

DATA DEPENDENCE OF THE FIXED POINTS IN A SET WITH TWO METRICS

IOAN A. RUS

Department of Applied Mathematics

Babeş-Bolyai University

Cluj-Napoca 400084, Romania

E-mail: iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. A new variant of Maia fixed point theorem is presented. This variant is useful to study data dependence of the fixed points. Some open problems are presented and some applications to integral equations are given.

Key Words and Phrases: generalized contractions, generalized metric spaces, Maia fixed point theorems, data dependence, well posedness of fixed point problem, limit shadowing property, integral equations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25, 45G10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of fixed point principles in a set with two metrics has been investigated by several authors. See for example M.G. Maia [10], I.A. Rus [16], M. Albu [2], B. Rzepecki [23], D.K. Bayen [4], R. Precup [13], I.A. Rus, A.S. Mureşan and V. Mureşan [20], I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel [21] and the references therein (S.P. Singh (1970), B.K. Ray (1975), B. Rzepecki (1980), V. Berinde (1997), A.S. Mureşan (1988), V. Mureşan (1988), R. Precup (1988),...).

The aim of this paper is to study the data dependence of the fixed points in a set with two metrics. For this a new variant of Maia fixed point theorem is presented for generalized contractions in the generalized metric spaces. Some applications to integral equations are given.

Throughout of the paper we follow the notations and terminologies in [18]. See also [17] and [21].

2. GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS IN METRIC SPACES

We begin our considerations with

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a nonempty set, d and ρ two metric on X and $A : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. We suppose that:*

- (i) (X, d) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^k : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, d)$ be uniformly continuous;
- (iii) $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is closed;
- (iv) $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is a α -contraction.

Then:

- (a) $F_A = \{x_A^*\}$;
- (b) $A^n(x) \xrightarrow{d} x_A^*$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall x \in X$;
- (c) $A^n(x) \xrightarrow{\rho} x_A^*$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall x \in X$, and

$$\rho(A^n(x), x_A^*) \leq \alpha^n \rho(x, x_A^*), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \forall x \in X;$$

- (d) $\rho(x, x_A^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \rho(x, A(x))$, $\forall x \in X$.

Proof. The implication, (i)-(iv) \Rightarrow (a)+(b) is the fixed point theorem of Maia (see Maia [10], Rus [16] and Precup [13]).

- (c) In the relation

$$\rho(A^n(x), A^n(y)) \leq \alpha^n \rho(x, y)$$

we take $y = x_A^*$.

- (d) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, x_A^*) &\leq \rho(x, A(x)) + \rho(A(x), x_A^*) \\ &\leq \rho(x, A(x)) + \alpha \rho(x, x_A^*). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\rho(x, x_A^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \rho(x, A(x)).$$

Remark 2.1. In the terms of Picard operators (see [18] and [21]) the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 take the following form:

- (a)+(b). The operator $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is Picard operator.
- (c)+(d). The operator $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ -Picard operator.

Remark 2.2. Condition $d(A^k(x), A^k(y)) \leq C\rho(x, y)$ implies condition (ii) in Theorem 2.1.

From Theorem 2.1 we have

Theorem 2.2. Let X, d, ρ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Let $B : X \rightarrow X$ and $\eta > 0$ be such that

$$\rho(A(x), B(x)) \leq \eta, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Then:

$$x_B^* \in F_B \Rightarrow \rho(x_A^*, x_B^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Proof. We take in the relation (d) of Theorem 2.1, $x = x_B^*$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_B^*, x_A^*) &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \rho(x_B^*, A(x_B^*)) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \rho(B(x_B^*), A(x_B^*)) \leq \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.3. Let X, d, ρ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Then we have:

(e) The fixed point problem for $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is well posed;

(f) The operator $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ has the limit shadowing property.

Proof. (e). By definition (see, for example, [19]) the fixed point problem for $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is well posed iff:

- $F_A = \{x_A^*\}$

and

- $x_n \in X, \rho(x_n, A(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \rho(x_n, x_A^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

So, let $x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\rho(x_n, A(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_n, x_A^*) &\leq \rho(x_n, A(x_n)) + \rho(A(x_n), x_A^*) \\ &\leq \rho(x_n, A(x_n)) + \alpha\rho(x_n, x_A^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\rho(x_n, x_A^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \rho(x_n, A(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(f). By definition (see, for example [19]) the operator $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ has the limit shadowing property iff $x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}, \rho(x_{n+1}, A(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ imply that there exists $x \in X$ such that $\rho(x_n, A^n(x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In our case, $F_A = \{x_A^*\}$ and $\rho(A^n(x), x_A^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_n, x_A^*) &\leq \rho(x_n, A(x_{n-1})) + \rho(A(x_{n-1}), x_A^*) \\ &\leq \rho(x_n, A(x_{n-1})) + \alpha\rho(x_{n-1}, x_A^*) \leq \dots \\ &\leq \rho(x_n, A(x_{n-1})) + \alpha\rho(x_{n-1}, A(x_{n-2})) + \dots \\ &\quad + \alpha^{n-1}\rho(x_1, A(x_0)) + \alpha^n\rho(x_0, x_A^*). \end{aligned}$$

From the Cauchy's lemma (see [18], p.208) we have that $\rho(x_n, x_A^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So,

$$\rho(x_n, A^n(x_0)) \leq \rho(x_n, x_A^*) + \rho(x_A^*, A^n(x_0)) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

There are many types of generalized contractions. See, for example B.E. Rhoades [15], W.A. Kirk [9], I.A. Rus [17], I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel [21] and references therein. The above considerations give rise to

Problem 2.1. Extend the above results for the case when $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is a generalized contraction.

