

A HYBRID EXTRAGRADIENT METHOD FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY STRICT PSEUDO-CONTRACTIONS IN THE INTERMEDIATE SENSE

LU-CHUAN CENG* AND SHUECHIN HUANG**

Dedicated to Wataru Takahashi on the occasion of his retirement

*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University
Shanghai 200234, China
E-mail: zenglc@hotmail.com

**Department of Applied Mathematics, National Dong Hwa University
Hualien 97401, Taiwan
E-mail: shuang@mail.ndhu.edu.tw

Abstract. In this paper we construct a new hybrid extragradient method for finding a common element of the fixed point set of an asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense and the solution set of the variational inequality for an inverse-strongly monotone mapping in a Hilbert space. A strong convergence theorem of the proposed method is established and some of its special cases are also discussed.

Key Words and Phrases: Hybrid extragradient method, modified Mann iteration, variational inequality, strict pseudo-contraction, asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense, inverse-strongly monotone mapping, demiclosedness principle.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49J30, 47H09, 47J20, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$, and let C be a nonempty subset of H . A mapping $T : C \rightarrow H$ is L -Lipschitz continuous ($L > 0$) if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|$, for all $x, y \in C$. We denote by I the identity mapping of H . Recently, Sahu, Xu and Yao [16] introduced the class of asymptotically strict pseudo-contractions in the intermediate sense which are not necessarily Lipschitzian.

The paper was presented at The 9th International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, July 16-22, 2009, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua, Taiwan (R.O.C.).

* This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (10771141), Ph.D. Program Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (20070270004), Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality grant (075105118), and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (S30405).

** Corresponding author. This research was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Council of Taiwan (98-2115-M-259-005).

Definition 1.1. A mapping $S : C \rightarrow H$ is an asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense with sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ if there exist a constant $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ and a sequence $\{\gamma_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} [\|S^n x - S^n y\|^2 - (1 + \gamma_n)\|x - y\|^2 - \kappa\|(I - S^n)x - (I - S^n)y\|^2] \leq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Throughout the paper we assume that

$$c_n := \max\{0, \sup_{x, y \in C} [\|S^n x - S^n y\|^2 - (1 + \gamma_n)\|x - y\|^2 - \kappa\|(I - S^n)x - (I - S^n)y\|^2]\}.$$

Then $c_n \geq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$ and (1.1) reduces to the relation

$$\|S^n x - S^n y\|^2 \leq (1 + \gamma_n)\|x - y\|^2 + \kappa\|(I - S^n)x - (I - S^n)y\|^2 + c_n, \quad (1.2)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x, y \in C$. In particular, when $c_n \equiv 0$ (1.2), S is an asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction with sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ introduced by Kim and Xu [8].

The variational inequality problem for a mapping $A : C \rightarrow H$ due to Stampacchia [18] is to find an element $\bar{x} \in C$ such that $\langle A\bar{x}, y - \bar{x} \rangle \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$. The set of solutions of this variational inequality problem is denoted by $\Omega(A, C)$. The purpose of this paper is to establish an iterative method to approximate an element of $F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)$, where $F(S) = \{x \in C : Sx = x\}$ denotes the set of fixed points of a self-mapping S of C .

A mapping A is α -inverse-strongly monotone [10] if there exists a positive constant α such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha\|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C.$$

Iiduka and Takahashi [6] constructed the following iterative scheme to generate a sequence converging strongly to an element of $F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)$, where S is a nonexpansive mapping and A is an inverse-strongly monotone mapping: given an arbitrary $x_0 \in C$,

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_0 + (1 - \alpha_n)SP_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n).$$

Zeng and Yao [22] proposed a new iterative method for a nonexpansive mapping S and a monotone and Lipschitz continuous mapping A and obtained a weak convergence theorem: given an arbitrary $x_0 \in C$,

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_0 + (1 - \alpha_n)SP_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ay_n). \end{cases}$$

In this paper, based on the extragradient method [9] and the modified Mann iteration [7, 8, 11, 12, 17], a new hybrid extragradient method for an asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense $S : C \rightarrow C$ and an inverse-strongly monotone mapping $A : C \rightarrow H$ in a Hilbert space is defined as follows: given a fixed $x_0 \in C$ and an arbitrary $x_1 \in C$,

