

## LOCALIZATION RESULTS VIA KRASNOSELSKII'S FIXED POINT THEOREM IN CONES

ANDREI HORVAT-MARC

North University of Baia Mare  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Victoriei 76, 340072 Baia Mare, Romania  
E-mail: hmand@personal.ro, hmandrei@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to give an existence result for the nonlinear fourth-order boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u^{(4)}(t) &= f(u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1] \\ u(0) &= u(1) = A, \\ u''(0) &= u''(1) = B\end{aligned}$$

where  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $A, B$  are positive real numbers. We use a result related to the existence of positive solutions for nonlinear integral equations in Banach spaces, presented in [7].

**Key Words and Phrases:** ordered Banach space, fourth-order boundary value problem, Krasnoselskii's compression-expansion fixed point theorem.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34B18, 45N05, 47H10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Localization of solutions for nonlinear operator equations can be obtained by using variational methods [14, 15, 9], upper and lower solution method [1, 4, 6] or existence results related to ordered Banach spaces [6, 12, 2, 8]. In this paper we seek positive solutions of a fourth-order boundary value problem using the results related to the existence of positive solutions for nonlinear integral equation in ordered Banach spaces, presented in [7].

Boundary value problems for  $m$ -order differential equations describe physical, biological and chemical phenomena. Fourth-order boundary value problems were studied by many authors [3, 10, 11]. A special attention received

the Lidstone boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u''(t)), & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

For example, in [3] using the Five Functionals Fixed Point Theorem there are established some growth conditions on  $f$  to obtain three symmetric positive solutions, and the Avery-Henderson fixed point theorem is applied in [10] together with some growth conditions on  $f$  to prove the existence of at least two positive solutions for three point boundary value problems.

The main tool of our approach is the Krasnoselskii's compression-expansion fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be a Banach space, and let  $C \subset E$  be a cone in  $E$ . Assume that  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are two open subsets of  $E$  such that  $0 \in \Omega_1$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . Let the operator  $T : C \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow C$  be completely continuous and either*

$$|T(x)| \leq |x|, \quad x \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |T(x)| \geq |x|, \quad x \in C \cap \partial\Omega_2$$

or

$$|T(x)| \geq |x|, \quad x \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |T(x)| \leq |x|, \quad x \in C \cap \partial\Omega_2$$

is true. Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $C \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

In [7] the Krasnoselskii's compression-expansion fixed point theorem is used for the nonlinear integral equation

$$U(t) = \int_0^T k(t, s) F(U)(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $k : [0, T] \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F : C([0, T]; K) \rightarrow C([0, T]; K)$  is an operator. In that follows, we extend this result to the nonlinear integral equation

$$U(t) = g(t) + \int_0^T k(t, s) F(U)(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (1.1)$$

where  $g : [0, T] \rightarrow K$ ,  $k : [0, T] \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F : C([0, T]; K) \rightarrow C([0, T]; K)$  is an operator.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(X, |\cdot|)$  be a real Banach space and let  $K \subset X$  be a cone of  $X$ . Assume that the norm  $|\cdot|$  is monotone with respect to  $K$  and that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (H<sub>1</sub>) For each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $k_t = k(t, \cdot) \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}_+)$  and the map  $t \mapsto k_t$  is continuous from  $[0, T]$  to  $L^1(0, T)$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\kappa \in L^1(0, T)$  and an interval  $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$ ,  $a < b$ , such that

$$k(t, s) \leq \kappa(s), \quad t \in [0, T], \text{ a.e } s \in [0, T]$$

and

$$\mu\kappa(s) \leq k(t, s) \quad t \in [a, b], \text{ a.e } s \in [0, T];$$

- (H<sub>3</sub>) The map  $g : [0, T] \rightarrow K$  is continuous and satisfies the inequality

$$\mu g(t) \leq g(t'), \quad t \in [0, T], t' \in [a, b] \quad (1.2)$$

- (H<sub>4</sub>) There exists  $\Phi : K \rightarrow K$  such that

$$\Phi(x) \leq F(U)(t), \quad t \in [a, b]; \quad (1.3)$$

whenever  $U \in C([0, T]; K)$ ,  $x \in K$  and  $x \leq U(t)$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ ;

- (H<sub>5</sub>) There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$|F(U)(t)| \leq \frac{\alpha - |g|_\infty}{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^T k(t, s) ds} \quad (1.4)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $U \in C([0, T]; K)$  with  $|U| = \alpha$ ;

- (H<sub>6</sub>) There exists  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\beta \neq \alpha$  and  $t^* \in [0, T]$  such that

$$\inf \{ |\Phi(x)| : x \in K, |x| = \mu\beta \} \cdot \int_a^b k(t^*, s) ds \geq \beta + |g(t^*)|; \quad (1.5)$$

- (H<sub>7</sub>) The operator  $N_0$  defined by

$$N_0(U)(t) = \int_0^T k(t, s) F(U)(s) ds$$

is completely continuous from  $C([0, T]; K)$  to  $C([0, T]; X)$ .

