

## FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR CONDENSING MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS UNDER WEAK TOPOLOGY FEATURES

RAVI P. AGARWAL\*, DONAL O'REGAN\*\* AND MOHAMED-AZIZ TAOUDI\*\*\*

\*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology  
150 West University Boulevard, Melbourne Florida 32901, USA  
E-mail: agarwal@fit.edu

and

KFUPM Chair Professor, Mathematics and Statistics Department  
King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals  
Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia.

\*\*Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland  
Galway, Ireland.  
E-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

\*\*\*Université Cadi Ayyad, Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
et de Dynamique de Populations, Marrakech, Maroc

and

Centre Universitaire Kalaa des Sraghna  
B.P. 263, Kalaa des Sraghna, Maroc.  
E-mail: taoudi@ucam.ac.ma

**Abstract.** We present new fixed point theorems for weakly condensing multivalued maps with weakly sequentially closed graph. Our fixed point results are obtained under Sadovskii's, Leray-Schauder's and Furi-Pera's type conditions.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Sadovskii's fixed point theorem, Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, Leray-Schauder's fixed point theorem, measure of weak noncompactness, weakly condensing, multivalued maps.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 47H04, 47H09, 47H14.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we present new fixed-point results for weakly condensing multivalued maps with weakly sequentially closed graph on a Banach space. Our results in particular extend those of Arino et al. [4], Agarwal and O'Regan [3] and O'Regan [14, 15]. For the remainder of this section we gather some notations and preliminary facts. Let  $X$  be a Banach space, let  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  denote the collection of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{W}(X)$  the subset of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  consisting of all weakly compact subsets of  $X$ . Also, let  $B_r$  denote the closed ball centered at 0 with radius  $r$ .

In our considerations the following definition will play an important role.

**Definition 1.1.** [5] A function  $\psi: \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is said to be a measure of weak noncompactness if it satisfies the following conditions :

- (1) The family  $\ker(\psi) = \{M \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \psi(M) = 0\}$  is nonempty and  $\ker(\psi)$  is contained in the set of relatively weakly compact sets of  $X$ .
- (2)  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \Rightarrow \psi(M_1) \leq \psi(M_2)$ .
- (3)  $\psi(\overline{\text{co}}(M)) = \psi(M)$ , where  $\overline{\text{co}}(M)$  is the closed convex hull of  $M$ .
- (4)  $\psi(\lambda M_1 + (1 - \lambda)M_2) \leq \lambda\psi(M_1) + (1 - \lambda)\psi(M_2)$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .
- (5) If  $(M_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of nonempty weakly closed subsets of  $X$  with  $M_1$  bounded and  $M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \dots$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(M_n) = 0$ , then  $M_\infty := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$  is nonempty.

The family  $\ker \psi$  described in (1) is said to be the kernel of the measure of weak noncompactness  $\psi$ . Note that the intersection set  $M_\infty$  from (5) belongs to  $\ker \psi$  since  $\psi(M_\infty) \leq \psi(M_n)$  for every  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(M_n) = 0$ . Also, it can be easily verified that the measure  $\psi$  satisfies

$$\psi(\overline{M^w}) = \psi(M) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\overline{M^w}$  is the weak closure of  $M$ .

A measure of weak noncompactness  $\psi$  is said to be *regular* if

$$\psi(M) = 0 \text{ if and only if } M \text{ is relatively weakly compact.} \quad (1.2)$$

*subadditive* if

$$\psi(M_1 + M_2) \leq \psi(M_1) + \psi(M_2), \quad (1.3)$$

*homogeneous* if

$$\psi(\lambda M) = |\lambda|\psi(M), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.4)$$

*set additive* if

$$\psi(M_1 \cup M_2) = \max(\psi(M_1), \psi(M_2)). \quad (1.5)$$

The first important example of a measure of weak noncompactness has been defined by De Blasi [7] as follows :

$$w(M) = \inf\{r > 0 : \text{there exists } W \in \mathcal{W}(X) \text{ with } M \subseteq W + B_r\}, \quad (1.6)$$

for each  $M \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ .

