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1 Introduction

Beginning with 1983 ([36]) we developed the theory of weakly Picard operators ([37], [38], [41]-[47]). The purpose of this paper is to present the basic results of this theory, to give some applications and to formulate some open problems.

2 Weakly Picard operators on metric space

Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space and \(A : X \to X\) an operator. In this paper we shall use the following notations:
- \(P(X) := \{Y \subset X | Y \neq \emptyset\}\);
- \(F_A := \{x \in X | A(x) = x\}\) - the fixed point set of \(A\);
- \(I(A) := \{Y \in P(X) | A(Y) \subset Y\}\) - the family of the nonempty invariant subsets of \(A\);
- \(A^{n+1} := A \circ A^n, A^0 = 1_X, A^1 = A, n \in \mathbb{N}\).

**Definition 2.1** ([36], [37], [47]). An operator \(A\) is weakly Picard operator (WPO) if the sequence
\[(A^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\]
converges, for all \(x \in X\), and the limit (which may depend on \(x\)) is a fixed point of \(A\).

**Definition 2.2** ([36], [37], [47]). If the operator \(A\) is WPO and \(F_A = \{x^*\}\), then by definition \(A\) is a Picard operator (PO).
Remark 2.1. If $A$ is a PO, then $A$ is a Bessaga operator, i.e.,
$$F_{A^n} = F_A = \{x^*\}, \text{ for all } n \in N^*.$$  

Remark 2.2. If $A$ is WPO, then (see [37])
$$F_{A^n} = F_A \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } n \in N^*.$$  

Remark 2.3 (see [34]). An operator $A$ is PO if and only if $F_A = \{x^*\}$ and $\{x^*\}$ is a global attractor for the discrete dynamic generated by the operator $A$.

Remark 2.4. For some example and properties of POs and WPOs see [36], [37], [47], [33], [38], [40]-[46], [48].

Remark 2.5. To establish if a given operator is or isn’t PO or WPO is a very difficult problem. For example we have:

Discrete Markus-Yamabe conjecture ([10], [45]). Let $A$ be a $C^1$ function from $R^n$ into itself such that $A(0) = 0$ and for all $x \in R^n$, $JA(x)$ (the Jacobian matrix of $A$ at $x$) has all its eigenvalues with modulus less than one. Then $A$ is a Picard function.

Belitskii-Lyubich conjecture (see [50]). Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\Omega \subset X$ an open subset and $A : \Omega \to X$ be a compact and continuously differentiable in $\Omega$. Suppose $D$ is a nonempty bounded convex open subset of $X$ such that $A(D) \subset D \subset \Omega$ and $\sup(A'(x)) < 1$ ($r$ stand for the spectral radius). Then the operator $A : D \to D$ is a Picard operator.

Definition 2.3 ([36], [37], [47]). If $A$ is WPO, then we consider the operator $A^\infty$ defined by
$$A^\infty : X \to X, \quad A^\infty(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} A^n(x).$$

We remark that $A^\infty(X) = F_A$ and $\omega_A(x) = \{A^\infty(x)\}$ (see [33], [34]).

Definition 2.4 (see [47], [48]). Let $A$ be an WPO and $c > 0$. The operator $A$ is c-WPO iff
$$d(x, A^\infty(x)) \leq cd(x, A(x)), \forall x \in X.$$  

Example 2.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $A : X \to X$ an $a$-contraction. Then the operator $A$ is c-WPO with $c = (1 - a)^{-1}$.

Example 2.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $A : X \to X$. We suppose that there exists $a \in [0, 1]$ such that
$$d(A^2(x), A(x)) \leq ad(x, A(x)), \forall x \in X.$$  

Then $A$ is c-WPO with $c = (1 - a)^{-1}$.

