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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be a locally convex space and $f: E \rightarrow E$ a mapping. We say that the equation $f(x)=0$ is almost solvable on $A \subset E$ if $0 \in \overline{f(A)}$. In this paper some results about the solvability and almost solvability are given. Our results are based on some classical fixed point theorems and on some geometrical conditions.
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## 1. Introduction

In the proof of Theorem 2 presented in our paper [5] we used the semi-inner-product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ in the sense defined by G. Lumer [8] and studied by J. R. Giles [4]. We indicated also another kind of semi-inner-product, this is the semi-inner-product defined in a Banach space by

$$
[x, y]=\|y\| \cdot \lim _{x \rightarrow 0_{+}} \frac{\|y+t x\|-\|y\|}{t}
$$

and presented in the K. Deimling's book [3].

We note that this semi-inner-product is not linear in the first variable, as the Lumer's semi-inner-product, but it is only sub-linear.

However, our Theorem 2 proved in [5] is also valid when we replace the Lumer's semi-inner-product by the Deimling's semi-iiner-product, because in the proof of this theorem we used only two properties, which are valid for both semi-inner-products.

Now, in the section 2 of this paper we give a new variant of Theorem 2 proved in [5]; some consequences of this result are also indicated. This result is generalized in the section 3 for other kind of operators, which are not compacts.

## 2. Solvability in Banach spaces

2.1. Preliminaries. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $r>0$ a real number and $f: E \rightarrow E$ a completely continuous mapping, i.e. $f$ is continuous and for any bounded set $D \subset E$ we have that $f(D)$ is a relatively compact set.

We denote:

$$
\bar{B}_{r}=\{x \in E \mid\|x\| \leq r\} ; \quad S_{r}=\{x \in E \mid\|x\|=r\}
$$

We will consider in $\bar{B}_{r}$ the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our goal is to study the almost and the solvability of equation (2.1). We say that equation (2.1) is almost solvable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in \overline{f\left(\overline{B_{r}}\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notion of almost solvability is justified by the fact that, the condition (2.2) is equivalent with the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \|f(x)\|=0, \quad x \in \bar{B}_{r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Function $G$. Suppose given a mapping $G: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the following properties:
$\left(g_{1}\right) \quad G(x, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in S_{r}$
$\left(g_{2}\right) \quad G(\lambda x, y) \geq \lambda G(x, y)$, for all $\lambda>0$ and all $x, y \in S_{r}$.
We give some examples of functions $G$ satisfying $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$.
Example 1. If $(E,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is a Hilbert space, then $G(\cdot, \cdot)=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.

Example 2. If $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, then $G(\cdot, \cdot)=[\cdot, \cdot]_{l}$ where $[\cdot, \cdot]_{l}$ is the semi-inner-product in the Lumer's sense, or $G(\cdot, \cdot)=[\cdot, \cdot]_{d}$ where $[\cdot, \cdot]_{d}$ is the semi-inner-product in the Deimling's sense.

Example 3. Let $(H,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a Hilbert space and $E=C([0,1], H)$ the normed vector space with the norm $\|x\|=\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\|x(t)\|_{H}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{H}$ is the norm of the space $H$. Then we may take

$$
G(x, y)=\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\langle x(t), y(t)\rangle
$$

or

$$
G(x, y)=\inf _{t \in[0,1]}\langle x(t), y(t)\rangle
$$

or

$$
G(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1}\langle x(t), y(t)\rangle d t .
$$

Notice that for these examples, the condition $\left(g_{2}\right)$ can be replaced by $\left(g_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad G(\lambda x, y)=\lambda G(x, y), \lambda>0, x, y \in S_{r}$.
If the function $G$ satisfies the following property: there exists $k>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(x, y)| \leq k\|x\|\|y\|, \text { for all } x, y \in \bar{B}_{r} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then in this case, we say that the function $G$ is subordinated to the norm $\|\cdot\|$.
Remark that all particular functions $G$ defined above are subordinated to the norm.
2.3. Main result. The main result of this section is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Suppose that
i) $f: E \rightarrow E$ is a completely continuous mapping,
ii) $G: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mapping such that the properties $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$ are satisfied for a particular $r>0$,
iii) the following inequality is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(f(x), x)<0, \text { for any } x \in S_{r} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the equation (2.1) is almost solvable in $\bar{B}_{r}$.
Proof. If there is $x \in \bar{B}_{r}$ such that $f(x)=0$, then the equation (2.1) is solvable and, consequently, almost solvable.