Notice that  $w(\cdot)$  is regular, homogeneous, subadditive and set additive (see [7]).

In what follows we shall recall some classical definitions and results regarding multivalued mappings. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A multivalued map  $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is a point to set function if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x)$  is a nonempty subset of  $Y$ . For a subset  $M$  of  $X$  we write  $F(M) = \bigcup_{x \in M} F(x)$  and  $F^{-1}(M) = \{x \in X : F(x) \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$ . The *graph* of  $F$  is the set  $Gr(F) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in F(x)\}$ . We say that  $F$  is *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c. for short) at  $x \in X$  if for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $F(x)$  there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with  $F(U) \subseteq V$  (equivalently,  $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is u.s.c. if for any net  $\{x_\alpha\}$  in  $X$  and any closed set  $B$  in  $Y$  with  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0 \in X$  and  $F(x_\alpha) \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha$ , we have  $F(x_0) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ). We say that  $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is upper

semicontinuous if it is upper semicontinuous at every  $x \in X$ . The function  $F$  is lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) if the set  $F^{-1}(B)$  is open for any open set  $B$  in  $Y$ . If  $F$  is l.s.c. and u.s.c., then  $F$  is continuous.

If  $Y$  is compact, and the images  $F(x)$  are closed, then  $F$  is upper semicontinuous if and only if  $F$  has a closed graph. In this case, if  $Y$  is compact, we have that  $F$  is upper semicontinuous if  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$ , and  $y_n \in F(x_n)$ , together imply that  $y \in F(x)$ . When  $X$  is a Banach space we say that  $F: X \rightarrow 2^X$  is weakly upper semicontinuous if  $F$  is upper semicontinuous in  $X$  endowed with the weak topology. Also,  $F: X \rightarrow 2^X$  is said to have weakly sequentially closed graph if the graph of  $F$  is sequentially closed w.r.t. the weak topology of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $\psi$  be a measure of weak noncompactness on  $X$ . A multivalued mapping  $B: D(B) \subseteq X \rightarrow 2^X$  is said to be  $\psi$ -condensing if it maps bounded sets into bounded sets and  $\psi(B(S)) < \psi(S)$  whenever  $S$  is a bounded subset of  $D(B)$  such that  $\psi(S) > 0$ .

We recall the following extension of the Arino-Gautier-Penot fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings. For a proof we refer to [15, Theorem 2.2].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a metrizable locally convex linear topological space and let  $C$  be a weakly compact, convex subset of  $X$ . Suppose  $F: C \rightarrow C(C)$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph. Then  $F$  has a fixed point; here  $C(C)$  denotes the family of nonempty, closed, convex subsets of  $C$ .

2. FIXED POINT THEOREMS

We start with the following Sadovskii type fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings with weakly sequentially closed graph.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\psi$  a regular set additive measure of weak noncompactness on  $X$  and  $C$  a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Suppose  $F: C \rightarrow C(C)$  is  $\psi$ -condensing,  $F(C)$  is bounded and  $F$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph. Then  $F$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Choose a point  $x_0 \in C$  and let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A \subseteq C, \overline{\text{co}}(A) = A, x_0 \in A \text{ and } F(x) \in C(A) \text{ for all } x \in A\}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonempty since  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ . Set

$$M = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A$$

and

$$K = \overline{\text{co}}(F(M) \cup \{x_0\}).$$

Clearly  $M$  is a closed convex subset of  $C$  and  $F(x) \in C(M)$  for all  $x \in M$ . Thus  $M \in \mathcal{F}$ . This implies  $K \subseteq M$ . Hence  $F(K) \subseteq F(M) \subseteq K$ . Consequently  $K \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $M \subseteq K$ . As a result  $K = M$ . Using the properties of the measure of weak noncompactness we get

$$\psi(M) = \psi(K) = \psi(\overline{\text{co}}(F(M) \cup \{x_0\})) = \psi(FM),$$

which yields that  $M$  is weakly compact. Since  $F: M \rightarrow C(M)$ , then the result follows from Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** Theorem 2.1 is the multivalued version of [11, Theorem 12] and [13, Theorem 2]. It is also an extension of [14, Theorem 2.2] and [15, Theorem 2.3].

