*Fixed Point Theory*, 17(2016), No. 2, 313-326 http://www.math.ubbcluj.ro/~nodeacj/sfptcj.html

# NEW GENERALIZATION OF THE EXISTENCE OF EQUILIBRIUM FOR GENERALIZED GAME IN ABSTRACT CONVEX SPACE

### YAN-MEI DU

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University Tianjin 300387, P.R. China and

<sup>2</sup> Tianjin Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Detection Technology and System Tianjin 300387, P.R. China E-mail: duyanmei@tjpu.edu.cn

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to establish a general existence of equilibrium for generalized game in abstract convex space, where the preference correspondence has unionly open lower section and the constraint correspondence is transfer open valued. New notions of  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -mapping and  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -majorized mapping are introduced, in which the lower sections are unionly open. We first prove some new fixed point theorems for set-valued mapping in noncompact abstract convex space. Next, we obtain two existence theorems of maximal element for  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -mapping and  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -majorized mapping. Lastly, we establish new equilibrium existence theorems for qualitative game and generalized game. Besides, we can get more general results than that in the recent literature.

Key Words and Phrases: Fixed point, maximal element, generalized game,  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -majorized mapping, abstract convex space.

**2010** Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54C10, 54C60, 91A13, 47H10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The existence of equilibrium plays an important part in the study of markets. Since the existence of equilibrium in a generalized game with compact strategy sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was proved in a seminal paper of Debreu [3], there are many generalizations of Debreu's theorem from two main respects. On one hand, the convexity structure of underlying space has lots of generalizations. Some existence theorems of generalized game were obtained by Yuan and Tarafdar [39], Ding and Yuan [9], Mehta et al. [20], Lin and Ansari [18], Yuan [37], Hou[15] and Yuan [38] in topological vector space. Since Horvath [13, 14] introduced *H*-space by replacing convex hull by contract subset, there are many generalizations of the concept of convex subsets of topological vector space, for example, *G*-convex space [30] and *FC*-space [4]. As a result, many authors established existence theorems of generalized game in *H*-space, *G*-convex space and *FC*-space, respectively, for example, Tan et al. [31], Wu [34], Chowdhury et al. [2], Ding and Xia [8], Ding [5], Ding and Wang [7], Ding and Feng [6]. In 2006, Park [22] introduced the abstract convex space, which include convex

subsets in topological vector space, H-space, G-convex space and FC-space as special case. Abstract convex space will be the framework of this paper. On the other hand, the lower section of the preference correspondence or constrained correspondence is majorized by some characteristics of mapping. Since Borglin and Keiding [1] introduced the notion of KF-majorized mapping which was majorized by the correspondence with open lower section, there have appeared many majorized mappings with open, compactly open, transfer open or transfer compactly open lower section, for example, Yuan and Tarafdar [39], Ding and Yuan [9], Mehta et al. [20], Lin and Ansari [18], Yuan [37], Tan et al. [31], Wu [34], Chowdhury et al. [2], Ding and Xia [8], Ding [5], Ding and Wang [7], Ding and Feng [6], Du and Deng [10], Yang and Deng [35]. In 2010, Luc [19] introduced the notion of unionly open valued mapping, which includes open valued mapping and transfer open valued mapping as special case. In the paper, by using approximate technique for unionly open set-valued mapping in abstract convex space, We should introduce new notions of  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -mapping and  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -majorized mapping which are majorized by some mappings with unionly open lower section. Firstly, We prove new fixed point theorems in abstract convex space. Secondly, we obtain the existence theorems of maximal element for  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -mapping and  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -majorized mapping. Finally, we apply these results to establish general existence theorems of equilibrium for qualitative game and generalized game with infinite set of players, without compactness hypotheses in the abstract convex space. Our results unify the corresponding results in the existing literatures.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty subset of topological space E. We shall denote by  $2^X$  the family of all subsets of X, by  $\langle X \rangle$  the family of all nonempty finite subsets of X, by  $\operatorname{int}_E(X)$  the interior of X in E, and by  $\operatorname{cl}_E(X)$  the closure of X in E.

If X and Y are topological space and  $T, S: X \to 2^Y$  are two mappings, for any  $D \subset X$  and  $y \in Y$ , let  $S(D) = \bigcup_{x \in D} S(x)$  and  $S^-(y) = \{x \in X : y \in S(x)\}$ . The dom S denotes the domain of S, i.e., dom  $S = \{x \in X : S(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ , and  $T \cap S : X \to 2^Y$  is a mapping defined by  $(T \cap S)(x) = T(x) \cap S(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . The graph of T is the set  $\operatorname{Gr}(T) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in T(x)\}$  and the mapping  $\overline{T} : X \to 2^Y$  is defined by  $(\overline{T}(x) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in \operatorname{cl}_{X \times Y}(\operatorname{Gr}(T))\}$ . The mapping cl  $T : X \to 2^Y$  is defined by  $(\operatorname{cl} T)(x) = \operatorname{cl}_Y(T(x))$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Let X be a nonempty set and Y be a topological space. The mapping  $F: X \to 2^Y$  is said to be transfer open valued on X if

$$\bigcup_{x \in Y} F(x) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \operatorname{int}_Y(F(x))$$

The mapping  $G: X \to 2^Y$  is said to be unionly open valued on X if

$$\operatorname{int}_Y(\bigcup_{x\in X} G(x)) = \bigcup_{x\in X} \operatorname{int}_Y(G(x)).$$

It is easy to prove that transfer open valued mapping must be unionly open valued. Moreover, we can obtain the following results.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let X be a nonempty subset of topological space E and Y be a topological space. If the mapping  $S : X \to 2^Y$  is unionly open valued with Y = S(X), then the mapping S is transfer open valued on X.