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n), \\ t_n = \mu_n x_0 + (1 - \mu_n)P_C(y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n), \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)x_n + \alpha_n t_n + \beta_n S^n t_n. \end{cases}$$

Using this iteration, we obtain strong convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ with limit $P_{F(S) \cap \Omega(A,C)}x_0$; see Section 3. Further, as an application, we study some special cases of this theorem in Section 4. Those results also extend some recent results; see, e.g., [2, 3, 5, 6, 22].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We denote by \rightharpoonup and \rightarrow weak convergence and strong convergence, respectively. Let C be a nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space H . A mapping $A : C \rightarrow H$ is *monotone* if $\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$. An α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping is monotone and $(1/\alpha)$ -Lipschitz continuous.

A mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$ is called a κ -*strict pseudo-contraction*, introduced by Browder and Petryshyn [1], if there exists a constant $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Sx - Sy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \kappa\|(I - S)x - (I - S)y\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C.$$

A 0-strict pseudo-contraction is nonexpansive and an asymptotically 0-strict pseudo-contraction is asymptotically nonexpansive [4]. A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is *uniformly L-Lipschitzian* ($L > 0$) if $\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L\|x - y\|$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for all $x, y \in C$. It is noticeable that every asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction with sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ is uniformly L -Lipschitzian with $L = \sup \left\{ \frac{\kappa + \sqrt{1 + (1 - \kappa)\gamma_n}}{1 + \kappa} : n \geq 1 \right\}$, see [8].

A multi-valued mapping $T : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is *monotone* if for all $x, y \in H$, $f \in Tx$ and $g \in Ty$ imply $\langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0$. A monotone mapping $T : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is maximal if its graph $G(T)$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. It is known that a monotone mapping T is maximal if and only if whenever $(x, f) \in H \times H$, $\langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0$, for all $(y, g) \in G(T)$, implies $f \in Tx$. Let $A : C \rightarrow H$ be a monotone and Lipschitz continuous mapping and let $N_C v$ be the normal cone to C at $v \in C$, i.e., $N_C v = \{w \in H : \langle v - u, w \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for all } u \in C\}$. Define

$$Tv = \begin{cases} Av + N_C v, & \text{if } v \in C, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } v \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then T is maximal monotone, and $0 \in Tv$ if and only if $v \in \Omega(A, C)$; see [14].

Suppose that C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Then for every point $x \in H$ there exists a unique nearest point in C , denoted by $P_C x$, such that $\|x - P_C x\| \leq \|x - y\|$, for all $y \in C$. The mapping P_C is called the *metric projection* of H onto C . We recall some properties of the metric projection in a Hilbert space.

Lemma 2.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H .*

- (i) $\|P_C x - P_C y\|^2 \leq \langle P_C x - P_C y, x - y \rangle$, for all $x, y \in H$.
- (ii) $\langle x - P_C x, P_C x - y \rangle \geq 0$, for all $x \in H, y \in C$.
- (iii) (see [19]) Given $x \in H$ and $y \in C$, then $y = P_C x$ if and only if

$$\langle x - y, y - z \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in C.$$

Notice that, if $A : C \rightarrow H$ is a monotone mapping, it follows from Lemma 2.1(ii) that

$$u \in \Omega(A, C) \iff u = P_C(I - \lambda A)u, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$

We will need the following lemmas to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.2. [13] *Let X be an inner product space. For all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$, we have*

$$\|\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z\|^2 = \alpha\|x\|^2 + \beta\|y\|^2 + \gamma\|z\|^2 - \alpha\beta\|x - y\|^2 - \alpha\gamma\|x - z\|^2 - \beta\gamma\|y - z\|^2.$$

Lemma 2.3. [20, Lemma 2.5] *Let $\{s_n\}$ be a nonnegative sequence such that*

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)s_n + \alpha_n\beta_n + \gamma_n, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, or equivalently, $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = 0$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq 0$;
- (iii) $\gamma_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. [16, Lemma 2.6] *Let C be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense with sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$. Then, for all $x, y \in C$ and $n \geq 1$, we have that*

$$\|S^n x - S^n y\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} \left[\kappa\|x - y\| + \sqrt{[1 + (1 - \kappa)\gamma_n]\|x - y\|^2 + (1 - \kappa)c_n} \right].$$

Lemma 2.5. [16, Lemma 2.7] *Let C be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a uniformly continuous and asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in C such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S^n x_n\| = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0$.*

Lemma 2.6. (Demiclosedness principle [16, Proposition 3.1]) *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a continuous and asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense. Then $I - S$ is demiclosed at zero in the sense that if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in C such that $x_n \rightarrow x \in C$ and $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S^m x_n\| = 0$, then $(I - S)x = 0$.*

Lemma 2.7. [16, Proposition 3.2]) *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a continuous and asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense. Then $F(S)$ is closed and convex.*

3. STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREM

In this section we shall present a strong convergence theorem for a new hybrid iterative method to find a common element of the fixed point set of an asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense and the solution set of the variational inequality for an inverse-strongly monotone mapping.

