*Fixed Point Theory*, 15(2014), No. 2, 559-578 http://www.math.ubbcluj.ro/~nodeacj/sfptcj.html

# THE GENERALIZED RETRACTION METHODS IN FIXED POINT THEORY FOR NONSELF OPERATORS

#### IOAN A. RUS

Babeş-Bolyai University, Department of Mathematics Kogălniceanu Street, No. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania E-mail: iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro

**Abstract.** Starting from some notions and techniques presented in a paper by R.F. Brown (R.F. Brown, *Retraction methods in Nielsen fixed point theory*, Pacific J. Math., 115(1984), 277-297) we introduce the notion of generalized retract of an operator. Some generic examples of such retracts are given. Using the techniques related to this generalized retract, we present some fixed point theorems for nonself operators on partial ordered sets, metric spaces, generalized metric spaces and Banach spaces. The conjecture of the generalized retracts reads as follows: Let f be a nonself operator defined on a subset with nonempty boundary. Each boundary condition (Leray-Schauder, Rothe, inwardness, outwardness, ...) on f implies the existence of a generalized retract of f.

Key Words and Phrases: Partial ordered set, metric space, generalized metric space, Banach space, nonself operator, fixed point, retract, generalized retract, abstract interval, measure of non-compactness, fixed point structure.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25, 54C15, 06B23, 47H09, 47H08.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Retraction Operator Principle is a very old and useful technique in fixed point theory of nonself operators ([23], [47], [67], [22], [21], [80], [97], [102], [51], [35], [48], [68], [96], ...). In this paper we introduce the notion of generalized retract of an operator and, using the technique of proof in terms of this notion, we present some fixed point theorems for nonself operators on: partial ordered sets, metric spaces, generalized metric spaces and Banach spaces. Our results are in connection with Problem 5 in [101]. Ours motivating works are, mainly, [22], [29], [48] and [97].

Throughout this paper we follow the terminologies and notations in [100] and [101]. For the convenience of the reader we shall recall some of them:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{N} &:= \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\},\\ \mathbb{N}^* &:= \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\},\\ \mathbb{R} &:= \text{the set of real numbers,}\\ \mathbb{R}_+ &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\},\\ \mathbb{R}^*_+ &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}.\\ \text{Let } X \text{ be a nonempty set and } f : X \to X \text{ be an operator. Then:}\\ P(X) &:= \{Y \subset X \mid Y \neq \emptyset\},\\ f^0 &:= 1_X, f^1 := f, f^2 := f \circ f, \dots, f^{n+1} := f \circ f^n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{split}$$

 $F_f := \{x \in X \mid f(x) = x\}$  - the fixed point set of f,

 $F_f = \{x^*\}$ , means that the operator f has a unique fixed point and we denote this fixed point by  $x^*$ .

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then:

 $P_b(X) := \{ Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is a bounded set} \},\$ 

 $P_{cl}(X) := \{ Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is a closed set} \},\$ 

 $P_{cp}(X) := \{ Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is a compact set} \}.$ 

If X is a set with a convex structure, then

$$P_{co}(X) := \{ Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is a convex set} \}.$$

Since this paper is not self-contained, we mention the following general references for fixed point theory in:

- (1) partial ordered sets (posets):  $[2], [12], [31], [16], [49], [58], [69], [96], [102], \ldots$
- (2) metric and generalized metric spaces: [67], [46], [10], [98], [102], [103], [40], ...
- (3) Banach spaces: [46], [67], [23], [69], [37], [81], [102], [113], [109], ...
- (4) linear topological spaces: [47], [102], [109], [113], ...
- (5) topological spaces:  $[23], [47], [102], [109], \ldots$

For the fixed point structure theory and for category theory see: [100], [8], [75], [101], ...

## 2. Set-theoretic aspects

Let X be a nonempty set,  $Y \subset X$  be a nonempty subset of X and  $f: Y \to X$  be a nonself operator. By definition a self operator,  $\rho_f: Y \to Y$  is called a fixed point self operator of f iff,  $F_f = F_{\rho_f}$ . If in addition,  $x \in Y$ ,  $f(x) \in Y$  imply that  $\rho_f(x) = f(x)$ , then  $\rho_f$  is called a generalized retract of the nonself operator f. Before giving some examples, we present an abstract notion of interval on a nonempty set.

By definition an operator,  $[\cdot, \cdot] : X \times X \to P(X)$  is called interval operator if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) [x, y] = [y, x], for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (2)  $x, y \in [x, y]$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (3)  $[x, x] = \{x\}$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

We shall use the following notations:

$$egin{aligned} [x,y] &:= [x,y] \setminus \{x\}, \ [x,y] &:= [x,y] \setminus \{y\}, \end{aligned}$$

 $]x,y[:=[x,y]\setminus\{x,y\}.$ 

Let us give some examples of interval structure on a set X.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a supsemilattice and  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$[x,y] := \{z \in X \mid x \le z \le x \lor y\} \cup \{z \in X \mid y \le z \le x \lor y\},$$

satisfies the axioms (1), (2) and (3).

If  $(X, \leq)$  is a poset and  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \leq y$ , then  $[x, y] := \{z \in X \mid x \leq z \leq y\}$ . We shall denote such an interval by  $[x, y]_{\leq}$ , i.e., the ordered set interval.

**Example 2.2.** Let (X, +, K) be a (real or complex) linear space. Then,

$$[x,y] := \{(1-\lambda)x + \lambda y \mid 0 \le \lambda \le 1\}$$

satisfies the axioms (1), (2) and (3). We shall denote it by  $[x, y]_l$ , i.e., the linear space interval.

**Example 2.3.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then,

 $[x,y] := \{ z \in X \mid d(x,z) + d(z,y) = d(x,y) \}$ 

satisfies the axioms (1), (2) and (3). We shall denote it by  $[x, y]_d$ , i.e., the metric interval.

For various notions of interval in a metric space, see: [48], [64], [63], [74], [79], [107], ...

Let  $(X, [\cdot, \cdot])$  be a set with an interval structure. Then by definition a subset  $Y \subset X$  is a convex subset if,  $x, y \in Y$  imply  $[x, y] \subset Y$ . By definition,  $\emptyset$  is a convex subset.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{co}(X) := \{Y \subset X \mid Y \text{ is a convex subset}\}$ . We remark that  $\mathcal{P}_{co}(X)$  is an abstract convex structure on X, i.e.,  $\emptyset$  and  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{co}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{co}(X)$  is closed under arbitrary intersection (see [74] and [107]). If  $Y \in P(X)$ , then

 $coY := \bigcap \{ Z \mid Y \subset Z, \ Z \text{ - convex subset} \}.$ 

Now we shall give some generic examples of fixed point self operators and of generalized retracts of a nonself operator.

