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#### Abstract

A demiclosed principle is proved for multivalued *-nonexpansive mappings. Moreover, strong convergence of an iterative algorithm is obtained for such mappings in a Banach space by using metric projections. The results of this paper improve and extend the corresponding results for single valued nonexpansive mappings which was studied by many authors. Key Words and Phrases: Multivalued *-nonexpansive mapping, approximating fixed point, metric projection, uniformly convex Banach space. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.


## 1. Introduction

The study of fixed points for multivalued contractions and nonexpansive mappings by using the Hausdorff metric was initiated by Markin [11] (see also [13]). Later, an interesting and rich fixed point theory for such maps was developed which has applications in control theory, convex optimization, differential inclusion and economics (see [7] and references cited therein). Approximating fixed points of multivalued mappings have been studied by many authors. In particular, Sastry and Babu [15] introduced the analogs of Mann and Ishikawa iterates for multivalued mappings and proved convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings whose domain is a compact convex subset of a Hilbert space. Recently, Panyanak [14] generalized results of Sastry and Babu to uniformly convex Banach spaces. Xu [16] introduced the class of multivalued ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansive mappings and showed that ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansiveness is different from nonexpansiveness for multivalued mappings.

Throughout this paper $X$ denotes a real Banach space and $C$ is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of $X$. Also, $C B(X)$ denotes the set of nonempty closed bounded subsets of $X$ and $\mathcal{K}(X)$ denotes the set of nonempty compact subsets of $X$. It is clear that $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is included in $C B(X)$. Let $H$ be the Hausdorff metric on $C B(X)$, i.e.,

$$
H(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup _{y \in B} d(y, A)\right\}
$$

where $A, B \in C B(X)$ and $d(x, B)=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in B\}$ is the distance from the point $x$ to the set $B$.

A multivalued mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(X)$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$
H(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\|
$$

for all $x, y \in C . T$ is said ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansive if for each $x, y \in C$ and $u_{x} \in T x$ with $d(x, T x)=\left\|x-u_{x}\right\|$ there exists $u_{y} \in T y$ with $d(y, T y)=\left\|y-u_{y}\right\|$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{x}-u_{y}\right\| \leq\|x-y\|
$$

A point $x$ is called a fixed point of $T$ if $x \in T x$. We denote the set of all fixed points of $T$ by $F(T)$.
The mapping $T$ is said to be demiclosed at $y$ in $X$ if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $C$ which converges weakly to $x$ and $y_{n} \in T x_{n} \rightarrow y$; then $y \in T x$.

It is known that a multivalued nonexpansive mapping $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ has a fixed point if $C$ is nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X[10]$. Some recent existence theorems for multivalued mappings can be found in $[1,8]$.
Remark 1.1 Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X$ and $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be a multivalued ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansive mapping. Then $T$ has a fixed point. To see this define $S: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ by

$$
S x=\left\{u_{x} \in T x:\left\|x-u_{x}\right\|=d(x, T x)\right\} .
$$

Definition of *-nonexpansiveness implies that $S$ is multivalued nonexpansive and by Lim's fixed point theorem [10] $S$ and hence $T$ itself has a fixed point.

On the other hand, using the metric projection, Matsushita and Takahashi [12] introduced an iterative algorithm for single valued nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces as follows.

Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space $X$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For a given $x_{1}=x \in C$, compute the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by the iterative algorithm

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{n}=\overline{c o}\left\{z \in C:\|z-T z\| \leq t_{n}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|\right\},  \tag{1.1}\\
D_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, J\left(x-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap D_{n}} x, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\overline{c o} D$ denotes the convex closure of the set $D$ and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=0$. They proved that if $T$ is nonexpansive and $X$ is uniformly convex and smooth, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.1) converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

Motivated by these facts, we introduce an analog to Matsushita and Takahashi's iterative algorithm for multivalued mappings. The algorithm is defined as follows.

Let $C$ and $X$ be as in (1.1), $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be a mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, $x_{1}=x \in C$ and compute the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by the iterative algorithm

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{n}=\overline{c o}\left\{z \in C: d(z, T z) \leq t_{n} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right\},  \tag{1.2}\\
D_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, J\left(x-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap D_{n}} x, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=0$ and $P_{C_{n} \cap D_{n}}$ is the metric projection from $X$ onto $C_{n} \cap D_{n}$.