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $A : C \rightarrow H$ a ρ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, and $S : C \rightarrow C$ a uniformly continuous and asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense with sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ such that $F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$. Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be the sequences generated by: given a fixed $x_0 \in C$ and an arbitrary $x_1 \in C$,*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n), \\ t_n = \mu_n x_0 + (1 - \mu_n) P_C(y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n), \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)x_n + \alpha_n t_n + \beta_n S^n t_n, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ and $\{\mu_n\}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ are such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n \leq 1$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [a, b]$, for some $a, b \in (0, 2\rho)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = \infty$;
- (iii) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [\kappa + \epsilon, 1]$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset [\delta, 1]$, for some $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n c_n < \infty$;
- (iv) the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n|$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|$ are convergent;
- (v) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{u \in D} \|S^{n+1}u - S^n u\| = 0$, for every bounded subset D of C .

Then $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ converge strongly to the point $P_{F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)} x_0$.

Proof. For $x, y \in C$, since $\lambda_n < 2\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(I - \lambda_n A)x - (I - \lambda_n A)y\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle + \lambda_n^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + \lambda_n(\lambda_n - 2\rho) \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

which shows that $I - \lambda_n A$ is nonexpansive. Note that the set $F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)$ is closed and convex by Lemma 2.7 and [21, Lemma 3.1]. The proof is divided into five steps.

Step 1. We will prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Let $p \in F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)$ and $z_n = P_C(y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n)$. Then $p = P_C(p - \lambda_n Ap)$, $\langle Ap, y_n - p \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle Ay_n - Ap, y_n - p \rangle \geq 0$, for all n . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|P_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n) - P_C(p - \lambda_n Ap)\| \leq \|x_n - p\|, \\ \|z_n - p\|^2 &= \|P_C(y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n) - P_C(p - \lambda_n Ap)\| \leq \|y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|, \\ \|t_n - p\|^2 &\leq \mu_n \|x_0 - p\|^2 + (1 - \mu_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \leq \max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_n - p\|^2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Recall that $\kappa < \alpha_n$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain from (1.2), (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n\|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|S^n t_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n\beta_n\|t_n - S^n t_n\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + (\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|t_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + \beta_n(\kappa - \alpha_n)\|t_n - S^n t_n\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + (\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \\
&\leq (1 + \gamma_n) [\max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_n - p\|^2\} + \beta_n c_n]. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Next we shall prove by induction that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \gamma_j) \right] \left[\max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_1 - p\|^2\} + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i c_i \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed, if $n = 1$, (3.4) yields (3.5). Suppose that (3.5) holds for some integer $n \geq 1$. Then by (3.4) and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+2} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 + \gamma_{n+1}) [\max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2\} + \beta_{n+1} c_{n+1}] \\
&\leq (1 + \gamma_{n+1}) \left\{ \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \gamma_j) \right] \left[\max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_1 - p\|^2\} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i c_i \right] + \beta_{n+1} c_{n+1} \right\} \\
&\leq \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (1 + \gamma_j) \right] \left[\max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_1 - p\|^2\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i c_i \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.5) holds for $n + 1$.

Using the inequality $1 + t \leq e^t$, for $t \geq 0$, we derive from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq e^{\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j} \left[\max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_1 - p\|^2\} + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i c_i \right] \\
&\leq e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j} \left[\max\{\|x_0 - p\|^2, \|x_1 - p\|^2\} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i c_i \right], \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum \gamma_n < \infty$ and $\sum \beta_n c_n < \infty$, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, and so are $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$.

