Fixed Point Theory, Volume 5, No. 1, 2004, 65-70 http://www.math.ubbcluj.ro/~nodeacj/sfptcj.htm

NONNEGATIVE SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS IN ORDERED BANACH SPACES

ANDREI HORVAT-MARC¹ AND RADU PRECUP²

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science North University of Baia Mare, Romania

²Department of Applied Mathematics Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj, Romania e-mail: r.precup@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to make Krasnoselskii's compression-expansion fixed point theorem applicable to nonlinear integral equations in ordered Banach spaces. Key Words and Phrases: Nonlinear integral equations in abstract spaces, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 45N05, 47J05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a real Banach space with norm |.| and $K \subset X$ be a closed cone of X. The goal of this paper is to establish sufficient conditions for the existence of nonnegative solutions (i.e., with values in K) to the nonlinear integral equation

$$u(t) = \int_0^T k(t,s) F(u)(s) \, ds, \ t \in [0,T]$$
(1.1)

where $k : [0,T] \times [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$ and $F : C([0,T];X) \to C([0,T];X)$ is an operator. The main tool is Krasnoselskii's compression-expansion fixed point theorem. This technique has been extensively applied in the literature to scalar equations, when $X = \mathbf{R}$, see [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12] and references therein. In this paper, for the first time by our knowledge, Krasnoselskii's Theorem is used to discuss nonlinear integral equations in Banach spaces. Our existence theorems extend to equations in ordered Banach spaces previous

65

results established for scalar equations by Meehan and O'Regan [9] and Erbe and Wang [3].

Let us recall Krasnoselskii's compression-expansion fixed point theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [7] Let (E, |.|) be a Banach space, and let $C \subset E$ be a cone in E. Assume that Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of E with $0 \in \Omega_1$ and $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let $N : C \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \to C$ be a completely continuous operator such that either

- (i) $|N(u)| \leq |u|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $|N(u)| \geq |u|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or (ii) $|N(u)| \geq |u|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $|N(u)| \leq |u|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_2$.
- Then N has a fixed point in $C \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

Basic facts about ordered Banach spaces can be found in [4] and [11]. Here we just recall a few of them. Any cone C in E induces a partial order on E. Thus, $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in C$. We say that the norm |.| is increasing with respect to C if $|x| \leq |y|$ whenever $0 \leq x \leq y$.

We seek solutions of (1.1) in the space C([0,T]; X) of all continuous functions from [0,T] to X, which are nonnegative in the sense that their values belong to the cone K. Hence by a nonnegative solution of (1.1) we mean a function $u \in C([0,T]; K)$ satisfying (1.1). Here

$$C([0,T];K) = \{u \in C([0,T];X) : u(t) \in K, t \in [0,T]\}.$$

Notice C([0,T];K) is a cone of C([0,T];X) and if the norm of X is increasing with respect to K, then so is the norm $|.|_{\infty}$ with respect to C([0,T];K), where $|u|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |u(t)|$.

2. Main result

To establish the existence of a solution u in C([0,T];K) of the integral equation (1.1) we introduce the following conditions:

(H1) For each $t \in [0,T]$, $k_t = k(t,.) \in L^1(0,T; \mathbf{R}_+)$ and the map $t \mapsto k_t$ is continuous from [0,T] to $L^1(0,T)$;

(H2) There exists $\mu \in (0,1)$, $\kappa \in L^1(0,T)$ and an interval $[a,b] \subseteq [0,T]$, a < b, such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} k \left(t,s \right) & \leq & \kappa \left(s \right), \; t \in \left[0,T \right], \; \text{a.e.} \; s \in \left[0,T \right]; \; \text{ and} \\ \mu \, \kappa \left(s \right) & \leq & k \left(t,s \right), \; t \in \left[a,b \right], \; \text{a.e.} \; s \in \left[0,T \right]; \end{array}$$

66

(H3) $F:C\left([0,T]\,;K\right)\to C\left([0,T]\,;K\right)$ and there exists $\phi:K\to K$ such that

$$\phi\left(x\right) \leq F\left(u\right)\left(t
ight), \ t\in\left[a,b
ight]$$

whenever $u \in C([0,T];K)$, $x \in K$ and $x \leq u(t)$ for all $t \in [a,b]$;

(H4) There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|F(u)(t)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^T k(t,s) \, ds}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $u \in C([0,T];K)$ with $|u|_{\infty} = \alpha$;

(H5) There exists $\beta > 0, \, \beta \neq \alpha$ and $t^* \in [0,T]$ such that

$$\inf \{ |\phi(x)| : x \in K, |x| = \mu \beta \} \int_{a}^{b} k(t^{*}, s) \, ds \ge \beta ;$$

(H6) The operator N defined by $N(u)(t) = \int_0^T k(t,s) F(u)(s) ds$ is completely continuous from C([0,T];K) to C([0,T];X).

