

INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM ON SEMI-LINE FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH ADVANCED ARGUMENT

ADELA CHIȘ

Department of Mathematics
Technical University of Cluj-Napoca
Romania
E-mail: Adela.Chis@math.utcluj.ro

Abstract. A slight extension of the continuation principle established in [1] is used to prove the existence of solution for the initial value problem for first-order differential equations with advanced argument on semi-line.

Key Words and Phrases: fixed point, continuation principle, initial value problem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 34K10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to present a natural application of the continuation principle established in [1] involving contractions in Gheorghiu's sense, with respect to a family of pseudo-metrics.

The advanced argument in our differential equation makes necessary the use of two pseudo-metrics in the contraction condition.

In what follows we recall some notions and results from paper [1].

First recall the notion of contraction on a gauge space introduced by Gheorghiu [5]. Let (X, \mathcal{P}) be a gauge space with $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. A map $F : D \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a *contraction* if there exists a function $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}_+^A$, $a = \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that

$$p_\alpha(F(x), F(y)) \leq a_\alpha p_{\varphi(\alpha)}(x, y) \quad \forall \alpha \in A, x, y \in D,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_\alpha a_{\varphi(\alpha)} a_{\varphi^2(\alpha)} \dots a_{\varphi^{n-1}(\alpha)} p_{\varphi^n(\alpha)}(x, y) < \infty$$

for every $\alpha \in A$ and $x, y \in D$. Here, φ^n is the n th iteration of φ .

We present now a slow extension of some results from paper [1], whose proofs are similar.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a set endowed with two separating gauge structures $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$, let D_0 and D be two subsets of X with $D_0 \subset D$, and let $F : D \rightarrow X$ be a map. Assume that $F(D_0) \subset D_0$ and D is \mathcal{P} -closed. In addition, assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *there is a function $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ and $c \in (0, \infty)^A$, $c = \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that*

$$p_\alpha(x, y) \leq c_\alpha q_{\psi(\alpha)}(x, y) \quad \forall \alpha \in A, x, y \in X;$$

(ii) *(X, \mathcal{P}) is a sequentially complete gauge space;*

(iii) *if $x_0 \in D$, $x_n = F(x_{n-1})$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\mathcal{P}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ for some $x \in D$, then $F(x) = x$;*

(iv) *F is a \mathcal{Q} contraction on D_0 .*

Then F has at least one fixed point which can be obtained by successive approximation starting from any element of D_0 .

For a map $H : D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, where $D \subset X$, we will use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \{(x, \lambda) \in D \times [0, 1] : H(x, \lambda) = x\}, \\ S &= \{x \in D : H(x, \lambda) = x \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}, \\ \Lambda &= \{\lambda \in [0, 1] : H(x, \lambda) = x \text{ for some } x \in D\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a set endowed with the separating gauge structures $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda = \{q_\beta^\lambda\}_{\beta \in B}$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Let $D \subset X$ be \mathcal{P} -sequentially closed, $H : D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ a map, and assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, there exists a function $\varphi_\lambda : B \rightarrow B$ and $a^\lambda \in [0, 1)^B$, $a^\lambda = \{a_\beta^\lambda\}_{\beta \in B}$ such that*

$$q_\beta^\lambda(H(x, \lambda), H(y, \lambda)) \leq a_\beta^\lambda q_{\varphi_\lambda(\beta)}^\lambda(x, y), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_\beta^\lambda a_{\varphi_\lambda(\beta)}^\lambda a_{\varphi_\lambda^2(\beta)}^\lambda \dots a_{\varphi_\lambda^{n-1}(\beta)}^\lambda < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

for every $\beta \in B$ and $x, y \in D$;

(ii) *there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for each $(x, \lambda) \in \Sigma$, there is a $\beta \in B$ with*

$$\inf\{q_\beta^\lambda(x, y) : y \in X \setminus D\} > \rho; \quad (1.4)$$

(iii) for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, there is a function $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ and $c \in (0, \infty)^A$, $c = \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that

$$p_\alpha(x, y) \leq c_\alpha q_{\psi(\alpha)}^\lambda(x, y) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in A \text{ and } x, y \in X; \quad (1.5)$$

