

SOME RESULTS ABOUT T-STABILITY AND ALMOST T-STABILITY

SH. REZAPOUR*, R. H. HAGHI* AND B. E. RHOADES**

*Department of Mathematics
Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem
Azarshahr, Tabriz, Iran

**Department of Mathematics, Indiana University,
Bloomington, IN47405-7106, USA

Abstract. We shall study almost T-stability of Mann iteration for φ -contraction mappings. Also, we shall study the T-stability of Picard iteration for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of integral type.

Key Words and Phrases: Mann Iteration, Picard iteration, T-stability, almost T-stability.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of stability of a fixed point iteration procedure seems to be due to Ostrowski, as mentioned by Rhoades [1]. It has been systematically studied by Harder in her thesis and published in the papers of Harder and Hicks ([3] and [4]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a map. Let $x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n)$ be an iteration procedure. Suppose that T has at least one fixed point and that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point $q \in X$. Let $\{y_n\}$ be an arbitrary sequence in X and define $\epsilon_n = d(y_{n+1}, f(T, y_n))$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = q$, then the iteration procedure $x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n)$ is called T-stable and, if the convergence of the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = q$, then the iteration procedure is said to be almost T-stable.

There are some papers on T-stability of Picard iteration and equivalence between T-Stabilities of Mann, Picard and Ishikawa iterations for some mappings (see for example [5]-[12]). We shall study almost T-stability of Mann iteration for φ -contraction mappings. Also, we shall study the T-stability of Picard iteration for the mappings satisfying a contractive condition of integral type.

In this paper, we suppose that X is a normed space. Here, let us mention three iteration methods. For $x_1, u_1, s_1 \in X$, the picard iteration is given by

$$x_{n+1} = Tx_n,$$

the Mann iteration is given by

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n T u_n,$$

and the Ishikawa iteration given by

$$s_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)s_n + \alpha_n T t_n$$

$$t_n = (1 - \beta_n)s_n + \beta_n T s_n,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ and satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i = \infty$.

2. SOME RESULTS ON ALMOST T-STABILITY

Now, we are ready to state and prove our main results. We shall need the following preliminaries. A function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a comparison function if φ is increasing, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Note that if φ is a comparison function, then $\varphi^n(t)$ converges to 0 for all $t > 0$.

Property (A₁): We say that a mapping φ satisfies property (A₁) whenever φ is a convex comparison function and

$$\varphi(u + v) \leq u + \varphi(v)$$

for all $u, v \in [0, \infty)$.

There are many mappings which satisfy property (A₁). For example, if $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an increasing differentiable function, then $\varphi(t) = \int_0^t g(x) dx$ satisfies property (A₁). It is clear that φ is increasing and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Since $\varphi'(t) = g(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and g is increasing, φ' is increasing. Hence, φ is convex. Since $1 - g$ is continuous and $1 - g > 0$, $\int(1 - g) > 0$. Thus, $\varphi(t) < t$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u + v) &= \int_0^{u+v} g(x) dx = \int_0^v g(x) dx + \int_v^{u+v} g(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_v^{u+v} 1 dx + \int_0^v g(x) dx = u + \int_0^v g(x) dx = u + \varphi(v) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \geq 0$. Therefore, φ satisfies property (A₁). In particular, $\varphi(t) = t - \log(1 + t)$ satisfies property (A₁).

Lemma 2.1. [1; page 13] *Let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = \infty$, $\{c_n\}$ a sequence in $[0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. Also, suppose that $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are two sequences in $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $b_n = o(t_n)$ and $a_{n+1} \leq (1 - t_n)a_n + b_n + c_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.*

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that X is a normed space, $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies property (A_1) and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying $F(T) = \{q\}$ and

$$\|Tx - q\| \leq \varphi(\|x - q\|) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x \in X$. Then Mann iteration is almost T -stable.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ denote Mann iteration. Then, using (2.1) and the fact that $\varphi(t) \leq t$ for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - q\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n Tu_n - q\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - q\| + \alpha_n \|Tu_n - q\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - q\| + \alpha_n \varphi(\|u_n - q\|) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - q\| + \alpha_n (\|u_n - q\|) = \|u_n - q\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{\|u_n - q\|\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a nonnegative nonincreasing sequence in X and is bounded.

