

FIXED POINT THEORY IN GENERALIZED APPROXIMATE NEIGHBORHOOD EXTENSION SPACES

DONAL O'REGAN

Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland
Galway, Ireland
E-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

Abstract. Several new fixed point results for compact self maps in new classes of spaces are presented in this paper.

Key Words and Phrases: Extension spaces, fixed point theory.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Sections 2 we present new results on fixed point theory in extension type spaces. In particular we discuss compact self-maps on *GNES* (generalized neighborhood extension spaces) and *GANES* (generalized approximate neighborhood extension spaces) spaces. These spaces are generalization of spaces considered in [8, 9, 15, 16]. Our results were motivated in part from ideas in [1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16].

For the remainder of this section we present some definitions and known results which will be needed throughout this paper. Suppose X and Y are topological spaces. Given a class \mathcal{X} of maps, $\mathcal{X}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ (nonempty subsets of Y) belonging to \mathcal{X} , and \mathcal{X}_c the set of finite compositions of maps in \mathcal{X} . We let

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) = \{Z : \text{Fix } F \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{X}(Z, Z)\}$$

where $\text{Fix } F$ denotes the set of fixed points of F .

The class \mathcal{A} of maps is defined by the following properties:

- (i). \mathcal{A} contains the class \mathcal{C} of single valued continuous functions;
- (ii). each $F \in \mathcal{A}_c$ is upper semicontinuous and closed valued; and
- (iii). $B^n \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_c)$ for all $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$; here $B^n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

Remark 1.1. The class \mathcal{A} is essentially due to Ben-El-Mechaiekh and Deguire [6]. \mathcal{A} includes the class of maps \mathcal{U} of Park (\mathcal{U} is the class of maps defined by (i), (iii) and (iv). each $F \in \mathcal{U}_c$ is upper semicontinuous and compact valued). Thus if each $F \in \mathcal{A}_c$ is compact valued the class \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{U} coincide and this is what occurs in Section 2 since our maps will be compact.

We next consider the class $\mathcal{U}_c^k(X, Y)$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}_c^k(X, Y)$) of maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ such that for each F and each nonempty compact subset K of X there exists

a map $G \in \mathcal{U}_c(K, Y)$ (respectively $G \in \mathcal{A}_c(K, Y)$) such that $G(x) \subseteq F(x)$ for all $x \in K$.

Notice [14] that \mathcal{U}_c^k is closed under compositions. The class \mathcal{U}_c^k include (see [3]) the Kakutani maps, the acyclic maps, the O'Neill maps, the approximable maps and the maps admissible with respect to Gorniewicz.

For a subset K of a topological space X , we denote by $Cov_X(K)$ the set of all coverings of K by open sets of X (usually we write $Cov(K) = Cov_X(K)$). Given a map $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$ and $\alpha \in Cov(X)$, a point $x \in X$ is said to be an α -fixed point of F if there exists a member $U \in \alpha$ such that $x \in U$ and $F(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Given two maps single valued $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\alpha \in Cov(Y)$, f and g are said to be α -close if for any $x \in X$ there exists $U_x \in \alpha$ containing both $f(x)$ and $g(x)$. We say f and g are α -homotopic if there is a homotopy $h_t : X \rightarrow Y$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) joining f and g such that for each $x \in X$ the values $h_t(x)$ belong to a common $U_x \in \alpha$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

The following results can be found in [4, Lemma 1.2 and 4.7].

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a regular topological space and $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$ an upper semicontinuous map with closed values. Suppose there exists a cofinal family of coverings $\theta \subseteq Cov_X(\overline{F(X)})$ such that F has an α -fixed point for every $\alpha \in \theta$. Then F has a fixed point.*

Remark 1.2. From Theorem 1.1 in proving the existence of fixed points in uniform spaces for upper semicontinuous compact maps with closed values it suffices [5 pp. 298] to prove the existence of approximate fixed points (since open covers of a compact set A admit refinements of the form $\{U[x] : x \in A\}$ where U is a member of the uniformity [13 pp. 199] so such refinements form a cofinal family of open covers). Note also uniform spaces are regular (in fact completely regular) [7 pp. 431] (see also [7 pp. 434]). Note in Theorem 1.1 if F is compact valued then the assumption that X is regular can be removed. For convenience in this paper we will apply Theorem 1.1 only when the space is uniform.