Suppose that $0 \notin f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)$, we will show that $0 \in \overline{f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)}$. Indeed, we suppose the contrary, that is, we suppose that $0 \notin \overline{f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)}$. In this case we can consider the mapping

$$
F(x)=\frac{r}{\|f(x)\|} f(x), \quad x \in \bar{B}_{r} .
$$

We have that $F: \bar{B}_{r} \rightarrow E$ is well defined and continuous. We have also the inclusion $F\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right) \subset S_{r} \subset \bar{B}_{r}$. As in the proof of Theorem 2 ([5]), we can show that $F\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)$ is relatively compact. Therefore, applying the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem we obtain the existence of a point $x_{*} \in \bar{B}_{r}$, such that $F\left(x_{*}\right)=x_{*}, x_{*} \in S_{r}$. Then we have

$$
0>G\left(f\left(x_{*}\right), x_{*}\right)=G\left(\frac{\left\|f\left(x_{*}\right)\right\|}{r} x_{*}, x_{*}\right) \geq \frac{\left\|f\left(x_{*}\right)\right\|}{r} G\left(x_{*}, x_{*}\right) \geq 0
$$

which is impossible. Hence, we must have that $0 \in \overline{f\left(\overline{B_{r}}\right)}$ and the proof is complete.

We note that, if $G$ satisfies the condition $G(x, x) \neq 0$ if $x \neq 0$ and only $\left(g_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ then we can replace (2.5) by

$$
G(x, x) G(f(x), x)<0 \text { for all } x \in S_{r}
$$

Indeed, from $x_{*}=F\left(x_{*}\right)$ it follows

$$
\left\|f\left(x_{*}\right)\right\| G\left(x_{*}, x_{*}\right)=r G\left(f\left(x_{*}\right), x_{*}\right), \quad x_{*} \in S_{r}
$$

and consequently

$$
G\left(x_{*}, x_{*}\right) G\left(f\left(x_{*}\right), x_{*}\right) \geq 0
$$

which contradicts the precedent inequality.
2.4. Some solvability results. It is clear that if the hypothesis of the Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \notin\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right) \text { implies } 0 \notin \overline{f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (2.1) is solvable. Indeed, if (2.6) is valid then $F$ has a fixed point and a contradiction is then obtained. Using this remark we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. If the hypothesis of the Theorem 2.1 are satisfies and if there exists $a>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-f(y)\| \geq a\|x-y\| \text { for all } x, y \in \bar{B}_{r} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the equation (2.1) is solvable and has a unique solution.
Proof. Suppose that the implication (2.6) is not valid, i.e. $0 \notin f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)$ but $0 \in \overline{f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)}$. If $x_{m} \in \bar{B}_{r}$ such that $f\left(x_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then we have

$$
\left\|x_{m}-x_{p}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{a}\left\|f\left(x_{m}\right)-f\left(x_{p}\right)\right\|
$$

which implies that $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and consequently $x_{m} \rightarrow \bar{x} \in$ $\bar{B}_{r}$. Because $f$ is continuous we have that $f(\bar{x})=0$ which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore (2.6) holds. Because $f$ is injective the solution of (2.1) is unique.

Now, we consider the case where (2.7) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq a\|x-y\| \text { for all } x, y \in \bar{B}_{r} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
M=\sup _{x \in \bar{B}_{r}}\|f(x)\|
$$

Note that $M$ is finite, because $f$ is completely continuous.
Theorem 2.2. Suppose that:
i) $f: \bar{B}_{r} \rightarrow E$ is a completely continuous mapping,
ii) inequality (2.8) is satisfied,
iii) $G$ is an inner-product subordinated to the norm $\|\cdot\|$, i.e.