Our next result is the following fixed point theorem of Leray-Schauder type.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\psi$  a regular set additive measure of weak noncompactness on  $X$ . Let  $Q$  and  $C$  be closed, convex subsets of  $X$  with  $Q \subseteq C$ . In addition, let  $U$  be a weakly open subset of  $Q$  with  $0 \in U$ . Suppose  $F: \overline{U^w} \rightarrow C(C)$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph,  $F(\overline{U^w})$  is bounded and  $F$  is a  $\psi$ -condensing map; here  $C(C)$  denotes the family of nonempty, closed, convex subsets of  $C$ . Also assume  $U$  is weakly open in  $C$ . Then either*

$$F \text{ has a fixed point,} \tag{2.7}$$

or

$$\text{there is a point } u \in \partial_Q U \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1) \text{ with } u \in \lambda F u; \tag{2.8}$$

here  $\partial_Q U$  is the weak boundary of  $U$  in  $Q$ .

**Proof.** Suppose (2.8) does not occur and  $F$  does not have a fixed point on  $\partial_Q U$  (otherwise we are finished since (2.7) occurs). Let

$$M = \{x \in \overline{U^w} : x \in \lambda F x \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

The set  $M$  is nonempty since  $0 \in U$ . Also  $M$  is weakly sequentially closed. Indeed let  $(x_n)$  be sequence of  $M$  which converges weakly to some  $x \in \overline{U^w}$  and let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of  $[0, 1]$  satisfying  $x_n \in \lambda_n F x_n$ . Then for each  $n$  there is a  $z_n \in F x_n$  with  $x_n = \lambda_n z_n$ . By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $(\lambda_n)$  converges to some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . This implies that the sequence  $(z_n)$  converges to some  $z \in \overline{U^w}$  with  $x = \lambda z$ . Since  $F$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph then  $z \in F(x)$ . Hence  $x \in \lambda F x$  and therefore  $x \in M$ . Thus  $M$  is weakly sequentially closed. We now claim that  $M$  is relatively weakly compact. Suppose  $\psi(M) > 0$ . Since  $M \subseteq co(F(M) \cup \{0\})$  then

$$\psi(M) \leq \psi(co(F(M) \cup \{0\})) = \psi(F(M)) < \psi(M),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\psi(M) = 0$  and therefore  $\overline{M^w}$  is weakly compact. This proves our claim. Now let  $x \in \overline{M^w}$ . Since  $\overline{M^w}$  is weakly compact then there is a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $M$  which converges weakly to  $x$ . Since  $M$  is weakly sequentially closed we have  $x \in M$ . Thus  $\overline{M^w} = M$ . Hence  $M$  is weakly closed and therefore weakly compact. From our assumptions we have  $M \cap \partial_Q U = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  endowed with the weak topology is a locally convex space then there exists a weakly continuous mapping  $\rho: \overline{U^w} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\rho(M) = 1$  and  $\rho(\partial_Q U) = 0$  (see [9]). Let

$$J(x) = \begin{cases} \rho(x)F(x), & x \in \overline{U^w}, \\ 0, & x \in C \setminus \overline{U^w}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $J: C \rightarrow C(C)$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph since  $F$  has a sequentially closed graph. Moreover, for any  $S \subseteq C$  we have

$$J(S) \subseteq co(F(S \cap U) \cup \{0\}).$$

This implies that

$$\psi(J(S)) \leq \psi(co(F(S \cap U) \cup \{0\})) = \psi(F(S \cap U)) \leq \psi(F(S)) < \psi(S),$$

if  $\psi(S) > 0$ . Thus  $J: C \rightarrow C(C)$  is  $\psi$ -condensing. By Theorem 2.1 there exists  $x \in C$  such that  $x \in J(x)$ . Now  $x \in U$  since  $0 \in U$ . Consequently  $x \in \rho(x)F(x)$  and so  $x \in M$ . This implies  $\rho(x) = 1$  and so  $x \in F(x)$ . □

**Remark 2.2.** Theorem 2.2 extends [15, Theorem 2.4], [15, Theorem 2.5] and [14, Theorem 2.3]. In Theorem 2.2 above notice  $\partial_Q U = \partial_C U$  (see [2] for a discussion). We note that the condition  $U$  is weakly open in  $C$  was omitted in Theorem 2.6 in [1] and in Theorem 2.1 (and the other results in Section 2) in [16].

