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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we first give two order theoretic proofs for a known fixed point theorem in partially ordered metric spaces and then apply the results to find solutions of trace class operator equations. Key Words and Phrases: Fixed points, posets, trace class operators. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 39B42.


## 1. Introduction

Ran and Reurings [8] proved the following Banach type principle in ordered metric spaces.

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a partially ordered set (poset) such that every pair $x, y \in X$ has a lower and an upper bound and let d be a complete metric on X. Let Fe a continuous and increasing selfmap on $X$. Suppose further that:
(1) there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that $d(F x, F y) \leq k d(x, y)$ for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y ;$
(2) there exists $b \in X$ such that $b \preceq F b$.

Then $F$ has a (unique) fixed point.
The problem of existence of a fixed point for contractive type selfmaps on posets has been investigated by several authors. In [6] a stronger variant of Theorem 1.1 was given by removing the continuity of the selfmap $F$.

Theorem 1.2. ([6]; Theorem 2.3) Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a poset and d be a complete metric on $X$. Let $F$ be an increasing selfmap on $X$. Suppose that the following three assertions hold:
(1) there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that $d(F x, F y) \leq k d(x, y)$ for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y ;$
(2) there exists $b \in X$ such that $b \preceq F b$;
(3) if an increasing net $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ converges to $x$ in $X$, then $x_{\alpha} \preceq x$ for all $\alpha$.

Then $F$ has a (unique) fixed point.
In this paper we aim to give an order theoretic proof of Theorem 1.2. We will also show that a slightly different version of Theorem 1.2 can be derived from the Knaster-Tarski theorem. Finally, in the last section, we will use the idea given in [8] for matrix equations to apply the result to trace class operator equations. This application is, in fact, a generalization of matrix equations to trace class operator equations.

## 2. ORDER THEORETIC APPROACH

In this section we first reprove Theorem 1.2 via an order theoretic approach and then give another version of it through the Knaster-Tarski theorem. In the following by the symbol $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$we mean the set of all nonnegative real numbers.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Define a partial order $\sqsubseteq$ in $X \times \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, \alpha) \sqsubseteq(y, \beta) \Leftrightarrow x \preceq y \text { and } d(x, y) \leq \alpha-\beta, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$. Let $\Lambda$ be the family of all chains $M$ of $X \times \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists(u, \gamma) \in M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \quad\left(F^{n} u, k^{n} \gamma\right) \in M \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\Lambda$ is nonempty. In fact, we may choose $\alpha>0$ such that $d\left(b, F^{n} b\right) \leq \alpha-k^{n} \alpha$, for each $n \geq 1$ (note $\left.d\left(b, F^{n} b\right) \leq\left(1+k+\ldots+k^{n-1}\right) d(b, F b)\right)$. Then, because of assumptions (1) and (2) the set consisting of all $\left(F^{n} b, k^{n} \alpha\right), n \geq 0$ belongs to $\Lambda((b, \alpha)$ satisfies (2.2) and for $m>n \geq 0$ we have $F^{n} b \preceq F^{m} b$ and $d\left(F^{n} b, F^{m} b\right) \leq k^{n} \alpha-k^{m} \alpha$ which fulfils (2.1)). By Zorn's lemma the family $\Lambda$ has a maximal element $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to the partial order $\subseteq$ in $\Lambda$. Note $(\mathcal{P}, \sqsubseteq)$ is a chain. Now using an argument similar to that of Lemma 1 in [5] we show that $\mathcal{P}$ has a maximum element. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then there exist $x_{p} \in X$ and $\alpha_{p} \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$such that $p=\left(x_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right)$. Look at the net $\left\{\Gamma_{p}\right\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$, where $\Gamma_{p}=\left(x_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right)$. Note

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} \sqsubseteq p_{2} \text { means }\left(x_{p_{1}}, \alpha_{p_{1}}\right) \sqsubseteq\left(x_{p_{2}}, \alpha_{p_{2}}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This along with (2.1) implies that $\left\{\alpha_{p}\right\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is decreasing and therefore is convergent, say $\alpha_{p} \rightarrow t$. From (2.3) it implies that the net $\left\{x_{p}\right\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is Cauchy. Thus there exists an element $a \in X$ to which $\left\{x_{p}\right\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is convergent. By assumption (3) we get $x_{p} \preceq a$, for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Again, from (2.3) it follows that

$$
d\left(x_{p}, x_{q}\right) \leq \alpha_{p}-\alpha_{q}, \quad(p, q \in \mathcal{P})
$$