*Proof.* Since S is unionly open valued on X, then  $\operatorname{int}_Y(\bigcup_{x\in X}S(x)) = \bigcup_{x\in X}\operatorname{int}_Y(S(x))$ . By  $Y = S(X) = \bigcup_{x\in X}S(x)$ , thus  $\bigcup_{x\in X}S(x) = \bigcup_{x\in X}\operatorname{int}_Y(S(x))$ , S is transfer open valued on X. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let X be a nonempty subset of topological space E and Y be a topological space. For each  $i \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the mapping  $S_i : X \to 2^Y$  is unionly open valued on X, then the mapping  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i$  is also unionly open valued on X.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \operatorname{int}_Y(\cap_{i=1}^n S_i(x)) \subset \operatorname{int}_Y(\bigcup_{x \in X}(\cap_{i=1}^n S_i(x)))$ , thus we only need to prove that  $\operatorname{int}_Y(\bigcup_{x \in X}(\cap_{i=1}^n S_i(x))) \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} \operatorname{int}_Y(\cap_{i=1}^n S_i(x))$ . If  $z \notin \bigcup_{x \in X} \operatorname{int}_Y(\cap_{i=1}^n S_i(x))$ , for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$z \notin \operatorname{int}_Y(\cap_{i=1}^n S_i(x)), \ z \in \operatorname{cl}_Y(Y \setminus (\cap_{i=1}^n S_i(x))) = \operatorname{cl}_Y(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (Y \setminus S_i(x))),$$

then for each neighborhood  $N_z$  of z, there exists a  $i_0 \in I$ , such that

$$N_z \cap (Y \setminus S_{i_0}(x)) \neq \emptyset.$$

That is  $z \in cl_Y(Y \setminus S_{i_0}(x))$ , i.e.  $z \notin int_Y(S_{i_0}(x))$ . Since  $S_{i_0}$  is unionly open, then  $z \notin \bigcup_{x \in X} int_Y(S_{i_0}(x)) = int_Y(\bigcup_{x \in X}(S_{i_0}(x)))$ , but  $\bigcup_{x \in X}(\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i(x)) \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} S_{i_0}(x)$ , thus  $z \notin int_Y(\bigcup_{x \in X}(\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i(x)))$ . That is  $int_Y(\bigcup_{x \in X}(\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i(x))) \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} int_Y(\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i(x))$ . This completes the proof.

The following notions and lemmas were introduced by Park in [22, 23, 24].

**Definition 2.1.** An abstract convex space  $(E, D; \Gamma)$  consists of a topological space E, a nonempty set D and a mapping  $\Gamma : \langle D \rangle \to 2^E$  with nonempty value  $\Gamma_A := \Gamma(A)$  for each  $A \in \langle D \rangle$ .

For any  $D' \subset D$ , the  $\Gamma$ -convex hull of D' is denoted and defined by

$$\operatorname{co}_{\Gamma} D' = \bigcup \{ \Gamma_A | A \in \langle D' \rangle \} \subset E$$

A subset X of E is called a  $\Gamma$ -convex subset of  $(E, D; \Gamma)$  relative to D' if for any  $N \in \langle D' \rangle$ , we have  $\Gamma_N \subset X$ , that is  $\operatorname{co}_{\Gamma} D' \subset X$ . Then  $(X, D'; \Gamma|_{\langle D' \rangle})$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -convex subspace of  $(E, D; \Gamma)$ .

When  $D \subset E$ , the space is denoted by  $(E \supset D; \Gamma)$ . In such a case, a subset X of E is said to be  $\Gamma$ -convex if  $co_{\Gamma}(X \cap D) \subset X$ ; in other words, X is  $\Gamma$ -convex relative to  $D' = X \cap D$ . If E = D, let  $(E; \Gamma) = (E, E; \Gamma)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [23] Let  $(E, D; \Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space. If a mapping  $G : D \to 2^E$  satisfies

$$\Gamma_A \subset G(A) = \bigcup_{y \in A} G(y) \text{ for all } A \in \langle D \rangle$$

then G is called a KKM mapping.

**Definition 2.3.** [23] The partial KKM principle for an abstract convex space  $(E, D; \Gamma)$  is the statement that, for any closed-valued KKM mapping  $G : D \to 2^E$ , the family  $\{G(y)\}_{y \in D}$  has the finite intersection property.

**Lemma 2.1.** [24] Let  $(E, D; \Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space and  $(X, D'; \Gamma')$  be an  $\Gamma$ -convex subspace. If  $(E, D; \Gamma)$  satisfies the partial KKM principle, then so does  $(X, D'; \Gamma')$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [23] Let  $(E, D; \Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space satisfying the partial KKM principle and  $S: D \to 2^E$  be a mapping such that

(i) for each  $z \in X$ , S(z) is open; (ii)  $E = \bigcup_{z \in M} S(z)$  for some  $M \in \langle D \rangle$ .

Then there exists a finite subset  $N \in \langle D \rangle$  such that  $\Gamma_N \cap \bigcap_{z \in N} S(z) \neq \emptyset$ .

By using the notion of unionly open mapping, we should introduce new notions of  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -mapping and  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -majorized mapping, that are majorized some mappings with unionly open lower section.

**Definition 2.4.** Let X be a topological space and Y be a nonempty subset of an abstract convex space  $(E;\Gamma)$ . Let  $\theta: X \to Y$  be a single valued mapping and  $P: X \to 2^Y$  be a set-valued mapping. Then

(i) P is said to be  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -mapping if there exists a mapping  $\psi: X \to 2^Y$  such that

(a) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $P(x) \subset \psi(x)$  and  $\theta(x) \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi(x)) \subset Y$ ; (b) the mapping  $\psi^{-}: Y \to 2^{X}$  is unionly open valued on Y.

(ii)  $(\psi_x; N_x)$  is said to be an  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -majorant of P at  $x \in \text{dom } P$  if  $N_x$  is an open neighborhood of x in X and the mapping  $\psi_x : X \to 2^Y$  satisfies

(a) for each  $z \in N_x$ , and  $\theta(z) \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi_x(z))$ ;

(b) for each  $z \in X$ ,  $P(z) \subset \psi_x(z)$ ;

(c) the mapping  $\psi_x^-: Y \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on Y.

(iii) P is said to be an  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -majorized mapping if for each  $x \in \text{dom } P$ , there exists an  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -majorant  $(\psi_x; N_x)$  of P at x.