Let X, Y and Γ be Hausdorff topological spaces. A continuous single valued map $p : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ is called a Vietoris map (written $p : \Gamma \rightrightarrows X$) if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i). for each $x \in X$, the set $p^{-1}(x)$ is acyclic
- (ii). p is a proper map i.e. for every compact $A \subseteq X$ we have that $p^{-1}(A)$ is compact.

Let $D(X, Y)$ be the set of all pairs $X \xleftarrow{p} \Gamma \xrightarrow{q} Y$ where p is a Vietoris map and q is continuous. We will denote every such diagram by (p, q) . Given two diagrams (p, q) and (p', q') , where $X \xleftarrow{p'} \Gamma' \xrightarrow{q'} Y$, we write $(p, q) \sim (p', q')$ if there are maps $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ and $g : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $q' \circ f = q$, $p' \circ f = p$, $q \circ g = q'$ and $p \circ g = p'$. The equivalence class of a diagram $(p, q) \in D(X, Y)$ with respect to \sim is denoted by

$$\phi = \{X \xleftarrow{p} \Gamma \xrightarrow{q} Y\} : X \rightarrow Y$$

or $\phi = [(p, q)]$ and is called a morphism from X to Y . We let $M(X, Y)$ be the set of all such morphisms. For any $\phi \in M(X, Y)$ a set $\phi(x) = qp^{-1}(x)$ where $\phi = [(p, q)]$ is called an image of x under a morphism ϕ .

Consider vector spaces over a field K . Let E be a vector space and $f : E \rightarrow E$ an endomorphism. Now let $N(f) = \{x \in E : f^{(n)}(x) = 0 \text{ for some } n\}$ where $f^{(n)}$ is the n^{th} iterate of f , and let $\tilde{E} = E \setminus N(f)$. Since $f(N(f)) \subseteq N(f)$ we have the induced endomorphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}$. We call f admissible if $\dim \tilde{E} < \infty$; for such f we define the generalized trace $Tr(f)$ of f by putting $Tr(f) = tr(\tilde{f})$ where tr stands for the ordinary trace.

Let $f = \{f_q\} : E \rightarrow E$ be an endomorphism of degree zero of a graded vector space $E = \{E_q\}$. We call f a Leray endomorphism if (i). all f_q are admissible and (ii). almost all \tilde{E}_q are trivial. For such f we define the generalized Lefschetz number $\Lambda(f)$ by

$$\Lambda(f) = \sum_q (-1)^q Tr(f_q).$$

Let H be the Čech homology functor with compact carriers and coefficients in the field of rational numbers K from the category of Hausdorff topological spaces and continuous maps to the category of graded vector spaces and linear maps of degree zero. Thus $H(X) = \{H_q(X)\}$ is a graded vector space, $H_q(X)$ being the q -dimensional Čech homology group with compact carriers of X . For a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$, $H(f)$ is the induced linear map $f_* = \{f_{*q}\}$ where $f_{*q} : H_q(X) \rightarrow H_q(X)$.

With Čech homology functor extended to a category of morphisms (see [10 pp. 364]) we have the following well known result (note the homology functor H extends over this category i.e. for a morphism

$$\phi = \{X \xleftarrow{p} \Gamma \xrightarrow{q} Y\} : X \rightarrow Y$$

we define the induced map

$$H(\phi) = \phi_* : H(X) \rightarrow H(Y)$$

by putting $\phi_* = q_* \circ p_*^{-1}$.

Recall the following result [8 pp. 227].

Theorem 1.2. *If $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$ are two morphisms (here X, Y and Z are Hausdorff topological spaces) then*

$$(\psi \circ \phi)_* = \psi_* \circ \phi_*.$$

Two morphisms $\phi, \psi \in M(X, Y)$ are homotopic (written $\phi \sim \psi$) provided there is a morphism $\chi \in M(X \times [0, 1], Y)$ such that $\chi(x, 0) = \phi(x)$, $\chi(x, 1) = \psi(x)$ for every $x \in X$ (i.e. $\phi = \chi \circ i_0$ and $\psi = \chi \circ i_1$, where $i_0, i_1 : X \rightarrow X \times [0, 1]$ are defined by $i_0(x) = (x, 0)$, $i_1(x) = (x, 1)$). Recall the following result [9, pp. 231]: If $\phi \sim \psi$ then $\phi_* = \psi_*$.