Example 2.3 (A generic example of WPO). Let $(X_i, d_i)$, $i \in I$ a family of metric space, $A_i : X_i \to X_i$, a family of POs and $x_i^*$ the unique fixed point of $A_i$. Let $X := \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ be the disjoint union of the family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$. Let
$$d : X \times X \to R_+, \quad d(x, y) := \begin{cases} d_i(x, y) \text{ if } x, y \in X_i, \quad i \in I \hfill \\ d_i(x, x_i^*) + d_j(y, x_j^*) + 1, \text{ if } i \neq j, \quad x \in X_i, \quad y \in X_j \end{cases}$$  

Remark 2.4. For some example and properties of POs and WPOs see [36], [37], [47], [33], [38], [40]-[46], [48].
a metric on $X$. Then (see [37]) the operator $A$ is a WPO. Moreover we have the following characterization of the WPOs.

**Theorem 2.1** ([37]). Let $(X,d)$ be a metric space and $A : X \to X$ an operator. The operator $A$ is WPO (c-WPO) if and only if there exists a partition of $X$,

$$X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$$

such that

(a) $X_\lambda \in I(A), \lambda \in \Lambda$;
(b) $A|X_\lambda : X_\lambda \to X_\lambda$ is a Picard (c-Picard) operator, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

**Remark 2.6.** It is clear that

(i) $\text{card} F_A = \text{card} \Lambda$;
(ii) if $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda$, then

$$\text{card} \left( F_A \cap \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} X_\lambda \right) \right) = \text{card} \Lambda_1.$$

For the class of c-WPOs we have the following data dependence result

**Theorem 2.2** ([48]). Let $(X,d)$ be a metric space and $A_i : X \to X$, $i = 1,2$. We suppose that

(i) the operator $A_i$ is $c_i$-WPO, $i = 1,2$;
(ii) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$d(A_1(x), A_2(x)) \leq \eta, \forall x \in X.$$

Then

$$H(F_{A_1}, F_{A_2}) \leq \eta \max(c_1, c_2).$$

Here $H$ stands for Hausdorff-Pompeiu functional.

## 3 WPOs on ordered metric spaces

Let $X$ be a nonempty set, $d$ a metric on $X$, and $\leq$ an ordered relation on $X$. If $\leq$, as a subset of $X \times X$, is closed, then by definition $(X,d,\leq)$ is an ordered metric space. We have

**Lemma 3.1** ([33], [47]). Let $(X,d,\leq)$ be an ordered metric space and $A : X \to X$ an operator such that:

(i) $A$ is monotone increasing;
(ii) $A$ is WPO.

Then the operator $A^\infty$ is monotone increasing.

**Lemma 3.2** (abstract comparison lemma), Let $(X,d,\leq)$ be an ordered metric space and $A,B,C : X \to X$ be such that:

(i) $A \leq B \leq C$;
(ii) the operators $A,B,C$ are WPOs;
(iii) the operator \( B \) is monotone increasing.
Then
\[
x \leq y \leq z \Rightarrow A^\infty(x) \leq B^\infty(y) \leq C^\infty(z).
\]

**Remark 3.1.** Let \( A, B, C \) as in the Lemma 3.2. Moreover, we suppose that \( F_B = \{ x_B^* \} \), i.e., \( B \) is a Picard operator. Then we have
\[
A^\infty(x) \leq x_B^* \leq C^\infty(x), \quad \forall x \in X.
\]
But \( A^\infty(X) = F_A, C^\infty(X) = F_C \). Thus we have
\[
F_A \leq x_B^* \leq F_C.
\]

**Lemma 3.3** (Abstract Gronwall lemma; \cite{38}-\cite{40}, \cite{45}, \cite{46}, \cite{34}). Let \((X, d, \leq)\) be an ordered metric space and \( A : X \to X \) an operator. We suppose that:

(i) \( A \) is Picard operator;
(ii) \( A \) is monotone increasing.
If we denote by \( x_A^* \), the unique fixed point of \( A \), then
(a) \( x \leq A(x) \Rightarrow x \leq x_A^* \);
(b) \( x \geq A(x) \Rightarrow x \geq x_A^* \).

