

ULAM STABILITIES OF A FIRST ORDER ITERATIVE FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

EDITH EGRI

Babeş-Bolyai University, Department of Computer Science
Kogălniceanu Nr. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania.
E-mail: egriedit@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this paper we deal with special types of data dependence, the so-called Ulam stabilities, regarding the first order iterative functional-differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(t, x^{[1]}(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[m]}(t)), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a < b,$$

with $x \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$. Here $x^{[m]}$ denotes the m^{th} iterate of the function x , ($m \geq 0$) i.e.

$$x^{[m]} := \underbrace{x \circ x \circ \dots \circ x}_m.$$

Key Words and Phrases: Differential equation, integral equation, differential inequality, fixed point equation, Ulam–Hyers stability, Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A12, 34A40, 47H10, 54H25, 45G10, 34K20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ($a < b$), and $f : [a, b]^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be two continuous functions. Our aim is to investigate the Ulam–Hyers stability and the generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of the first order iterative functional-differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(t, x^{[1]}(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[m]}(t)), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (1.1)$$

$x \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$.

For this purpose, consider first the following associated differential inequalities:

$$|y'(t) - f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t))| \leq \varepsilon, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (1.2)$$

$$|y'(t) - f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t))| \leq \varphi(t), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (1.3)$$

$$|y'(t) - f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t))| \leq \varepsilon\varphi(t), \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (1.4)$$

We make the following remarks.

Remark 1.1. A function $y \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ is a solution of the inequality (1.2) (also called an ε -solution of the equation (1.1)) if and only if there exists a function $g \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, which depends on y , such that

- (1) $|g(t)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [a, b];$
- (2) $y'(t) = f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t)) + g(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$

Remark 1.2. A function $y \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ is a solution of the inequality (1.3) if and only if there exists a function $h \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, which depends on y , such that

- (1) $|h(t)| \leq \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b];$
- (2) $y'(t) = f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t)) + h(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$

Remark 1.3. A function $y \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ is a solution of the inequality (1.4) if and only if there exists a function $k \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, which depends on y , such that

- (1) $|k(t)| \leq \varepsilon \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b];$
- (2) $y'(t) = f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t)) + k(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$

Following [5] and [7] we present the following notions (see also [3], [4],[6],[8], [1]):

Definition 1.1. The equation (1.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists a real number $c_f > 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each solution $y \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of (1.2) there exists a solution $x \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of the equation (1.1) with

$$\|y - x\| \leq c_f \varepsilon.$$

Definition 1.2. The equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists a real valued function $\theta_f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\theta_f(0) = 0$ such that for each solution $y \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of (1.2) there exists a solution $x \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of (1.1) with

$$\|y - x\| \leq \theta_f(\varepsilon).$$

Definition 1.3. The equation (1.1) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to φ if there exists a real number $c_{f,\varphi} > 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each solution $y \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of (1.4) there exists a solution $x \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of (1.1) with

$$\|y - x\| \leq c_{f,\varphi} \varepsilon \varphi(t).$$

Definition 1.4. The equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to φ if there exists a real number $c_{f,\varphi} > 0$ such that for each solution $y \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of (1.3) there exists a solution $x \in C^1([a, b], [a, b])$ of (1.1) with

$$\|y - x\| \leq c_{f,\varphi} \varphi(t).$$

In the present paper we shall give some results in terms of Ulam–Hyers stability and generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of the equation (1.1). For this we will need the following result:

Lemma 1.1 (data dependence, [2]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $A, B : X \rightarrow X$ be to operators. We suppose that:

- i) the operator A is a contraction, i.e. there exists $L_A \in [0, 1)$ with

$$d(A(x), A(y)) \leq L_A d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y, \in X;$$

- ii) $F_B \neq \emptyset$;

- iii) there exists η such that $d(A(x), B(x)) \leq \eta$, for all $x \in X$.