Step 2. We will prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are bounded and A is Lipschitz continuous, $\{Ax_n\}$ and $\{Ay_n\}$ are bounded. Lemma 2.4 states that

$$\|S^n t_n - p\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} \left[\kappa \|t_n - p\| + \sqrt{[1 + (1 - \kappa)\gamma_n]\|t_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \kappa)c_n} \right],$$

and thus $\{S^n t_n\}$ is also bounded. Therefore there exists a positive number M such that $\{\|z_n\|\}$, $\{\|t_n\|\}$, $\{\|Ax_n\|\}$, $\{\|Ay_n\|\}$, and $\{\|S^n t_n\|\}$ are all bounded by M . Since P_C and $I - \lambda_{n+1}A$ are nonexpansive, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &\leq \|P_C(I - \lambda_{n+1}A)x_{n+1} - P_C(I - \lambda_{n+1}A)x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|P_C(I - \lambda_{n+1}A)x_n - P_C(I - \lambda_n A)x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \|Ax_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + M|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| &= \|P_C(I - \lambda_{n+1}A)y_{n+1} - P_C(I - \lambda_n A)y_n\| \\ &\leq \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \|Ay_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + 2M|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_{n+1} - t_n\| &= \|\mu_{n+1}x_0 + (1 - \mu_{n+1})z_{n+1} - \mu_n x_0 - (1 - \mu_n)z_n\| \\ &\leq |\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n| \|x_0\| + (1 - \mu_{n+1}) \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| + |\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n| \|z_n\| \\ &\leq \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| + |\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n| (\|x_0\| + \|z_n\|) \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + K|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| + K|\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $K = 2M + \|x_0\|$. From (3.7) and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)x_n + \alpha_n t_n + \beta_n S^n t_n, \\ x_n &= (1 - \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1})x_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1} t_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1} S^{n-1} t_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|) \|x_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|t_n - t_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|t_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|S^n t_n - S^{n-1} t_{n-1}\| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \|S^{n-1} t_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|) \|x_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + K\alpha_n |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| + K\alpha_n |\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|t_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|S^n t_n - S^{n-1} t_{n-1}\| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \|S^{n-1} t_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \beta_n \|S^n t_n - S^{n-1} t_{n-1}\| + K|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| \\ &\quad + K|\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}| + 2K|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + 2K|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 asserts from Conditions (iii)-(v) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$, and therefore by (3.7),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_{n+1} - t_n\| = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Step 3. Observe that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Sx_n - x_n\| = 0$.

To see this, we need to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S^n x_n\| = 0$. Indeed, it follows from (3.3) that

$$(\alpha_n + \beta_n)\|t_n - p\|^2 \leq \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + (\alpha_n + \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2.$$

Since $\beta_n(\alpha_n - \kappa) \geq \epsilon\delta$, the inequality (3.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon\delta\|t_n - S^n t_n\|^2 \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + (\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \\ & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \\ & \leq (\|x_n - p\| + \|x_{n+1} - p\|)(\|x_n - p\| - \|x_{n+1} - p\|) + \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 \\ & \quad + \gamma_n\|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \\ & \leq (\|x_n - p\| + \|x_{n+1} - p\|)\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n c_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.6) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - S^n t_n\| = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

From the definition of x_{n+1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_n + \beta_n)\|t_n - x_n\| &= \|(x_{n+1} - x_n) - \beta_n(S^n t_n - t_n)\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \beta_n\|S^n t_n - t_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_n + \beta_n \geq \epsilon + \delta$, it follows from (3.6) and (3.9) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S^n t_n - S^n x_n\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} \left[\kappa\|t_n - x_n\| + \sqrt{[1 + (1 - \kappa)\gamma_n]\|t_n - x_n\|^2 + (1 - \kappa)c_n} \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.9) and (3.10), implies that

$$\|x_n - S^n x_n\| \leq \|x_n - t_n\| + \|t_n - S^n t_n\| + \|S^n t_n - S^n x_n\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (3.6) and Lemma 2.5, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0$.