**Remark 2.1.** The notion of  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -mapping (resp.,  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ -majorized mapping), which includes the notion of a mapping being of class  $\mathscr{L}$  (resp.,  $\mathscr{L}$ -majorized mapping) introduced by Yuan [38], and the notion of a mapping being of class  $\mathscr{L}_{\theta,F_c}^*$  (resp.,  $\mathscr{L}_{\theta,F_c}^*$ -majorized mapping) introduced by Ding and Xia [8] as special case. These notions also generalize the corresponding notions in Tan et al. [31], Hou [16], Yang and Deng [35], Ding and Yuan [9].

In this paper, we shall deal mainly with either the case (I) X = Y and X is an abstract convex space and  $\theta = I_X$ , which is the identity mapping on X, or the case (II)  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  and  $\theta = \pi_i : X \to X_i$  is the projection of X onto  $X_i$  and  $X_i$  is an abstract convex space. In both case (I) and (II), we shall write  $\mathscr{U}_A$  in place of  $\mathscr{U}_{A,\theta}$ .

### 3. Fixed point theorems

Let X be a topological space and  $T: X \to 2^X$  be a mapping. A point  $\hat{x} \in X$  is called a fixed point of T if there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $\hat{x} \in T(\hat{x})$ .

In the section, we should prove some new fixed point theorems in noncompact abstract convex space.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space satisfying the partial KKM principle and the mappings  $S, T : X \to 2^X$  be such that

(i) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $S(x) \subset T(x)$ ;

(ii) the mapping  $S^-: X \to 2^X$  is transfer open valued and for each  $x \in K$ ,  $S(x) \neq \emptyset$ ; (iii) there exists a nonempty compact subset K of X such that either (a) or (b) hold. (a) and (b) are expressed as follows.

(a)  $X \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X(T^-(z)), z \in M \}$  for some  $M \in \langle X \rangle$ ;

(b) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X(T^-(x)) : x \in L_N \}.$$

then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $\hat{x} \in co_{\Gamma}(T(\hat{x}))$ .

*Proof.* By (ii) and (i),  $K \subset \bigcup_{z \in X} (S^-(z)) = \bigcup_{z \in X} \operatorname{int}_X (S^-(z)) \subset \bigcup_{z \in X} \operatorname{int}_X (T^-(z))$ , then there exists a finite set  $N \in \langle X \rangle$  such that

$$K \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) : z \in N \}.$$
(3.1)

By (iii), if (a) holds, then

$$X = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) : z \in M \cup N \}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists a set  $A \in \langle X \rangle$ , such that  $\Gamma_A \cap \bigcap_{z \in A} \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma_A \cap \bigcap_{z \in A} \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z))$ , then for each  $z \in A$ ,  $\hat{x} \in \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) \subset T^-(z)$ , that is  $A \subset T(\hat{x})$ , thus  $\Gamma_A \subset \operatorname{cor}(T(\hat{x}))$ . Since  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma_A$ , then  $\hat{x} \in \operatorname{cor}(T(\hat{x}))$ .

If (b) holds, there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) : z \in L_N \}.$$

By (3.1),

$$L_N \cap K \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) : z \in N \} \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) : z \in L_N \}$$

then

$$L_N \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) : z \in L_N \}$$

Since  $L_N$  is compact, thus there exists a finite set  $A \in \langle L_N \rangle$  such that

 $L_N = \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) \cap L_N : z \in A \}.$ 

Define  $\Gamma' : \langle L_N \rangle \to 2^{L_N}$ , by  $\Gamma'_C = \Gamma_C \cap L_N$  for each  $C \in \langle L_N \rangle$ , then  $(L_N; \Gamma')$  is an  $\Gamma$ -convex subspace of  $(X; \Gamma)$  and satisfies the partial KKM principle by Lemma 2.1. Let  $T' : L_N \to 2^{L_N}$  by  $T'(z) = \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) \cap L_N$  for each  $z \in L_N$ , It is easy to prove that the all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a finite set  $B \in \langle L_N \rangle$  such that  $\Gamma'_B \cap \bigcap_{z \in B} T'(z) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma'_B \cap \bigcap_{z \in B} T'(z)$ , then for each  $z \in B$ ,  $\hat{x} \in T'(z) = \operatorname{int}_X(T^-(z)) \cap L_N \subset T^-(z)$ , that is  $B \subset T(\hat{x})$  and  $\Gamma_B \subset \operatorname{cor}(T(\hat{x}))$ . Since  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma'_B = \Gamma_B \cap L_N \subset \Gamma_B$ , thus  $\hat{x} \in \operatorname{cor}(T(\hat{x}))$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.1.** Theorem 3.1 extends Theorem 3.1 of Ding and Wang [7] from *FC*-space to abstract convex space. It is easy to check that Theorem 3.1 also generalizes Theorem 2.3 of Chowdhury et al. [2], Theorem 4.1 of Park [25] and Corollary 12.1 of Park [26] with more weaker hypotheses.

#### YAN-MEI DU

For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the compactly generated extension of the topology  $\tau$  is the new topology consisting of all compactly closed [resp., open] subsets. In this way, we have the following modified form of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space satisfying the partial KKM principle and the mappings  $S, T : X \to 2^X$  be such that

(i) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $S(x) \subset T(x)$ ;

(ii) the mapping  $S^-: X \to 2^X$  is transfer compactly open valued on X and for  $x \in K$ ,  $S(x) \neq \emptyset$ ;

(iii) there exists a nonempty compact subset K of X such that either (a) or (b). (a) and (b) are expressed as follows.

(a)  $X \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{int_X(T^-(z)), z \in M\}$  for some  $M \in \langle X \rangle$ ;

(b) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{int_X(T^-(x)) : x \in L_N\}.$$

then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $\hat{x} \in co_{\Gamma}(T(\hat{x}))$ .

**Proof.** Replace the topology of X by its compactly generated extension, then  $(X; \Gamma)$  with this new topology is another abstract convex space. All the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $\hat{x} \in co_{\Gamma}(T(\hat{x}))$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Theorem 3.2 generalizes Theorem 3.1 of Ding and Feng [6] and Theorem 2.4 of Chowdhury [2].

