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#### Abstract

Our aim in this paper is to establish some new surjectivity conditions and study the existence of solutions of equation $T x=f$ for operators $T$ in a Banach space $X$ that satisfy a general type of accretive condition.
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## 1. Introduction

The normalized duality mapping $J$ from a general Banach space $X$ into $2^{X^{*}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left\{j \in X^{*}: \operatorname{Re}\langle x, j\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\|j\|^{2}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{*}$ denotes the dual space of $X$ and $\langle.,$.$\rangle the generalized duality pairing.$
An operator $T$ with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in $X$ is said to be $\varphi-$ generalized strongly accretive if there exists a $k \in[0,1]$ and a strictly increasing function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0 . \infty)$ with $\varphi(0)=0$ such that for all $x, y \in D(T)$, there exists a $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \geq \varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|-(1-k)\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. It is interesting to note that the class of operators satisfying (1.2) includes the class of $\varphi$-strongly accretive operators [3] corresponding to
$k=1$, that is, $T$ satisfies the condition:

$$
\operatorname{Re}\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \geq \varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\varphi$ is as specified above.
The following examples justify the above remark.
Example 1. Let $X=\mathbb{R}$ with the usual norm |.|. Define $T:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ by

$$
T x=x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{16}
$$

Then $T$ is $\varphi$-generalized strongly accretive operator with $\varphi(s)=s^{2}$ and $k=\frac{1}{2}$ such that for every $f \in[0, \infty)$ the equation $T x=f$ has at least one solution. In particular, $T$ has two fixed points (namely, $\frac{3-2 \sqrt{2}}{4}$ and $\frac{3+2 \sqrt{2}}{4}$ ). However, it can be easily verified that $T$ is not $\varphi$-strongly accretive.

Thus the class of $\varphi$-strongly accretive operators is a proper subset of the class of $\varphi$-generalized strongly accretive operators.

Example 2. Let $X=\mathbb{R}$ with the usual norm $|$.$| and define T:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ by

$$
T x=x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x+2
$$

Then it can be easily verified that $T$ is $\varphi$-generalized strongly accretive with $\varphi(s)=s^{2}$ and $k=\frac{1}{2}$ but $T$ is not $\varphi$-strongly accretive. In addition, the equation $T x=x$ has no real solution for any $x \in[0, \infty)$. Thus, in general, the equation $T x=f(f \in X)$ does not have a solution in $X$.

Remark 2. ( $i$ ) For any non-negative real number $\lambda$ with $\lambda \geq 1-k$, the operator $T+\lambda I$ (where $I$ denotes the identity operator on $X$ ) is a bijection when $T$ is continuous [4, Lemma 2.3].

Moreover, the relationship between the new class of operators and the class of $\varphi$-strongly pseudo-contractive operators considered by Liu and Kang [4] is that for any operator $T$ satisfying (1.2), $-T$ is $\varphi$-strongly pseudo-contractive and by [4, Lemma 2.2], $T+I$ has a unique zero in a real Banach space $X$ when $T$ is continuous.
(ii) Recall that an operator $T$ with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in a normed space $X$ is $\varphi$-expansive (see [2]) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\|x-y\|) \leq\|T x-T y\| \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0 . \infty)$ is a strictly increasing function with $\varphi(0)=0$.

It is known (see [2]) that $\varphi$-expansive operators are invertible but their inverse operators need not be defined on the whole space $X$.

Notice that $\varphi$-strongly accretive operators are $\varphi$-expansive since they satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\| \leq\|T x-T y\|\|x-y\| . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our aim in this paper is to establish some new surjectivity conditions for operators of type ( 1.2 ) with $k \in[0,1$ ) and study the existence of solutions of equation $T x=f$ for operators $T$. This is done, in particular, via some fixed point conditions for $\varphi$-expansive operators that we establish in this paper. For a detailed account of iterative approximations of fixed point and solution of operator equation $T x=f$, we refer to Berinde [1].

## 2. Main results

Now onward, $\mathbb{N}$ will denote the set of natural numbers while $R(T)$ will denote the range of an operator $T$.

The following lemma gives us sufficient conditions for an operator of type (1.2) with $k \in(0,1)$ to have a zero.

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ be a general Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator of type (1.2) with $\varphi(t)>t$ for all $t$ sufficiently large and for $0<k<$ 1. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.
(2.1.1) $\overline{R(T)}=R(T)$.
(2.1.2) $T+\lambda I$ is one to one for every $\lambda>0$ sufficiently small.
(2.1.3) There exists $r>0:\|T 0\|<r \leq \liminf _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty}[\varphi(\|x\|)-\|x\|]$.