(iv) (X, \mathcal{P}) is a sequentially complete gauge space;

(v) if $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $x_0 \in D$, $x_n = H(x_{n-1}, \lambda)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\mathcal{P}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, then $H(x, \lambda) = x$;

(vi) for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ with

$$q_{\varphi_\lambda^n(\beta)}^\lambda(x, H(x, \lambda)) \leq (1 - a_{\varphi_\lambda^n(\beta)}^\lambda)\varepsilon$$

for $(x, \mu) \in \Sigma$, $|\lambda - \mu| \leq \delta$, all $\beta \in B$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In addition, assume that $H_0 := H(\cdot, 0)$ has a fixed point. Then, for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the map $H_\lambda := H(\cdot, \lambda)$ has at least a fixed point.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

We consider the problem Cauchy

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t+1)), t \in [0, \infty) \\ x(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

This problem is equivalent to the Volterra integral equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^t f(s, x(s+1))ds, \quad t \in [0, \infty) \quad (2.7)$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, and let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous function. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a) there exists $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, (0, \infty))$ with $\|k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} < 1$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq k(t) \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in E$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$;

(b) for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $r_n > 0$ such that, any continuous solution x of the equation

$$x(t) = \lambda \left(\int_0^t f(s, x(s+1))ds \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

with $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, satisfies $\|x(t)\| \leq r_n$ for any $t \in [0, n]$;

(c) there exists $\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ nondecreasing such that

$$\|f(t, x)\| \leq \alpha(t)\beta(\|x\|)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x \in E$;

(d) there exists $C > 0$ such that $\frac{\beta(r_{k+1})}{1 - L_k} \leq C$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, where $L_k = \int_0^k k(s) ds$.

Then there exists at least one solution $x \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, E)$ of the integral equation (2.7).

Proof. For the proof we use Theorem 1.2.

Let $X = \{x \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, E) : x(0) = 0\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ we define the map $|\cdot|_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, by $|x|_n = \max_{t \in [0, n]} \|x(t)\|$. This map is a semi-norm on X , and let $d_n : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be given by

$$d_n(x, y) = |x - y|_n = \max_{t \in [0, n]} \|x(t) - y(t)\|.$$

It is easy to show that d_n is a pseudo-metric on X and the family $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$ defines on X a gauge structure, separated and complete by sequences.

Here $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}^\lambda = \{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$ for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Let D be the closure in X of the set

$$\{x \in X : \text{there exists } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } d_n(x, 0) \leq r_n + \delta \}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a fixed number. We define $H : D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, by $H(x, \lambda) = \lambda A(x)$, where

$$A(x)(t) = \int_0^t f(s, x(s+1)) ds.$$

First we verify *condition (i)* from Theorem 1.2.

Let $t \in [0, n]$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x, \lambda)(t) - H(y, \lambda)(t)\| &\leq \lambda \int_0^t \|f(s, x(s+1)) - f(s, y(s+1))\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t k(s) \|x(s+1) - y(s+1)\| ds \\ &\leq \max_{s \in [0, n]} \|x(s+1) - y(s+1)\| \int_0^t k(s) ds \\ &\leq \max_{\tau \in [0, n+1]} \|x(\tau) - y(\tau)\| \int_0^n k(s) ds \\ &= L_n d_{n+1}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

If we take the maximum with respect to t , we obtain

$$d_n(H(x, \lambda), H(y, \lambda)) \leq L_n d_{n+1}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, condition (i) in Theorem 1.2 holds with $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi$ where $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ is defined by $\varphi(n) = n + 1$. In addition the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n L_{n+1} \dots L_{2n}$ is finite since from assumption (a) we know that $|k|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} < 1$ and so $L_n \leq |k|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} < 1$.