By using Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, we can derive the following fixed pointed theorem.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space satisfying the partial KKM principle and the mappings  $S, T: X \to 2^X$  be such that

(i) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $S(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $S(x) \subset T(x)$ ;

(ii) the mapping  $S^-: X \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on X and X = S(X);

(iii) there exists a nonempty compact subset K of X such that either (a) or (b). (a) and (b) are expressed as follows.

(a)  $X \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X(T^-(z)), z \in M \}$  for some  $M \in \langle X \rangle$ ;

(b) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{int_X(T^-(x)) : x \in L_N\}.$$

then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $\hat{x} \in co_{\Gamma}(T(\hat{x}))$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Corollary 3.1 generalizes Theorem 3.3 of Park [27] and Theorem 5.4 of Park [28] under weaken assumptions.

## 4. EXISTENCE OF MAXIMAL ELEMENT

Let X be a topological space and  $T: X \to 2^X$  be a mapping. A point  $\hat{x} \in X$  is called a maximal element of T if  $T(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

In the section, we shall establish some new existence theorems of maximal element for  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -mapping and  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -majorized mapping defined on noncompact abstract convex space.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X;\Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space satisfying the partial KKM principle and K be a nonempty compact subset of X. Suppose that  $P: X \to 2^X$  is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -mapping such that

(i) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X(P^-(y)) : y \in L_N \}.$$

Then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Since P is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -mapping, then there exists a mapping  $\psi : X \to 2^X$  such that (a) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $P(x) \subset \psi(x)$  and  $x \notin \operatorname{co}_{\Gamma}(\psi(x)) \subset X$ ;

(b) the mapping  $\psi^- : X \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on X.

Suppose for each  $x \in X$ ,  $P(x) \neq \emptyset$ . By (a),  $\psi(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in X$ , then  $X = \psi(X)$ . By (i) and (a), for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact abstract convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that  $L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(P^-(y)) : y \in L_N \} \subset \bigcup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(\psi^-(y)) : y \in L_N \}$ . Therefore, P and  $\psi$  satisfy all the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1. By Corollary 3.1, there exists a point  $\overline{x} \in K$  such that  $\overline{x} \in \operatorname{cor}(\psi(\overline{x}))$ , that contradicts with condition (a). Hence there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 4.1.** The condition (i) of Theorem 4.1 can be replaced by the following statement: (i)' for each  $N \in \langle D \rangle$ , there exists a compact abstract convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that for each  $x \in L_N \setminus K$ , there exists a point  $\bar{y} \in L_N$  such that  $x \in int_X(P^-(\bar{y}))$ .

Indeed, for each  $N \in \langle D \rangle$ , there exists a compact abstract convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that for each  $x \in L_N \setminus K$ , there exists  $\bar{y} \in L_N$  such that  $x \in \operatorname{int}_X(P^-(\bar{y}))$ , thus  $x \in \cup \{\operatorname{int}_X(P^-(y)) : y \in L_N\}$ , that is  $L_N \setminus K \subset \cup \{\operatorname{int}_X(P^-(y)) : y \in L_N\}$ . Thus Theorem 4.1 includes Theorem 3.1 of Yang and Deng [35] as special case. Moreover, Theorem 4.1 generalizes Theorem 1 of Kim [17], Theorem 5.1 of Ding and Wang [7] and Theorem 3.1 of Chowdhury et al. [2].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(X;\Gamma)$  be a Housdorff and paracompact abstract convex space satisfying the partial KKM principle and K be a nonempty compact subset of X. Let  $P: X \to 2^X$  be an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -majorized mapping such that

(i) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X(P^-(y)) : y \in L_N \}.$$

then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $P(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in X$ , that is dom P = X. Since P is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ majorized mapping, for each  $x \in X$ , let  $N_x$  be an open neighborhood of x in X and  $\psi_x : X \to 2^X$  be mapping such that

(1) for each  $z \in N_x$ ,  $z \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi_x(z))$ ;

YAN-MEI DU

(2) for each  $z \in X$ ,  $P(z) \subset \psi_x(z)$ ; (3) the mapping  $\psi_x^- : X \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on X.

Since X is Housdorff and paracompact, then X is regular. For each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $G_x$  of x in X such that  $cl_X G_x \subset N_x$ . By Theorem VIII.1.4 of Dugundji [11], the open covering  $\{G_x\}$  of X has an open precise neighborhood finite refinement  $\{G'_x\}$ . Given any  $x \in X$ , we define the mapping  $\psi'_x : X \to 2^X$ by

$$\psi'_x(z) = \begin{cases} \psi_x(z) & \text{if } z \in \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x; \\ X & \text{if } z \in X \backslash \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x. \end{cases}$$

then we have

(i) by (3.1),  $P(z) \subset \psi'_x(z)$  for each  $z \in X$ ;

(ii) for each 
$$y \in X$$
, the set

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi'_x)^-(y) &= \{ z \in \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x : y \in \psi_x(z) \} \cup \{ z \in X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x : y \in X \} \\ &= [(\operatorname{cl}_X G'_x) \cap \psi^-_x(y)] \cup (X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x) \\ &= \psi^-_x(y) \cup (X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x) \end{aligned}$$

By dom P = X and (2), then dom  $\psi_x = X$ , thus  $\psi_x^-$  is transfer open valued by Proposition 2.1. It is easy to check that  $(\psi'_x)^-$  is transfer open valued. Now define  $\psi: X \to 2^X$  by

$$\psi(z) = \bigcap_{x \in X} \psi'_x(z)$$
 for each  $z \in X$ 

(a) For each  $z \in X$ , then there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $z \in cl_X G'_x$ , so that  $\psi'_x(z) = \psi_x(z)$  and hence  $\psi(z) \subset \psi_x(z)$ . By (3.1), we have  $z \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi(z))$ .

(b) Now we prove that  $P(z) \subset \psi(z)$  for each  $z \in X$ . Indeed, for each  $x \in X$ , if  $z \in X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x$ , then  $\psi'_x(z) = X$ , so  $P(z) \subset \psi'_x(z)$ ; if  $z \in \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x$ , we have  $z \in \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x \subset \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x$ .  $cl_X G_x \subset N_x$ , by (3.1),  $P(z) \subset \psi_x(z) \subset \psi_x'(z)$ . It follows that  $P(z) \subset \psi_x'(z)$  for all  $x \in X$ , thus  $P(z) \subset \bigcap_{x \in X} \psi'_x(z) = \psi(z)$ .