Step 4. We shall prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$. According to (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_n - p\|^2 &\leq \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + (1 - \mu_n)\|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + (1 - \mu_n)\|P_C(y_n - \lambda_n A y_n) - P_C(p - \lambda_n A p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + (1 - \mu_n)[\|y_n - p\|^2 + \lambda_n(\lambda_n - 2\rho)\|A y_n - A p\|^2] \\ &\leq \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + \|y_n - p\|^2 + a(b - 2\rho)(1 - \mu_n)\|A y_n - A p\|^2 \\ &\leq \mu_n\|x_0 - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 + a(b - 2\rho)(1 - \mu_n)\|A y_n - A p\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality with (3.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 + \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \mu_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|x_0 - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + a(b - 2\rho)(1 - \mu_n)(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|Ay_n - Ap\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \end{aligned}$$

which asserts that

$$\begin{aligned} &a(2\rho - b)(1 - \mu_n)(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|Ay_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \mu_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|x_0 - p\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \\ &\leq \gamma_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (\|x_n - p\| + \|x_{n+1} - p\|)(\|x_n - x_{n+1}\|) \\ &\quad + \mu_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\|x_0 - p\|^2 + \beta_n c_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$, $\alpha_n + \beta_n \geq \epsilon + \delta$ and $a, b \in (0, 2\rho)$, we obtain from (3.6) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ay_n - Ap\| = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Now, apply Lemma 2.1(i) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\|^2 &= \|P_C(y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n) - P_C(p - \lambda_n Ap)\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle (y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n) - (p - \lambda_n Ap), z_n - p \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\|(y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n) - (p - \lambda_n Ap)\|^2 + \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \|(y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n) - (p - \lambda_n Ap) - (z_n - p)\|^2] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n \langle y_n - z_n, Ay_n - Ap \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_n^2 \|Ay_n - Ap\|^2] \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\|^2 &\leq \|y_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n \langle y_n - z_n, Ay_n - Ap \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_n^2 \|Ay_n - Ap\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality, by (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_n - p\|^2 &\leq \mu_n \|x_0 - p\|^2 + (1 - \mu_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \mu_n \|x_0 - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n \langle y_n - z_n, Ay_n - Ap \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_n^2 \|Ay_n - Ap\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.10) and (3.11), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - z_n\|^2 &\leq \mu_n \|x_0 - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|t_n - p\|^2 + 2\lambda_n \langle y_n - z_n, Ay_n - Ap \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_n^2 \|Ay_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \mu_n \|x_0 - p\|^2 + (\|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\|)\|x_n - t_n\| \\ &\quad - \lambda_n^2 \|Ay_n - Ap\|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$, we have $\|t_n - z_n\| = \mu_n \|x_0 - z_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, $\|x_n - y_n\| \leq \|x_n - t_n\| + \|t_n - z_n\| + \|z_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, as claimed.

Step 5. Claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| = 0$, where $x^* = P_{F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)} x_0$. To see this, we need to show that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0 - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \leq 0$. Choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0 - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0 - x^*, x_{n_j} - x^* \rangle$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, we may assume without loss generality that $\{x_{n_j}\}$ converges weakly to a point $\hat{x} \in C$, and thus $\{y_{n_j}\}$ also converges weakly to \hat{x} . Since A is Lipschitz continuous and $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\|Ax_n - Ay_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Now, we show that $\hat{x} \in F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)$ from which it follows that $\langle x_0 - x^*, \hat{x} - x^* \rangle \leq 0$ by Lemma 2.1(iii). Since S is uniformly continuous and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S^m x_n\| = 0$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$; hence $\hat{x} \in F(S)$ by Lemma 2.6. To prove $\hat{x} \in \Omega(A, C)$, define a multi-valued function $T : H \rightarrow 2^H$ by

$$Tv = \begin{cases} Av + N_C v, & \text{if } v \in C, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } v \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then T is maximal monotone. Let $(v, w) \in G(T)$ so that $w \in Tv$ and $w - Av \in N_C v$. Hence

$$\langle v - u, w - Av \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in C. \quad (3.12)$$

On the other hand, since $y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n)$, by Lemma 2.1(iii), we have that $\langle x_n - \lambda_n A x_n - y_n, y_n - v \rangle \geq 0$, $v \in C$, or equivalently,

$$\left\langle v - y_n, Ax_n + \frac{1}{\lambda_n}(y_n - x_n) \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad v \in C. \quad (3.13)$$