Taking the limit with $q$ we get $d\left(x_{p}, a\right) \leq \alpha_{p}-t$. Therefore $\left(x_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right) \sqsubseteq(a, t)$, for each $p$. This implies that $(a, t)$ is the maximum of $\mathcal{P}$, since $\mathcal{P}$ is maximal and $\mathcal{P} \cup\{(a, t)\} \in \Lambda$, therefore $\mathcal{P} \cup\{(a, t)\}=\mathcal{P}$. Now, let $(w, \beta)$ be an element of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\left(F^{n} w, k^{n} \beta\right) \in$ $\mathcal{P}$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\left(F^{n} w, k^{n} \beta\right) \sqsubseteq(a, t)$, so $0 \leq d\left(F^{n} w, a\right) \leq k^{n} \beta-t$, for each
$n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $t=0, d\left(F^{n} w, a\right) \rightarrow 0$, and therefore $d\left(F^{n+1} w, F a\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $F a=a$.

The next theorem is due to Knaster-Tarski which can be found in many standard texts (see e.g. [3]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $(P, \preceq)$ be a poset and $F: P \rightarrow P$ be increasing. Then $F$ has a fixed point provided that
(1) there exists $a \in P$ such that $a \preceq F a$;
(2) every chain in $\{x \in P: a \preceq x\}$ has a supremum.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied except we replace Condition (3) with
$3^{\prime}$. if the net $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ is increasing, convergent to $x$, then $\sup _{\alpha \in I} x_{\alpha}=x$.
Then $F$ has a fixed point.
Proof. Define the partial order $\sqsubseteq$ on $P:=X \times \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$as in (2.1). Consider the selfmap $T$ on $P$ defined by

$$
T(x, \alpha)=(F x, k \alpha) .
$$

Note $T$ is increasing (use $F$ is increasing and assumption (1)). Now choose $\beta>0$ such that $d(b, F b) \leq \beta-k \beta$ and we get $(b, \beta) \sqsubseteq(F b, k \beta)$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we see that every chain $C=\left\{\left(x_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right)\right\}_{p \in C}$ in

$$
\Gamma=\{(x, \alpha) \in P:(b, \beta) \sqsubseteq(x, \alpha)\}
$$

has an upper bound $(a, t) \in P$. That is, $\left(x_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right) \sqsubseteq(a, t)$, for each $p \in C$. In particular, $(a, t)$ is the supremum of $C$. To see this let $(y, \beta)$ be another upper bound of $C$. Then, $x_{p} \preceq y$ and $d\left(x_{p}, y\right) \leq \alpha_{p}-\beta$, for each $p$. Now 3 ' implies that $(a, t) \sqsubseteq(y, \beta)$. Finally, the desired result is an immediate consequence of the Knaster-Tarski theorem.

It should be mentioned that the partial order given in (2.1) was first introduced in [2]. Also, the selfmap $T$ given in the proof of Theorem 2.2 was considered in [1].

## 3. Application to trace class operator equations

In [8] an application of Theorem 1.1 is given for finding the solutions of linear matrix equations. Using ideas and similar computations presented in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [8], we generalize it to trace class operator equations.

Let $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$ be bounded linear operators and $P$ be a positive trace class operator acting on a separable Hilbert space $H$ (denoted by $P \succeq 0$ ). We wish to find the solutions of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=P+\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}^{*} X T_{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=P-\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}^{*} X T_{i} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathcal{L}_{h}$ denotes the algebra of all Hermitian operators on $H$, then the fixed points of the mappings $\varphi, \psi: \mathcal{L}_{h} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{h}$ defined by

$$
\varphi(X)=P+\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}^{*} X T_{i}
$$

and

$$
\psi(X)=P-\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}^{*} X T_{i}
$$

are the solutions of (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. For a compact linear operator $T$ acting on a separable Hilbert space $H$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(T^{*} T\right) \geq \lambda_{2}\left(T^{*} T\right) \geq \lambda_{3}\left(T^{*} T\right) \geq \cdots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the sequence of nonzero eigenvalues of the Hermitian compact operator $T^{*} T$, where multiplicity is taken into account. The number of eigenvalues of $T^{*} T$ is finite if and only if $T$ has finite rank and the sequence (3.3) can still be considered infinite if the sequence is extended by zero elements.