**Example 2.4** (R.F. Brown [22]). Let  $f: Y \to X$  be an operator and  $\rho: X \to Y$  be a set retraction, i.e.,  $\rho|_Y = 1_Y$ . If X is a structured set (ordered set, topological space, ...),  $Y \subset X$ , then  $\rho: X \to Y$  is a retraction with respect to that structure (ordered set retraction, topological retraction, ...) if  $\rho$  is a set retraction and  $\rho$  is a morphism (see [52], [75]) with respect to that structure (increasing, continuous, ...). By definition  $f: Y \to X$  is retractible with respect to the retraction  $\rho: X \to Y$  if  $F_f = F_{\rho \circ f}$ . In this case,  $\rho_f := \rho \circ f$  is a (generalized) retract of f. For example:

(1) Let  $(X, +, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space and  $\overline{B}(0; 1) := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . Then the operator  $\rho: X \to \overline{B}(0; 1)$ , defined by

$$\rho(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \|x\| \le 1, \\ \frac{1}{\|x\|} x & \text{if } \|x\| \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

is a topological retraction of X onto  $\overline{B}(0;1)$ . One names this retraction, the radial retraction.

Let  $f : \overline{B}(0;1) \to X$  be an operator. If f satisfies the following condition (Leray-Schauder condition)

 $x \in X$ , ||x|| = 1,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f(x) = \lambda x$  imply  $\lambda \le 1$ ,

then f is retractible with respect to  $\rho$ .

(2) Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space,  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  and

$$Y_{r_1, r_2} := \{ x \in X \mid r_1 \le \|x\| \le r_2 \}.$$

Then the operator  $\rho_{r_1,r_2}:X\setminus\{0\}\to Y_{r_1,r_2}$  defined by

$$\rho_{r_1,r_2}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{r_1}{\|x\|} & \text{if } 0 < \|x\| \le r_1 \\ x & \text{if } r_1 \le x \le r_2 \\ \frac{r_2}{\|x\|} & \text{if } \|x\| \ge r_2, \end{cases}$$

is a topological retraction of  $X \setminus \{0\}$  on  $Y_{r_1,r_2}$ .

Let  $f: Y_{r_1,r_2} \to X \setminus \{0\}$  be an operator. If:

- (i)  $||x|| = r_1$  implies  $f(x) \neq \lambda x, \forall \lambda \in ]0, 1[,$
- (ii)  $||x|| = r_2 \text{ implies } f(x) \neq \lambda x, \forall \lambda > 1,$

then f is retractible with respect to  $\rho_{r_1,r_2}$ .

For the general theory of retracts see: [15] and [52].

**Example 2.5.** Let  $(X, [\cdot, \cdot])$  be a nonempty set with an interval structure. Let  $f : Y \to X$  be an operator. We suppose that:

$$x \in Y, \ f(x) \in X \setminus Y \ imply \ ]x, f(x)] \cap Y \neq \emptyset$$
 (GR)

We call this condition, generalized retract condition.

Under the condition (GR) we define the multivalued operator

$$R_f: Y \to P(Y), \ R_f(x) := \begin{cases} \{f(x)\} & \text{if } f(x) \in Y, \\ ]x, f(x)] & \text{if } f(x) \in X \setminus Y. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\rho_f : Y \to Y$  be a selection of  $R_f$ , i.e.,  $\rho_f(x) \in R_f(x)$ , for all  $x \in Y$ . Then we have that:

$$F_f = F_{\rho_f} = F_{R_f}.$$
  
So,  $\rho_f$  is a generalized retract of  $f$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $(X, [\cdot, \cdot])$  be a nonempty set with an interval structure. Let  $f : Y \to X$  be an operator and  $x_0 \in Y$ . We suppose that:

$$x \in Y, \ f(x) \in X \setminus Y \ imply \ ]x_0, f(x)] \neq \emptyset \ and \ x \notin \ ]x_0, f(x)]$$
 (GR<sub>x0</sub>)

Under the condition,  $(GR_{x_0})$ , we define the multivalued operator

$$R_f: Y \to P(Y), \ R_f(x) := \begin{cases} \{f(x)\} & \text{if } f(x) \in Y, \\ [x_0, f(x)] & \text{if } f(x) \in X \setminus Y. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\rho_f$  be a selection of  $R_f$ . Then,

$$F_f = F_{\rho_f} = F_{R_f}.$$

So,  $\rho_f$  is a generalized retract of the nonself operator f.

**Remark 2.1.** If an operator  $f: Y \to X$  has a generalized retract,  $\rho_f: Y \to Y$ , such that  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ . So, the problem is to find for a nonself operator f a generalized retract  $\rho_f$  such that,  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Example 2.7.** Let  $f: Y \to X$  be an injective operator such that  $Y \subset f(Y)$ . Let us take,  $\rho_f := f^{-1}|_Y : Y \to Y$ . Then,  $F_f = F_{\rho_f}$ . So,  $\rho_f$  is a self fixed point operator for f.

For more considerations on such operator see:  $[109](pp. 59-60), [5], [6], [7], [50], [104], \ldots$ 

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $f : Y \to X$  be a nonself operator and  $\rho : X \to Y$  be a set retraction. The formal boundary of Y with respect to f and  $\rho$  is by definition,  $\partial_{f,\rho}(Y) := \rho(f(Y) \setminus Y)$  (for other type of formal boundary see [20], [18], [43] and [93]).

We have

**Lemma 2.1.** The operator f is retractible with respect to  $\rho$  if

$$x \in \partial_{f,\rho}(Y), \ x = \rho(f(x)) \ imply \ f(x) = x.$$

Let X be a nonempty set,  $S(X) \subset P(X)$ ,  $S(X) \neq \emptyset$  and for each  $Y \in S(X)$ , M(Y) be a family of operators  $f : Y \to Y$ . By definition (see [100]), the triplet (X, S(X), M) is a large fixed point structure on X iff:

$$Y \in S(X), f \in M(Y)$$
 imply  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

Here are some examples of large fixed point structures (l.f.p.s.):

- (1) **Tarski's l.f.p.s.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset and consider the sets  $S(X) := \{Y \in P(X) | (Y, \leq) \text{ is a complete lattice} \}$  and  $M(Y) := \{f : Y \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is increasing} \}.$
- (2) The l.f.p.s. of progressive operators.  $(X, \leq)$  is a poset,  $S(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid (Y, \leq) \text{ has at least a maximal element} \}$  and  $M(Y) := \{f : Y \to Y \mid f \text{ is progressive, i.e., } x \leq f(x), \forall x \in Y \}.$
- (3) The l.f.p.s. of contractions. (X, d) is a complete metric space,  $S(X) := P_{cl}(X)$  and  $M(Y) := \{f : Y \to Y \mid f \text{ is a contraction}\}.$
- (4) The l.f.p.s. of Brouwer-Schauder-Tychonoff-Cauty. X is a Hausdorff linear topological space,  $S(X) := P_{cp,co}(X)$  and  $M(Y) := C(Y,Y) := \{f : Y \to Y \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}.$
- (5) **The l.f.p.s. of Browder-Ghöde-Kirk.** X is a uniformly convex Banach space,  $S(X) := P_{b,cl,co}(X)$  and  $M(Y) := \{f : Y \to Y \mid f \text{ is nonexpansive}\}.$

From Lemma 2.1 we have:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let (X, S(X), M) be a l.f.p.s.,  $Y \in S(X)$ ,  $\rho : X \to Y$  be a set retraction and  $f : Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

(i)  $\rho \circ f \in M(Y);$ 

(ii)  $x \in \partial_{f,\rho} Y$ ,  $x = \rho(f(x))$  imply f(x) = x. Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

We also have

**Lemma 2.3.** Let (X, S(X), M) be a l.f.p.s.,  $Y \in S(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$  be an injective operator such that  $Y \subset f(Y)$ . If  $f^{-1}|_{Y} \in M(Y)$ , then  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let X be a nonempty set with a convex structure,  $Z \subset P(X)$ ,  $Z \neq \emptyset$ , such that  $A \in Z$ ,  $x \in X$  imply  $A \cup \{x\} \in Z$  and  $coA \in Z$ . Let  $\theta : Z \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be such that:

(i) 
$$A, B \in Z, A \subset B$$
 imply  $\theta(A) \le \theta(B)$ ;  
(ii)  $\theta(A \cup \{x\}) = \theta(A)$ , for all  $A \in Z$  and  $x \in X$ ;  
(iii)  $\theta(coA) = \theta(A)$ , for all  $A \in Z$ .