Theorem 2.1. If (H1)-(H6) are satisfied, then (1.1) has at least one solution $u \in C([0,T]; K)$ such that

$$\mu u(t) \le u(t') \text{ for } t \in [0,T], t' \in [a,b]$$

 $\text{ and either } 0<\alpha \leq \left|u\right|_{\infty} \leq \beta \text{ if } \alpha <\beta \text{ or } 0<\beta \leq \left|u\right|_{\infty} \leq \alpha \text{ if } \beta <\alpha.$

Proof. Assume $\alpha < \beta$. To apply Krasnoselskii's Theorem let

$$E = C\left(\left[0, T\right]; X\right),$$

 $C = \left\{ u \in C([0,T];K) : \mu u(t) \le u(t') \text{ for } t \in [0,T], t' \in [a,b] \right\}$

and let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be given by

$$\Omega_{1} = \left\{ u \in C\left(\left[0, T \right]; X \right) : \left| u \right|_{\infty} < \alpha \right\}$$
$$\Omega_{2} = \left\{ u \in C\left(\left[0, T \right]; X \right) : \left| u \right|_{\infty} < \beta \right\}.$$

From (H1), (H3) and (H6) we have that N maps C([0,T];K) into itself and is completely continuous.

Moreover, if $u\in C\left(\left[0,T\right];K\right),\,t\in\left[0,T\right]$ and $t'\in\left[a,b\right],$ then from (H3) we have

$$\mu N(u)(t) \le \mu \int_0^T \kappa(s) F(u)(s) ds \le \int_0^T k(t',s) F(u)(s) ds = N(u)(t').$$

Consequently, $N: C \to C$ and N is completely continuous.

Now we prove that condition (i) in Krasnoselskii's Theorem holds. Let $u \in C \cap \partial \Omega_1$. Then $u \in C([0,T]; K)$ and $|u|_{\infty} = \alpha$. Using (H4) we deduce that

$$|N(u)(t)| \le \int_0^T k(t,s) |F(u)(s)| ds \le \alpha$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. Hence $|N(u)|_{\infty} \leq \alpha = |u|_{\infty}$, that is (i) holds.

Next we show that (ii) is satisfied. Let $u \in C \cap \partial \Omega_2$. Then $u \in C([0,T]; K)$, $|u|_{\infty} = \beta$ and $\mu u(t) \leq u(t')$ for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $t' \in [a,b]$. In particular, $\mu u(t_0) \leq u(t')$ for all $t' \in [a,b]$ and $t_0 \in [0,T]$ with $|u(t_0)| = |u|_{\infty}$. Now (H3) implies that $\phi(\mu u(t_0)) \leq F(u)(s)$ on [a,b]. Then

$$N(u)(t^{*}) = \int_{0}^{T} k(t^{*}, s) F(u)(s) ds$$

$$\geq \phi(\mu u(t_{0})) \int_{a}^{b} k(t^{*}, s) ds$$

and since |.| is increasing with respect to K, we deduce that

$$|N(u)(t^*)| \ge |\phi(\mu u(t_0))| \int_a^b k(t^*, s) ds$$

This together with (H5) guarantees that $\left|N\left(u\right)\right|_{\infty}\geq\beta=\left|u\right|_{\infty}.$ Thus (ii) also holds.

Therefore, Krasnoselskii's Theorem applies.

Remark 2.1. Multiple solutions to equation (1.1) are guaranteed by Theorem 2.1 if the nonlinearity F satisfies assumptions (H3)-(H5) for several disjoint intervals $[\alpha, \beta]$ (or $[\beta, \alpha]$).