For example

Theorem 2.1'. Let X be a nonempty set, d, ρ two metrics on X and $A : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. We suppose that:

- (i) (X, d) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^k : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, d)$ be uniformly continuous;
- (iii) $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is closed;
- (iv') There exists a strict comparison function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ (see [17], p.69) such that

$$\rho(A(x), A(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then:

- (a) $F_A = \{x_A^*\}$;
- (b) $A^n(x) \xrightarrow{d} x_A^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X$;
- (c') $A^n(x) \xrightarrow{\rho} x_A^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X$ and

$$\rho(A^n(x), x_A^*) \leq \varphi^n(\rho(x, x_A^*)), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall x \in X;$$

- (d') $\rho(x, x_A^*) \leq \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid t - \varphi(t) \leq \rho(x, A(x))\}$.

Theorem 2.2'. *Let X, d, ρ and $X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem 2.1'. Let $B : X \rightarrow X$ and $\eta > 0$ be such that*

$$\rho(A(x), B(x)) \leq \eta, \forall x \in X.$$

Then:

$$x_B^* \in F_B \Rightarrow \rho(x_A^*, x_B^*) \leq \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid t - \varphi(t) \leq \eta\}.$$

Theorem 2.3'. *Let X, d, ρ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem 2.1'. Then we have:*

(e') The fixed point problem for $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is well posed.

Remark 2.2. For to have a (f') as in Theorem 2.3 we need some supplemental conditions on the comparison function φ .

3. GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS IN GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

We begin with the following suggestive example.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a nonempty set, d and ρ two generalized metrics on X ($d(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, \rho(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$) and $A : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. We suppose that:*

- (i) (X, d) is a complete metric space;*
- (ii) There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^k : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, d)$ be uniformly continuous;*
- (iii) $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is closed;*
- (iv) $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is a S -contraction, i.e., the matrix S is convergent toward zero (see [17], p.96) and*

$$\rho(A(x), A(y)) \leq S\rho(x, y), \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then:

- (a) $F_A = \{x_A^*\}$;*
- (b) $A^n(x) \xrightarrow{d} x_A^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X$;*
- (c) $A^n(x) \xrightarrow{\rho} x_A^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X$ and*

$$\rho(A^n(x), x_A^*) \leq S^n \rho(x, x_A^*), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in X;$$

- (d) $\rho(x, x_A^*) \leq (E - S)^{-1} \rho(x, A(x)), \forall x \in X$.*

Proof. The proof is similar with that of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. For the case when $k = 1$ and $A : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is continuous see A.I. Perov [12], M. Albu [2] and R. Precup [13]. See also, D. O'Regan and R. Precup [11].

Theorem 3.2. Let X, d, ρ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Let $B : X \rightarrow X$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ be such that

$$\rho(A(x), B(x)) \leq \eta, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Then:

$$x_B^* \in F_B \Rightarrow \rho(x_A^*, x_B^*) \leq (E - S)^{-1}\eta.$$

Theorem 3.3. Let X, d, ρ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Then we have:

(e) The fixed point problem for $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is well posed;

(f) The operator $A : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ has the limit shadowing property.

The above results give rise to

Problem 3.1. Extend the above results for the case of K -metric spaces and generalized contractions.

References: P.P. Zabreiko [25], V. Berinde [4], I.A. Rus, A.S. Mureşan and V. Mureşan [20], I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and M.A. Şerban [22].

Problem 3.2. Extend the above results for the case of generalized metric spaces (ultrametric space, partial metric space, 2-metric space, probabilistic metric space,...) and generalized contractions.

References: W.A. Kirk [9], M. Frigon [7], R. Precup [13], D.K. Bayen, V. Radu [14], I.A. Rus [17], I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel [21], B. Rzepecki [23], E. Schörner [24].

Problem 3.3. Extend the above results for the case of gauge spaces and generalized contractions.

References: W.A. Kirk [9], I.A. Rus [17], Precup [13], R.P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan [1], V.G. Angelov [3], A. Chiş and R. Precup [6], M. Frigon [7], N. Gheorghiu [8], B. Rzepecki [23].