(c) Finally we show that the mapping  $\psi^- : X \to 2^X$  is transfer open valued on X. Indeed, let  $y_0 \in X$  be such that  $\psi^-(y_0) \neq \emptyset$ . Given a point u such that

$$u \in \psi^{-}(y_0) = \{ z \in X : y_0 \in \psi(z) \}.$$

Since  $\{G'_x\}$  is a neighborhood finite refinement, there exists an open neighborhood  $M_u$  of u in X such that  $\{x \in X : M_u \cap G'_x \neq \emptyset\} = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ . Note that for each  $x \in X$  with  $x \notin \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}, \emptyset = M_u \cap G'_x = M_u \cap \operatorname{cl}_X G'_x$ , so  $\psi'_x(z) = X$  for  $z \in M_u$ . Then we have  $\psi(z) = \bigcap_{x \in X} \psi'_x(z) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \psi'_{x_i}(z)$  for all  $z \in M_u$ . It follows that

$$\psi^{-}(y_{0}) = \{z \in X : y_{0} \in \psi(z)\} = \{z \in X : y_{0} \in \bigcap_{x \in X} \psi'_{x}(z)\}$$
  

$$\supset \{z \in M_{u} : y_{0} \in \bigcap_{x \in X} \psi'_{x}(z)\}$$
  

$$= \{z \in M_{u} : y_{0} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \psi'_{x_{i}}(z)\}$$
  

$$= M_{u} \cap \{z \in X : y_{0} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \psi'_{x_{i}}(z)\}$$
  

$$= M_{u} \cap [\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (\psi'_{x_{i}})^{-}(y_{0})]$$

then  $M_u \cap (\bigcup_{y \in X} \operatorname{int}_X [\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\psi'_{x_i})^-(y)]) \subset \bigcup_{y \in X} \operatorname{int}_X (\psi^-(y))$ . Since  $(\psi'_{x_i})^-$  is transfer open valued on X by (iii), then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\psi'_{x_i})^-$  is transfer open by Proposition 2.2, that is  $\bigcup_{y \in X} \operatorname{int}_X [\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\psi'_{x_i})^-(y)] = \bigcup_{y \in X} [\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\psi'_{x_i})^-(y)]$ . Note  $y_0 \in \psi(u) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (\psi'_{x_i})(u)$ ,  $u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (\psi'_{x_i})^-(y_0)$ , then  $u \in M_u \cap (\bigcup_{y \in X} [\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\psi'_{x_i})^-(y)])$ , thus  $u \in \bigcup_{y \in X} \operatorname{int}_X (\psi^-(y))$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{y \in X} \psi^-(y) = \bigcup_{y \in X} \operatorname{int}_X (\psi^-(y))$ . This shows that  $\psi^- : Y \to 2^X$  is transfer open valued on X. Therefore, all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a point  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that  $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{cor}(\psi(\bar{x}))$ , that contradicts with condition (a). Thus there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.2.** Theorem 4.2 improves and generalizes Theorem 3.3 of Ding and Xia [8] from *G*-convex space to abstract convex space. Theorem 4.2 also generalizes Theorem 3.3 of Yang and Deng [35], Theorem 2.3 of Ding and Yuan [9].

### 5. Equilibrium of abstract economy

Let I be a finite or infinite set of players. For each  $i \in I$ , let its strategy set  $X_i$ be nonempty subset of an abstract convex space with  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ .  $P_i : X \to 2^{X_i}$ be the preference correspondence of *i*-th player. Following the notion of Gale and Mas-Colell [12], the collection  $\Lambda = (X_i; P_i)_{i \in I}$  will be called a qualitative game. A point  $\hat{x} \in X$  is said to be an equilibrium of the qualitative game, if  $P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .

A generalized game (=abstract economy) is a quadruples family

$$\Lambda = (X_i; A_i; B_i; P_i)_{i \in I}$$

where I is a finite or infinite set of players such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $X_i$  is a nonempty subset of an abstract convex space with  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ .  $A_i, B_i : X \to 2^{X_i}$  are the constraint correspondences and  $P_i : X \to 2^{X_i}$  is the preference correspondence. When  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , where N is a positive integer,  $\Lambda = (X_i; A_i; B_i; P_i)_{i \in I}$  is also called an N-person game. Particularly, if  $I = \{1\}, \Lambda = (X; A; B; P)$  is said to be one person game. An equilibrium of the generalized game  $\Lambda$  is a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that for each  $i \in I, \hat{x}_i = \pi_i(\hat{x}) \in \overline{B}_i(\hat{x})$  and  $A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

The notion of abstract economy in abstract convex space is the natural extension of the abstract economy introduced by Nash [21], Tarafdar [33], Yang and Deng [35], Borglin and Keiding [1], Yannelis and Prabhakar[36].

The following Lemma shows the product of a family of abstract convex space is also an abstract convex space.

**Lemma 5.1.** [23, 29] Let  $\{(X_i, D_i; \Gamma_i)\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of abstract convex spaces. Let  $X := \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  be equipped with the product topology and  $D := \prod_{i \in I} D_i$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\pi_i : D \to D_i$  be the projection. For each  $A \in \langle D \rangle$ , define  $\Gamma(A) := \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma_i(\pi_i(A))$ . Then  $(X, D; \Gamma)$  is an abstract convex space.