Assume that $\sum_{n=1}^k y_n$ converges, where

$$y_{n+1} = \|u_{n+1} - (1 - \alpha_n)u_n - \alpha_n Tu_n\|.$$

Take $M = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|u_n - q\|$. For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number p such that

$$\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} y_i \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \quad \varphi^m(M) < \frac{\epsilon}{4},$$

for all $m \geq p$. By considering $d_n = \|u_n - q\|$ and $c_n = \|Tu_n - q\|$ we have $d_n \leq y_n + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1})$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= \|u_n - q\| \leq \|u_n - (1 - \alpha_{n-1})u_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1}Tu_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \|(1 - \alpha_{n-1})u_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}Tu_{n-1} - q\| \\ &= \|u_n - (1 - \alpha_{n-1})u_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1}Tu_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \|(1 - \alpha_{n-1})u_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}Tu_{n-1} - (1 - \alpha_{n-1})q - \alpha_{n-1}q\| \\ &\leq y_n + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})\|u_{n-1} - q\| + \alpha_{n-1}\|Tu_{n-1} - q\| \\ &\leq y_n + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})\|u_{n-1} - q\| + \alpha_{n-1}\varphi(\|u_{n-1} - q\|) \\ &= y_n + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(1 - \alpha_n)d_n \leq (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1}).$$

On the other hand, since φ is increasing, we have

$$\varphi(d_n) \leq \varphi(y_n + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1})).$$

If $u = y_n$ and $v = (1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1})$, then by using property (A_1) we have

$$\varphi(d_n) \leq y_n + \varphi((1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1})).$$

Since φ is a convex function, we obtain

$$\varphi(d_n) \leq y_n + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})\varphi(d_{n-1}) + \alpha_{n-1}\varphi^2(d_{n-1}).$$

These relations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - q\| &\leq y_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_n)d_n + \alpha_n\varphi(d_n) \\ &\leq y_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1}) + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_{n-1})\varphi(d_{n-1}) + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}\varphi^2(d_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using similar methods, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - q\| &\leq y_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_n)d_n + \alpha_n\varphi(d_n) \\ &\leq y_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})d_{n-1} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}\varphi(d_{n-1}) + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_{n-1})\varphi(d_{n-1}) + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}\varphi^2(d_{n-1}) \\ &\leq y_{n+1} + y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})y_{n-1} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}y_{n-1} + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_{n-1})y_{n-1} + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}y_{n-1} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_{n-2})d_{n-2} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})\alpha_{n-2}\varphi(d_{n-2}) + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}(1 - \alpha_{n-2})\varphi(d_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_{n-2})\varphi(d_{n-2}) + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}\varphi^2(d_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_{n-1})\alpha_{n-2}\varphi^2(d_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}(1 - \alpha_{n-2})\varphi^2(d_{n-2}) + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}\varphi^3(d_{n-2}) \\ &= y_{n+1} + y_n + y_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_{n-2})d_{n-2} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1})\alpha_{n-2}\varphi(d_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}(1 - \alpha_{n-2})\varphi(d_{n-2}) + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_{n-2})\varphi(d_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}\varphi^2(d_{n-2}) + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_{n-1})\alpha_{n-2}\varphi^2(d_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}(1 - \alpha_{n-2})\varphi^2(d_{n-2}) + \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}\varphi^3(d_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

By continuing these replacements, after a finite number of steps, we obtain

$$\|u_{n+1} - q\| \leq \sum_{i=p+1}^{n+1} y_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-p} S_n^i \varphi^i d_p + \left(\prod_{i=p}^n \alpha_i\right) \varphi^{n-p+1} d_p,$$

where S_n^i are the coefficients of $\varphi^i d_p$. Note that

$$S_n^i = \sum_{\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_i\} \text{ is a subset of } \{p, p+1, \dots, n\}} \alpha_{r_1} \alpha_{r_2} \dots \alpha_{r_i} \prod_{k \in I_i} (1 - \alpha_k),$$

where $I_i = \{p, p+1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_i\}$. We show that for each $k \geq 1$, $\sum_{i=0}^k S_k^i = 1$.