Then (1.1) has at least one solution  $U \in C([0, T]; K)$  such that

$$\mu U(t) \leq U(t') \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], t' \in [a, b] \quad (1.6)$$

and  $0 < \min\{\alpha, \beta\} \leq |U|_\infty \leq \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$ .

*Proof.* To apply Krasnoselskii's theorem, let  $E = C([0, T]; X)$  be endowed with the norm  $|U|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |U(t)|$  and let us consider the cone

$$C = \{U \in C([0, T]; X) : \mu U(t) \leq U(t') \text{ for } t \in [0, T], t' \in [a, b]\}.$$

We make the notations  $r_1 = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$  and  $r_2 = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$  and we denote

$$\Omega_1 = \{U \in C([0, T]; X) : |U|_\infty < r_1\}$$

$$\Omega_2 = \{U \in C([0, T]; X) : |U|_\infty < r_2\}.$$

Consider the operator

$$N(U)(t) = g(t) + \int_0^T k(t, s) F(U)(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

From  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  and  $(H_7)$  we have that  $N : C \rightarrow C$  and  $N$  is completely continuous.

Let  $U \in C$  and  $|U|_\infty = \alpha$ . Using  $(H_5)$  we deduce that

$$|N(U)(t)| \leq |g(t)| + \int_0^T k(t, s) |F(U)(s)| ds \leq \alpha$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Hence  $|N(U)|_\infty \leq |U|_\infty$ .

Let  $U \in C$ ,  $|U|_\infty = \beta$  and we can consider that  $\mu U(t_0) \leq U(t')$  for all  $t' \in [a, b]$  and  $t_0 \in [0, T]$  with  $|U(t_0)| = \beta$ . Now  $(H_4)$  implies that  $\Phi(\mu U(t_0)) \leq F(U)(s)$  for  $s \in [a, b]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(U)(t^*) &= g(t^*) + \int_0^T k(t^*, s) F(U)(s) ds \\ &\geq g(t^*) + \Phi(\mu U(t_0)) \int_0^T k(t^*, s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $|N(U)(t^*)| \geq |\Phi(\mu U(t_0))| \int_0^T k(t^*, s) ds - |g(t^*)|$  for  $t^* \in [0, T]$  and from  $(H_6)$  it follows that  $|N(U)|_\infty \geq |U|_\infty$ .

Therefore, Krasnoselskii's Theorem applies.  $\square$

In many applications we are interested in multiple solutions. Under similar conditions to  $(H_5)$  and  $(H_6)$  we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that  $(H_1) - (H_4)$ ,  $(H_7)$  hold and  $(M_1)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  there exist  $\alpha_i > 0$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$  such that  $(H_5)$  is satisfied with  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$*

(M<sub>2</sub>) for some  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  there exist  $\beta_j > 0$  and  $t_j^* \in [0, T]$ ,  $j = \overline{1, m}$  such that (H<sub>6</sub>) is satisfied with  $\beta = \beta_j$  and  $t^* = t_j^*$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

Then

(I) If  $m = n + 1$  and  $0 < \beta_1 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \beta_n < \alpha_n < \beta_{n+1}$ , then (1.1) has at least  $2n$  nonnegative solutions  $U_1, \dots, U_{2n} \in C([0, T]; K)$  such that

$$0 < \beta_1 < |U_1|_\infty < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n < |U_{2n}|_\infty < \beta_{n+1}$$

(II) If  $m = n$  and  $0 < \beta_1 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \beta_n < \alpha_n$ , then (1.1) has at least  $2n - 1$  nonnegative solutions  $U_1, \dots, U_{2n-1} \in C([0, T]; K)$  such that

$$0 < \beta_1 < |U_1|_\infty < \alpha_1 < \dots < \beta_n < |U_{2n-1}|_\infty < \alpha_n$$

(III) If  $n = m + 1$  and  $0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \dots < \alpha_m < \beta_m < \alpha_{m+1}$ , then (1.1) has at least  $2m$  nonnegative solutions  $U_0, \dots, U_{2m} \in C([0, T]; K)$  such that

$$0 < \alpha_1 < |U_1|_\infty < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m < |U_{2m}|_\infty < \alpha_{m+1}$$

(IV) If  $n = m$  and  $0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_n < \alpha_m < \beta_m$ , then (1.1) has at least  $2m - 1$  nonnegative solutions  $U_0, \dots, U_{2m-1} \in C([0, T]; K)$  such that

$$0 < \alpha_1 < |U_1|_\infty < \beta_1 < \dots < \alpha_m < |U_{2m-1}|_\infty < \beta_m.$$