Then, if $F_A = \{x_A^*\}$ and $x_B^* \in F_B$, we have

$$d(x_A^*, x_B^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1 - L_A}.$$

2. ULAM–HYERS STABILITY

Consider the equation (1.1) and let $L > 0$ be a real number. Denote by $C_L([a, b], [a, b])$ the space of those continuous functions, defined on $[a, b]$ taking values on $[a, b]$, which have the same Lipschitz constant, $L > 0$. We endow the set $C_L([a, b], [a, b]) \subset C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ with the Chebyshev metric $d_C(x, y) := \|x - y\|_C = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t) - y(t)|$, for all $x, y \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$. In what follows we will work in this metric space.

We have our first result:

Theorem 2.1. *Consider the iterative functional-differential equation (1.1) with the Cauchy condition*

$$x(a) = x_0. \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $f \in C([a, b]^{m+1}, \mathbb{R})$;
- (ii) there exists $L_f > 0$, such that

$$|f(t, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) - f(t, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)| \leq L_f \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i - v_i|,$$

for all $t, u_i, v_i \in [a, b]$, $i = \overline{1, m}$;

- (iii) for $M_f := \max_{[t_0-a, t_0+a]^{m+1}} |f(t, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)|$ we have

$$M_f \leq \min \left\{ \frac{b - x_0}{b - a}, \frac{x_0 - a}{b - a}, L \right\};$$

- (iv) $L_f(b - a) \sum_{i=1}^m iL^{m-i} < 1$.

Then

- (1) the Cauchy problem (1.1) + (2.1) has a unique solution in $C_L([a, b], [a, b])$;
- (2) Let $M_f \leq L$ and

$$X_1 := \{y \in C_L([a, b], [a, b]) \mid M_f \leq \min \left\{ \frac{b - y(a)}{b - a}, \frac{y(a) - a}{b - a}, L \right\}\}.$$

Then the equation (1.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable in X_1 .

Proof.

- (1) In this first part we consider the operator

$$A : C_L([a, b], [a, b]) \rightarrow C_L([a, b], [a, b]),$$

given by

$$A(x)(t) := x_0 + \int_a^t f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \tag{2.2}$$

This operator is well-defined, since for all $x \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$ we have $A(x) \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$. Indeed, let $x \in C([a, b], [a, b])$ with

$$|x(t_1) - x(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|, \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [a, b].$$

Then, from the definition of the operator A and due to the assumption (iii), for all $t \in [a, b]$ we get $A(x)(t) \leq x_0 + M_f(b-a) \leq b$ and $A(x)(t) \geq x_0 - M_f(b-a) \geq a$. Since the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t))$ is continuous, the latter affirmations justifies that $A(x) \in C([a, b], [a, b])$. From (iii) we have that $M_f \leq L$ and so we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x)(t_1) - A(x)(t_2)| &= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s, y^{[1]}(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) \, ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq M_f |t_1 - t_2| \leq L |t_1 - t_2|, \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

In this manner we get that $A(x) \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$.

We will prove now that the operator A is a contraction. Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x)(t) - A(y)(t)| &= \\ &= \left| \int_a^t \left[f(s, x(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) - f(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) \right] \, ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq L_f |t - a| \sum_{i=1}^m |x^{[i]}(s) - y^{[i]}(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

By induction we can prove that, for all $x, y \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$ the following successive estimations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{[1]}(s) - y^{[1]}(s)| &\leq \|x - y\|_C; \\ |x^{[2]}(s) - y^{[2]}(s)| &= |x(x(s)) - x(y(s)) + x(y(s)) - y(y(s))| \leq \\ &\leq L|x(s) - y(s)| + |x(y(s)) - y(y(s))| \leq \\ &\leq (1 + L)\|x - y\|_C; \\ |x^{[3]}(s) - y^{[3]}(s)| &= |x(x^{[2]}(s)) - x(y^{[2]}(s)) + x(y^{[2]}(s)) - y(y^{[2]}(s))| \leq \\ &\leq L|x^{[2]}(s) - y^{[2]}(s)| + \|x - y\|_C \leq \\ &\leq (1 + L + L^2)\|x - y\|_C; \\ &\vdots \\ |x^{[m]}(s) - y^{[m]}(s)| &\leq (1 + L + L^2 + \dots + L^{m-1})\|x - y\|_C. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the corresponding relations, we receive