For a single valued mapping $T$, the algorithm (1.2) reduces to (1.1). Note that $d(z,\{T z\})=\|z-T z\|$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish strong convergence theorem of the iterative algorithm (1.2) for multivalued ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space.

## 2. Preliminaries

When $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$, we denote strong convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x \in X$ by $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and weak convergence by $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$. A Banach space $X$ is said to have Kadec-Klee property if for every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X, x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$ imply that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. Every uniformly convex Banach space has the Kadec-Klee property [2]. Let $B_{r}$ denotes the open ball of radius $r$ centered at 0 . A Banach space $X$ is said to have convex approximation property if for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $p$ such that for every bounded subset $M$ of $X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c o M \subset c o_{p} M+B_{\epsilon} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c o M$ denotes the convex hull of $M$ and $c_{p} M$ denotes the set of convex combination of no more than $p$ elements of $M$; or in other words, each convex combination of elements of $M$ can be approximated by a convex combination of no more than $p$ elements of $M$. It is known that every uniformly convex Banach space has the convex approximation property [5].

Let $X^{*}$ be the dual of $X$. We denote the value of $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ at $x \in X$ by $\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$. The normalized duality mapping $J$ from $X$ to $2^{X^{*}}$ is defined by

$$
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}
$$

for all $x \in X$. It is known that a Banach space $X$ is smooth if and only if the normalized duality mapping $J$ is single valued. Some properties of duality mapping have been given in $[2,6]$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space $X$. Then for any $x \in X$ there exists a unique point $x_{0} \in C$ such that

$$
\left\|x_{0}-x\right\|=\min _{y \in C}\|y-x\|
$$

The mapping $P_{C}: X \rightarrow C$ defined by $P_{C} x=x_{0}$ is called the metric projection from $X$ onto $C$. Let $x \in X$ and $u \in C$. Then, it is known that $u=P_{C} x$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u-y, J(x-u)\rangle \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in C($ see $[2,3])$.

## 3. Demiclosed principle

In this section, we shall obtain demiclosed principle for *-nonexpansive mappings. To proceed in this direction, we first prove some Zarantonello-type inequalities for multivalued *-nonexpansive mappings. For classical and contemporary developments related to the Zarantonello's inequality, see [5, 9] where further references are provided.

Consistently with [4], we denote by $\Gamma$ the set of strictly increasing, continuous and convex functions $\gamma:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\gamma(0)=0$. A multivalued mapping $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ is said to be of type $(\gamma)$ if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(d(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y, T(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y))) \leq \max \{d(x, T x), d(y, T y)\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$.
Lemma 3.1 If $X$ is uniformly convex then there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that every *nonexpansive mapping $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ is of type $(\gamma)$. Moreover, $\gamma$ can be chosen to depend only on the diameter of $C$ and not on $T$.
Proof. Let $\delta$ be the modulus of uniform convexity of $X$. We know that $\delta:[0,2] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous increasing with $\delta(0)=0, \delta(t)>0$ for $t>0$ and

$$
2 \min \{\lambda,(1-\lambda)\} \delta(\|v-w\|) \leq 1-\|\lambda v+(1-\lambda) w\|
$$

for each $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $v, w \in C$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$ and $\|w\| \leq 1$. Define

$$
\alpha(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \delta(s) d s, \quad(0 \leq t \leq 2)
$$

and $\alpha(t)=\alpha(2)+\frac{1}{2} \delta(2)(t-2)$ whenever $t>2$. It is easy to verify that $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\alpha(t) \leq \delta(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2$ and

$$
2 \lambda(1-\lambda) \alpha(\|v-w\|) \leq 1-\|\lambda v+(1-\lambda) w\|
$$

for each $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $v, w \in C$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$ and $\|w\| \leq 1$. Note that $\lambda(1-\lambda) \leq$ $\min \{\lambda,(1-\lambda)\}$. Since $T x$ is compact, then $d(x, T x)=\left\|x-u_{x}\right\|$ for some $u_{x} \in T x$. By the ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansiveness of $T$ there exist $u_{z} \in T z$ with $d(z, T z)=\left\|z-u_{z}\right\|$ and $u_{y} \in T y$ with $d(y, T y)=\left\|y-u_{y}\right\|$ such that $\left\|u_{x}-u_{z}\right\| \leq\|x-z\|$ and $\left\|u_{z}-u_{y}\right\| \leq\|z-y\|$ and therefore $\left\|u_{x}-u_{y}\right\| \leq\|x-y\|$ where $z=\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y$. The case that $x=y$ or $\lambda=0,1$ is trivial. Now, take

$$
v=\left(u_{y}-u_{z}\right) / \lambda\|x-y\| \quad \text { and } \quad w=\left(u_{z}-u_{x}\right) /(1-\lambda)\|x-y\| .
$$