Clearly the equality holds when $k = 1$. Assume that the equality holds for all $k \leq n$. We will show that the equality holds for $n+1$. It is clear that for each $i \leq n$ we have

$$S_{n+1}^i = (1 - \alpha_{n+1})S_n^i + \alpha_{n+1}S_n^{i-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} S_{n+1}^i &= S_{n+1}^{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_{n+1}) \sum_{i=0}^n S_n^i + \alpha_{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n S_n^{i-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} \alpha_i + (1 - \alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1}(1 - S_n^n)) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} \alpha_i + (1 - \alpha_{n+1}) + \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+1} \prod_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We shall now prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^i = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. For $i = 1$,

$$S_n^1 = \alpha_p \prod_{\substack{i=p \\ i \neq p}}^n (1 - \alpha_i) + \alpha_{p+1} \prod_{\substack{i=p \\ i \neq p+1}}^n (1 - \alpha_i) + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} \prod_{\substack{i=p \\ i \neq n}}^n (1 - \alpha_i).$$

It is clear that $S_{n+1}^1 = (1 - \alpha_{n+1})S_n^1 + \alpha_{n+1} \prod_{i=p}^n (1 - \alpha_i)$. As we know, $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ for

all $x > 0$. Since $\sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \alpha_i = \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=p}^n (1 - \alpha_i) = 0$ and so by lemma 2.1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^1 = 0$.

Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^{i-1} = 0$. Then

$$S_{n+1}^i = (1 - \alpha_{n+1})S_n^i + \alpha_{n+1}S_n^{i-1}.$$

By using an argument similar to case $i = 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we can deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^i = 0$. Let $n > 2p + 1$. Since $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - q\| &\leq \sum_{i=p+1}^{n+1} y_i + \left(\sum_{i=0}^p S_n^i\right)M + \left(\sum_{i=p+1}^n S_n^i\right)\varphi^p(M) + \left(\prod_{i=p}^n \alpha_i\right)\varphi^p(d_p) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=p+1}^{n+1} y_i + \left(\sum_{i=0}^p S_n^i\right)M + \varphi^p(M) + \varphi^p(M) \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{4} + y_{n+1} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^p S_n^i\right)M + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \leq \epsilon + y_{n+1} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^p S_n^i\right)M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - q\| \leq \epsilon$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - q\| = 0$. This shows that the Mann iteration is almost T-stable. □

Example 2.1. Define the function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $T(x) = |x| - \log(1 + |x|)$. Clearly 0 is unique fixed point of T . To show that Mann iteration is almost T-stable, by theorem 2.2, define $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\varphi(x) = x - \log(1 + x)$.

Corollary 2.3. Let X be a normed space, $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a map satisfying the property (A_1) and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a self-map satisfying

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \varphi(\max\{\|x - y\|, \frac{1}{2}(\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|), \|x - Ty\|, \|y - Tx\|\}),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then Mann iteration is almost T -stable.

Proof. It is known that T has a unique fixed point q . Hence, it is sufficient to show that T satisfies (2.1). If $x \neq q$, then

$$\|Tx - q\| \leq \varphi(\max\{\|x - q\|, \frac{\|x - Tx\|}{2}, \|Tx - q\|\}).$$

If $\max\{\|x - q\|, \frac{\|x - Tx\|}{2}, \|Tx - q\|\} = \|Tx - q\|$, then $\|Tx - q\| = 0$. Thus, suppose that $\|Tx - q\| \leq \varphi(\max\{\|x - q\|, \frac{\|x - Tx\|}{2}\})$. If $\|x - q\| < \frac{\|x - Tx\|}{2}$, then

$$\|Tx - q\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{\|x - Tx\|}{2}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(\|x - q\| + \|q - Tx\|)\right) \leq \varphi(\max\{\|x - q\|, \|q - Tx\|\}),$$

and (2.1) holds. \square

Remark 2.1. *It is easy to check that our results also hold for Ishikawa iteration.*

3. T-STABILITY OF PICARD ITERATION FOR MAPS SATISFY A CONTRACTIVE CONDITION OF INTEGRAL TYPE

In this section, we shall verify the T-stability of Picard iteration for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of integral type. Let \mathbb{R}_+ be the set of nonnegative real numbers and

(i) $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is subadditive, nondecreasing and continuous from the right such that $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$;

(ii) $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a summable, Lebesgue-integrable and nonincreasing mapping on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t)dt > 0$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

Note that, there are many functions which satisfy (i). For example, suppose that $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a strictly decreasing map. Then, $\psi(t) = \int_0^t g(x)dx$ satisfies (i).

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\psi, \varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ two maps satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) respectively and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a map satisfying the following property (A_2):

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi\left(\int_0^{M(x, y)} \varphi(t)dt\right) \quad (A_2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$. From [2; Theorem 8], we can conclude that, if there exists a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $y_{n+1} = Ty_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying property (A_2). If there exists a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, Ty_n) = 0$, then $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges to the unique fixed point of T .*

Proof. Define the sequence $\{z_n\}$ by $z_{2n} = y_n$, $z_{2n+1} = Ty_n$, and $O(z_k, n) = \{z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{k+n}\}$. For any set A , $\delta(A)$ denotes the diameter of A . We shall show that $\delta(O(z_0))$ is finite.