Then $T$ has a zero in $X$.
Proof. Suppose $\mu=r-\|T 0\|>0$ and let $p \in B_{\mu}(0)$ be arbitrary, where $B_{\mu}(0)=\{x \in X:\|x\|<\mu\}$. Now consider the equation

$$
T x+\frac{x}{n}=p \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

By condition (2.1.2), $x_{n}=\left(T+\frac{1}{n} I\right)^{-1} p$ exists for all sufficiently large $n$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\left\|T x_{n}-p\right\| \geq\left\|T x_{n}-T 0\right\|-\|T 0-p\| . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from (1.2) we deduce that

$$
\varphi(\|x-y\|) \leq(1-k)\|x-y\|+\|T x-T y\|
$$

Hence (2.1) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \geq \varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-(1-k) \varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-\|T 0\|-\|p\|
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-\left(1-k+\frac{1}{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\|T 0\|+\|p\|
$$

Since $k$ is fixed, we may take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large so that $\left(1-k+\frac{1}{n}\right)<1$. Therefore from the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq \varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-\left(1-k+\frac{1}{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\|T 0\|+\|p\| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Suppose this is not the case. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\infty$. Then by condition (2.1.3) we have that for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
r-\epsilon<\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-\left\|x_{n}\right\| \text { for all } n \geq N
$$

and by (2.2) this implies that

$$
r-\epsilon<\|T 0\|+\|p\|
$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, the above inequality gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leq\|T 0\|+\|p\| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p \in B_{\mu}(0)$ and $\mu=r-\|T 0\|$, from (2.3) we immediately obtain that

$$
r \leq\|T 0\|+\|p\|<\|T 0\|+\mu=r
$$

a contradiction. Hence the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Therefore from (2.1) we deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T x_{n}-p\right\|=0
$$

and by condition (2.1.1) we have that $p \in R(T)$. Therefore $B_{\mu}(0) \subset R(T)$. Consequently, there exists a point $x_{0} \in X$ such that $T x_{0}=0 . \square$

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator that is weakly sequentially continuous and satisfies condition (1.2) with $k=0$ and $\varphi(t)>t$ for all $t$ sufficiently large. Assume that
(2.2.1) For any $f \in X$, there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\|T 0\|+\|f\|<r \leq \liminf _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty}[\varphi(\|x\|)-\|x\|
$$

(2.2.2) $\overline{R(T+\lambda I)}=R(T+\lambda I)$ and $(T+\lambda I)$ is one to one for every $\lambda>0$ sufficiently small.

Then the equation $T x=f$ has at least one solution for each $f \in X$.
Proof. For any $f \in X$ set $S=I-T+f$. Then $S$ satisfies the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\langle S x-S y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq 2\|x-y\|^{2}-\varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
To show that $S$ has a fixed point we consider the approximation

$$
S_{n}=\frac{n+1}{n} I-S
$$

which is an operator of type (1.2) with $k=\frac{1}{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle S_{n} x-S_{n} y, j(x-y)\right\rangle \geq \varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} 0\right\|=\|S 0\|=\|f-T 0\| \leq\|f\|+\|T 0\| \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (2.2.1) above, the condition (2.1.3) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. Since $S_{n}=\left(T+\frac{1}{n} I\right)-f$, it is clear by the first part of the condition (2.2.2) that the range of $S_{n}$ is closed.

Moreover, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrarily large we have

$$
\frac{1}{m} I+S_{n}=\frac{m+n}{m \cdot n} I+T-f
$$

Hence for any $x, y \in X$ if

$$
\frac{1}{m} x+S_{n} x=\frac{1}{m} y+S_{n} y
$$

then we obtain

$$
\frac{m+n}{m \cdot n} x+T x=\frac{m+n}{m \cdot n} y+T y .
$$

Therefore by the second part of the condition (2.2.2), $\frac{1}{m} I+S_{n}$ is one to one. Since the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied for $n$ sufficiently large, there exists $x_{n} \in X$ such that $S_{n} x_{n}=0$.

Now we show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ so obtained is bounded. Suppose the contrary and without loss of generality assume that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\infty
$$

Then by (2.4) we get

$$
\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|S_{n} 0\right\| .\left\|x_{n}\right\|
$$

which implies that

$$
\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|S_{n} 0\right\| .\left\|x_{n}\right\|
$$

Therefore we immediately obtain that

$$
\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|S_{n} 0\right\|
$$

Now using (2.2.1) along with the above inequality we have that for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
r-\epsilon<\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)-\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|S_{n} 0\right\|=\|S 0\|
$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
r \leq\|S 0\|
$$

Therefore by (2.5) and (2.2.1) we arrive at the contradiction

$$
r \leq\|S 0\|<r
$$

Consequently, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
Now from the boundedness of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and the fact that

$$
S_{n} x_{n}=0=\frac{n+1}{n} x_{n}-S x_{n}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Therefore the sequence $\left\{x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to 0 . Since $X$ is reflexive and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence say, $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$. Further, notice that $S$ is weakly sequentially continuous (since $S=I-T+f)$, there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $x_{0}=S x_{0}$ implying that $T x_{0}=f$. Hence $x_{0}$ is a solution of the equation $T x=f . \square$

Using a dual type of relationship with $\varphi$ - pseudocontractive operators, Liu and Kang [4] recently proved that for any continuous $\varphi$-strongly accretive
operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ on an arbitrary Banach space, the equation $T x=f$ has a unique solution. In what follows, we are interested in using a fixed point theorem for $\varphi$-expansive operators $T$ and Remark 2(ii) in solving the equation $T x=f$, where $T$ satisfies the condition (1.2) for $k=0$.