## 2. APPLICATION

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.2 to localize positive solutions for the nonlinear fourth-order boundary value problem

$$u^{(4)}(t) = f(u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1] \tag{2.7}$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = A,$$

$$u''(0) = u''(1) = -B$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous and  $A, B$  are nonnegative real numbers.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon]$ ,  $\sigma_m = \min\{A, B\}$  and  $\sigma_M = \max\{A, B\}$ . Suppose that the function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous and

(T<sub>1</sub>) there is a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$  such that

$$(0, 0) \leq (x_1, x_2) \leq (y_1, y_2) \text{ implies } \Phi(x_1, x_2) \leq (y_2, f(y_1)) \tag{2.8}$$

(T<sub>2</sub>) there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\max \{f(y_1), y_2\} < 8(\alpha - \sigma_M), \quad (2.9)$$

for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  with  $\max \{y_1, y_2\} = \alpha$ ;

(T<sub>3</sub>) there exists  $\beta > 0, \beta \neq \alpha$  and  $t^* \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\Sigma \cdot g(t^*) < \beta + \sigma_m, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\Sigma = \inf \{ \max \{ \Phi_1(x_1, x_2), \Phi_2(x_1, x_2) \} : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \max \{x_1, x_2\} = \mu\beta \}$$

and

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon t & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon] \\ \frac{t-t^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}{2} & \text{if } t \in (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon) \\ \varepsilon(1-t) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon, 1] \end{cases}.$$

Then (2.7) has at least one solution  $u \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$\mu u(t) \leq u(t') \quad \text{and} \quad \mu u''(t) \geq u''(t')$$

for  $t \in [0, 1], t' \in [\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon]$  and

$$0 < \min \{ \alpha, \beta \} \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{ u(t), -u''(t) \} \leq \max \{ \alpha, \beta \}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+)$  be the set of all continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ . We make the notation  $\mathbb{K} = C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+) \times C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ . For any  $U = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{K}$  we consider

$$\|U\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \max \{ u_1(t), u_2(t) \}.$$

Letting  $u_1 = u$  and  $u_2 = -u''$  we see that problem (2.7) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' = -u_2 \\ u_1(0) = u_1(1) = A \\ u_2'' = -f(u_1(t)) \\ u_2(0) = u_2(1) = B. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

The Green function associated to the operator  $-u''$  and the boundary conditions  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$  is  $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Hence, (2.7) is equivalent to the nonlinear integral equation

$$U(t) = g(t) + \int_0^1 G(t, s) F(U(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (2.13)$$

where  $U = (u_1, u_2) \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+^2) \cap C^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , the function  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$  is given by

$$g(t) = (A, B), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

and the operator  $F : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is defined by

$$F(U) = F(u_1, u_2) = (u_2, f(u_1)).$$

In what it follows, we show that all conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Consider  $\kappa : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\kappa(s) = s(1-s)$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . We have  $\mu\kappa(s) \leq G(t, s)$  for  $t \in [\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon]$  and all  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Here  $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon]$ . On the other hand,  $G(t, s) \leq \kappa(s)$ , for all  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ . The proof of these inequalities may be found in [8]. Hence, condition  $(H_2)$  is satisfied.

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $K = \mathbb{R}_+^2$  be the positive cone of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2)$ , we have  $(x_1, x_2) \leq (y_1, y_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 \leq y_1$  and  $x_2 \leq y_2$ .

Inequality (1.2) is equivalent to  $\mu \cdot (A, B) \leq (A, B)$  and is satisfied for any  $\mu \leq 1$ .

Let  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  and  $U = (u_1, u_2) \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+^2) \cap C^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+^2)$  with  $(x_1, x_2) \leq U(t)$ , for every  $t \in [\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon]$ . From hypothesis  $(T_1)$  we obtain

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2) \leq (u_2(t), f(u_1(t))) = F(U)(t), \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right].$$

Hence,  $(T_1)$  implies  $(H_4)$ .

We have (see [3, 8])

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Therefore, (1.4) is equivalent to (2.9).

A simple computation shows

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} G(t, s) ds = g(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then  $(T_3)$  is necessary for  $(H_6)$ . Hypothesis  $(H_7)$  is implied by the continuity of  $g, G$  and  $f$ . □

**Remark 2.1.** For  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  a nondecreasing map, we can take  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$  given by

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, f(x_1)).$$

**Remark 2.2.** For  $t^* = \frac{1}{2}$ , inequality (2.10) can be replaced by

$$\Sigma > \frac{2(\beta + \sigma_m)}{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)},$$

see [3, 8] for details.