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a multivalued map (note for each $x \in X$ we assume $\phi(x)$ is a nonempty subset of Y). A pair (p, q) of single valued continuous maps of the form $X \xleftarrow{p} \Gamma \xrightarrow{q} Y$ is called a selected pair of ϕ (written $(p, q) \subset \phi$) if the following two conditions hold:

- (i). p is a Vietoris map
- and

(ii). $q(p^{-1}(x)) \subset \phi(x)$ for any $x \in X$.

Definition 1.1. An upper semicontinuous map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be strongly admissible [9, 10] (and we write $\phi \in Ads(X, Y)$) provided there exists a selected pair (p, q) of ϕ with $\phi(x) = q(p^{-1}(x))$ for $x \in X$.

Definition 1.2. A map $\phi \in Ads(X, X)$ is said to be a Lefschetz map if for each selected pair $(p, q) \subset \phi$ with $\phi(x) = q(p^{-1}(x))$ for $x \in X$ the linear map $q_* p_*^{-1} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ (the existence of p_*^{-1} follows from the Vietoris Theorem) is a Leray endomorphism.

When we talk about $\phi \in Ads$ it is assumed that we are also considering a specified selected pair (p, q) of ϕ with $\phi(x) = q(p^{-1}(x))$.

Remark 1.3. In fact since we specify the pair (p, q) of ϕ it is enough to say ϕ is a Lefschetz map if $\phi_* = q_* p_*^{-1} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a Leray endomorphism. However for the examples of ϕ, X known in the literature [9] the more restrictive condition in Definition 1.2 works. We note [9, pp 227] that ϕ_* does not depend on the choice of diagram from $[(p, q)]$, so in fact we could specify the morphism.

If $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is a Lefschetz map as described above then we define the Lefschetz number (see [9, 10]) $\Lambda(\phi)$ (or $\Lambda_X(\phi)$) by

$$\Lambda(\phi) = \Lambda(q_* p_*^{-1}).$$

If we do not wish to specify the selected pair (p, q) of ϕ then we would consider the Lefschetz set $\Lambda(\phi) = \{\Lambda(q_* p_*^{-1}) : \phi = q(p^{-1})\}$.

Definition 1.3. A Hausdorff topological space X is said to be a Lefschetz space (for the class Ads) provided every compact $\phi \in Ads(X, X)$ is a Lefschetz map and $\Lambda(\phi) \neq 0$ implies ϕ has a fixed point.

Definition 1.4. An upper semicontinuous map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ with closed values is said to be admissible (and we write $\phi \in Ad(X, Y)$) provided there exists a selected pair (p, q) of ϕ .

Definition 1.5. A map $\phi \in Ad(X, X)$ is said to be a Lefschetz map if for each selected pair $(p, q) \subset \phi$ the linear map $q_* p_*^{-1} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ (the existence of p_*^{-1} follows from the Vietoris Theorem) is a Leray endomorphism.

If $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is a Lefschetz map, we define the Lefschetz set $\Lambda(\phi)$ (or $\Lambda_X(\phi)$) by

$$\Lambda(\phi) = \{\Lambda(q_* p_*^{-1}) : (p, q) \subset \phi\}.$$

Definition 1.6. A Hausdorff topological space X is said to be a Lefschetz space (for the class Ad) provided every compact $\phi \in Ad(X, X)$ is a Lefschetz map and $\Lambda(\phi) \neq \{0\}$ implies ϕ has a fixed point.

Remark 1.4. Many examples of Lefschetz spaces (for the class Ad or Ads) can be found in [1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16].

Definition 1.7. A multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ ($K(Y)$ denotes the class of nonempty compact subsets of Y) is in the class $\mathcal{A}_m(X, Y)$ if (i). F is continuous, and (ii). for each $x \in X$ the set $F(x)$ consists of one or m acyclic components; here m is a positive integer. We say F is of class $\mathcal{A}_0(X, Y)$ if F is upper semicontinuous and for each $x \in X$ the set $F(x)$ is acyclic.

Definition 1.8. A decomposition (F_1, \dots, F_n) of a multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a sequence of maps

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{F_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{F_2} X_2 \xrightarrow{F_3} \dots \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{F_n} X_n = Y,$$

where $F_i \in \mathcal{A}_{m_i}(X_{i-1}, X_i)$, $F = F_n \circ \dots \circ F_1$. One can say that the map F is determined by the decomposition (F_1, \dots, F_n) . The number n is said to be the length of the decomposition (F_1, \dots, F_n) . We will denote the class of decompositions by $\mathcal{D}(X, Y)$.