We have

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $f: Y \to X$  satisfying the condition  $(GR_{x_0})$ . We suppose that there exists a selection  $\rho_f$  of  $R_f$  such that,  $A \in Z$  implies that  $\rho_f(A) \in Z$ . Then

$$\theta(\rho_f(A)) \le \theta(f(A)), \text{ for all } A \in P(Y) \cap Z.$$

*Proof.* We remark that  $\rho_f(A) \subset co(f(A) \cup \{x_0\})$ . From (i), (ii) and (iii) we have

$$\theta(\rho_f(A)) \le \theta(co(f(A) \cup \{x_0\})) = \theta(f(A) \cup \{x_0\}) = \theta(f(A)).$$

In a similar way we have:

**Lemma 2.5.** Let X be a Banach space and  $\rho: X \to \overline{B}(0;r)$  be the radial retraction. Let  $\theta_k$  be the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on X. Then,

$$\theta_k(\rho(A)) \leq \theta_k(A), \text{ for all } A \in P_b(X).$$

*Proof.* We remark that,  $\rho(A) \subset co(A \cup \{0\})$  and that  $\theta_k$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii).

We have a similar result for the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness and, more generally, for a suitable abstract measure of noncompactness on a Banach space.

For the measure of noncompactness see: [100], [37], [38], [41], [77], [80], [83], [88], [89], [90], [94], [95], ...

In what follows we shall give conditions under which a nonself operator has a self fixed point operator,  $\rho_f$ , such that,  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ . In these conditions, we have will get that  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

## 3. Nonself operators on a poset

The fixed point theory of self operators on a poset is a subject with an intensive development. For the basic results of this topic see [2], [12], [47], [49], [55], [102], ... For the retract theory on a poset see [35], [96], [97], [102], ...

In what follows we need the following results.

**Zermelo's Theorem.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset and  $f : X \to X$  be an operator. We suppose that:

- (i) every chain in X has an upper bound;
- (ii) f is a progressive operator.

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Tarski's Theorem.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a complete lattice and  $f : X \to X$  be an increasing operator. Then,

- (a)  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (b)  $(F_f, \leq)$  is a complete lattice.

Our results are the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset with the least element, 0 (i.e.,  $0 \leq x$  for each  $x \in X$ ). Let  $Y \in P(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

- (i)  $0 \in Y$ ;
- (ii)  $(Y, \leq)$  is a complete lattice;
- (*iii*) f is increasing;

(iv) f satisfies condition  $(GR_0)$  with respect to the order interval,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\leq}$ . Then,

(a)  $F_f \neq \emptyset;$ 

(b)  $(F_f, \leq)$  is a complete lattice.

*Proof.* Let us consider the self operator

$$\rho_f: Y \to Y, \ \rho_f(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \in Y;\\ \sup_Y \left( [x_0, f(x)]_{\leq} \cap Y \right) & \text{if } f(x) \in X \setminus Y. \end{cases}$$

The condition (iv) implies that this operator is well defined and that  $F_f = F_{\rho_f}$ , i.e.,  $\rho_f$  is a generalized retract of f. On the other hand  $\rho_f$  is an increasing operator. Indeed, let us consider, for example, the case:  $x_1 \leq x_2$  and  $f(x_1), f(x_2) \in X \setminus Y$ . It is clear that, since  $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$  we have that  $[0, f(x_1)]_{\leq} \subset [0, f(x_2)]_{\leq}$ . It follows that  $\rho_f(x_1) \leq \rho_f(x_2)$ . From Tarski's Theorem we have that  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$  and  $(F_{\rho_f}, \leq)$  is a complete lattice. So, we have (a) and (b).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset with the least element, 0. Let  $Y \in P(X)$  and  $f: Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

- (i)  $0 \in Y$ ;
- (ii)  $(Y, \leq)$  is a sup-complete lattice;
- *(iii) f* is a progressive operator;

(iv)  $x \in Y$ ,  $f(x) \in X \setminus Y$  imply  $[0, f(x)] \leq \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  and  $x < \sup_Y ([0, f(x)] \leq \cap Y)$ . Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* We consider the self operator,  $\rho_f: Y \to Y$ , defined by,

$$\rho_f(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \in Y, \\ \sup_Y \left( [0, f(x)]_{\leq} \cap Y \right) & \text{if } f(x) \in X \setminus Y. \end{cases}$$

Condition (*iv*) implies that  $\rho_f$  is a generalized retract of f. On the other hand we observe that  $\rho_f$  is a progressive operator. From Zermelo's Theorem it follows that  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset,  $Y \subset X$  and  $f: Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

- (i)  $(Y, \leq)$  is a complete lattice;
- (*ii*)  $Y \subset f(Y)$ ;
- (*iii*)  $x_1, x_2 \in Y$ ,  $f(x_1) \le f(x_2)$  imply  $x_1 \le x_2$ ;
- (iv) f is injective.

Then,

(a)  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ ;

(b)  $(F_f, \leq)$  is a complete lattice.

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 2.3 and from Tarski's Theorem.

In a similar way we have

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset,  $Y \subset X$  and  $f: Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

(i) the minimal element set of  $(Y, \leq)$ ,  $Min(Y, \leq) \neq \emptyset$ ;

(*ii*)  $Y \subset f(Y)$ ;

(*iii*) f is progressive;

(iv) f is injective.

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

In order to present our next result we need the following notion (see [31]). Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a lattice and  $Y \subset X$ . An element  $c \in Y$  is called a *sup-center* of the subset Y if  $\sup\{c, x\} \in Y$ , for all  $x \in Y$ .

Now, let us take,  $X := \mathbb{R}^m$ . We consider on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  the standard order relation (i.e., coordinatewise partial order,  $\leq$ ) and the standard linear space structure. The following result is given in [31](Theorem 1.2, p. 1).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be a nonempty subset and  $f: Y \to Y$  be a self operator. We suppose that:

- (i) Y is closed and bounded with respect to a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ;
- (ii) Y has a sup-center,  $c \in Y$ ;
- (iii) f is increasing.