Noting that the function $s \rightarrow \alpha(s) / s$ is increasing and $\lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\| \leq d / 4$ for $d=\operatorname{diam}(C)$, we conclude that

$$
\frac{d}{2} \alpha\left(\frac{4}{d}\left\|\lambda u_{x}+(1-\lambda) u_{y}-u_{z}\right\|\right) \leq\|x-y\|-\left\|u_{x}-u_{y}\right\|
$$

Put $\beta(t)=(d / 2) \alpha(4 t / d)$. Taking $t=\max \{d(x, T x), d(y, T y)\}$ and considering

$$
\|x-y\|-\left\|u_{x}-u_{y}\right\| \leq\left\|x-u_{x}\right\|+\left\|y-u_{y}\right\| \leq 2 t
$$

we have

$$
\beta\left(\left\|\lambda u_{x}+(1-\lambda) u_{y}-u_{z}\right\|\right) \leq 2 t
$$

As $\beta^{-1}$ is increasing, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(z, T z) & =\left\|z-u_{z}\right\| \leq\left\|\lambda u_{x}+(1-\lambda) u_{y}-u_{z}\right\|+\left\|z-\lambda u_{x}-(1-\lambda) u_{y}\right\| \\
& \leq \beta^{-1}(2 t)+\lambda\left\|x-u_{x}\right\|+(1-\lambda)\left\|y-u_{y}\right\| \\
& \leq \beta^{-1}(2 t)+t
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma$ denotes the inverse function $t \rightarrow \beta^{-1}(2 t)+t$, we get the assertion.

Lemma 3.2 Suppose $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then for each positive integer $p$ there exists $\gamma_{p} \in \Gamma$ such that for any $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ of type $(\gamma)$, any $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}$ in $C$ and any positive real numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}$ with $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{p}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{p}\left(d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i} x_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)\right)\right) \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq p} d\left(x_{i}, T x_{i}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove lemma by induction on $p$. We set $\gamma_{2}=\gamma$ and suppose $\gamma_{p} \in \Gamma$ has been chosen. Define the function $\gamma_{p+1}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $\gamma_{p+1}(t)=\gamma_{2}\left(\gamma_{p}(t)\right)$. It is easy to see that $\gamma_{p+1} \in \Gamma$. We must verify (3.2) for $p+1$. Let $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be of type $(\gamma)$ and fix $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p+1}$ in $C$ and positive real numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p+1}$ with $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{p+1}=1$. The case $\lambda_{p+1}=1$ is trivial. We take $u_{i}=\left(1-\lambda_{p+1}\right) x_{i}+\lambda_{p+1} x_{p+1}$ and $\mu_{i}=\lambda_{i} /\left(1-\lambda_{p+1}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i}=1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i} u_{i}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \lambda_{i} x_{i}$. Since $T$ is of type $(\gamma)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}\left(d\left(u_{i}, T u_{i}\right)\right) \leq \max \left\{d\left(x_{i}, T x_{i}\right), d\left(x_{p+1}, T x_{p+1}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$
\gamma_{p}\left(d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i} u_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i} u_{i}\right)\right)\right) \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq p} d\left(u_{i}, T u_{i}\right)
$$

This together with (3.3) and definition of $\gamma_{p+1}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{p+1}\left(d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \lambda_{i} x_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)\right)\right) & =\gamma_{p+1}\left(d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i} u_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i} u_{i}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq p} \gamma_{2}\left(d\left(u_{i}, T u_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq p+1} d\left(x_{i}, T x_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.3 If $X$ is uniformly convex then there exists a strictly increasing continuous convex function $\gamma:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\gamma(0)=0$ depending only on the diameter of $C$ such that

$$
\gamma\left(d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)\right)\right) \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(x_{i}, T x_{i}\right)
$$

holds for any ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansive mapping $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$, any elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $C$ and any numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \geq 0$ with $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}=1$. (Note that $\gamma$ does not depend on $T$.)
Proof. Since $T$ is *-nonexpansive, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $T$ is of type $(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then we can determine $\gamma_{p} \in \Gamma$ for $p=2,3, \ldots$ by Lemma 3.2. Let $t>0$ be arbitrary. Since every uniformly convex Banach space has the convex approximation property and $C$ is bounded, there exists $q \geq 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c o M \subset c o_{q} M+B_{t} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $M \subseteq C$. We put $\delta(t)=2 t+\gamma_{q}^{-1}(t)$ and suppose $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in C$ satisfy $\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(x_{i}, T x_{i}\right) \leq t$. By taking $M=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ in (3.4), it follows that for each numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \geq 0$ with $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}=1$ there exist numbers $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{q} \geq 0$ with $\mu_{1}+\cdots+\mu_{q}=1$ and $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_{j} x_{i_{j}}\right\| \leq t .
$$