Definition 1.9. An upper semicontinuous map $F : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ is permissible provided it admits a selector $G : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ which is determined by a decomposition $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathcal{D}(X, Y)$. We denote the class of permissible maps from X into Y by $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$.

Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and let a map Φ be determined by $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k) \in \mathcal{D}(X, X)$. Then Φ is said to be a Lefschetz map if the induced homology homomorphism [9, pp 262, 263] $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k)_* : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a Leray endomorphism.

If $\Phi : X \rightarrow X$ is a Lefschetz map as described above then we define the Lefschetz number (see [9]) $\Lambda(\Phi)$ (or $\Lambda_X(\Phi)$) by

$$\Lambda(\Phi) = \Lambda((\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k)_*).$$

A Hausdorff topological space X is said to be a Lefschetz space (for the class \mathcal{D}) provided every compact $\Phi : X \rightarrow K(X)$ determined by a decomposition $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k) \in \mathcal{D}(X, X)$ is a Lefschetz map and $\Lambda(\phi) \neq 0$ implies Φ has a fixed point.

A map $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}(X, X)$ is said to be a Lefschetz map provided every selector $G : X \rightarrow K(X)$ of Φ which is determined by $(G_1, \dots, G_k) \in \mathcal{D}(X, X)$ is such that $(G_1, \dots, G_k)_* : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a Leray endomorphism.

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}(X, X)$ is a Lefschetz map as described above then we define the Lefschetz set $\Lambda(\Phi)$ (or $\Lambda_X(\Phi)$) by

$$\Lambda(\Phi) = \{ \Lambda((G_1, \dots, G_k)_*) : (G_1, \dots, G_k) \in \mathcal{D}(X, X) \text{ and } (G_1, \dots, G_k) \text{ determines a selection of } \Phi \}.$$

A Hausdorff topological space X is said to be a Lefschetz space (for the class \mathcal{P}) provided every compact $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}(X, X)$ is a Lefschetz map and $\Lambda(\phi) \neq \{0\}$ implies Φ has a fixed point.

2. FIXED POINT THEORY

By a space we mean a Hausdorff topological space. Let X be a space and $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(X, X)$. We say $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{U}_c^k and F) if there exists a space U , a single valued continuous map $r : U \rightarrow X$ and a compact valued upper semicontinuous map $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(K, U)$ with $r\Phi = id_K$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$).

Remark 2.1. Examples of $GNES$ spaces can be found in [16, Section 4]. The space U will be discussed below for particular classes of \mathcal{U}_c^k maps.

Now assume $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{U}_c^k and F) and $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(X, X)$ a compact map. Let U , r and Φ be as described above and let $G = \Phi Fr$. Notice $G \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(U, U)$

is a compact map (note the image of a compact set under Φ is compact). We now assume

$$G \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(U, U) \text{ has a fixed point.} \quad (2.1)$$

Then there exists $x \in U$ with $x \in Gx$. Let $y = r(x)$, so $y \in r\Phi F(y)$ i.e. $y \in r\Phi(q)$ for some $q \in F(y)$. Note $q \in K = \overline{F(X)}$. Now since $r\Phi = id_K$ we have $y \in F(y)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{U}_c^k and F) and $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(X, X)$ a compact map. Also assume (2.1) holds with U , r and Φ as described above. Then F has a fixed point.*

We discuss Theorem 2.1 for the class $Ad(X, X)$. Let X be a space and $F \in Ad(X, X)$. We say $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. Ad and F) if there exists a Lefschetz space (for the class Ad) U , a single valued continuous map $r : U \rightarrow X$ and a compact valued map $\Phi \in Ad(K, U)$ with $r\Phi = id_K$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$).

Now assume $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. Ad and F) and $F \in Ad(X, X)$ a compact map. Let U , r and Φ be as described above and let $G = \Phi Fr$. Notice $G \in Ad(U, U)$ is a compact map. Let (p, q) be a selected pair for F and (p_1, q_1) be a selected pair of Φ . Now since $Fr \in Ad(U, X)$ then [9, Section 40] guarantees that there exists a selected pair (p', q') of Fr with

$$(q')_\star (p')_\star^{-1} = q_\star p_\star^{-1} r_\star. \quad (2.2)$$

Also there exists [9, Section 40] a selected pair (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) of G with

$$(\bar{q})_\star (\bar{p})_\star^{-1} = (q_1)_\star (p_1)_\star^{-1} (q')_\star (p')_\star^{-1} \quad (2.3)$$

so (2.2) and (2.3) imply

$$(\bar{q})_\star (\bar{p})_\star^{-1} = (q_1)_\star (p_1)_\star^{-1} q_\star p_\star^{-1} r_\star. \quad (2.4)$$