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |x^{[i]}(s) - y^{[i]}(s)| \leq \|x - y\|_C \sum_{i=1}^m iL^{m-i}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$|A(x)(t) - A(y)(t)| \leq L_f(b-a)\|x - y\|_C \sum_{i=1}^m iL^{m-i}, \quad \forall t \in [a, b],$$

and finally,

$$\|A(x) - A(y)\|_C \leq \left[L_f(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^m iL^{m-i} \right] \|x - y\|_C.$$

Due to (iv) we have that $L_f(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^m iL^{m-i} < 1$ and, therefore, the operator A is a contraction. From Banach's fixed point theorem A has a unique fixed point. Consequently the Cauchy problem (1.1)+(2.1) has a unique solution in $C_L([a, b], [a, b])$.

(2) Since $y \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$ is a solution of the differential inequality (1.2), it satisfies the relation

$$|y'(t) - f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t))| \leq \varepsilon, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Then, y is a solution of the integral inequality

$$\left| y(t) - y(a) - \int_a^t f(s, y^{[1]}(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) ds \right| \leq (t-a)\varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Indeed, by Remark 1.1 we have that

$$y'(t) = f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t)) + g(t),$$

with

$$|g(t)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Accordingly, we obtain

$$y(t) = y(a) + \int_a^t f(s, y^{[1]}(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) ds + \int_a^t g(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (2.3)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| y(t) - y(a) - \int_a^t f(s, y^{[1]}(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) ds \right| &\leq \left| \int_a^t g(s) ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq \int_a^t |g(s)| ds \leq \varepsilon(t-a). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we denote by $x \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$ the unique solution of the Cauchy problem

$$x'(t) = f(t, x^{[1]}(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[m]}(t)), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (2.4)$$

$$x(a) = y(a), \quad (2.5)$$

which solution is ensured by the previous proven part. We mention that the function y in the initial value condition (2.5) is the solution of the inequality (1.2).

Then we clearly have,

$$x(t) = y(a) + \int_a^t f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (2.6)$$

We introduce the operators

$$A : C_L([a, b], [a, b]) \rightarrow C_L([a, b], [a, b]),$$

$$A(x)(t) := \text{the right hand side of the equation (2.6)},$$

and

$$B : C_L([a, b], [a, b]) \rightarrow C_L([a, b], [a, b]),$$

$$B(y)(t) := \text{the right hand side of the equation (2.3)}.$$

These are well-defined. Observe that from (2.6) and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x)(t) - B(x)(t)| &= \left| y(a) + \int_a^t f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds - x(a) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_a^t f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds - \int_a^t g(s) ds \right| = \\ &= \left| \int_a^t g(s) ds \right| \leq \varepsilon(b-a). \end{aligned}$$

We mention that the operator A is a contraction with the constant

$$L_A := L_f(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n iL^{m-i}.$$

Denote by x_A^* its unique fixed point and let y_B^* denote a fixed point of the operator B . Then due to Lemma 1.1 we have:

$$\|x_A^* - y_B^*\|_C \leq \frac{\varepsilon(b-a)}{1 - L_f(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n iL^{m-i}}.$$

Now the obtained relation means that the equation (1.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable. Note

that $c_f = \frac{b-a}{1 - L_f(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n iL^{m-i}}$. \square

3. EXAMPLE

Consider the first order iterative functional-differential equation

$$x'(t) = ax(x(t)) + bx(t) + c, \quad t \in [-h, h], \quad h > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with the initial value condition

$$x(0) = x_0 \quad (3.2)$$

and the inequality

$$|y'(t) - ay(y(t)) - by(t) - c| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [-h, h]. \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $L > 0$. Suppose that, concerning the initial value problem (3.1) + (3.2), the following assumptions hold:*

- i. $h(|a| + |b|) + |c| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{h - x_0}{2h}, \frac{h + x_0}{2h}, L \right\}$;
- ii. $2h \max\{|a|, |b|\}(L + 2) < 1$.

Then

- (1) the Cauchy problem (3.1) + (3.2) has a unique solution in $C_L([-h, h], [-h, h])$;
- (2) if $y \in C_L([-h, h], [-h, h])$ is a solution of the inequality (3.3) so that

$$h(|a| + |b|) + |c| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{h - y(0)}{2h}, \frac{h + y(0)}{2h}, L \right\},$$

then the equation (3.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable.