Put $y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}$ and $z=\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_{j} x_{i_{j}}$. Since $T y$ is compact, there exists $u_{y} \in T y$ such that $d(y, T y)=\left\|y-u_{y}\right\|$. It follows from ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansiveness of $T$ that there exists $u_{z} \in T z$ with $d(z, T z)=\left\|z-u_{z}\right\|$ such that $\left\|u_{y}-u_{z}\right\| \leq\|y-z\|$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)\right) & =\left\|y-u_{y}\right\| \leq\|y-z\|+\left\|z-u_{z}\right\|+\left\|u_{z}-u_{y}\right\| \\
& \leq 2 t+d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_{j} x_{i_{j}}, T\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_{j} x_{i_{j}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2 t+\gamma_{q}^{-1}(t)=\delta(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may suppose that $\delta:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is strictly increasing. If not, we would pass to $\delta^{\prime}$ where

$$
\delta^{\prime}(t)=\inf _{\eta \in[t, \infty)} \delta(\eta)+t
$$

Let $\beta(t)=\min \left\{t, \delta^{-1}(t)\right\}$. Thus $\beta:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is strictly increasing and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \beta(t)=0$. Moreover, we may assume that $\beta$ is continuous by passing to $\beta^{\prime}$ where

$$
\beta^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \beta(s) d s, \quad(t>0)
$$

Now, we define the function $\gamma:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $\gamma(0)=0$ and

$$
\gamma(t)=\frac{1}{d} \int_{0}^{t} \beta(s) d s, \quad(t>0)
$$

where $d$ is the diameter of $C$. It is easy to verify that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma(t) \leq \beta(t) \leq \delta^{-1}(t)$ for all $t \in[0, d]$. By taking $t=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(x_{i}, T x_{i}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\gamma\left(d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)\right)\right) \leq t=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(x_{i}, T x_{i}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.4 (Demiclosed principle) Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X$ and $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be $a^{*}$-nonexpansive mapping. Then $(I-T)$ is demiclosed at 0 .

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $C$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $x_{n}-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$, where $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$. Thus for each $\epsilon>0$ there exist positive integers $n_{0}$ and $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k} \geq n_{0}$ and real numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k} \geq 0$ with $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}=1$ such that

$$
\left\|x-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} x_{n_{i}}\right\| \leq \epsilon \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(x_{n_{i}}, T x_{n_{i}}\right) \leq\left\|x_{n_{i}}-y_{n_{i}}\right\| \leq \epsilon \quad \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, k .
$$

Suppose $z=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} x_{n_{i}}$ and $d(x, T x)=\left\|x-u_{x}\right\|$ for some $u_{x} \in T x$. Since $T$ is ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansive there exists $u_{z} \in T z$ with $d(z, T z)=\left\|z-u_{z}\right\|$ such that $\left\|u_{z}-u_{x}\right\| \leq$ $\|z-x\|$. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x, T x) & =\left\|x-u_{x}\right\| \leq\|x-z\|+\left\|z-u_{z}\right\|+\left\|u_{z}-u_{x}\right\| \\
& \leq 2 \epsilon+\gamma^{-1}(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T x$ is closed it follows $x \in T x$.
Using Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 we obtain following result related to the structure of $F(T)$.
Corollary 3.5 Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X$ and $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be $a^{*}$-nonexpansive mapping. Then $F(T)$ is convex and closed.