Notice as well that

$$q_\star p_\star^{-1} r_\star (q_1)_\star (p_1)_\star^{-1} = q_\star p_\star^{-1} \quad (2.5)$$

since $r\Phi = id_K$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$). Now U is a Lefschetz space (for the class Ad) so $(\bar{q})_\star (\bar{p})_\star^{-1}$ is a Leray endomorphism. Now [8, page 214, see (1.3)] (here $E' = U'$, $E'' = X'$, $u = (q')_\star (p')_\star^{-1}$, $v = (q_1)_\star (p_1)_\star^{-1}$, $f' = (\bar{q})_\star (\bar{p})_\star^{-1}$ and $f'' = q_\star p_\star^{-1}$ and note (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5)) guarantees that $q_\star p_\star^{-1}$ is a Leray endomorphism and $\Lambda(q_\star p_\star^{-1}) = \Lambda((\bar{q})_\star (\bar{p})_\star^{-1})$. Thus $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined.

Next suppose $\Lambda(F) \neq \{0\}$. Then there exists a selected pair (p, q) as described above with $\Lambda(q_\star p_\star^{-1}) \neq 0$. Let \bar{p} and \bar{q} be as described above with $\Lambda((\bar{q})_\star (\bar{p})_\star^{-1}) = \Lambda(q_\star p_\star^{-1}) \neq 0$. Now since U is a Lefschetz space (for the class Ad) there exists $x \in U$ with $x \in \bar{q}(\bar{p})^{-1}(x)$ i.e. $x \in G(x)$ so (2.1) is satisfied. Combining with Theorem 2.1 we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. Ad and F) and $F \in Ad(X, X)$ a compact map. Then $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined and if $\Lambda(F) \neq \{0\}$ then F has a fixed point.*

Remark 2.2. One could also discuss Ads maps. Let X be a space and $F \in Ads(X, X)$. We say $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. Ads and F) if there exists a Lefschetz space (for the class Ads) U , a single valued continuous map $r : U \rightarrow X$ and a map $\Phi \in Ads(K, U)$ with $r\Phi = id_K$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$). Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.2 establishes: Let $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. Ads and F) and

$F \in Ads(X, X)$ a compact map. Then $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined and if $\Lambda(F) \neq 0$ then F has a fixed point.

Remark 2.3. One could also obtain a result for the class \mathcal{P} (of course the results here can trivially be adjusted for the class \mathcal{D}). Let X be a space and $F \in \mathcal{P}(X, X)$. We say $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{P} and F) if there exists a Lefschetz space (for the class \mathcal{P}) U , a single valued continuous map $r : U \rightarrow X$ and a map $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}(K, U)$ with $r\Phi = id_K$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$). Let $X \in GNES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{P} and F) and $F \in \mathcal{P}(X, X)$ a compact map. Let U , r and Φ be as described above and let $G = \Phi Fr$. Notice $G \in \mathcal{P}(U, U)$ is a compact map. We now assume the following condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every selector } H : X \rightarrow K(X) \text{ of } F \text{ which is} \\ \text{determined by } (H_1, \dots, H_n) \in \mathcal{D}(X, X) \text{ and every selector} \\ \Psi : \overline{F(X)} \rightarrow K(U) \text{ of } \Phi \text{ which is determined by} \\ (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m) \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{F(X)}, U) \text{ there exists a} \\ (S_1, \dots, S_k) \in \mathcal{D}(U, X) \text{ which determines } Fr \text{ with} \\ (S_1, \dots, S_k)_* = (H_1, \dots, H_n)_* r_* \text{ and there exists a} \\ (G_1, \dots, G_l) \in \mathcal{D}(U, U) \text{ which determines a selection } G \text{ with} \\ (H_1, \dots, H_n)_* r_* (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)_* = (H_1, \dots, H_n)_* \text{ and} \\ (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)_* (S_1, \dots, S_k)_* = (G_1, \dots, G_l)_*. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.6)$$

Fix a selector H of F and a selector Ψ of Φ and let (H_1, \dots, H_n) , (Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_m) and (S_1, \dots, S_k) be as described in (2.6). Notice

$$(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)_* (S_1, \dots, S_k)_* = (G_1, \dots, G_l)_*$$

and

$$(S_1, \dots, S_k)_* (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)_* = (H_1, \dots, H_n)_* r_* (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)_* = (H_1, \dots, H_n)_*.$$