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

Now we shall give an application of this theorem. Let m := 2 and  $Y := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1\}$ , and  $f: Y \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be a function. We have

**Theorem 3.6.** We suppose that:

(i) f is increasing;

(ii)  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Y$  implies  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $x \notin [0, f(x)]_l$ . Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. First of all we remark that Y is closed, bounded and c = 0 is a sup-center of Y. Let  $\rho_f : Y \to Y$  be defined by,  $\rho_f(x) = f(x)$  if  $f(x) \in Y$  and if  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Y$ , then  $\rho_f(x) := y$ , where  $[0, f(x)]_l \cap \partial Y = \{y\}$ . We observe that  $\rho_f$  is a generalized retract of f and  $\rho_f$  is increasing. From Theorem 3.5 we have that,  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ . So,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .  $\Box$ 

Remark 3.1. It is a problem to give a nonself correspondent result to Theorem 3.5.

The following result is well known (see [2]).

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset,  $a, b \in X$ , a < b, and  $f : [a, b]_{\leq} \to X$  be an operator. We suppose that:

- (i)  $([a, b], \leq)$  is a complete lattice;
- (*ii*) *f* is increasing;
- (*iii*)  $a \le f(a), f(b) \le b$ .

566

Then,

(a) 
$$F_f \neq \emptyset$$
;  
(b)  $(F_f, \leq)$  is a complete lattice

*Proof.* Condition (*iii*) implies that  $f([a, b]) \subset [a, b]$ . So, f is a self operator and the proof follows from Tarski's Theorem.

**Remark 3.2** (see [96]). By definition, a poset  $(X, \leq)$  has the fixed point property if every increasing operator  $f: X \to X$  has at least a fixed point. Let  $Y \subset X$  and  $\rho: X \to Y$  be a set retraction. If in addition  $\rho$  is increasing, then by definition  $\rho$  is a poset retraction and Y is a poset retract of X. It is well known that: If  $(X, \leq)$  is a poset with fixed point property, then each poset retract of X has the fixed point property. So, an important problem of the fixed point theory in a poset is to give generic examples of increasing retracts. We have a similar problem for generalized retracts.

4. NONSELF OPERATORS ON A METRIC SPACE

In what follows we need the following fixed point theorems for self operators.

**Caristi-Kirk's Theorem.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and  $f : X \to X$  be an operator which satisfies

$$d(x, f(x)) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(f(x))$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a lower semicontinuous functional. Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Jachymski's Theorem.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a lower semicontinuous functional and  $\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be an increasing and subadditive function, continuous at 0 and such that  $\eta^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ . If  $f : X \to X$  is such that

$$\eta(d(x, f(x))) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(f(x)), \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

For the above results and for other generalizations of Caristi-Kirk's Theorem see: [29], [30], [66], [54], [55], [1], [16], [61], [63], [92], [114], ...

Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $Y \in P(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$ . We suppose that f satisfies the condition (GR) with respect to the metric interval, i.e.,

$$x \in Y, \ f(x) \in X \setminus Y \ imply \ [x, f(x)]_d \cap Y \neq \emptyset$$
 (GR)

Let us define the multivalued operator

$$R_f: Y \to P(Y), \ R_f(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \in Y, \\ ]x, f(x)]_d \cap Y & \text{if } f(x) \in X \setminus Y \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4.1.** An operator  $f : Y \to X$  is a (GR)-directional contraction if it satisfies the (GR)-condition and there exists a selection  $\rho_f$  of  $R_f$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le \alpha d(x, \rho_f(x)), \ \forall \ x \in Y.$$

**Definition 4.2.** An operator  $f : Y \to X$  is a (GR)- $\varphi$ -directional contraction if  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a comparison function (see [98], [10]) and there exists a selection  $\rho_f$  of  $R_f$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le \varphi(d(x, \rho_f(x))), \ \forall \ x \in Y.$$

**Definition 4.3.** An operator  $f : Y \to X$  is a (GR)-separate contraction if there exists two functions,  $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying:

- (i)  $\psi$  is strictly increasing and  $\psi(0) = 0$ ;
- (*ii*)  $\psi(t) \leq t \varphi(t)$ , for t > 0;

(*iii*)  $d(f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le \varphi(d(x, \rho_f(x))), \forall x \in Y.$ 

**Example 4.1.** If  $f: Y \to X$  is a contraction and satisfies the (GR)-condition, then f is a (GR)-directional contraction with respect to each selection  $\rho_f$  of  $R_f$ .

**Example 4.2.** If  $f: Y \to X$  is a  $\varphi$ -contraction and satisfies the (GR)-condition then f is a (GR)- $\varphi$ -directional contraction with respect to each selection  $\rho_f$  of  $R_f$ 

**Example 4.3.** If  $f: Y \to X$  satisfies the (GR)-condition and is a separate contraction (see [73]), then f is a (GR)-separate contraction.

**Example 4.4.** If f satisfies the (GR)-condition and it is a large contraction (see [26] and [73]), then f is a (GR)-separate contraction.

For more considerations on generalized self contractions see: [56], [11], [26], [57], [65], [73], ...

Our results are the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let (X,d) be a complete metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f: Y \to X$  be a continuous (GR)- $\alpha$ -directional contraction. Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_f$  be a selection of  $R_f$ . Then,

$$d(x,\rho_f(x)) + d(\rho_f(x), f(x)) = d(x, f(x)), \ \forall \ x \in Y.$$

We have

$$d(\rho_f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le d(\rho_f(x), f(x)) + d(f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le \\ \le d(x, f(x)) - d(x, \rho_f(x)) + \alpha d(x, \rho_f(x)) \le \\ \le d(x, f(x)) - (1 - \alpha) d(x, \rho_f(x)).$$

This implies that:

$$d(x, \rho_f(x)) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(\rho_f(x)),$$

where  $\varphi(x) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(x, f(x)).$ 

From Caristi-Kirk's Theorem it follows that  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\rho_f$  is a generalized retract of f, we have that,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 4.1** (Caristi [29]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f: Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

(i) f is an  $\alpha$ -contraction;

(ii) f satisfies the condition (GR). Then,  $F_f = \{x^*\}$ . *Proof.* From Theorem 4.1 we have that,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ . Since f is a contraction it follows that  $F_f = \{x^*\}$ .

## **Remark 4.1.** Let us consider the following notations:

 $(MI)_f$  - the maximal invariant subset of f,

 $(AB)_f(x^*) := \{x \in Y \mid f^n(x) \text{ is defined for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } f^n(x) \to x^* \in F_f\}$ - the attraction basin of the fixed point  $x^*$  with respect to f.

By definition (see [33], [99]) an operator  $f: Y \to X$  is said to be Picard operator (PO) if

(a)  $F_f = \{x^*\}$ 

(b) 
$$(MI)_f = (AB)_f(x^*).$$

An operator  $f: Y \to X$  is said to be weakly Picard operator (WPO) if

(a) 
$$F_f \neq \emptyset;$$

(b) 
$$(MI)_f = (AB)_f := \bigcup_{x \in F_f} (AB)_f(x).$$

It is clear that if f is as in Corollary 4.1, then f is PO. For the theory of such operators, see [33].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

- (i) f is a (GR)- $\varphi$ -directional contraction;
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is superadditive;
- (iii) f is continuous,

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. From

$$d(\rho_f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le d(x, f(x)) - d(x, \rho_f(x)) + d(f(x), f(\rho_f(x)))$$

we have that

$$(1_{\mathbb{R}_+} - \varphi)(d(x, \rho_f(x))) \le d(x, f(x)) - d(\rho_f(x), f(\rho_f(x))).$$

From Jachymski's Theorem we have that,  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ . So,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Let (X,d) be a complete metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f: Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

(i) f is a  $\varphi$ -contraction, i.e.,  $\varphi$  is a comparison function (see [98]) and

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le \varphi(d(x, y)), \ \forall \ x, y \in X;$$

(ii) f satisfies the (GR)-condition;

(iii)  $\varphi$  is superadditive.