First we have the following:
Lemma 2.3. Let $X$ be a general Banach space and $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function with $\varphi(0)=0$ and that its inverse function $\varphi^{-1}$ is upper semi-continuous. Suppose that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a $\varphi$-expansive operator such that there exists $x_{0} \in X$ and that the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right.$ converges. If the range $R(T)$ of $T$ is closed, then $T$ has at least one fixed point in $X$.

Proof. Set $y_{0}=T x_{0}$ and consider the approximation process

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0} \in R(T), y_{n+1}=T^{-1} y_{n}(n \geq 0) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converges. First, we show by induction that

$$
\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right.
$$

Notice from (1.3) that

$$
\varphi\left(\left\|T^{-1} T x-T^{-1} T y\right\|\right) \leq\|T x-T y\|
$$

and since $\varphi$ is invertible, we have

$$
\left(\left\|T^{-1} T x-T^{-1} T y\right\|\right) \leq \varphi^{-1}(\|T x-T y\|) \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

For $n=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{2}-y_{1}\right\| & =\left\|T^{-1} y_{1}-T^{-1} y_{0}\right\| \leq \varphi^{-1}\left(\left\|y_{1}-y_{0}\right\|\right) \\
& =\varphi^{-1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (2.6) is true for $n=1$. Let it be true for $n=k$, i.e.

$$
\left\|y_{k+1}-y_{k}\right\| \leq\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{k}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)
$$

Then for $n=k+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{k+2}-y_{k+1}\right\| & =\left\|T^{-1} y_{k+1}-T^{-1} y_{k}\right\| \leq\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{k}\left(\left\|y_{k+1}-y_{k}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{k}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)=\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{k+1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result holds. Hence by induction (2.6) holds for all $n$.

Now, for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n<m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{m}-y_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|y_{m}-y_{m-1}\right\|+\left\|y_{m-1}-y_{m-2}\right\|+\ldots+\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{m-1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)+\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{m-2}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)+\ldots+ \\
& +\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $S_{n}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{k}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right.$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$
\left\|y_{m}-y_{n}\right\| \leq S_{m-1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)-S_{n-1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)
$$

Since the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right.$ converges, the sequence $\left\{S_{n}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Hence the above inequality implies that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$. Therefore it converges to some $y \in R(T)$ as $R(T)$ is closed. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y-T^{-1} y\right\| & \leq\left\|y-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-T^{-1} y\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|y-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|T^{-1} y_{n-1}-T^{-1} y\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|y-y_{n}\right\|+\varphi^{-1}\left(\left\|y_{n-1}-y\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the upper semi-continuity of $\varphi^{-1}$, the above inequality implies that

$$
\left\|y-T^{-1} y\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|y-y_{n}\right\|\right)+\varphi^{-1}\left(\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|y_{n-1}-y\right\|\right) .=0\right.
$$

proving that $y=T^{-1} y$, that is, $y$ is a fixed point of $T^{-1}$.
Let $x \in X$ be such that $y=T x$. Then $y=T^{-1} y$ implies that $T x=x$ and the lemma is established

We notice that the condition that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|x_{0}-T x_{0}\right\|\right.$ is convergent is quite reasonable as all the linear operators defined at the origin satisfy it trivially.

Theorem 2.4. Let $X$ be a general Banach space and $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function with $\varphi(0)=0$ and whose inverse function $\varphi^{-1}$ is upper semi-continuous. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator satisfying condition (1.2) with $k \in(0,1)$ such that $I+T$ has a closed range. If for any $f \in X$, there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|T x_{0}-f\right\|\right.$ converges, then the equation $T x=f$ has at least one solution in $X$.

Proof. Suppose $S=I+T-f$ for $f \in X$ fixed. Then $S$ is $\varphi-$ strongly accretive as it satisfies the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\langle S x-S y, j(x-y)\rangle \geq \varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|+k\|x-y\|^{2}
$$

Hence $S$ is $\varphi$-expansive (and strongly accretive).
Moreover, for any $x \in X$ we have $S x-x=T x-f$. Therefore by the given hypothesis of the theorem, there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|T x_{0}-f\right\|\right.$ converges. Therefore the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|S x_{0}-x_{0}\right\|\right.$ also converges. Further, since $I+T$ has a closed range, it follows that $S$ also has a closed range. Therefore by Lemma $2.3, S$ has at least one fixed point say, $x_{1}$. Then

$$
x_{1}=S x_{1}=x_{1}+T x_{1}-f
$$

proving that $T x_{1}=f$ for some $x_{1} \in X$. Hence the equation $T x=f$ has a solution in $X$.