Now U is a Lefschetz space (for the class \mathcal{P}) so $(G_1, \dots, G_l)_*$ is a Leray endomorphism. Now [8, page 214, see (1.3)] (here $E' = U'$, $E'' = X'$, $u = (S_1, \dots, S_k)_*$, $v = (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)_*$, $f' = (G_1, \dots, G_l)_*$ and $f'' = (H_1, \dots, H_n)_*$) guarantees that $(H_1, \dots, H_n)_*$ is a Leray endomorphism and $\Lambda((H_1, \dots, H_n)_*) = \Lambda((G_1, \dots, G_l)_*)$. Thus $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined. Also as in Theorem 2.2 it is easy to see that if $\Lambda(F) \neq \{0\}$ then F has a fixed point.

Let X be a space and $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^\kappa(X, X)$. We say $X \in GANES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{U}_c^κ and F) if for each $\alpha \in Cov_X(K)$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$) there exists a space U_α , a single valued continuous map $r_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X$ and a compact valued upper semicontinuous map $\Phi_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_c^\kappa(K, U_\alpha)$ such that $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow 2^X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are strongly α -close (by this we mean for each $x \in K$ there exists $V_x \in \alpha$ with $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha(x) \subseteq V_x$ and $x = i(x) \in V_x$).

Now assume $X \in GANES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{U}_c^κ and F) is a uniform space and $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^\kappa(X, X)$ is a compact upper semicontinuous map with closed values. Let $\alpha \in Cov_X(\overline{F(X)})$ and let U_α , r_α and Φ_α be as described above. Now let $G_\alpha = \Phi_\alpha F r_\alpha$. Notice $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_c^\kappa(U_\alpha, U_\alpha)$ is a compact upper semicontinuous map with closed values. We now assume

$$G_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_c^\kappa(U_\alpha, U_\alpha) \text{ has a fixed point (for each } \alpha \in Cov_X(\overline{F(X)})). \quad (2.7)$$

Then there exists $x \in U_\alpha$ with $x \in G_\alpha(x)$. Let $y = r_\alpha(x)$, so $y \in r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha F(y)$ i.e. $y \in r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha(q)$ for some $q \in F(y)$. Note $q \in K = \overline{F(X)}$. Now since $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow 2^X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are strongly α -close there exists $V \in \alpha$ with

$$r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha(q) \subseteq V \text{ and } q \in V.$$

Thus $y \in V$ since $y \in r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha(q)$, $q \in F(y)$ and $q \in V$, so

$$y \in V \text{ and } F(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

As a result F has an α -fixed point so Theorem 1.1 guarantees that F has a fixed point.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $X \in \text{GANES}$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{U}_c^κ and F) be a uniform space and $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^\kappa(X, X)$ a compact upper semicontinuous map with closed values. Also assume (2.7) holds with U_α , r_α and Φ_α as described above. Then F has a fixed point.*

We discuss Theorem 2.3 for the class $\text{Ad}(X, X)$. Let X be a space and $F \in \text{Ad}(X, X)$. We say $X \in \text{GANES}$ (w.r.t. Ad and F) if for each $\alpha \in \text{Cov}_X(K)$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$) there exists a Lefschetz space (for the class Ad) U_α , a single valued continuous map $r_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X$ and a compact valued map $\Phi_\alpha \in \text{Ad}(K, U_\alpha)$ such that $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are α -close (by this we mean for each $x \in K$ there exists $V_x \in \alpha$ with $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha(x) \in V_x$ and $x = i(x) \in V_x$) and α -homotopic.

Now assume $X \in \text{GANES}$ (w.r.t. Ad and F) is a uniform space and $F \in \text{Ad}(X, X)$ is a compact map. Let $\alpha \in \text{Cov}_X(\overline{F(X)})$ and let U_α , r_α and Φ_α be as described above. Let $G_\alpha = \Phi_\alpha F r_\alpha$. Let (p, q) be a selected pair for F and (p_α^0, q_α^0) be a selected pair of Φ_α . Now since $F r_\alpha \in \text{Ad}(U_\alpha, X)$ then [9, Section 40] guarantees that there exists a selected pair (p'_α, q'_α) of $F r_\alpha$ with

$$(q'_\alpha)_* (p'_\alpha)_*^{-1} = q_* p_*^{-1} (r_\alpha)_*. \quad (2.8)$$

Also there exists [9, Section 40] a selected pair $(\bar{p}_\alpha, \bar{q}_\alpha)$ of G_α with