Then,  $F_f = \{x^*\}.$ 

**Theorem 4.3.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

- (i) f is a (GR)- $(\varphi, \psi)$ -separate contraction;
- (ii)  $\psi$  is subadditive;

(iii) f is continuous.

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar with that of Theorem 4.2.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.3.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

- (i) f is a  $(\varphi, \psi)$ -separate contraction;
- (ii) f satisfies the (GR)-condition;
- (iii)  $\psi$  is subadditive.

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Let (X,d) be a complete  $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ -metric space (i.e.,  $d(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$  and satisfies the standard axioms of metric). For such a metric space, from a general result of Eisenfeld-Laksmikantham (see [42]; see also [78]), we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let (X, d) be a complete  $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ -metric space and  $f : X \to X$  be an operator. We suppose that there exists a lower semicontinuous functional  $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}^m_+$  such that

$$d(x, f(x)) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(f(x)), \ \forall \ x \in X.$$

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

For the metric space with vectorial metric see: [112], [78], [13], [40], [42], [62], [102], [114], ...

**Definition 4.4.** An operator  $f : Y \to X$  is a (GR)-S-directional contraction if f satisfies the (GR)-condition and there exists a selection  $\rho_f$  of  $R_f$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le Sd(x, \rho_f(x)), \ \forall \ x \in Y,$$

where  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}_+$  is a matrix convergent to zero (see [98] and [102]).

We have

**Theorem 4.5.** Let (X, d) be a complete  $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ -metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f: Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

- (i) f is a (GR)-S-directional contraction;
- (ii) f is continuous.

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_f$  be a selection of  $R_f$ . Then,

$$d(\rho_f(x), f(\rho_f(x))) \le d(x, f(x)) - d(x, \rho_f(x)) + Sd(x, \rho_f(x)).$$

This implies that

$$d(x,\rho_f(x)) \le (I-S)^{-1} d(x,f(x)) - (I-S)^{-1} d(\rho_f(x),f(\rho_f(x))).$$

The proof follows from Theorem 4.4.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let (X, d) be a complete  $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ -metric space,  $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $f: Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

(i) f satisfies the (GR)-condition;

(ii) there exists a matrix  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}_+$ , convergent to zero, such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le Sd(x, y), \ \forall \ x, y \in Y.$$

Then,  $F_f = \{x^*\}.$ 

The following result is well known.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $x_0 \in X$  and  $f : \tilde{B}(x_0; r) \to X$  be an operator. We suppose that:

(i) f is an  $\alpha$ -contraction;

(*ii*)  $d(x_0, f(x_0)) \le (1 - \alpha)r$ .

Then,  $F_f = \{x^*\}.$ 

*Proof.* We observe that,  $f(\tilde{B}(x_0;r)) \subset \tilde{B}(x_0;r)$ . So, f is a self operator and the proof follows from the contraction principle.

**Remark 4.3.** For a less restrictive condition as (ii) see [32].

5. Operators on Banach spaces: retraction technique

Let X be a Banach space and  $\theta: P_b(X) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . By definition the set-functional  $\theta$  is an abstract measure of noncompactness if it satisfies the following axioms:

(i)  $\theta(A) = 0$  implies  $\overline{A} \in P_{cp}(X)$ ;

- (*ii*)  $A \subset B$  implies  $\theta(A) \leq \theta(B)$ ;
- (*iii*)  $\theta(\overline{A}) = \theta(A);$
- $(iv) \ \theta(coA) = \theta(A);$
- (v)  $x \in X, A \in P_b(X)$  imply  $\theta(A \cup \{x\}) = \theta(A)$ .

It is clear that the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness,  $\theta_K$ , and the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness,  $\theta_H$ , satisfy the above axioms. For these measures of noncompactness and for various notions of abstract measure of noncompactness see [100], [37], [47], [71], [83], [102], ...

We have

**Theorem 5.1.** Let X be a Banach space and  $\theta$  be an abstract measure of noncompactness on X. Let  $f: \overline{B}(0;r) \to X$  be a continuous operator and  $\rho: X \to \overline{B}(0;r)$  be the radial retraction. We suppose that:

- (i) there exists a comparison function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\theta(f(A)) \leq \varphi(\theta(A))$ , for all  $A \in P_b(X)$  such that  $\rho(f(A)) \subset A$ ;
- (ii) f is retractible with respect to the radial retraction  $\rho$ .

Then,

- (a)  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (b)  $\theta(F_f) = 0.$

Proof. First we observe that from axiom (v) of an abstract measure of noncompactness it follows that  $\theta|_{P_{b,cl}(X)}$  is a functional with intersection property (see [100], p. 50). From condition (*ii*) it follows that the operator  $\rho \circ f : \overline{B}(0;r) \to \overline{B}(0;r)$  is a retract of f and we have that  $F_f = F_{\rho \circ f}$ . Now the proof follows from Theorem 5.3.1 in [100]. **Theorem 5.2.** Let X be a Banach space and  $\theta : P_b(X) \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a set-functional which satisfies the axioms (i)-(iv) and

 $(v') \theta |_{P_{b,cl}(X)}$  is a functional with intersection property.

Let  $f: \overline{B}(0;r) \to X$  be a continuous operator and  $\rho: X \to \overline{B}(0;r)$  be an *l*-Lipschitz retraction. We suppose that:

- (i) there exists  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that:  $\theta(f(A)) \leq \varphi(\theta(A))$ , for all  $A \in P_b(X)$  such that  $\rho(f(A)) \subset A$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is a comparison function;
- (iii) f is retractible with respect to  $\rho$ .

Then:

- (a)  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (b)  $\theta(F_f) = 0.$

*Proof.* See the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Remark 5.1.** In Theorem 5.2, instead of  $\theta$  we can put,  $\theta_K$ ,  $\theta_H$  and the diameter functional,  $\delta_{\|\cdot\|}$ . In the case of  $\delta_{\|\cdot\|}$  we shall have  $\delta_{\|\cdot\|}(F_f) = 0$ , i.e.,  $F_f = \{x^*\}$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Each of the following conditions implies the condition (ii) in Theorem 5.1 (see [100], p. 115):

- (1) (Leray-Schauder)  $x \in \partial \overline{B}(0;r), f(x) = \lambda x \text{ imply } \lambda \leq 1.$
- (2) (E. Rothe)  $f(\partial \overline{B}(0;r)) \subset \overline{B}(0;r)$ .
- (3) (M. Altman)  $||f(x) x||^2 \ge ||f(x)||^2 ||x||^2$  for all  $x \in \partial \overline{B}(0; R)$ .