$$
|G(x, y)| \leq k\|x\|\|y\|, \quad x, y \in E, k>0
$$

iv) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(f(x), x) \leq-c \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for all $x \in S_{r}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=r k[a r+M] \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the equation (2.1) is solvable on $\bar{B}_{r}$.
Proof. Define the function $g: \bar{B}_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x)=G(f(x), x)$. By (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) \leq-c<0 \text { for all } x \in S_{r} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{gathered}
|g(x)-g(y)|=|G(f(x)-f(y), x)+G(f(y), x-y)| \leq \\
\leq k[\|f(x)-f(y)\|\|x\|+\|f(y)\|\|x-y\|] \leq k[a r+M]\|x-y\|
\end{gathered}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)-g(y)| \leq \alpha\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in \bar{B}_{r} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=k[a r+M] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.10) and (2.13) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=r \alpha \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}=\frac{c}{2^{k} \alpha} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k}=r
$$

Because

$$
g(x)=g(x)-g(y)+g(y) \leq \alpha\|x-y\|+g(y)
$$

it follows that for all $y \in S_{r}$ and $x \in \bar{B}_{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \leq \delta_{1} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) \leq \alpha \delta_{1}-c \leq-\frac{c}{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
r_{1}=r-\delta_{1}, \quad C_{1}=\left\{x \in \bar{B}_{r} \mid r_{1} \leq\|x\| \leq r\right\}
$$

By (2.15), it follows that $r_{1}>0$. On the other hand for every $x \in C_{1}$ there exists $y \in C_{1}$ such that (2.16) hold. Consequently

$$
g(x) \leq-\frac{c}{2} \text { for all } x \in C_{1}
$$

Continuing this process we get

$$
g(x) \leq-\frac{c}{2^{k}} \text { for all } x \in C_{k}
$$

where

$$
C_{k}=\left\{x \mid r_{k} \leq\|x\| \leq r_{k-1}\right\}, \quad k \geq 1, r_{0}=r
$$

Now we suppose that (2.6) is not true, then $0 \notin f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)$ but there is a sequence $\left(x_{m}\right) \subset \bar{B}_{r}$ such that $f\left(x_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m} \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.19) is not valid, there exists a subsequence, again denoted by $\left(x_{m}\right)$, such that

$$
\left\|x_{m}\right\| \geq \beta>0, \quad \beta \leq r
$$

Because $r_{k} \rightarrow 0$, there exists $r_{k} \leq \beta$. Therefore $\left(x_{m}\right) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} C_{j}$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{m}\right) \leq-\frac{c}{2^{k}}, \text { for all } m \geq 1 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (2.18).
But, from (2.19) we have that $f(0)=0$ which contradicts the assumption $0 \notin f\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)$.

## 3. A solvability result in locally convex spaces

3.1. Preliminaries. Now we give an extension of Theorem 2.1 to locally convex spaces. To do this, we need to recall the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem (Arino-Gautier-Penot) Let $(E, \tau)$ be a metrizable locally convex space and let $C \subset E$ be a weakly compact convex set.

Then any weakly sequentially continuous mapping from $C$ into $C$ has a fixed point.

The reader can find a proof of this result in [1].
3.2. Functions $B$ and $G$. Let $E(\tau)$ be a metrizable locally convex space. Suppose given a continuous mapping $B: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:
$\left(b_{1}\right) \quad B(x)>0$ for any $x \in E, x \neq 0$,
$\left(b_{2}\right) \quad B(\lambda x)=\lambda B(x)$ for any $x \in E$ and $\lambda>0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
Given $r>0,(r \in \mathbb{R})$ we denote by $S_{r}^{B}=\{x \in E \mid B(x)=r\}$.
Suppose also given a mapping $G: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying conditions $\left(g_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}\right)$ where the set $S_{r}$ is replaced by the set $S_{r}^{B}$.

We recall that a mapping $f: E \rightarrow E$ is called strongly continuous if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $E$, weakly convergent to an element $x_{*} \in E$, we have that $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\tau$-convergent to $f\left(x_{*}\right)$.
3.3. Main result. We have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $E(\tau)$ be a metrizable locally convex space and suppose given a mapping $B: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying conditions ( $b_{1}$ ) and ( $b_{2}$ ) and a mapping $G: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying conditions $\left(g_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}\right)$ with respect to $S_{r}^{B}$, for a particular r. Suppose that the set $D_{r}^{B}=\overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left(S_{r}^{B}\right)$, is weakly compact.

If $f: E \rightarrow E$ is a strongly continuous mapping such that $G(f(x), x)<0$, for all $x \in S_{r}^{B}$, then either there exists an element $x_{*} \in D_{r}^{B}$ such that $f\left(x_{*}\right)=0$ or $0 \in \overline{f\left(D_{r}^{B}\right)}$.