4. APPLICATIONS TO INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a bounded domain. We consider the following integral equations

$$x(t) = k(t) + \int_{\Omega} K(t, s, x(s))ds \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$x(t) = h(t) + \int_{\Omega} H(t, s, x(s))ds \tag{4.2}$$

We suppose that

- (i) $k, h \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $K, H \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$;
- (ii) there exists $L \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, v)| \leq L(t, s)|u - v|$$

for all $t, s \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$;

- (iii) $\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |L(t, s)|^2 dt ds < 1$;

- (iv) there exist $\eta_1 > 0, \eta_2 > 0$ such that

$$|k(t) - h(t)| \leq \eta_1, \quad |K(t, s, u) - H(t, s, u)| \leq \eta_2$$

for all $t, s \in \overline{\Omega}, u \in \mathbb{R}$.

We have

Theorem 4.1. *In the above conditions:*

- (a) equation (4.1) has in $C(\overline{\Omega})$ a unique solution, x^* .
- (b) if $y^* \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of the equation (4.2), then

$$\|x^* - y^*\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{(m(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \|L\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)}} [\eta_1 + \eta_2 m(\Omega)].$$

Proof. We take $X = C(\overline{\Omega})$, $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}$ and $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Let $A, B : C(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\overline{\Omega})$ be given by

$$A(x)(t) := k(t) + \int_{\Omega} K(t, s, x(s))ds$$

$$B(x)(t) := h(t) + \int_{\Omega} H(t, s, x(s))ds$$

Then we are in the conditions of the Theorem 2.1 and 2.2. The proof follows from these theorems.

Remark 4.1. If the function H is bounded then the equation (4.2) has in $C(\overline{\Omega})$ at least a solution. Indeed, if $h \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $H \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ and H is bounded then the operator $B : (C(\overline{\Omega}), \|\cdot\|_C) \rightarrow (C(\overline{\Omega}), \|\cdot\|_C)$ is complete continuous and bounded. So, we are in the conditions of the Schauder fixed point theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Various homotopy results for generalized single valued contractions on Fréchet spaces*, J. Concrete and Appl. Mathematics, **1**(2003), no.2, 101-111.
- [2] M. Albu, *A fixed point theorem of Maia-Perov type*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., **23**(1978), 76-79.
- [3] V.G. Angelov, *Fixed point theorem in uniform spaces and applications*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **37**(1987), 19-33.
- [4] D.K. Bayen, *Some remarks on fixed point theorems*, Mathematica, **29**(1987), no.1, 1-5.
- [5] V. Berinde, *A fixed point theorem of Maia type in K -metric spaces*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, 1991, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., Cluj-Napoca, 7-14.
- [6] A. Chiş and R. Precup, *Continuation theory for general contractions in gauge spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2004, No.3, 173-185.
- [7] M. Frigon, *Fixed point and continuation results for contractions in metric and gauge spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, Banach Center Publications, Warszawa, 2007, 89-114.
- [8] N. Gheorghiu, *Fixed point theorems in uniform spaces*, An. Şt. Univ. Al. I. Cuza din Iaşi, **28**(1982), f.1, 17-18.
- [9] W.A. Kirk, *Contraction mappings and extensions*, in: Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, 1-34.
- [10] M.G. Maia, *Un'osservazione sulle contrazioni metriche*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **40**(1968), 139-143.
- [11] D. O'Regan and R. Precup, *Continuation theory for contraction on spaces with two vector-valued metrics*, Applicable Analysis, **82**(2003), no.2, 131-144.
- [12] A.I. Perov and A.V. Kibenko, *On a certain general method for investigation of boundary value problems* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **30**(1966), 249-264.
- [13] R. Precup, *Continuation results for mappings of contractive type*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, **2**(2001), 23-40.
- [14] V. Radu, *Ideas and methods in fixed point theory for probabilistic contractions*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, **3**(2002), 73-97.

- [15] B.E. Rhoades, *A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **226**(1977), 257-290.
- [16] I.A. Rus, *On a fixed point theorem of Maia*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., **1**(1977), 40-42.
- [17] I.A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Cluj Univ. Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [18] I.A. Rus, *Picard operators and applications*, Scientiae Math. Japonicae, **58**(2003), no.1, 191-219.
- [19] I.A. Rus, *Metric space with fixed point property with respect to contractions*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., **61**(2006), no.3, 115-121.
- [20] I.A. Rus, A.S. Mureşan and V. Mureşan, *Weakly Picard operators on a set with two metrics*, Fixed Point Theory, **6**(2005), no.2, 323-331.
- [21] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel, *Fixed Point Theory 1950-2000. Romanian Contributions*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [22] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel and M.A. Şerban, *Weakly Picard operators: equivalent definitions, applications and open problems*, Fixed Point Theory, **7**(2006), no.1, 3-22.
- [23] B. Rzepecki, *Remarks on the Banach fixed point principle and its applications*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl., **27**(1982), 81-93.
- [24] E. Schörner, *Ultrametric fixed point theorems and applications*, Fields Inst. Communications, **33**(2003), 353-359.
- [25] P.P. Zabreiko, *K-metric and K-normed spaces: survey*, Collect. Math., **48**(1997), 825-859.

Received: December 12, 2006; Accepted: January 22, 2007.