If we put $u = y_{n_j}$ in (3.12), then the monotonicity of A and (3.13) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v - y_{n_j}, w \rangle &\geq \langle v - y_{n_j}, Av \rangle \\ &\geq \langle v - y_{n_j}, Av \rangle - \left\langle v - y_{n_j}, Ax_{n_j} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_j}}(y_{n_j} - x_{n_j}) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle v - y_{n_j}, Av - Ay_{n_j} \rangle + \langle v - y_{n_j}, Ay_{n_j} - Ax_{n_j} \rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle v - y_{n_j}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_j}}(y_{n_j} - x_{n_j}) \right\rangle \\ &\geq \langle v - y_{n_j}, Ay_{n_j} - Ax_{n_j} \rangle - \left\langle v - y_{n_j}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_j}}(y_{n_j} - x_{n_j}) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then take the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$ to get $\langle v - \hat{x}, w \rangle \geq 0$. Since T is maximal monotone, $\hat{x} \in T^{-1}0$ and hence $\hat{x} \in \Omega(A, C)$. This shows that $\hat{x} \in F(S) \cap \Omega(A, C)$ and so $\langle x_0 - x^*, \hat{x} - x^* \rangle \leq 0$. Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0 - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \langle x_0 - x^*, \hat{x} - x^* \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_n - x^*\|^2 &= \|\mu_n(x_0 - x^*) + (1 - \mu_n)(z_n - x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \mu_n)^2 \|z_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\langle \mu_n(x_0 - x^*), t_n - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \mu_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\mu_n \langle x_0 - x^*, t_n - x^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and so by (3.4) this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \mu_n)(\alpha_n + \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\mu_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n)\langle x_0 - x^*, t_n - x^* \rangle + \gamma_n\|t_n - x^*\|^2 + \beta_n c_n \\ & = [1 - \mu_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n)]\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \mu_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n)[2\langle x_0 - x^*, t_n - x^* \rangle] \\ & \quad + \gamma_n\|t_n - x^*\|^2 + \beta_n c_n. \end{aligned}$$

By hypotheses, $\sum \mu_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n) = \infty$, $\sum \gamma_n < \infty$ and $\sum \beta_n c_n < \infty$. Moreover, it follows from (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0 - x^*, t_n - x^* \rangle & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0 - x^*, t_n - x_n \rangle + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_0 - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude from Lemma 2.3 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| = 0$ and hence $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ also converge strongly to x^* . This completes the proof. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section we apply Theorem 3.1 to demonstrate some special cases.

Theorem 4.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $T : C \rightarrow C$ a τ -strict pseudo-contraction and $S : C \rightarrow C$ a uniformly continuous and asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction in the intermediate sense with sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ such that $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$. Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be the sequences defined by (3.1), where $A = I - T$. Suppose that Conditions (i)-(v) as in Theorem 3.1 hold, where $\rho = (1 - \tau)/2$. Then $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ converge strongly to the point $P_{F(S) \cap F(T)}x_0$.*

Proof. Observe that A is a $[(1 - \tau)/2]$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping. Indeed, since for all $x, y \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|^2 & = \|(I - A)x - (I - A)y\|^2 \\ & = \|x - y\|^2 + \|Ax - Ay\|^2 - 2\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \tau\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2,$$

it follows that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \tau)\|Ax - Ay\|^2.$$

For any $\lambda > 0$, by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu = u & \iff u = u - \lambda Au = P_C(u - \lambda Au) \\ & \iff \langle Au, y - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C. \end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

We remark that Condition (v) in Theorem 3.1 is not required, in particular, if S is nonexpansive.

Theorem 4.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $T : C \rightarrow C$ a τ -strict pseudo-contraction and $S : C \rightarrow C$ a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be the sequences generated by: given a fixed $x_0 \in C$ and an arbitrary $x_1 \in C$,*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n), \\ t_n = \mu_n x_0 + (1 - \mu_n) P_C(y_n - \lambda_n A y_n), \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n) x_n + \alpha_n t_n + \beta_n S t_n, \end{cases}$$

where $A = I - T$, $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$, and $\{\mu_n\}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n \leq 1$. Suppose that Conditions (i)-(iv) as in Theorem 3.1 hold, where $\rho = (1 - \tau)/2$. Then $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ converge strongly to the point $P_{F(S) \cap F(T)} x_0$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.1 when $\kappa = 0$, $\gamma_n = 0$ and $c_n = 0$, and hence is omitted. \square