For $i=1,2,3, \cdots$ the $i$-th singular value of $T$ is defined as the number $s_{i}:=$ $\sqrt{\lambda_{i}\left(T^{*} T\right)}$. It should be mentioned that a compact operator $T$ and its Hilbert-adjoint $T^{*}$ have the same singular values (see [4], pp. 98, Corollary 1.2). The trace class operator space $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$ is defined as

$$
\left\{T: H \rightarrow H: T \text { compact, } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}(T)<\infty\right\}
$$

which is a Banach space under the trace class norm

$$
\|T\|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}(T)
$$

Let $F$ be a strictly positive bounded linear operator on $H$, that is $F$ is positive ( $F$ is Hermitian and its spectral values are contained in the nonnegative real numbers) and that 0 is not a spectral value of $F$, denoted by $F \succ 0$. Then the set of all Hermitian operators in $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$ equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\|_{1, F}=\left\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} T F^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

constitutes a Banach space. To see this, suppose $T_{n} \rightarrow T$ in $\|\cdot\|_{1, F}$, where each $T_{n}$ is Hermitian. Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{n}-T^{*}\right\|_{1, F} & =\left\|F^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(T_{n}-T\right)^{*} F^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}\left(F^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(T_{n}-T\right)^{*} F^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}\left(F^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(T_{n}-T\right) F^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\left\|F^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(T_{n}-T\right) F^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\left\|T_{n}-T\right\|_{1, F} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $T=T^{*}$. Since the trace class operator space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1, F}$ is a Banach space too, so the set of all Hermitian operators in $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$ equipped with the norm (3.4) is a Banach space.

If $T$ is any bounded linear operator and $F$ is positive trace class operator that acts on a separable Hilbert space $H$, then

$$
|\operatorname{tr}(T F)| \leq\|T\| \cdot \operatorname{tr}(F)
$$

where $\operatorname{tr}(F)=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}(F)$ and $\lambda_{i}$ is the i-th eigenvalue of $F$, since,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
|\operatorname{tr}(T F)| & \leq\|T F\|_{1} & & ([4], \text { pp. 101, Corollary 2.4) } \\
& \leq\|T\| \cdot\|F\|_{1} & ([4], \text { pp. 106, Proposition 4.2) } \\
& =\|T\| \cdot \sum_{i} s_{i}(F) & \\
& =\|T\| \cdot \sum_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}\left(F^{2}\right)} & \\
& =\|T\| \cdot \sum_{i} \sqrt{\left(\lambda_{i}(F)\right)^{2}} & \\
& =\|T\| \cdot \operatorname{tr}(F) . &
\end{array}
$$

In what follows let $\mathfrak{S}_{1}^{+}$be the set of all strictly positive trace class operators.
Theorem 3.1. Let $P \in \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{+}$and $\psi(R) \in \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{+}$for some $R \in \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{+}$. Then $\varphi$ and $\psi$ have a unique fixed point in $\mathcal{L}_{h}$.

Proof. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{L}_{h}$ and $F \preceq G$. Since $T_{i}^{*}(G-F) T_{i} \succeq 0$ for each $i$ and the set of all positive operators is a cone, then $\varphi(F) \preceq \varphi(G)$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(G)-\varphi(F)\|_{1, R} & =\left\|R^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi(G)-\varphi(F)) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(R^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi(G)-\varphi(F)) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \left.=\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{i}^{*}(G-F) T_{i}\right) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{tr}\left(R^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{i}^{*}(G-F) T_{i}\right) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{tr}\left(T_{i} P T_{i}^{*}(G-F)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{tr}\left(T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}}(G-F) R^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{tr}\left(R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}}(G-F) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}}(G-F) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(R^{\frac{1}{2}}(G-F) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(R^{\frac{1}{2}}(G-F) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \cdot \operatorname{tr}\left(R^{\frac{1}{2}}(G-F) R^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \cdot\|G-F\|_{1, R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $k=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|$, we get

$$
\|\varphi(G)-\varphi(F)\|_{1, R} \leq k \cdot\|G-F\|_{1, R}
$$

The assumption that $\psi(R) \succ 0$ implies that

$$
0 \preceq \sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prec I .
$$

Since

$$
I-\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is invertible and $k$ is a spectral value of

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_{i} P T_{i}^{*} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

it must be strictly less than 1. Now applying Theorem 1.2 or Theorem 2.2 a fixed point is obtained for $\varphi$ which is certainly Hermitian. The same argument works for $\psi$ to have a fixed point.
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