Now we present a fixed point theorem of Furi-Pera type for weakly compact multivalued mappings with weakly sequentially closed graph.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive separable Banach space,  $C$  a closed bounded convex subset of  $X$ , and  $Q$  a closed convex subset of  $C$  with  $0 \in Q$ . Also, assume  $F: Q \rightarrow C(C)$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph. In addition, assume that the following condition is satisfied:*

*if  $\{(x_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $Q \times [0, 1]$  with  $x_j \rightarrow x \in \partial Q$ ,  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda$  and  $x \in \lambda F(x)$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , then  $\lambda_j F(x_j) \subseteq Q$  for  $j$  sufficiently large; here  $\partial Q$  is the weak boundary of  $Q$  relative to  $C$ .*

*Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $Q$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $X'$  is separable (note  $X$  reflexive and separable implies  $X'$  separable) and  $Q$  is weakly compact (note that a closed convex bounded subset of a reflexive Banach space is weakly compact) we know from [12] that there exists a weakly continuous retraction  $r: X \rightarrow Q$ . Consider

$$B = \{x \in X : x \in Fr(x)\}.$$

We first show that  $B \neq \emptyset$ . To see this, consider  $rF: Q \rightarrow C(Q)$ . Clearly  $rF$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph, since  $F$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph and  $r$  is weakly continuous. Also  $rF(Q)$  is relatively weakly compact since  $F(Q)$  is relatively weakly compact and  $r$  is weakly continuous. Applying Theorem 2.1 we infer that there exists  $y \in Q$  with  $y \in rF(y)$ . Let  $z \in F(y)$  such that  $y = r(z)$ . Then  $z \in F(y) = Fr(z)$ . Thus  $z \in B$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$ . In addition  $B$  is weakly sequentially closed, since  $Fr$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph. Moreover, since  $B \subseteq Fr(B) \subseteq F(Q)$  then  $B$  is relatively weakly compact. Now let  $x \in \overline{B}^w$ . Since  $\overline{B}^w$  is weakly compact then there is a sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements of  $B$  which converges weakly to some  $x$ . Since  $B$  is weakly sequentially closed then  $x \in B$ . Thus,  $\overline{B}^w = B$ . This implies that  $B$  is weakly compact. We now show that  $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $B \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Now since  $X$  is separable we know from [8, 17] (note  $C$  is weakly compact) that the weak topology

on  $C$  is metrizable, let  $d^*$  denote the metric. With respect to  $(C, d^*)$  note  $Q$  is closed,  $B$  is compact,  $B \cap Q = \emptyset$  so there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  with

$$d^*(B, Q) = \inf\{d^*(x, y) : x \in B, y \in Q\} > \epsilon.$$

For  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , let  $U_i = \{x \in C : d^*(x, Q) < \frac{\epsilon}{i}\}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  fixed,  $U_i$  is open with respect to  $d^*$  and so  $U_i$  is weakly open in  $C$ . Also

$$\overline{U_i^w} = \overline{U_i^{d^*}} = \{x \in C : d^*(x, Q) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{i}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial U_i = \{x \in C : d^*(x, Q) = \frac{\epsilon}{i}\}.$$

Keeping in mind that  $\overline{U_i^w} \cap B = \emptyset$ , Theorem 2.2 (with  $F = Fr$ ,  $U = U_i$ ,  $Q = C$ ) guarantees that there exists  $y_i \in \partial Q$  and  $\lambda_i \in (0, 1)$  with  $y_i \in \lambda_i Fr(y_i)$ . Note since  $y_i \in \partial U_i$  that  $\lambda_i Fr(y_i) \not\subseteq Q$ . We now consider

$$D = \{x \in X : x \in \lambda Fr(x), \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