Since $\lim_n d(y_{n+1}, Ty_n) = 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that, for all $n > N$, $d(y_{n+1}, Ty_n) < 1/2$.

For any $i, j > N$,

$$d(y_i, y_j) \leq \delta(O(y_0)) \leq M,$$

which is finite since $\{y_n\}$ is bounded.

$$d(y_i, Ty_j) \leq d(y_i, y_{j+1}) + d(y_{j+1}, Ty_j) \leq M + \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$d(Ty_i, Ty_j) \leq d(y_{i+1}, Ty_i) + d(y_{i+1}, y_{j+1}) + d(y_{j+1}, Ty_j) \leq M + 1.$$

It then follows that $\delta(O(z_0))$ is finite.

Using Lemma 7 of [2],

$$\int_0^{\delta(O(z_k, n))} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi^k \left(\int_0^{\delta(O(z_0))} \varphi(t) dt \right),$$

which implies that $\lim_{n,k} \delta(O(z_k, n)) = 0$. Thus $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, which converges to some point $q \in X$, since X is complete. It is also the case that $\lim_n y_n = \lim_n Ty_n = q$.

Using (A_2) , it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\delta_n} \varphi(t) dt = 0$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = 0$. Hence, $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that y_n converges q . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(q, Tq)} \varphi(t) dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{d(Ty_n, Tq)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left(\int_0^{M(y_n, q)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{d(q, Tq)} \varphi(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\int_0^{d(q, Tq)} \varphi(t) dt = 0$ and so $d(q, Tq) = 0$. By a method similar to the proof of [2; Theorem 8], we can show that the fixed point of T is unique. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying property (A_2) . If the Picard iteration is convergent, then it is T -stable.*

Letting $\psi(t) = kt$ with $k \in [0, 1)$ in (A_2) , we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $k \in [0, 1)$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying*

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt \leq k \int_0^{M(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt, \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where φ satisfies the property (ii). If there exists a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, Ty_n) = 0$, then the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges to the unique fixed point T .

By considering $\varphi(t) = 1$ and $\psi(t) = kt$ with $k \in [0, 1)$ in (A_2) , we obtain

Corollary 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $k \in [0, 1)$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $d(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$, for all $x, y \in X$. Then the Picard iteration is T -stable.*

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Berinde, *Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points*, Springer-Verlag 2007.
- [2] A. Djoudi, F. Merghadi, *Common fixed point theorems for maps under contractive condition of integral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **341**(2008), 953-960.
- [3] A.M. Harder, T.L. Hicks, *A stable iteration procedure for nonexpansive mappings*, Math. Japon., **33**(1988), no. 5, 687-692.
- [4] A.M. Harder, T.L. Hicks, *Stability results for fixed point iteration procedures*, Math. Japon., **33**(1988), no. 5, 693-706.
- [5] Y. Qing, B.E. Rhoades, *T-stability of Picard iteration in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2008**(2008), Article ID 418971, 4 pages.
- [6] B.E. Rhoades, S.M. Soltuz, *The equivalence between the convergence of Ishikawa and Mann iterations for an asymptotically pseudocontractive map*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **283**(2003), 681-688.
- [7] B.E. Rhoades, S.M. Soltuz, *The equivalence between the convergence of Ishikawa and Mann iterations for asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense and strongly successively pseudocontractive maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **289**(2004), 266-278.
- [8] B.E. Rhoades, S.M. Soltuz, *The equivalence between Mann-Ishikawa iteration and multistep iteration*, Nonlinear Anal., **58**(2004), 219-228.
- [9] B.E. Rhoades, S.M. Soltuz, *The equivalence between the T-stabilities of Mann and Ishikawa iterations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **318**(2006), 472-475.
- [10] B.E. Rhoades, *Two fixed-point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **63**(2003), 4007-4013.
- [11] N. Shahzad, H. Zegeye, *On stability results for φ -strongly pseudocontractive mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **64**(2006), 2619-2630.
- [12] S.M. Soltuz, *The equivalence between the T-stabilities of Picard-Banach and Mann-Ishikawa iterations*, Applied Math. E-Notes, **8**(2008), 109-114.

Received: June 13, 2009; Accepted: October 28, 2010.