Proof. Notice that in this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, u, v) &= av + bu + c, \\ L_f &= \max\{|a|, |b|\}, \\ M_f &= h(|a| + |b|) + |c|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2.1, the proof follows. \square

4. GENERALIZED ULAM–HYERS–RASSIAS STABILITY

We present now another stability result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an increasing continuous operator. Consider the iterative functional–differential equation (1.1). Suppose that we are in the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and, additionally, there exists $\lambda_\varphi > 0$, such that*

$$\int_a^t \varphi(s) ds \leq \lambda_\varphi \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Then the equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable on the set $C_L([a, b], [a, b])$.

Proof. Let $y \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$ be a solution of the differential inequality (1.3), i.e. satisfying

$$|y'(t) - f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t))| \leq \varphi(t), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Then, y is a solution of the integral inequality

$$\left| y(t) - y(a) - \int_a^t f(s, y^{[1]}(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) ds \right| \leq \lambda_\varphi \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Indeed, we have the following

$$y'(t) = f(t, y^{[1]}(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t)) + h(t), \quad \text{with } |h(t)| \leq \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$y(t) = y(a) + \int_a^t f(s, y^{[1]}(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) ds + \int_a^t h(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (4.1)$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} \left| y(t) - y(a) - \int_a^t f(s, y^{[1]}(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s)) ds \right| &\leq \left| \int_a^t h(s) ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq \int_a^t |h(s)| ds \leq \int_a^t \varphi(s) ds \leq \lambda_\varphi \varphi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Henceforward we take $x \in C_L([a, b], [a, b])$, denoting the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (2.4)+(2.5).

Then, the following relation holds:

$$x(t) = y(a) + \int_a^t f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (4.2)$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we define the operators

$A^1 : C_L([a, b], [a, b]) \rightarrow C_L([a, b], [a, b])$, $A^1(x)(t) :=$ the right hand side of (4.1),

$B^1 : C_L([a, b], [a, b]) \rightarrow C_L([a, b], [a, b])$, $B^1(y)(t) :=$ the right hand side of (4.2).

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A^1(x)(t) - B^1(x)(t)| &= \left| y(a) + \int_a^t f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) \, ds - x(a) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_a^t f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) \, ds - \int_a^t h(s) \, ds \right| = \\ &= \left| \int_a^t h(s) \, ds \right| \leq \int_a^t |h(s)| \, ds \leq \lambda_\varphi \varphi(t) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $x_{A^1}^*$ the unique fixed point of the contraction A^1 and let $y_{B^1}^*$ denote a fixed point of the operator B^1 . From Lemma 1.1 we obtain

$$\|x_{A^1}^* - y_{B^1}^*\|_C \leq \frac{\lambda_\varphi \varphi(t)}{1 - L_f(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n iL^{m-i}},$$

that is, the equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with

$$c_{f,\varphi} = \frac{\lambda_\varphi}{1 - L_f(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n iL^{m-i}}. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Cădariu, *Stabilitatea Ulam-Hyers-Bourgin pentru ecuații funcționale*, Editura Universității de Vest, Timișoara, 2007.
- [2] E. Egri, *On First and Second Order Iterative Functional-Differential Equations and Systems*, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2008.
- [3] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order, III*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **311**(2005), 139-146.
- [4] S.-M. Jung and Th.M. Rassias, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of Riccati differential equation*, Math. Ineq. Appl., **11**(2008), No. 4, 777-782.
- [5] I.A. Rus, *Ulam stability of ordinary differential equations*, Studia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai Math., **54**(2009), No. 4, 125-133.
- [6] I.A. Rus, *Gronwall lemmas: Ten open problems*, Sci. Math. Japon., **70**(2009), No. 2, 221-228.
- [7] I.A. Rus, *Remarks on Ulam stability of the operatorial equations*, Fixed Point Theory, **10**(2009), No. 2, 305-320.
- [8] S.-E. Takahasi, T. Miura and S. Miyajima, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Banach space-valued differential equation $y' = \lambda y$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **39**(2002), No. 2, 309-315.

Received: June 12, 2010; Accepted: December 2, 2010.