Proof. If there exists an element $x_{*} \in D_{r}^{B}$, such that $f\left(x_{*}\right)=0$, then in this case the proof is complete.
Suppose that $f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in D_{r}^{B}$. We show that in this case $0 \in$ $\overline{f\left(D_{r}^{B}\right)}$.

Indeed, suppose that $0 \notin \overline{f\left(D_{r}^{B}\right)}$. In this case we consider the mapping

$$
F(x)=\frac{r}{B(f(x))} f(x), \text { for any } x \in D_{r}^{B}
$$

We have that $F$ is well defined and $F\left(D_{r}^{B}\right) \subseteq S_{r}^{B} \subseteq D_{r}^{B}$. Because $0 \notin$ $\overline{f\left(D_{r}^{B}\right)}$, we can show that $F: D_{r}^{B} \rightarrow D_{r}^{B}$ is $(w)$-sequentially continuous. By Arino-Gautier-Penot Fixed Point Theorem there exists an element $x_{*} \in D_{r}^{B}$, such that $F\left(x_{*}\right)=x_{*} \in S_{r}^{B}$. We have that

$$
f\left(x_{*}\right)=\frac{B\left(f\left(x_{*}\right)\right)}{r} x_{*},
$$

which implies that

$$
0>G\left(f\left(x_{*}\right), x_{*}\right) \geq \frac{B\left(f\left(x_{*}\right)\right)}{r} G\left(x_{*}, x_{*}\right) \geq 0
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $0 \in \overline{f\left(D_{r}^{B}\right)}$, and the proof is complete.

Remark 1. If the mapping $B$ is also sequentially weakly continuous, then in this case, we can suppose in Theorem 3.1 that $f$ is sequentially continuous from the weak to the weak topology.

Remark 2. The reader can find several continuity tests for a nonlinear mapping $f: E \rightarrow E$ with respect to the given topology and the weak topology in [7].

Remark 3. In Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 we can replace the inequality $G(f(x), x)<0$ by $G(f(x), x)>0$, if $G$ is homogeneous with respect to the first variable, since the zeros of $f$ and of $-f$ are the same.
3.4. Second existence result. In 1970, F. E. Browder introduced in [2] the notion of nonlinear operator of class $(S)_{+}$and for this kind of nonlinear mapping he defined a topological degree.
I. V. Skrypnik in [10] presented a generalization of this topological degree and its applications to partial differential equations.

Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $E^{*}$ the topological dual of $E$. We recall that a mapping $f: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is demicontinuous on a set $D \subset E$ if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$ convergent in norm to $x_{0} \in D$, we have the equality

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right), u\right\rangle=\left\langle f\left(x_{0}\right), u\right\rangle \text { for all } u \in E
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the duality between $E$ and $E^{*}$.
Also, we say that $f$ is of class $(S)_{+}$on $D$, if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$ with $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ weakly convergent to $x_{0} \in E$ and such that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}-x_{0}\right\rangle \leq$ 0 , we have that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in norm to $x_{0}$.

Finally, we say that $f: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is $\rho$-strongly monotone if there exists a continuous strictly increasing function $\rho: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\rho(0)=0$ and

$$
\langle f(x)-f(y), x-y\rangle \geq \rho(\|x-y\|) \text { for any } x, y \in E
$$

We have the following result.
Theorem 3.2. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a separable reflexive Banach space. Let $f: E \rightarrow E$ be a demicontinuous $\rho$-strongly monotone mapping.

If there exists $r>0$ such that $\langle f(x), x\rangle>0$ for any $x \in S_{r}$, then the equation $f(x)=0$ has a solution in $\bar{B}_{r}$.

Proof. This result is a consequence of Theorem 4.4 proved in [10] (p. 47), because we take $\bar{D}=\bar{B}_{r}$. We have $0 \in \bar{B}_{r} \backslash S_{r}$ and the assumption $\langle f(x), x\rangle>0$ implies also that $f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in S_{r}$.

Moreover, in [6] was proved that any $\rho$ strongly monotone mapping is of class $(S)_{+}$. Therefore, all the assumption of Theorem 4.4 ([10], p. 47) are satisfied and the theorem follows. We note that, the proof of this Theorem 4.4 is based on the Skrypnik-topological degree.
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