**Corollary 5.1.** Let X be a Banach space and  $f : \overline{B}(0;r) \to X$  be an *l*-Lipschitz operator with  $l < \frac{1}{2}$ . If f is retractible with respect to the radial retraction, then:

- (*i*)  $F_f = \{x^*\}$
- (ii)  $\rho \circ f : \overline{B}(0;r) \to \overline{B}(0;1)$  is a Picard operator, where  $\rho$  is the radial retraction.

*Proof.* We take in Theorem 5.2,  $\rho$  the radial retraction.

**Remark 5.3.** For the radial retraction technique in the fixed point theory of nonself operator see also: [17], [21], [22], [25], [70], [77], [64], [80], [88]-[90], [94], [95], [111], ...

**Remark 5.4.** For the topological retraction see: [4], [14], [15], [23], [24], [39], [41], [44], [45], [48], [51], [52], [59], [68], [70], [79], [91], ...

6. Operators on Banach spaces: generalized retraction technique

Let X be a Banach space and  $Y \in P_{cl,co}(X)$ . Let  $f: Y \to X$  be a nonself operator. Let us consider the following subsets of Y:

$$Y_0 := \{x \in Y \mid f(x) \in Y\}$$
 and  $Y_1 := \{x \in Y \mid f(x) \in X \setminus Y\}.$ 

If  $Y_0 = \emptyset$ , then  $F_f = \emptyset$  and if  $Y_1 = \emptyset$ , then f is a self operator. So, in what follows we suppose that,  $Y_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Under this condition, we have the following partition of Y with respect to  $f: Y = Y_0 \cup Y_1$ .

 $\Box$ 

Let us suppose that f satisfies the (GR) condition with respect to linear space interval,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_l$ , i.e.

$$x \in Y_1 \text{ implies } ]x, f(x)]_l \cap Y \neq \emptyset$$
 (GR)

Let  $R_f: Y \to P(Y)$  be defined by

$$R_f(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in Y_0, \\ ]x, f(x)]_l \cap Y & \text{if } x \in Y_1. \end{cases}$$

In what follows we suppose that f is continuous.

Now we consider

$$\Lambda_f := \{\lambda : Y_1 \to ]0, 1] \mid (1 - \lambda(x))x + \lambda(x)f(x) \in Y, \ x \in Y_1\}$$

and

$$\lambda_m : Y_1 \to ]0,1[$$
 be defined by  $\lambda_m(x) := \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_f} \lambda(x).$ 

Since Y is a closed convex subset of X it follows that

$$(1 - \lambda_m(x))x + \lambda_m(x)f(x) \in Y$$
, for all  $x \in Y_1$ .

Let  $\lambda_f: Y \to ]0,1]$  be defined by

$$\lambda_f(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in Y_0, \\ \lambda_m(x) & \text{if } x \in Y_1. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\rho_f := (1 - \lambda_f) \mathbf{1}_Y + \lambda_f f$  is a generalized retract of f.

In what follows we suppose that f and Y are such that  $\lambda_f$  is a continuous function, i.e.,

$$\lambda_f \in C(Y, ]0, 1]) \tag{TGR}$$

The (TGR) condition implies that  $\rho_f$  is continuous, i.e., is a topological generalized retract of f.

The following problem is fundamental in this theory.

**Problem 6.1.** Let X be a Banach space,  $Y \in P_{cl,co}(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$  be a continuous operator. In which conditions on f and Y the function  $\lambda_f$ , defined above, is continuous ?

We have the following fixed point theorem in terms of a topological general retract of an operator.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let X be a Banach space,  $Y \in P_{cp,co}(X)$  and  $f : Y \to X$ . We suppose that:

(i) f is continuous;

(*ii*) f satisfies condition (TGR).

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Condition (*ii*) implies that  $\rho_f$  defined by  $\lambda_f$  is a topological generalized retract of f. From Schauder's fixed point theorem we have that  $F_{\rho_f} \neq \emptyset$ . So,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 6.1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , a < b and  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $Y_0 := \{x \in [a, b] \mid f(x) \in [a, b] \}$ 

and

$$Y_{-} := \{ x \in [a,b] \mid f(x) \in ] - \infty, a[ \}, Y_{+} := \{ x \in [a,b] \mid f(x) \in ]b, +\infty[ \}.$$

We suppose that  $a \in Y_+$  and  $b \in Y_-$  and there exists  $a_1 > a$  and  $b_1 < b$  such that  $Y_{-} = [b_1, b], Y_{+} = [a, a_1]$ . Then f satisfies the (GR) condition. Now let f be a continuous function. Then  $\lambda_f$  is a continuous function. Indeed, we have

$$\lambda_m(x) = \frac{b-x}{f(x)-x}, \ x \in [a, a_1] \ and \ \lambda_m(x) = \frac{a-x}{f(x)-x}, \ x \in [b_1, b]$$

which are continuous and  $\lambda_m(a_1) = 1$  and  $\lambda_m(b_1) = 1$ . So, f satisfies the (TGR) condition.

For example the function,  $f: [-2,2] \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} -x+1 & \text{, } x \in [-2,0] \\ -2x+1 & \text{, } x \in [0,2] \end{cases}$$

satisfies the (TGR) condition. In this case  $a_1 = -1$ ,  $b_1 = \frac{3}{2}$  and

$$\lambda_f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2-x}{1-2x} & , x \in [-2, -1] \\ 1 & , x \in [-1, \frac{3}{2}] \\ \frac{-2-x}{-3x+1} & , x \in [\frac{3}{2}, 2]. \end{cases}$$

The above considerations give rise to

**Conjecture.** Let X be a Banach space,  $\Omega \subset X$  be a bounded open convex subset of X and  $Y := \overline{\Omega}$ . Let  $f : Y \to X$  be a continuous operator. If f satisfies the (GR) condition and  $\partial Y$  is smooth then f satisfies the (TGR) condition.

# 7. A problem

The following result was given by Ky Fan, in [43]:

**Theorem 7.1.** Let Y be a nonempty compact convex subset of a normed linear space X. Then, for any continuous operator  $f: Y \to X$ , there exists a point  $y_0 \in Y$  such that

$$||y_0 - f(y_0)|| = Min_{y \in Y} ||y - f(y_0)||$$

The problem is to use this result in order to give fixed point theorems for a nonself operator  $f: Y \to X$ .

For example, the following result was given by L. Pasicki in [84]:

**Theorem 7.2.** Let X be a Banach space,  $Y \subset X$  be a nonempty compact convex subset and  $f: Y \to X$  be a continuous operator. We suppose that:

$$x \in Y, \ f(x) \in X \setminus Y \ imply \ ||x - f(x)|| > Min_{y \in Y} \ ||y - f(x)||.$$

Then,  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

We observe that Theorem 7.1 implies Theorem 7.2. References: [43], [72], [28], [105], [106], ...

## 8. A CONJECTURE

#### The above considerations give rise to the following:

**The conjecture of the generalized retracts.** Let X be a Banach space,  $Y \subset X$  be a subset with nonempty boundary and  $f: Y \to X$  be a nonself operator. Then, each boundary condition (Leray-Schauder, Rothe, inwardness, outwardness, ...) on f implies the existence of a generalized retract of f.