$$(\bar{q}_\alpha)_* (\bar{p}_\alpha)_*^{-1} = (q_\alpha^0)_* (p_\alpha^0)_*^{-1} (q'_\alpha)_* (p'_\alpha)_*^{-1} \quad (2.9)$$

so (2.8) and (2.9) imply

$$(\bar{q}_\alpha)_* (\bar{p}_\alpha)_*^{-1} = (q_\alpha^0)_* (p_\alpha^0)_*^{-1} q_* p_*^{-1} (r_\alpha)_*. \quad (2.10)$$

Notice as well that

$$q_* p_*^{-1} (r_\alpha)_* (q_\alpha^0)_* (p_\alpha^0)_*^{-1} = q_* p_*^{-1} \quad (2.11)$$

since $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha$ and i are α -homotopic (so [9 pp. 202] guarantees that $(r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha)_* = i_*$ and so for any selected pair (p_α^1, q_α^1) of Φ_α there exists a selected pair (p_α^2, q_α^2) of i with $i_* = (q_\alpha^2)_* (p_\alpha^2)_*^{-1} = (r_\alpha)_* (q_\alpha^1)_* (p_\alpha^1)_*^{-1}$). Now U_α is a Lefschetz space (for the class Ad) so $(\bar{q}_\alpha)_* (\bar{p}_\alpha)_*^{-1}$ is a Leray endomorphism. Now [8, page 214, see (1.3)] (here $E' = U'_\alpha$, $E'' = X'$, $u = (q'_\alpha)_* (p'_\alpha)_*^{-1}$, $v = (q_\alpha^0)_* (p_\alpha^0)_*^{-1}$, $f' = (\bar{q}_\alpha)_* (\bar{p}_\alpha)_*^{-1}$ and $f'' = q_* p_*^{-1}$ and note (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11)) guarantees that $q_* p_*^{-1}$ is a Leray endomorphism and $\Lambda(q_* p_*^{-1}) = \Lambda((\bar{q}_\alpha)_* (\bar{p}_\alpha)_*^{-1})$. Thus $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined.

Next suppose $\Lambda(F) \neq \{0\}$. Then there exists a selected pair (p, q) as described above with $\Lambda(q_* p_*^{-1}) \neq 0$. Let \bar{p}_α and \bar{q}_α be as described above with $\Lambda((\bar{q}_\alpha)_* (\bar{p}_\alpha)_*^{-1}) = \Lambda(q_* p_*^{-1}) \neq 0$. Now since U_α is a Lefschetz space (for the class Ad) there exists $x \in U_\alpha$ with $x \in \bar{q}_\alpha (\bar{p}_\alpha)^{-1}(x)$ i.e. $x \in G_\alpha(x)$ so (2.7) is satisfied. Note (2.7) here implies F has an α -fixed point since $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha$ and i are automatically

strongly α -close (since $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are α -close). Thus we have the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $X \in GANES$ (w.r.t. Ad and F) be a uniform space and $F \in Ad(X, X)$ a compact map. Then $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined and if $\Lambda(F) \neq \{0\}$ then F has a fixed point.*

Remark 2.4. In the definition of $GANES$ (w.r.t. Ad and F) it is easy to see that one could replace the assumption that $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are α -close and α -homotopic with the assumption that $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow 2^X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are strongly α -close and $(r_\alpha)_* (q_\alpha^1)_* (p_\alpha^1)_*^{-1} = i_*$ for any selected pair (p_α^1, q_α^1) of Φ_α .

Remark 2.5. One could also discuss Ads maps. Let X be a space and $F \in Ads(X, X)$. We say $X \in GANES$ (w.r.t. Ads and F) if for each $\alpha \in Cov_X(K)$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$) there exists a Lefschetz space (for the class Ads) U_α , a single valued continuous map $r_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X$ and a map $\Phi_\alpha \in Ads(K, U_\alpha)$ such that $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are α -close and α -homotopic. Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.4 establishes: Let $X \in GANES$ (w.r.t. Ads and F) be a uniform space and $F \in Ads(X, X)$ a compact map. Then $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined and if $\Lambda(F) \neq 0$ then F has a fixed point. There is also an analogue of Remark 2.4 in this situation.