## 4. Strong convergence theorem

In this section, we study the iterative algorithm (1.2) for finding fixed points of multivalued ${ }^{*}$-nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space. We first prove that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.2) is well-defined. Then, we prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T)} x$, where $P_{F(T)}$ is the metric projection from $X$ onto $F(T)$.
Lemma 4.1 Let $X$ be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, $C \subseteq X$ and let $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be $a^{*}$-nonexpansive mapping. If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.2) is well-defined.
Proof. It is easy to check that $C_{n} \cap D_{n}$ is closed and convex and $F(T) \subset C_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover $D_{1}=C$ and so $F(T) \subset C_{1} \cap D_{1}$. Suppose $F(T) \subset C_{k} \cap D_{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x_{k+1}=P_{C_{k} \cap D_{k}} x$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$
\left\langle x_{k+1}-y, J\left(x-x_{k+1}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in C_{k} \cap D_{k}$ and so for all $y \in F(T)$, that is $F(T) \subset C_{k+1} \cap D_{k+1}$. By mathematical induction, we obtain that $F(T) \subset C_{n} \cap D_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well-defined.

In order to prove our main result, the following lemma is needed.
Lemma 4.2 Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space $X$, let $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be $a^{*}$-nonexpansive mapping and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by (1.2). Then,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=0
$$

Proof. Since $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap D_{n}} x$, then $x_{n+1} \in C_{n}$. Since $t_{n}>0$, there exist $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} \in$ $C$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m} \geq 0$ with $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m}=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} y_{i}\right\|<t_{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $d\left(y_{i}, T y_{i}\right) \leq t_{n} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Put $q=P_{F(T)} x$ and $r_{0}=$ $2 \sup _{n>1}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|$. Since $q \in T q$ and $T$ is *-nonexpansive, there exists $u_{x_{n}} \in T x_{n}$ with $d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=\left\|x_{n}-u_{x_{n}}\right\|$ such that $\left\|q-u_{x_{n}}\right\| \leq\left\|q-x_{n}\right\|$. It follows from boundedness of $C$ that

$$
d\left(y_{i}, T y_{i}\right) \leq t_{n} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=t_{n}\left\|x_{n}-u_{x_{n}}\right\| \leq t_{n}\left(\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|+\left\|q-u_{x_{n}}\right\|\right) \leq r_{0} t_{n},
$$

for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Let $z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} y_{i}$ and $u_{x_{n+1}} \in T x_{n+1}$ with $d\left(x_{n+1}, T x_{n+1}\right)=$ $\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{x_{n+1}}\right\|$. There exists $u_{z} \in T z$ with $d(z, T z)=\left\|z-u_{z}\right\|$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{z}-u_{x_{n+1}}\right\| \leq\left\|z-x_{n+1}\right\| .
$$

It follows from Theorem 3.3, (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n+1}, T x_{n+1}\right) & =\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{x_{n+1}}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} y_{i}\right\|+d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} y_{i}, T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} y_{i}\right)\right)+\left\|u_{z}-u_{x_{n+1}}\right\| \\
& \leq 2 t_{n}+\gamma^{-1}\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} d\left(y_{i}, T y_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2 t_{n}+\gamma^{-1}\left(r_{0} t_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=0$, it follows from the last inequality that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=0$. This completes the proof.
Theorem 4.3 Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space $X$, let $T: C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ be $a^{*}$-nonexpansive mapping and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by (1.2). Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the element $P_{F(T)} x$ of $F(T)$.
Proof. Put $q=P_{F(T)} x$. Since $F(T) \subset C_{n} \cap D_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap D_{n}} x$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x-x_{n+1}\right\| \leq\|x-q\| \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup p$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 3.4 (demiclosedness of $(I-T)$ ) that $p \in F(T)$. From the weakly lower semicontinuity of norm and (4.3), we obtain

$$
\|x-q\| \leq\|x-p\| \leq \liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-x_{n_{i}}\right\| \leq \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-x_{n_{i}}\right\| \leq\|x-q\|
$$

This together with the uniqueness of $P_{F(T)} x$, implies $q=p$, and hence $x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup q$. Therefore, we obtain $x_{n} \rightharpoonup q$. By using the same argument as in proof above, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|=\|x-q\| .
$$

Since $X$ is uniformly convex, using the Kadec-Klee property, we have that $x-x_{n} \rightarrow$ $x-q$. It follows that $x_{n} \rightarrow q$. This completes the proof.

Since every single valued nonexpansive mapping can be viewed as a multivalued *-nonexpansive mapping, we obtain the strong convergence theorem of the iterative algorithm (1.1) due to Matsushita and Takahashi [12, Theorem 3.1].
Corollary 4.4 Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space $X$, let $T$ be a nonexpansive self-mapping of $C$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by (1.1). Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the element $P_{F(T)} x$ of $F(T)$.
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