We now apply Theorem 4.1 to establish a new existence theorem of equilibrium for one person game in abstract convex space. **Theorem 5.1.** Let  $(X;\Gamma)$  be an abstract convex space satisfying the partial KKM principle and K be a compact subset of X. Suppose the mappings  $A, B, P: X \to 2^X$  satisfy

(i) the mapping  $A^-: X \to 2^X$  is transfer open valued on X;

(ii) dom P = X and P is an  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -mapping;

(iii) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X((A \cap P)^-(y)) : y \in L_N \}.$$

Then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $\hat{x} \in \overline{B}(\hat{x})$  and  $A(\hat{x}) \cap P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W = \{x \in X : x \notin \overline{B}(x)\}$ , then W is open in X. Define  $Q : X \to 2^X$  by

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} P(x) & \text{if } x \in W; \\ A(x) \cap P(x) & \text{if } x \notin W. \end{cases}$$

By (ii), P is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -mapping, then there exists a mapping  $\psi : X \to 2^X$  such that (a) for each  $x \in X, P(x) \subset \psi(x)$  and  $x \notin \operatorname{co}_{\Gamma}(\psi(x))$ ;

(b) the mapping  $\psi^-: X \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on X.

Define  $\Psi: X \to 2^X$  by

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x) & \text{if } x \in W; \\ A(x) \cap \psi(x) & \text{if } x \notin W. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

(a') by (a), for each  $x \in X$ ,  $Q(x) \subset \Psi(x)$ , if  $x \in W$ ,  $x \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi(x)) = co_{\Gamma}(\Psi(x))$ ; if  $x \notin W$ , by  $A(x) \cap \psi(x) \subset \psi(x)$ ,  $x \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi(x) \cap A(x)) = co_{\Gamma}(\Psi(x))$ , thus  $x \notin co_{\Gamma}(\Psi(x))$  for each  $x \in X$ ;

(b') for each  $y \in X$ ,

$$\Psi^{-}(y) = \{x \in X : y \in \Psi(x)\} = \{x \in W : y \in \psi(x)\} \cup \{x \in X \setminus W : y \in A(x) \cap \psi(x)\}$$

 $= [\psi^-(y) \cap W] \cup [(X \setminus W) \cap \psi^-(y) \cap A^-(y)] = [W \cup A^-(y)] \cap \psi^-(y).$ 

By (i), we can obtain that  $W \cup A^{-}(y)$  is transfer open, then the mapping  $\Psi^{-} : X \to 2^{X}$  is unionly open valued on X by (b) and Proposition 2.2. This shows that Q is an  $\mathscr{U}_{A}$ -mapping. By the definition of Q and condition (ii), for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_{N}$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X((A \cap P)^-(y)) : y \in L_N \} \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(Q^-(y)) : y \in L_N \}.$$

Hence all the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. By Theorem 4.1, there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $Q(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . By the definition of Q and condition (iii),  $\hat{x} \notin W$ , that is  $\hat{x} \in \overline{B}(\hat{x})$  and  $A(\hat{x}) \cap P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 5.1.** Theorem 5.1 improves Theorem 5.1 of Ding and Feng [6] from two aspects.

(i) The underlying space changes from FC-space to abstract convex space;

(ii) The preference correspondence P is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -mapping mapping instead of the  $\mathscr{L}_F^*$  class mapping.

Moreover, Theorem 5.1 generalizes Theorem 3.2 of Ding and Yuan [9] from topological vector space to abstract convex space under weaken assumptions.

From Theorem 4.2, we can derive the following existence of equilibrium for qualitative game.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\Lambda = (X_i; P_i)_{i \in I}$  be a qualitative game, For each  $i \in I$ , suppose the following conditions are satisfied

(i)  $(X_i; \Gamma_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of paracompact abstract convex space such that  $(X; \Gamma)$  satisfies the partial KKM principle and K is a nonempty compact subset of X; (ii)  $P_i: X \to 2^{X_i}$  is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -majorized mapping; (iii)  $W_i = \{x \in X : P_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in X; (iv) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N

such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X(P_i^-(\pi_i(y))) : y \in L_N \}.$$

Then  $\Lambda$  has an equilibrium point in K.

*Proof.* For each  $x \in X$ , let  $I(x) = \{i \in I : P_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Define a mapping  $P'_i : X \to 2^X$ by  $P'_i(x) = \pi_i^-(P_i(x)) = \prod_{i \in I, i \neq i} X_i \otimes P_i(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ , where the mapping  $\pi_i: X \to X_i$  is projection of X onto  $X_i$ . Furthermore, define the mapping  $P: X \to 2^X$ by

$$P(x) = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} P'_i(x) & \text{if } I(x) \neq \emptyset; \\ \emptyset & \text{if } I(x) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $P(x) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $I(x) \neq \emptyset$ . We shall show that P is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -majorized mapping. For each  $x \in X$  with  $P(x) \neq \emptyset$ , let  $i \in I(x)$  with  $P_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ , by (ii) , let  $N_x$  be an open neighborhood of x in X and  $\psi_{i,x}: X \to 2^{X_i}$  be mapping such that

(a) for each  $z \in N_x$ ,  $z_i \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi_{i,x}(z))$ ;

(b) for each  $z \in X$ ,  $P_i(z) \subset \psi_{i,x}(z)$ ; (c) the mapping  $\psi_{i,x}^- : X_i \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on  $X_i$ .

By (iii), we may assume that  $N_x \subset W_i$ , hence  $P_i(z) \neq \emptyset$  and  $i \in I(z)$  for all  $z \in N_x$ . Now define the mapping  $\psi_x : X \to 2^X$  by

$$\psi_x(z) = \pi_i^-(\psi_{i,x}(z))$$
 for each  $z \in X$ .

Then we have

(a') for each  $z \in N_x$ , by  $(a), z \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi_x(z))$ ;  $(b') \text{ for each } z \in X, \text{ by } (b), P(z) = \bigcap_{i \in I(z)} P'_i(z) \subset P'_i(z) = \pi_i^-(P_i(z)) \subset \pi_i^-(\psi_{i,x}(z)) = \prod_{i \in I(z)} P'_i(z) \subset P'_i(z) \subset \pi_i^-(\psi_{i,x}(z)) \subset \pi_i^-(\psi_{i,x}(z)$  $\phi_x(z);$ 

(c') for each  $y \in X$ ,  $\psi_x^-(y) = \{z \in X : y \in \psi_x(z)\} = \{z \in X : y_i \in \psi_{i,x}(z)\} = \psi_{i,x}^-(y_i)$ is unionly open in X by (b).

