, *On a functional-differential equation*, in *Fixed Point Theory and its Applications*, Eds.: R. Espínola, A. Petruşel and S. Prus, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2013, 201–207.
- [27] I.M. Olaru, *An integral equation related to some epidemic model*, *Automat. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **19** (2010), 367–374.
- [28] D. Otrocol, *Smooth dependence on parameters for some Lotka-Volterra system with delays*, *An. Univ. Vest Timiş. Ser. Mat.-Inform.*, **43** (2005), 109–114.
- [29] D. Otrocol and M.A. Şerban, *An efficient step method for a system of differential equations with delay*, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.*, **8** (2018), 498–508.
- [30] A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel, *A study of a general system of operator equations in b-metric spaces via the vector approach in fixed point theory*, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **19** (2017), 1793–1814.
- [31] A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel, *Coupled fixed points and coupled coincidence points via fixed point theory*, in *Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Eds.: M. Ruzhansky, H. Dutta, R.P. Agarwal, Wiley, 2018, 661–717.
- [32] A. Petruşel and I.A. Rus, *Fixed point equations with abstract Volterra operators on spaces of functions of several variables*, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **16** (2022), 189–201.
- [33] A. Petruşel and I.A. Rus, *On some classes of Fredholm-Volterra integral equations in two variables*, *Montes Taurus Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **4** (2022), 25–32.
- [34] A. Petruşel, I.A. Rus and M.A. Şerban, *Fixed points for operators on generalized metric spaces*, *Cubo Mat. Educ.*, **10** (2008), 45–66.
- [35] A. Petruşel, I.A. Rus and M.A. Şerban, *Some variants of the fibre contraction principle and applications: from existence to the convergence of successive approximations*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **22** (2021), 795–808.
- [36] I.A. Rus, *A fibre generalized contraction theorem and applications*, *Mathematica*, **41** (1999), 85–90.
- [37] I.A. Rus, *Fibre Picard operators and applications*, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, **44** (1999), 89–98.
- [38] I.A. Rus, *Fibre Picard operators on generalized metric spaces and applications*, *Scripta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, **1** (1999), 326–334.
- [39] I.A. Rus, *Generalized contractions and applications*, Cluj Univ. Press Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [40] I.A. Rus, *Picard operators and applications*, *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, **58** (2003), 191–219.
- [41] I.A. Rus, *Abstract models of step method which imply the convergence of successive approximations*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **9** (2008), 293–307.
- [42] I.A. Rus, *Fixed point theory in partial metric spaces*, *An. Univ. Vest Timiş. Ser. Mat.-Inform.*, **46** (2008), 143–160.
- [43] I.A. Rus, *Some nonlinear functional differential and integral equations via weakly Picard operator theory: a survey*, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **26** (2010), 230–258.
- [44] I.A. Rus, *Some variants of contraction principle, generalizations and applications*, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, **61** (2016), 343–358.
- [45] I.A. Rus, *Some variants of contraction principle in the case of operators with Volterra property: step by step contraction principle*, *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application*, **3** (2019), 111–120.
- [46] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel, *Fixed point theory*, Cluj Univ. Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2008.
- [47] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and M.A. Şerban, *Fibre Picard operators on gauge spaces and applications*, *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, **27** (2008), 399–415.

- [48] I.A. Rus and M.A. Şerban, *Operators on infinite dimensional cartesian product*, An. Univ. Vest Timiş. Ser. Mat.-Inform., **48** (2010), 253–263.
- [49] I.A. Rus and M.A. Şerban, *Some generalizations of a Cauchy lemma and applications*, in *Topics in Mathematics, Computer Science and Philosophy*, Editor Şt. Cobzaş, Cluj University Press, 2008, 173–181.
- [50] I.A. Rus, M.A. Şerban and D. Trif, *Step method for some integral equations from biomathematics*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **54** (2011), 167–183.
- [51] J. Sotomayor, *Smooth dependence of solutions of differential equations on initial data: a simple proof*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat., **4** (1973), 55–59.
- [52] M.A. Şerban, *Applications of fiber Picard operators to integral equations*, Bul. Ştiinţ. Univ. Baia Mare Ser. B, **43** (2002), 119–128.
- [53] M.A. Şerban, *Fibre contraction theorem in generalized metric spaces*, Automat. Comput. Appl. Math., **16** (2007), 139–144.
- [54] M.A. Şerban, *Fibre φ -contractions*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **44** (1999), 99–108.
- [55] M.A. Şerban, *Fibre contraction principle with respect to an iterative algorithm*, Journal of Operators, **2013**, Article 408791, 1–6.
- [56] M.A. Şerban, *Saturated fibre contraction principle*, Fixed Point Theory, **18** (2017), 729–740.
- [57] M.A. Şerban, *Fixed point theory for operators on cartesian product* (in Romanian), Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [58] M.A. Şerban, *Integral equations via weakly Picard operators technique*, Pure Math. Appl., **17** (2006), 445–458.
- [59] A. Tămăşan, *Differentiability with respect to lag for nonlinear pantograph equations*, Pure Math. Appl., **9** (1998), 1–7.
- [60] A. Vanderbauwhede, *Centre manifolds, normal forms and elementary bifurcations*, Dynamics Reported, Vol. 2, 89–169, Dynam. Report. Ser. Dynam. Systems Appl., 2, Wiley, Chichester, 1989.
- [61] P.P. Zabrejko, *K-metric spaces and K-normed spaces: survey*, Collect. Math., **48** (1997), 825–859.

Received March 20, 2024

Accepted July 3, 2024

Babeş-Bolyai University

Department of Mathematics

1 M. Kogălniceanu, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

E-mail: petrusel@math.ubbcluj.ro

E-mail: adrian.petrusel@ubbcluj.ro

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5629-5667>

E-mail: iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro

E-mail: mserban@math.ubbcluj.ro

E-mail: marcel.serbann@ubbcluj.ro

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9222-7402>