In particular, Theorem 2.1 yields the following existence result for the abstract integral equation

$$u(t) = \int_0^T k(t,s) f(u(s)) ds, \ t \in [0,T].$$
(2.1)

Theorem 2.2. Assume (H1), (H2) hold and there exists $t^* \in [0,T]$ with $\varepsilon^* := \int_a^b k(t^*,s) \, ds > 0$. In addition assume that $f: K \to K$ is completely continuous and increasing with respect to K, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \le \frac{\alpha}{\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^T k(t,s) \, ds}$$

$$(2.2)$$

for all $x \in K$ with $|x| \leq \alpha$, and

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{|x|} > \frac{1}{\mu \varepsilon^*} \quad or \quad \lim_{|x|\to\infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{|x|} > \frac{1}{\mu \varepsilon^*}.$$
(2.3)

Then (2.1) has at least one non-zero solution in C([0,T];K).

Proof. Obviously (H3) is true with $\phi(x) = f(x)$ and (H4) is implied by (2.2). If the first inequality in (2.3) holds, then there is $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \ge \frac{1}{\mu \varepsilon^*} |x|$ for $|x| \le \delta$. Now (H5) is true if we choose any $0 < \beta \le \frac{\delta}{\mu}$ with $\beta < \alpha$. If the second inequality in (2.3) is true, then we may find a $\delta > 0$ with $|f(x)| \ge \frac{1}{\mu \varepsilon^*} |x|$ for $|x| \ge \delta$. So (H5) holds for any $\beta \ge \frac{\delta}{\mu}$ with $\beta > \alpha$. Thus Theorem 2.1 applies.

Remark 2.2. In case that both inequalities in (2.3) hold, the existence of two non-zero solutions u_1 and u_2 is guaranteed, with $0 < |u_1|_{\infty} \le \alpha \le |u_2|_{\infty}$.

Theorem 2.2 immediately yields existence results for two point boundary value problems in Banach spaces.

As an example, we can extend to abstract equations the results from [3] concerning the problem

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + q(t) f(u(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1 \\ A u(0) - B u'(0) = 0 \\ C u(1) + D u'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Theorem 2.3. Assume $q \in C([0,1]; \mathbf{R}_+)$, q(t) > 0 on (0,1) and A, B, C, $D \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and CB + AC + AD > 0. In addition assume that $f: K \to K$ is completely continuous, increasing with respect to K and

$$\lim_{|x| \to 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{|x|} = 0 \quad and \quad \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{|x|} = \infty.$$
(2.5)

Then (2.4) has at least one non-zero solution in C([0,1];K).

Proof. In this case k = G, the Green's function for the boundary value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -u'' = h, \ 0 < t < 1 \\ A \, u \, (0) - B \, u' \, (0) = 0 \\ C \, u \, (1) + D \, u' \, (1) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and $[a, b] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}$ (see [3]). Also, the first equality in (2.5) implies that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \le \varepsilon |x|$ for $|x| \le \delta_{\varepsilon}$. Now take

69

 $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)ds}$ and $\alpha = \delta_{\varepsilon_0}$ to obtain (2.2). Furthermore, the second

equality in (2.5) guarantees the second inequality in (2.3). Thus Theorem 2.2 applies. $\hfill \Box$

References

- R. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan and R. Precup, Location of nonnegative solutions for differential equation on finite and semi-infinite intervals, Dynamic Systems Appl. 12 (3-4) (2003), 323-341.
- [2] L. H. Erbe, S. Hu and H. Wang, Multiple positive solutions of some boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994), 640-648.
- [3] L. H. Erbe and H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120 (1994), 743-748.
- [4] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham and X. Liu, Nonlinear Integral Equation in Abstract Spaces, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1996.
- [5] A. Horvat-Marc, Nonnegative solutions for boundary value problem, Bul. Ştiinţ. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B, Mat.-Inf., 18 (2002), no. 2, 223-228.
- [6] G. L. Karakostas and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Multiple positive solutions of some Fredholm integral equations arisen from nonlocal boundary-value problems, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2002 (2002), no. 30, 1-17.
- [7] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
- [8] W. Lian, F. Wong and C. Yeh, On the existence of positive solutions of nonlinear second order differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (1996), 1117-1126.
- M. Meehan and D. O'Regan, Multiple nonnegative solutions of nonlinear integral equation on compact and semi-infinite intervals, Applicable Analysis, 74 (2000), 413-427.
- [10] D. O'Regan and R. Precup, Theorems of Leray-Schauder Type and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [11] R. Precup, *Methods in Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2002.
- [12] J. Sun, H. Huo and W. Li, Positive solutions to singular nonlinear three point boundary value problems, Dynamic Systems Appl., 12 (3-4) (2003), 275-283.