(a), (b) and (c) show that P is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -majorized mapping. By  $P^-(y) = P_i^-(\pi_i(y))$ and condition (iv), for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact abstract convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(P_i^-(\pi_i(y)) : y \in L_N) \} = \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(P^-(y)) : y \in L_N \}.$$

Hence all the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied. By Theorem 4.2, there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . That implies that  $I(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ , thus  $P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$  **Remark 5.2.** Theorem 5.2 generalizes Theorem 6.2 of Ding and Wang [7] in which the  $\mathscr{L}_F$ -majorized mapping is replaced by  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -mapping mapping.

By using Theorem 5.2, we can obtain the following equilibrium existence theorem for a noncompact generalized game.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $\Lambda = (X_i; A_i; B_i; P_i)_{i \in I}$  be a generalized game. Let K be a compact subset of X. Suppose that for each  $i \in I$ ,

(i)  $(X_i; \Gamma_i)$  is a paracompact abstract convex space such that  $(X; \Gamma)$  satisfies the partial KKM principle;

(ii) for each  $y \in X_i$ ,  $A_i^-(y)$  is transfer open in X;

(iii)  $W_i = \{x \in X : (A_i \cap P_i)(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in X;

(iv) dom  $P_i = X$  and  $P_i : X \to 2^{X_i}$  is an  $\mathcal{U}_A$ -majorized mapping;

(v) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact  $\Gamma$ -convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup \{ int_X((A_i \cap P_i)^-(\pi_i(y))) : y \in L_N \}.$$

Then  $\Lambda$  has an equilibrium point  $\hat{x}$  in K.

*Proof.* For each  $i \in I$ , let  $F_i = \{x \in X : x_i \notin \overline{B}_i(x)\}$ , then  $F_i$  is open in X. Define  $Q_i : X \to 2^{X_i}$  by

$$Q_i(x) = \begin{cases} P_i(x) & \text{if } x \in F_i; \\ A_i(x) \cap P_i(x) & \text{if } x \notin F_i. \end{cases}$$

We shall prove that the qualitative game  $\Lambda' = (X_i, Q_i)_{i \in I}$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2. For each  $i \in I$ , we have that the set

$$\{x \in X : Q_i(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in F_i : P_i(x) \neq \emptyset\} \cup \{x \in X \setminus F_i : (A_i \cap P_i)(x) \neq \emptyset\}$$
$$= F_i \cup [(X \setminus F_i) \cap W_i] = F_i \cup W_i$$

is open in X and hence the condition (iii) of Theorem 5.2 is satisfied. By (v), for each  $x \in X$ , there exist an open neighborhood  $N_x$  of x in X and a mapping  $\psi_{i,x} : X \to 2^{X_i}$  such that

(a) for each  $z \in N_x$ ,  $z_i \notin co_{\Gamma}(\psi_{i,x}(z))$ ;

(b) for each  $z \in X$ ,  $P_i(z) \subset \psi_{i,x}(z)$ ;

(c) the mapping  $\psi_{i,x}^-: X_i \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on  $X_i$ . Define  $\Psi_{i,x}: X \to 2^{X_i}$  by

$$\Psi_{i,x}(z) = \begin{cases} \psi_{i,x}(z) & \text{if } z \in F_i; \\ A_i(z) \cap \psi_{i,x}(z) & \text{if } z \notin F_i. \end{cases}$$

Now for each  $x \in X$  with  $Q_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ , the set  $U_x = N_x$  is open in X. Then (a') by (a), for each  $z \in U_x$ , if  $z \in U_x \cap F_i$ ,  $z \notin \operatorname{co}_{\Gamma}(\psi_{i,x}(z)) = \operatorname{co}_{\Gamma}(\Psi_{i,x}(z))$ ; if  $z \in U_x \cap (X \setminus F_i)$ , by  $A_i(z) \cap \psi_{i,x}(z) \subset \psi_{i,x}(z)$ ,  $z \notin \operatorname{co}_{\Gamma}(A_i(z) \cap \psi_{i,x}(z)) = \operatorname{co}_{\Gamma}(\Psi_{i,x}(z))$ , that is  $z \notin \operatorname{co}_{\Gamma}(\Psi_{i,x}(z))$  for each  $z \in U_x$ ; (b') by (b), for each  $z \in X$ ,  $Q_i(z) \subset \Psi_{i,x}(z)$ ; (c') for each  $y \in X_i$ ,

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{i,x}^{-}(y) &= \{ z \in X : y \in \Psi_{i,x}(z) \} = \{ z \in F_i : y \in \psi_{i,x}(x) \} \\ &\cup \{ z \in X \setminus F_i : y \in \psi_{i,x}(z) \cap A_i(z) \} \\ &= \psi_{i,x}^{-}(y) \cap [F_i \cup A_i^{-}(y)] \end{split}$$

By (i), it is easy to check that  $W_i \cup A_i^-(y)$  is transfer open, then the mapping  $\Psi_{i,x}^-$ :  $X \to 2^X$  is unionly open valued on X by (c) and Proposition 2.2. Thus  $Q_i$  is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -majorized mapping. By the definition of Q and condition (vi), for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact abstract convex subset  $L_N$  of X containing N such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X((A_i \cap P_i)^-(\pi_i(y)) : y \in L_N \} \subset \cup \{ \operatorname{int}_X(Q_i^-(\pi_i(y)) : y \in L_N \}.$$

Hence, all the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied. By Theorem 5.2, there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $Q_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset(i \in I)$ . By the definition of  $Q_i, \hat{x} \in X \setminus F_i$ , that is for all  $i \in I, \hat{x}_i \in \overline{B}_i(\hat{x})$  and  $A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 5.3.** Theorem 5.3 greatly improves Theorem 2.2 of Hou [16] from three aspects.

(i) The underlying space changes from topological vector space to abstract convex space;

(ii) The preference correspondence  $P_i$  is an  $\mathscr{U}_A$ -majorized mapping instead of the  $\mathscr{L}^*$ -majorized mapping;

(iii) The constraint correspondence  $A_i$  has transfer open lower section instead of open.