The same reasoning as above implies that  $D$  is weakly compact. Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that  $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda^* \in [0, 1]$  and  $y_i \rightarrow y^* \in \partial Q$ . Since  $F$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph then  $y^* \in \lambda^* Fr(y^*)$ . Note  $\lambda^* \neq 1$  since  $B \cap Q = \emptyset$ . From the assumption in the statement of Theorem 2.3 it follows that  $\lambda_i Fr(y_i) \subseteq Q$  for  $j$  sufficiently large, which is a contradiction. Thus  $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists  $x \in Q$  with  $x \in Fr(x)$ , i.e.,  $x \in Fx$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Theorem 2.3 extends [15, Theorem 2.6] and [14, Theorem 2.4]. We note that one of the conditions in Theorem 2.10 in [1] is stated incorrectly and that the proof there has to be adjusted slightly (see the proof of Theorem 2.3 above). We also refer the reader to [3].

The following lemma was proved in [1]. We give here the proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $B: X \rightarrow X$  a  $k$ -Lipschitzian map, that is*

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad \|Bx - By\| \leq k\|x - y\|.$$

*In addition, suppose that  $B$  is weakly sequentially continuous. Then for each bounded subset  $S$  of  $X$  we have*

$$w(BS) \leq kw(S);$$

*here,  $w$  is the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness.*

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a bounded subset of  $X$  and  $r > w(S)$ . There exist  $0 \leq r_0 < r$  and a weakly compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  such that  $S \subseteq K + B_{r_0}$ . Now we show that

$$BS \subseteq BK + B_{kr_0} \subseteq \overline{BK^w} + B_{kr_0}. \quad (2.9)$$

To see this let  $x \in S$ . Then there is a  $y \in K$  such that  $\|x - y\| \leq r_0$ . Since  $B$  is  $k$ -Lipschitzian then  $\|Bx - By\| \leq k\|x - y\| \leq kr_0$ . This proves (2.9). Further, since  $B$  is weakly sequentially continuous, then the Eberlein-Šmulian theorem [8, p. 430] implies that  $\overline{BK^w}$  is weakly compact. Consequently

$$w(BS) \leq kr_0 \leq kr. \quad (2.10)$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow w(S)$  we get

$$w(BS) \leq kw(S). \tag{2.11}$$

□

Now we are in a position to prove our next result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $Q$  and  $C$  be closed convex subsets of a Banach space  $X$  with  $Q \subseteq C$ . In addition, let  $U$  be a weakly open subset of  $Q$  with  $0 \in U$ ,  $A: \overline{U^w} \rightarrow C(X)$  and  $B: X \rightarrow X$  are two mappings satisfying :*

- (i)  $A(\overline{U^w})$  is relatively weakly compact and  $A$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph,
- (ii)  $B$  is a weakly sequentially continuous nonexpansive map,
- (iii) if  $(x_n)$  is a sequence of  $M$  such that  $((I - B)x_n)$  is weakly convergent then the sequence  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence,
- (iv)  $Ax + Bx \subseteq C$  for all  $x \in \overline{U^w}$ .

Also assume  $U$  is weakly open in  $C$ . Then either

$$A + B \text{ has a fixed point,} \tag{2.12}$$

or

$$\text{there is } u \in \partial_Q U \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1) \text{ with } u \in \lambda(A + B)u; \tag{2.13}$$

here  $\partial_Q U$  is the weak boundary of  $U$  in  $Q$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  and  $F_\mu := \mu A + \mu B$ . Keeping in mind that  $A$  has closed convex values and using assumption (iv) we infer that  $F_\mu(x) \subseteq C$  for all  $x \in \overline{U^w}$ , since  $0 \in U$ . Now we show that  $F_\mu: \overline{U^w} \rightarrow C(C)$  is  $w$ -condensing; here  $w$  is the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness. To see this let  $S$  be a bounded subset of  $\overline{U^w}$ . Using the homogeneity and the subadditivity of the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness we obtain

$$w(F_\mu(S)) \leq w(\mu AS + \mu BS) \leq \mu w(AS) + \mu w(BS). \tag{2.14}$$

Taking into account that  $A$  is weakly compact and using Lemma 2.1 we deduce that