Remark 3. If the operator $T$ in the above theorem is continuous, then the hypothesis that $I+T$ has a closed range can be dispensed with in view of Remark 2.

Theorem 2.5. Let $X$ be a general Banach space and $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function with $\varphi(0)=0$ such that

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}=\infty
$$

and that its inverse function $\varphi^{-1}$ is upper semi-continuous. Assume that the operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies condition (1.2) with $k=0$ and the operators $T+\frac{n+1}{n} I$ have a closed range in $X$ for every $n \in N$. If for every $f \in X$, there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|T x_{0}-f\right\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)$ converges, then the equation $T x=f$ has at least one solution in $X$.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $T_{n}=T+\frac{1}{n} I$. Then for all $x, y \in X$ and for $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle T_{n} x-T_{n} y, j(x-y)\right\rangle \geq \varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\|x-y\|^{2}
$$

Thus $T_{n}$ satisfies condition (1.2) with $k=\frac{1}{n}$. Now for any $f \in X$ fixed, let $S_{n}=I+T_{n}-f$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle S_{n} x-S_{n} y, j(x-y)\right\rangle \geq \varphi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|+\frac{1}{n}\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $S_{n}$ is $\varphi$ - strongly accretive (and $\varphi$-expansive). Let $x_{0} \in X$ be such that the series

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\left\|T x_{0}-f\right\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)
$$

converges. Now consider the expression

$$
S_{n} x_{0}-x_{0}=T x_{0}-f+\frac{x_{0}}{n}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} x_{0}-x_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|T x_{0}-f\right\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (2.8) and the hypothesis of the theorem, we conclude that the series $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{m}\left(\left\|S x_{0}-x_{0}\right\|\right.$ converges. Since $T+\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) I$ has a closed range in $X$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, S_{n}$ has a closed range in $X$. Therefore by Lemma 2.3, $S_{n}$ has at least one fixed point for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, say, $x_{n}$. That is

$$
x_{n}=S_{n} x_{n}=x_{n}+T_{n} x_{n}-f
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T x_{n}+\frac{x_{n}}{n}-f=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from

$$
T x_{n}+\frac{x_{n}}{n}-f-\frac{x_{1}}{n}+\frac{x_{1}}{n}=0
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T x_{n}-f\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|}{n}+\frac{\left\|x_{1}\right\|}{n} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ so obtained is bounded. If not, we may assume without loss of generality that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\infty$ and since $x_{n}=$ $x_{n}-x_{1}+x_{1}$, we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|=\infty$. Hence from (2.10) we deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|T x_{n}-f\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|}=0
$$

But from (2.7) we have

$$
\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle S_{1} x_{n}-S_{1} x_{1}, j\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right)\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\left(x_{n}+T_{1} x_{n}-f\right)-\left(x_{1}+T_{1} x_{1}-f\right), j\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq \operatorname{Re}\left\langle 2\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right)+T x_{n}-T x_{1}, j\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq 2\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\| \cdot\left\|T x_{n}-T x_{1}\right\| \\
\leq 2\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\| \cdot\left(\left\|T x_{n}-f\right\|+\left\|f-T x_{1}\right\|\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies that

$$
\frac{\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|\right)}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|} \leq 2+\frac{\left\|T x_{n}-f\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|}+\frac{\left\|f-T x_{1}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|}
$$

Now taking the limit superior on both sides of the above inequality along with (2.10) and the fact that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|=\infty$ we get

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|\right)}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|} \leq 2
$$

a contradiction to the hypothesis

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|\right)}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|}=\infty
$$

Therefore from (2.9) we deduce that

$$
\left\|T x_{n}-f\right\|=\frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|}{n}
$$

and from which it follows that $f \in \overline{R(T)}$. Now since $\overline{R(T)}=R(T)$, the equation $T x=f$ has at least one solution in X .

Acknowledgement. We thank the referee for his valuable comments.

## References

[1] A.G. Kartatos, Mapping theorems involving compact perturbations and compact resolvents of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 80(1981), 130-146.
[2] M.O. Osilike, Iterative solution of nonlinear equations of the $\varphi$-strongly accretive type, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 200(1996), 259-271.
[3] Z. Liu and S.M. Kang, Convergence theorems for $\varphi$ - strongly accretive and $\varphi-$ hemicontractive operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 253(2001), 35-49.

Received 12.04.2004; Revised 10.03.2006.