### References

- Ö. Acar, I. Altun, S. Romaguera, Caristi's type mappings on complete partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory, 14(2013), no. 1, 3-10.
- [2] H. Amann, Order structures and fixed points, S.A.F.A. 2, Univ. Calabria, 1977, 1-51.
- [3] H. Amann, Fixed point problems and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces, SIAM Revue, 18(1976), 620-709.
- D.R. Anderson, R.I. Avery, Fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression of functional type, J. Difference Eq. Appl., 8(2002), No. 11, 1073-1083.
- [5] J. Andres, Some standard fixed point theorems revisited, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 49(2001), 455-471.
- [6] J. Andres, K. Pastor, P. Šnyrychová, Simple fixed point theorems on linear continua, CUBO A Math. J., 10(2008), No. 4, 27-43.
- [7] Y. Araya, T. Tanaka, On generalizing Caristi fixed point theorem, Proc. Internat. Conf. Nonlinear Anal. Convex Anal. (Okinawa, 2005), 41-46.
- [8] M. Barr, C. Wells, Category Theory for Computing Sciences, Prentice Hall, 1990.
- [9] V. Berinde, Approximation of fixed points of some nonself generalized φ-contractions, Math. Balkanica, 18(2004), fas. 1-2, 85-93.
- [10] V. Berinde, Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points, Springer, 2007.
- [11] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, Fixed point theorems for nonself single-valued almost contractions, Fixed Point Theory, 14(2013), No. 2, 301-312.
- [12] G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 1967.
- [13] T. Bîrsan, Applications of Brézis-Browder principle to the existence of fixed points and endpoints for multifunction, Balkan J. of Geometry and Its Applications, 3(1998), 23-32.
- [14] K. Bolibok, K. Goebel, A note on minimal displacement and retraction problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 206(1997), no. 1, 308-314.
- [15] K. Borsuk, Theory of Retracts, PSP, 1967.
- [16] A. Brondstead, Fixed points and partial orders, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 60(1976), 365-366.
- [17] F.E. Browder, Fixed point theorems for noncompact mapping in Hilbert space, Proc. National Acad. Sc., 53(1965), 1272-1276.
- [18] F.E. Browder, A new generalization of the Schauder fixed point theorem, Math. Ann., 174(1967), 285-290.
- [19] F.E. Browder, Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73(1967), No. 6, 875-882.
- [20] F.E. Browder, Continuation of solutions of equations under homotopies of single-valued and multivalued mappings, 13-22, in Fixed Point Theory and Applications (Ed. S. Swaminatham), Acad. Press, New York, 1976.
- [21] R.F. Brown, Multiple fixed points of compact maps on wedgelike ANRS in Banach spaces, Contemporary Math., 21(1983), 41-57.
- [22] R.F. Brown, Retraction methods in Nielsen fixed point theory, Pacific J. Math., 115(1984), 277-297.

- [23] R.F. Brown, M. Furi, L. Gorniewicz, B. Jiang (Eds.), Handbook of Topological Fixed Point Theory, Springer, 2005.
- [24] R.E. Bruck, Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces, Pacific J. Math., 47(1972), 341-355.
- [25] H. Buley, Fixed point theorem of Rothe-type for Frum-Ketkov and 1-set-contractions, Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline, 34(1993), 769-773.
- [26] T.A. Burton, Integral equations, implicit functions and fixed points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124(1996), 2383-2390.
- [27] F. Cammaroto, T. Noiri, On the δ-continuous fixed point property, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 13(1990), 45-50.
- [28] A. Carbone, S.P. Singh, On Fan's best approximation and applications, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 54(1996), 35-52.
- [29] J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 215(1976), 241-251.
- [30] J. Caristi, Fixed point theory and inwardness conditions, Proc. Internat. Conf. Appl. Nonlinear Anal., (1979), 479-483.
- [31] S. Carl, S. Heikkilä, Fixed Point Theory in Ordered Sets and Applications, Springer, 2011.
- [32] N. Castañeda, A note on a fixed point theorems and Newton method, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 30(1999), 199-201.
- [33] A. Chiş-Novac, R. Precup, I.A. Rus, Data dependence of fixed points for non-self generalized contractions, Fixed Point Theory, 10(2009), no. 1, 73-87.
- [34] F.H. Clarke, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1983.
- [35] H. Crapo, Ordered sets: retracts and connections, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 23(1982), 13-28.
- [36] D.G. De Figueiredo, Fixed point theorems for nonlinear operators and Galerkin Approximations, J. Diff. Eq., 3(1967), No. 2, 271-281.
- [37] K. Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer, 1985.
- [38] E. De Pascale, G. Trombetta, H. Weber, Convexly totally bounded and strongly totally bounded sets, Ann. Sc. Normale Supp. di Pisa, 20(1993), fas. 3, 341-355.
- [39] F. Deutsch, A survey of metric selections, Contemporary Math., 18(1983), 49-71.
- [40] M.M. Deza, E. Deza, Encyclopedia of Distances, Springer, 2009.
- [41] S. Djebali, K. Hammache, Furi-Pera fixed point theorems for nonexpansive maps in Banach spaces, Fixed Point Theory, 13(2012), No. 2, 461-474.
- [42] J. Eisenfeld, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems on closed set through abstract cones, Appl. Math. Comput., 3(1977), 155-167.
- [43] K. Fan, Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F.E. Browder, Math. Z., 112(1969), 234-290.
- [44] M. Fečkan, Note on weakly inward mappings, Ann. Pol. Math., **63**(1996), No. 1, 1-5.
- [45] J.A. Gatica, W.A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings with applications to nonexpansive and pseudo-contractive mappings, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 4(1974), 69-79.
- [46] K. Goebel, W. Kirk, Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.
- [47] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, Springer, 2003.
- [48] D. Grundmane, Fixed points for nonself mappings in metric spaces, Math. Moravica, 9(2005), 5-11.
- [49] S. Heikkilä, Fixed point results for set-valued and single-valued mappings ordered spaces, CUBO A Math. J., 10(2008), No. 4, 119-135.
- [50] T.L. Hicks, L.M. Saliga, Fixed point theorems for non-self maps, II, Math. Japonica, 38(1993), 953-956.
- [51] A. Horvat-Mark, Retraction methods in fixed point theory, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, 1(2000), 5-8.
- [52] T. Hu, Theory of Retract, Wayne State Univ. Press, 1965.
- [53] S. Iliadis, On a concept of retract in categories, Proc. Internat. Conf. Geometric Topology, PWN, Warszawa, 1980, 197-202.
- [54] J.R. Jachymski, Caristi fixed point theorem and selections of set-valued contractions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 227(1998), 55-67.