$$w(F_\mu(S)) \leq \mu w(S) < w(S), \tag{2.15}$$

whenever  $w(S) > 0$ . This proves that  $F_\mu$  is  $w$ -condensing. Next suppose (2.13) does not occur. If there exists a  $u \in \partial_Q U$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  with  $u \in \lambda F_\mu u$ , then  $u \in \lambda \mu Au + \lambda \mu Bu$  which is impossible since  $\lambda \mu \in (0, 1)$ . By Theorem 2.2 there exists  $x_\mu \in \overline{U^w}$  such that  $x_\mu \in F_\mu(x_\mu)$ . Now choose a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  in  $(0, 1)$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow 1$  and consider the corresponding sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of  $\overline{U^w}$  satisfying

$$x_n \in F_{\mu_n}(x_n) = \mu_n Ax_n + \mu_n Bx_n. \tag{2.16}$$

Hence

$$x_n - \mu_n Bx_n \in \mu_n Ax_n. \tag{2.17}$$

Using the fact that  $A(\overline{U^w})$  is weakly compact and passing eventually to a subsequence, we may assume that  $\{x_n - \mu_n Bx_n\}$  converges weakly to some  $y \in \overline{U^w}$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\overline{U^w}$  then it is norm bounded and so is  $\{Bx_n\}$ . Consequently

$$\|(x_n - Bx_n) - (x_n - \mu_n Bx_n)\| = (1 - \mu_n)\|Bx_n\| \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.18}$$

As a result

$$x_n - Bx_n \rightharpoonup y. \quad (2.19)$$

By hypothesis (iii) the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  which converges weakly to some  $x \in \overline{U}^w$ . The weak sequential continuity of  $B$  implies  $y = x - Bx$ . Now since  $A$  has a weakly sequentially closed graph, the use of (2.17) gives  $x - Bx \in Ax$ , i.e.,  $x \in Bx + Ax$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** We note that the condition  $U$  is weakly open in  $C$  was omitted in Theorem 2.9 in [1].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, M.A. Taoudi, *Browder-Krasnoselskii type fixed point theorems in Banach spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2010**(2010), Article ID 243716, 20 pp.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory for  $k$ -CAR sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **251**(2000), no. 1, 13-27.
- [3] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory for weakly sequentially upper-semicontinuous maps with applications to differential inclusions*, Nonlinear Oscillations, **5**(2002), no. 3, 277-286.
- [4] O. Arino, S. Gautier and J. P. Penot, *A fixed point theorem for sequentially continuous mappings with applications to ordinary differential equations*, Funkc. Ekvac., **27**(1984), 273-279.
- [5] J. Banas, J. Rivero, *On measures of weak noncompactness*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **151**(1988), 213-224.
- [6] D. Boyd, J.S.W. Wong, *On nonlinear contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **20**(1969), 458-464.
- [7] F.S. De Blasi, *On a property of the unit sphere in Banach spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum., **21**(1977), 259-262.
- [8] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I: General Theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [9] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] K. Floret, *Weakly compact sets*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 801, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [11] J. Garcia-Falset, *Existence of fixed points and measure of weak noncompactness*, Nonlinear Anal., **71**(2009), 2625-2633.
- [12] G.J.O. Jameson, *An elementary proof of the Arens and Borsuk extension theorems*, J. London Math. Soc., **14**(1976), 364-368.
- [13] I. Kubiacyk, *On a fixed point theorem for weakly sequentially continuous mapping*, Discussiones Math.-Diff. Incl., **15**(1995), 15-20.
- [14] D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory for weakly contractive maps with applications to operator inclusions in Banach spaces relative to the weak topology*, Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen, **17**(1998), 282-296.
- [15] D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theorems for weakly sequentially closed maps*, Arch. Math., **36**(2000), no. 1, 61-70.
- [16] D. O'Regan, M.A. Taoudi, *Fixed point theorems for the sum of two weakly sequentially continuous mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **73**(2010), no. 2, 283-289.
- [17] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, I : Fixed Point Theorems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

*Received: May 3, 2010; Accepted: July 6, 2010.*