Remark 2.6. One could also obtain a result for the class \mathcal{P} (of course the results here can trivially be adjusted for the class \mathcal{D}). Let X be a space and $F \in \mathcal{P}(X, X)$. We say $X \in GANES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{P} and F) if for each $\alpha \in Cov_X(K)$ (here $K = \overline{F(X)}$) there exists a Lefschetz space (for the class \mathcal{P}) U_α , a single valued continuous map $r_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X$ and a map $\Phi_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(K, U_\alpha)$ such that $r_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : K \rightarrow 2^X$ and $i : K \rightarrow X$ are strongly α -close. Now assume $X \in GANES$ (w.r.t. \mathcal{P} and F) is a uniform space and $F \in \mathcal{P}(X, X)$ is a compact map. Let $\alpha \in Cov_X(\overline{F(X)})$ and let U_α, r_α and Φ_α be as described above. Let $G_\alpha = \Phi_\alpha F r_\alpha$. We now assume the following condition (for each $\alpha \in Cov_X(\overline{F(X)})$):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every selector } H : X \rightarrow K(X) \text{ of } F \text{ which is} \\ \text{determined by } (H_1, \dots, H_n) \in \mathcal{D}(X, X) \text{ and every selector} \\ \Psi_\alpha : \overline{F(X)} \rightarrow K(U_\alpha) \text{ of } \Phi_\alpha \text{ which is determined by} \\ (\Psi_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \Psi_{m,\alpha}) \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{F(X)}, U_\alpha) \text{ there exists a} \\ (S_{1,\alpha}, \dots, S_{k,\alpha}) \in \mathcal{D}(U_\alpha, X) \text{ which determines } F r_\alpha \text{ with} \\ (S_{1,\alpha}, \dots, S_{k,\alpha})_* = (H_1, \dots, H_n)_* (r_\alpha)_* \text{ and there exists a} \\ (G_{1,\alpha}, \dots, G_{l,\alpha}) \in \mathcal{D}(U_\alpha, U_\alpha) \text{ which determines a selection } G_\alpha \text{ with} \\ (H_1, \dots, H_n)_* (r_\alpha)_* (\Psi_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \Psi_{m,\alpha})_* = (H_1, \dots, H_n)_* \text{ and} \\ (\Psi_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \Psi_{m,\alpha})_* (S_{1,\alpha}, \dots, S_{k,\alpha})_* = (G_{1,\alpha}, \dots, G_{l,\alpha})_* \end{array} \right.$$

Reasoning as above establishes $\Lambda((H_1, \dots, H_n)_*) = \Lambda((G_{1,\alpha}, \dots, G_{l,\alpha})_*)$, so $\Lambda(F)$ is well defined. Also it is easy to see that if $\Lambda(F) \neq \{0\}$ then F has a fixed point.

REFERENCES

[1] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, *A Lefschetz fixed point theorem for admissible maps in Fréchet spaces*, Dynamic Systems and Applications, **16**(2007), 1-12.
 [2] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory for compact absorbing contractive admissible type maps*, Applicable Anal., **87**(2008), 497-508.

- [3] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, S. Park, *Fixed point theory for multimaps in extension type spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **39**(2002), 579-591.
- [4] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh, *The coincidence problem for compositions of set valued maps*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **41**(1990), 421-434.
- [5] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh, *Spaces and maps approximation and fixed points*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **113**(2000), 283-308.
- [6] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh, P. Deguire, *General fixed point theorems for non-convex set valued maps*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **312**(1991), 433-438.
- [7] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [8] G. Fournier, L. Gorniewicz, *The Lefschetz fixed point theorem for multi-valued maps of non-metrizable spaces*, Fund. Math., **92**(1976), 213-222.
- [9] L. Gorniewicz, *Topological Fixed Point Theory of Multivalued Mappings*, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [10] L. Gorniewicz, A. Granas, *Some general theorems in coincidence theory*, J. Math. Pures et Appl., **60**(1981), 361-373.
- [11] A. Granas, *Fixed point theorems for approximative ANR's*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sc., **16**(1968), 15-19.
- [12] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [13] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*, D. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1955.
- [14] D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory on extension type spaces and essential maps on topological spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2004**(2004), 13-20.
- [15] D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory for compact absorbing contractions in extension type spaces*, CUBO, **12**(2010), no. 2, 199-215.
- [16] R. Skiba, M. Slosarski, *On a generalization of absolute neighborhood retracts*, Topology and its Applications, **156**(2009), 697-709.

Received: December 15, 2009; Accepted: March 24, 2010.