- [55] J. Jachymski, Converses to fixed point theorems of Zermelo and Caristi, Nonlinear Anal., 52(2003), 1455-1463.
- [56] J. Jachymski, I. Jóźwik, Nonlinear contractive conditions: a comparison and related problems, Banach Center Publications, 77(2007), 123-146.
- [57] J. Jachymski, Equivalent conditions for generalized contractions on (ordered) metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 74(2011), 768-774.
- [58] G. Jameson, Ordered Linear Spaces, Springer, 1965.
- [59] A. Jiménez-Melado, C.H. Morales, Fixed point theorems under the interior condition, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134(2005), 501-507.
- [60] C.F.K. Jung, On generalized complete metric spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75(1969), 113-116.
- [61] S. Kasahara, On fixed points in partially ordered sets and Kirk-Caristi theorem, Math. Seminar Notes, 35(1975), 1-4.
- [62] P.Q. Khanh, On Caristi-Kirk theorem and Ekeland variational principle for Pareto extrema, Institut of Math. Pol. Acad. Sci., Preprint Nr. 357, 1986.
- [63] W.A. Kirk, Caristi's fixed point theorem and metric convexity, Colloq. Math., 36(1976), no. 1, 81-86.
- [64] W.A. Kirk, Some recent results in metric fixed point theory, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2(2007), 195-207.
- [65] W.A. Kirk, W.O. Ray, A remark on directional contractions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 66(1977), No. 2, 279-283.
- [66] W.A. Kirk, L.M. Saliga, The Brézis-Browder order principle and extension of Caristi's theorem, Nonlinear Anal., 47(2001), 2765-2778.
- [67] W.A. Kirk, B. Sims (eds.), Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory, Kluwer, 2001.
- [68] E. Kopecká, S. Reich, Nonexpansive retract in Banach spaces, Banach Center Publ., 77(2007), 161-174.
- [69] M.A. Krasnoselskii, Positive Solutions of Operator Equations, Noordhoff, 1964.
- [70] M.K. Kwong, On Krasnoselskii's cone fixed point theorem, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008, ID 164537, 18 pages.
- [71] A.H. Liepins, On fixed points of mappings in metric space with closure operator, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., 30(1982), No. 11-12, 539-543.
- [72] T.C. Lin, A note on a theorem of Ky Fan, Canad. Math. Bull., 22(1979), No. 4, 513-515.
- [73] Y. Liu, Z. Li, Schaefer type theorem and periodic solution of evolution equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 316(2006), 237-255.
- [74] J.-V. Llinares, Abstract convexity, some relations and applications, Optimization, 51(2002), 797-818.
- [75] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the Working Mathematician, Springer, 1971.
- [76] S. Massa, Some remarks on Opial spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 2-A(1983), 65-70.
- [77] H. Mönch, Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 4(1980), No. 5, 985-999.
- [78] A.B. Németh, Normal cone valued metric and nonconvex vector minimization principle, Seminar of Functional Analysis and Numerical Methods, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., Preprint Nr. 1, 1983, 117-154.
- [79] C.P. Niculescu, I. Rovenţa, Schauder fixed point theorem in spaces with global nonpositive curvature, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 2009, ID 906727, 8 pages.
- [80] R.D. Nussbaum, The fixed point index and asymptotic fixed point theorems for K-setcontractions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75(1969), No. 3, 490-495.
- [81] D. O'Regan, R. Precup, Theorems of Leray-Schauder Type and Applications, Gordon and Breach Sc. Publ., 2001.
- [82] S. Park, Best approximations, inward sets, and fixed points, Progress in Approx. Theory, Acad. Press, 1991, 711-719.
- [83] L. Pasicki, On the measure of noncompactness, Comment. Math., 21(1979), 203-205.
- [84] L. Pasicki, An application of a fixed point theorem, Comment. Math., 25(1985), 315-319.
- [85] J.-P. Penot, Fixed point theroems without convexity, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire 60, 1979, 129-152.

- [86] A. Petruşel, I.A. Rus, M.A. Şerban, Fixed point for operators on generalized metric spaces, CUBO A Math. J., 10(2008), No. 4, 45-66.
- [87] A. Petruşel, I.A. Rus, M.A. Şerban, The role of equivalent metrics in fixed point theory, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, 41(2013), No. 1, 85-112.
- [88] W.V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed point of demicompact mappings in Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 14(1966), 255-274.
- [89] W.V. Petryshyn, Remarks on fixed point theorem and theirs extensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 126(1967), 43-53.
- [90] W.V. Petryshyn, Fixed point theorems for various classes of 1-set-contractive and 1-ballcontractive mappings in Banach spaces, Trans Amer. Math. Soc., 182(1973), 323-352.
- [91] B. Piatek, R. Espinola, Fixed points and non-convex set in CAT(0) spaces, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Anal., 41(2013), No. 1, 135-162.
- [92] R. Precup, Continuation theorems for mappings of Caristi Type, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., 41(1996), No. 4, 101-106.
- [93] R. Precup, Existence theorems for nonlinear problems by continuation methods, Nonlinear Anal., 30(1997), No. 6, 3313-3322.
- [94] S. Reich, A fixed point theorem, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., 51(1971), 26-28.
- [95] S. Reich, Remarks on fixed points, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., 52(1972), 689-697.
- [96] I. Rival, The problem of fixed point in ordered sets, Ann. Discrete Math., 8(1980), 283-292.
- [97] I.A. Rus, Retraction method in the fixed point theory in ordered structures, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., 1988, 1-8.
- [98] I.A. Rus, Generalized Contractions and Applications, Cluj Univ. Press, 2001.
- [99] I.A. Rus, Picard operators and applications, Sci. Math. Jpn., 58(2003), no. 1, 191-219.
- [100] I.A. Rus, Fixed Point Structure Theory, Cluj Univ. Press, 2006.
- [101] I.A. Rus, Five open problems in fixed point theory in terms of fixed point structures (I): single valued operators, Proc. of the 10<sup>th</sup> IC-FPTA, 39-60, Cluj-Napoca, 2013.
- [102] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, Fixed Point Theory, Cluj Univ. Press, 2008.
- [103] I.A. Rus, M.-A. Şerban, Basic problems of the metric fixed point theory and the relevance of a metric fixed point theorem, Carpathian J. Math., 29(2013), No. 2, 239-258.
- [104] L.M. Saliga, Fixed point theorems for nonself maps in d-complete topological spaces, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 19(1996), No. 1, 103-110.
- [105] S.P. Singh, On Ky Fan's theorem and its applications, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 56(1986), 89-98.
- [106] S.P. Singh, S. Massa, D. Roux, Approximation technique in fixed point theory, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 53(1983), 165-172.
- [107] I. Singer, Abstract Convex Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, Toronto, 1997.
- [108] C.H. Su, V.M. Sehgal, Some fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings in locally convex spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 10(1974), 598-601.
- [109] T. Van Der Walt, Fixed and Almost Fixed Points, Math. Center Tracts, Amsterdam, 1963.
- [110] F. Wang, F. Wang, Krasnoselskii type fixed point theorem for nonlinear expansion, Fixed Point Theory, 13(2012), No. 1, 285-291.
- [111] T.E. Williamson, A geometric approach to fixed points of nonself mappings  $T: D \to X$ , Contemporary Math., 18(1983), 247-253.
- [112] P.P. Zabrejko, K-metric and K-normed linear spaces: survey, Collect. Math., 48(1997), 825-859.
- [113] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications I: Fixed Point Theorems, Springer, 1993.
- [114] G. Zhang, D. Jiang, On the fixed point theorems of Caristi type, Fixed Point Theory, 14(2013), No. 2, 523-530.

Received: December 10, 2012; Accepted: September 1, 2013