It is well known (see [15]) that if $B : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping, then for each $u \in H$ and $\lambda > 0$ there is a unique $z \in H$ such that $u \in (I + \lambda B)(z)$. The (single-valued) function $J_\lambda^B := (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$ thus defined is called the *resolvent* of B of parameter λ . The mapping $J_\lambda^B : H \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive and $J_\lambda^B(z) = z$ if and only if $0 \in B(z)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let H be a real Hilbert space, $A : H \rightarrow H$ a ρ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, $B : H \rightarrow 2^H$ a maximal monotone mapping and J_r^B the resolvent of B , for $r > 0$, such that $A^{-1}0 \cap B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be the sequences generated by: given a fixed $x_0 \in H$ and an arbitrary $x_1 \in H$,*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = x_n - \lambda_n A x_n, \\ t_n = \mu_n x_0 + (1 - \mu_n)(y_n - \lambda_n A y_n), \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n) x_n + \alpha_n t_n + \beta_n J_r^B t_n, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$, and $\{\mu_n\}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n \leq 1$. Suppose that Conditions (i)-(iv) as in Theorem 3.1 hold. Then $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ converge strongly to the point $P_{A^{-1}0 \cap B^{-1}0} x_0$.

Proof. This is the case of Theorem 3.1 when $S = J_r^B$ and $P_H = I$ such that $\kappa = 0$, $\gamma_n = 0$ and $c_n = 0$. Then $\Omega(A, C) = A^{-1}0$ and $F(J_r^B) = B^{-1}0$ and so the desired result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. E. Browder, W. V. Petryshyn, *Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **20** (1967), 197-228.
- [2] L. C. Ceng, S. Huang, *Modified extragradient methods for strict pseudo-contractions and monotone mappings*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, **13** (2009), 1197-1211.
- [3] L. C. Ceng, N. C. Wong, J. C. Yao, *Fixed point solutions of variational inequalities for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings without common fixed point assumption*, Comput. Math. Appl., **56** (2008), 2312-2322.

- [4] K. Goebel, W. A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **35** (1972), No. 1, 171-174.
- [5] S. Huang, *Fixed points of a sequence of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory, **9** (2008), No. 2, 465-485.
- [6] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **61** (2005), 341-350.
- [7] T. W. Kim, H. K. Xu, *Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations*, Nonlinear Anal., **61** (2005), 51-60.
- [8] T. H. Kim, H. K. Xu, *Convergence of the modified Mann's iteration method for asymptotically strict pseudocontractions*, Nonlinear Anal., **68** (2008), 2828-2836.
- [9] G. M. Korpelevich, *The extragradient method for finding saddle points and other problems*, Matecon., **12** (1976), 747-756.
- [10] F. Liu, M. Z. Nashed, *Regularization of nonlinear ill-posed variational inequalities and convergence rates*, Set-Valued Anal., **6** (1998), 313-344.
- [11] N. Nadezhkina, W. Takahashi, *Weak convergence theorem by an extragradient method for non-expansive mappings and monotone mappings*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, **128** (2006), 191-201.
- [12] M. A. Noor, Y. Yao, R. Chen, Y. C. Liou, *An iterative method for fixed point problems and variational inequality problems*, Mathematical Communications, **12** (2007), 121-132.
- [13] M. O. Osilike, D. I. Igbokwe, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for fixed points of pseudocontractions and solutions of monotone type operator equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., **40** (2000), 559-567.
- [14] R. T. Rockafellar, *On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **149** (1970), 75-88.
- [15] R. T. Rockafellar, *Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm*, SIAM J. Control Optim., **14** (1976), 877-898.
- [16] D. R. Sahu, H. K. Xu, J. C. Yao, *Asymptotically strict pseudo-contractive mappings in the intermediate sense*, Nonlinear Anal., **70** (2009), 3502-3511.
- [17] V. K. Singh, S. Kumar, *Iteration process with errors for local strongly H-accretive type mappings*, Fixed Point Theory, **9** (2008), No. 1, 351-362.
- [18] G. Stampacchia, *Formes bilineaires coercitives sur les ensembles convexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **258** (1964), 4413-4416.
- [19] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, Japan, 2000.
- [20] H. K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, J. London Math. Soc., **66** (2002), 240-256.
- [21] J. C. Yao, *Variational inequalities with generalized monotone operators*, Mathematics of Operations Research, **19** (1994), 691-705.
- [22] L. C. Zeng, J. C. Yao, *Strong Convergence Theorem by an Extragradient Method for Fixed Point problems and Variational Inequality Problems*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, **10** (2006), 1293-1303.

Received: December 31, 2009; Accepted: May 2, 2010.