**Remark 2.3.** Theorem 2.4 can be extended to the nonlinear  $2n$ -order boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(2n)}(t) &= f(u(t)), \quad t \in [a, b] \\ u(a) &= u(b) = A_1 \\ u''(a) &= u''(b) = A_2 \\ u^{(4)}(a) &= u^{(4)}(b) = A_3 \\ &\dots \\ u^{(2n-2)}(a) &= u^{(2n-2)}(b) = A_n \end{aligned}$$

and some similar results can be established for non autonomous equations.

### 3. MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS RESULT

This part was inspired by [3] where a three symmetric positive solution result is established.

Consider the fourth order Lidstone boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = f(u(t)), & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous. Here  $A = B = 0$ . To obtaining three positive solutions we have the next results:

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon]$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous. Suppose that there exist  $0 < \beta_1 < \alpha_1 < \beta_2 < \alpha_2$  such that

(M<sub>1</sub>) there exists a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$  such that

$$(x_1, x_2) \leq (y_1, y_2) \text{ implies } \Phi(x_1, x_2) \leq (y_2, f(y_1))$$

(M<sub>2</sub>) for all  $y_i = (y_{i1}, y_{i2}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  with  $\max\{y_{i1}, y_{i2}\} = \alpha_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we have

$$\max\{f(y_{i1}), y_{i2}\} < 8\alpha_i, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}$$



(M<sub>3</sub>) there exist  $t_1^*, t_2^* \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\Sigma_i \cdot g(t_i^*) < \beta_i, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

where  $\Sigma_i = \inf \{|\Phi(x_1, x_2)| : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \max\{x_1, x_2\} = \mu\beta_i\}$  and

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon t & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon] \\ \frac{t-t^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}{2} & \text{if } t \in (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon) \\ \varepsilon(1-t) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon, 1] \end{cases}.$$

Then the problem (3.14) has at least three positive solutions  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  such that

$$0 < \beta_1 < \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u_1(t)| < \alpha_1 < \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u_2(t)| < \beta_2 < \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u_3(t)| < \alpha_2$$

with

$$\mu u_i(t) \leq u_i(t'_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu u_i''(t) \geq u_i''(t'_i), \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $t'_i \in [\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon]$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

*Proof.* The conclusions follow from Theorem 1.3 for  $n = m = 2$ . □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, Haishen Lü and D. O'Regan, *An upper and lower solutions method for the one-dimensional singular  $p$ -Laplacian*, *Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, **28**(2003), 13-31.
- [2] R. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan and R. Precup, *Location of nonnegative solutions for differential equation on finite and semi-infinite intervals*, *Dynamic Systems Appl.*, **12**(2003), 323-341.
- [3] R. I. Avery, J. M. Davis, and J. Henderson, *Three symmetric positive solutions for Lidstone problems by a generalization of the Leggett-Williams theorem*, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. **2000**(2000), 1-15.
- [4] M. Conti, L. Merizz and S. Terracini, *Remarks on variational methods and lower-upper solutions*, *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, **6**(1999), 371-393.
- [5] Y. Egorov and V. Kondratiev, *On Spectral Theory of Elliptic Operators*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1996.
- [6] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham and X. Liu, *Nonlinear Integral Equation in Abstract Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1996.
- [7] A. Horvat-Marc and R. Precup, *Nonnegative solutions on nonlinear integral equation in ordered Banach spaces*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **5**(2004), 65-70.
- [8] A. Horvat-Marc, *Nonnegative solutions for boundary value problem*, *Bul. Ştiinţ. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B, Matematică Informatică*, Vol. **18**(2002), 223-228.

- [9] A. Horvat-Marc, *Variational method for a second order boundary value problem*, Mathematical Research, Vol. 9, Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference "Tools for Mathematical Modeling" June 23-28, 2003, 249-254.
- [10] Liu Yuji and Ge Weigao, *Solvability on a nonlinear four-point boundary-value problem for a fourth order differential equation*, Taiwanese J. Math., **7**(2003), 591-604.
- [11] Liu Yuji and Ge Weigao, *Positive solutions of boundary-value problems for 2M-order differential equations*, Electronic J. of Diff. Eq., Vol. **2003**(2003), 1-12.
- [12] M. Meehan and D. O'Regan, *Multiple nonnegative solutions of nonlinear integral equation on compact and semi-infinite intervals*, Applicable Analysis, **74**(2000), 413-427.
- [13] L.C. Piccinini, G. Stampacchia and G. Vidossich, *Ordinary Differential Equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Problems and Methods*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1984.
- [14] D. O'Regan and R. Precup, *Existence criteria for integral equations in Banach spaces*, J. of Inequal. and Appl., **6**(2001), 77-97.
- [15] R. Precup, *Methods in Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2002.

*Received: January 26, 2005; Accepted: August 16, 2006.*