Moreover, Theorem 5.3 also generalizes Theorem 3.1 of Yuan [38], Theorem 6.1 of Yannelis and Prabhakar [36] under weaken hypotheses.

### References

- A. Borglin, H. Keiding, Existence of equilibrium actions and of equilibrium \*: A note on the new-existence theorems, J. Math. Economics, 3(1976), 313–316.
- [2] M.S.R. Chowdhury, E. Tarafdar, K.K. Tan, Minimax inequalities on G-convex spaces with applications to generalized games, Nonlinear Anal., 43(2001), 253–275.
- [3] G. Debreu, A social equilibrium existence theorem, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 38(1952), 886– 893.
- [4] X.P. Ding, Maximal element theorems in product FC-spaces and generalized games, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 305(2005), 29–42.
- [5] X.P. Ding, Maximal elements of G<sub>KKM</sub>-majorized mappings in product FC-spaces and applications (I), Nonlinear Anal., 67(2007), 963–973.
- [6] X.P. Ding, H.R. Feng, Fixed point theorems and existence of equilibrium points of noncompact abstract economies for L<sup>\*</sup><sub>F</sub>-majorized mappings in FC-spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 72(2010), 65– 76.
- [7] X.P. Ding, L. Wang, Fixed points, minimax inequalities and equilibria of noncompact abstract economies in FC-spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 69(2008), 730-746.
- X.P. Ding, F.Q. Xia, Equilibria of nonparacompact generalized games with L<sub>Fc</sub>-majorized correspondences in G-convex spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 56(2004), 831–849.
- X.P. Ding, G.X.Z. Yuan, The study of existence of equilibria for generalized games without lower semicontinuity in locally topological vector spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 227(1998), 420–438.
- [10] Y.M. Du, L. Deng, Equilibrium existence theorems of generalized games for generalized  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta,F_c}$ -majorized mapping in topological space, Nonlinear Anal., **67**(2007), 316–326.
- [11] J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Inc, Boston, 1966.
- [12] D. Gale, A. Mas-Colell, On the role of complete, transitive preferences in equilibrium theory, in: Equilibrium and Disequilibrium in Economic Theory (G. Schwodiauer - Ed.), Reider, Dordrecht, 1978, pp. 7–14.
- [13] C. Horvath, Contractibility and general convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 156(1991), 341-357.
- [14] C. Horvath, Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, M. Dekker, New York, 1987.

#### YAN-MEI DU

- [15] J.C. Hou, A new generalization of the Yannelis-Prabhakar equilibrium existence theorem for abstract economies, Nonlinear Anal., 68(2008), 3159–3165.
- [16] J.C. Hou, Existence of equilibria for generalized games without paracompactness, Nonlinear Anal., 56(2004), 625–632.
- [17] W.K. Kim, Existence of maximal element and equilibrium for a nonparacompact N-person game, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 116(1992), 797–807.
- [18] L.J. Lin, Q.H. Ansari, Collective fixed points and maximal elements with applications to abstract economies, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 296(2004), 455–472.
- [19] D.T. Luc, E. Sarabi, A. Soubeyran, Existence of solutions in variational relation problems without convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 364(2010), 544–555.
- [20] G. Mehta, K.K. TAN, X.Z. Yuan, Fixed points, maximal elements and equilibria of generalzied games, Nonlinear Anal., 28(1997), 689–699.
- [21] J.F. Nash, Equilibrium points in N-person games, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 36(1950), 48–59.
- [22] S. Park, On generalizations of the KKM principle on abstract convex spaces, Nonlinear Anal. Forum, 11(2006), 67–77.
- [23] S. Park, The KKM principle in abstract convex spaces: Equivalent formulations and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 73(2010), 1028–1042.
- [24] S. Park, New generalizations of basic theorems in the KKM theory, Nonlinear Anal., 74(2011), 3000–3010.
- [25] S. Park, Remarks on some basic concepts in the KKM theory, Nonlinear Anal., 74(2011), 2439– 2447.
- [26] S. Park, Recent results in analytical fixed point theory, Nonlinear Anal., 63(2005), 977–986.
- [27] S. Park, Applications of some basic theorems in the KKM theory, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 98(2011), 1–14.
- [28] S. Park, New generalization of basic theorems in the KKM theory, Nonlinear Anal., 74(2011), 3000–3010.
- [29] S.Park, The Fan minimax inequality implies the Nash equilibrium theorem, Applied Math. Letters, **24**(2011), 2206–2210.
- [30] S. Park, H. Kim, Admissible classes of multifunctions on generalized convex spaces, Proc. Coll. Natur. Sci. SNU, 18(1993), 1–21.
- [31] K.K. Tan, J. Yu, X.Z. Yuan, Some new minmax inequalities and applications to existence of equilibria in H-spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 24(1995), 1457–1470.
- [32] K.K. Tan, X.Z. Yuan, A minimax inequality with applications to existence of equilibrium points, Bull. Austral. Math., 47(1993), 483–503.
- [33] E. Tarafdar, Fixed point theorem in H-spaces and equilibrium points of abstract economies, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A, 53(1992), 252–260.
- [34] X. Wu, New existence theorems for maximal elements in noncompact H-spaces with applications to equilibrium of games, Computer Math. Appl., 40(2000), 1097–1106.
- [35] M.G. Yang, L. Deng, Equilibria of nonparacompact generalized games with L<sub>c</sub>-majorized correspondences in FC-spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 70(2009), 890–903.
- [36] N.C. Yannelis, N.D. Prabhakar, Existence of maximal elements and equilibria in linear topological spaces, J. Math. Econ., 12(1983), 233–245.
- [37] G.X.Z. Yuan, Remarks on quasi-variational inequalities and fixed points in locally convex topological vector spaces, Appl. Math. Letters, 10(1997), 55–61.
- [38] G.X.Z. Yuan, The existence of equilibria for noncompact generalized games, Appl. Math. Letters, 13(2000), 57–63.
- [39] X.Z. Yuan, E. Tarafdar, Existence of equilibria of generalized games without compactness and paracompact, Nonlinear Anal., 26(1996), 893–902.

Received: July 22, 2013; Accepted: May 19, 2014.