Condition (ii) in our case becomes: there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for any solution $(x, \lambda) \in D \times [0, 1]$, to $x = H(x, \lambda)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$\inf\{d_n(x, y) : y \in X \setminus D\} > \rho. \quad (2.8)$$

If $y \in X \setminus D$, we have that $d_n(y, 0) > r_n + \delta$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. So there exists at least one $t \in [0, n]$ with

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| \geq \|y(t)\| - \|x(t)\| > r_n + \delta - r_n = \delta.$$

Hence $d_n(x, y) > \delta$ and (2.8) holds for any $\rho \in (0, \delta)$.

Condition (iii) in Theorem 1.2 is trivial since $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}^\lambda$.

Condition (iv) in Theorem 1.2 becomes: $(X, \{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}})$ is a gauge space sequentially complete since E is a Banach space.

Condition (v): Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $x_0 \in D$, $x_n = H(x_{n-1}, \lambda)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and assume \mathcal{P} - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. We shall prove that $H(x, \lambda) = x$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $t \in [0, m]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x, \lambda)(t) - x(t)\| &= \|H(x, \lambda)(t) - x_n(t) + x_n(t) - x(t)\| \\ &\leq \|H(x, \lambda)(t) - x_n(t)\| + \|x_n(t) - x(t)\| \\ &= \|H(x, \lambda)(t) - H(x_{n-1}, \lambda)(t)\| + \|x_n(t) - x(t)\| \\ &\leq \int_0^t k(s) \|x(s+1) - x_{n-1}(s+1)\| ds + \max_{t \in [0, m]} \|x_n(t) - x(t)\| \\ &\leq L_m \max_{s \in [0, m]} \|x(s+1) - x_{n-1}(s+1)\| + d_m(x_n, x) \\ &= L_m \max_{\tau \in [0, m+1]} \|x(\tau) - x_{n-1}(\tau)\| + d_m(x_n, x) \\ &= L_m d_{m+1}(x, x_{n-1}) + d_m(x_n, x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$d_m(H(x, \lambda), x) \leq L_m d_{m+1}(x, x_{n-1}) + d_m(x_n, x)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that $d_m(H(x, \lambda), x) = 0$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and since the family $\{d_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$ is separated we have $H(x, \lambda) = x$.

Condition (vi) becomes: for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$d_{\varphi^n(m)}(x, H(x, \lambda)) \leq (1 - L_{\varphi^n(m)})\varepsilon$$

for each $(x, \mu) \in D \times [0, 1]$, $H(x, \mu) = x$, $|\lambda - \mu| \leq \delta$, and $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

We have $f^n(m) = n + m$. Let $t \in [0, n + m]$ and use conditions (c) and (d) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t) - H(x, \lambda)(t)\| &= \|H(x, \mu)(t) - H(x, \lambda)(t)\| \\ &= |\mu - \lambda| \left\| \int_0^t f(s, x(s+1)) ds \right\| \\ &\leq |\mu - \lambda| \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta(\|x(s+1)\|) ds \\ &\leq |\mu - \lambda| \beta(r_{m+n+1}) \int_0^\infty \alpha(s) ds \\ &\leq |\mu - \lambda| |\alpha|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} C(1 - L_{m+n}). \end{aligned}$$

So condition (vi) is true with $\delta(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon}{C |\alpha|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}}$.

In addition $H(., 0) = 0$. So $H(., 0)$ has a fixed point.

Therefore, all the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Now the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2 \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Chiș, R. Precup, *Continuation theory for general contractions in gauge spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **3**(2004), 173-185.
- [2] A. Chiș, *Continuation methods for integral equation in locally convex spaces*, to appear.
- [3] M. Frigon, *Fixed point results for generalized contractions in gauge space and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128**(2000), 2957-2965.
- [4] M. Frigon, *Fixed point results for multivalued contractions on gauge spaces*, Set valued mappings with applications in nonlinear analysis, Ser. Math. Anal. Appl. **4**(2002), 175-181.
- [5] N. Gheorghiu, *Contraction theorem in uniform spaces* (Romanian), St. Cerc. Mat. **19** (1967), 131-135.

Received 24.11.2005, Revised 14.02.2006.