Let
\[
(UF)_A := \{ x \in X | A(x) \leq x \}
\]
and
\[
(LF)_A := \{ x \in X | A(x) \geq x \}.
\]
It is clear that if the operator \( A \) is monotone increasing, then (\cite{14})
\[
(UF)_A \in I(A) \text{ and } (LF)_A \in I(A).
\]

From Lemma 3.3 we have

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \((X, d, \leq)\) be an ordered metric space and \( A : X \to X \) an increasing operator. If
\[
A|_{(UF)_A \cup (LF)_A}
\]
is Picard operator,
then
\[
(LF)_A \leq x_A^* \leq (UF)_A.
\]

**Remark 3.2.** Lemma 3.3 generalizes Proposition 7.15 from \cite{63} and Lemma 1 from \cite{64} where on considered the case of linear bounded operator in ordered Banach spaces. For other generalizations of Gronwall lemma see \cite{3}, \cite{5}, \cite{8}, \cite{9}, \cite{11}, \cite{12}, \cite{15}-\cite{20}, \cite{22}-\cite{25}, \cite{29}, \cite{40}, \cite{49}, \cite{52}, \cite{53}, \cite{57}, \cite{60}, \cite{62}.

**Lemma 3.5** (see \cite{47}, \cite{45}, \cite{34}). Let \((X, d, \leq)\) be an ordered metric space, \( A : X \to X \) an operator and \( x, y \in X \) such that
\[
x < y, \quad x \leq A(x), \quad y \geq A(y).
\]
We suppose that
(i) \( A \) is WPO;
(ii) \( A \) is monotone increasing.
Then
(a) \( x \leq A^\infty(x) \leq A^\infty(y) \leq y \);
(b) \( A^\infty(x) \) is the minimal fixed point of \( A \) in \([x, y]\) and \( A^\infty(y) \) is the maximal fixed point of \( A \) in \([x, y]\).

For some results related to this lemma see \cite{2}, \cite{9}, \cite{19}, \cite{20}, \cite{22}, \cite{49}.

\section{Fiber WPOs problem}

Let \((X, d)\) and \((Y, \rho)\) be two metric spaces. Let \( A : X \times Y \to X \times Y \) be such that \( A(x, y) = (B(x), C(x, y)) \). We suppose that
(i) \( B \) is a PO (WPO);
(ii) \( C(x, \cdot) \) is a PO (WPO), for all \( x \in X \).
Is the operator \( A \) a Picard operator?
We have (see \cite{41}-\cite{45})

\textbf{Theorem 4.1.} Let \((X, d)\) and \((Y, \rho)\) be two metric space and \( A = (B, C) \) a triangular operator. We suppose that
(i) \( (Y, \rho) \) is a complete metric space;
(ii) the operator \( B : X \to X \) is WPO;
(iii) there exists \( a \in [0, 1] \) such that \( C(x, \cdot) \) is an \( a \)-contraction, for all \( x \in X \);
(iv) if \( (x^*, y^*) \in F_A \), then \( C(\cdot, y^*) \) is continuous in \( x^* \).
Then the operator \( A \) is WPO. If \( B \) is PO, then \( A \) is PO.

For other results for the fiber WPOs problem see \cite{21}, \cite{4}, \cite{28}, \cite{54}, \cite{56}.

In what follow we shall give some applications of these abstract results.

\section{The Cauchy problem}

Let \( X \) be an ordered Banach space and \( f \in C([a, b] \times X, X) \). Then the following problems are equivalent

\begin{equation}
\begin{align*}
x' &= f(t, x), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad x \in C^1([a, b], X), \\
x(t) &= x(a) + \int_a^t f(s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad x \in C([a, b], X).
\end{align*}
\end{equation}

(5.1)

and

(5.2)

Consider the operator

\[ A_f : C([a, b], X) \to C([a, b], X) \]

defined by

\[ A_f(x)(t) := x(a) + \int_a^t f(s, x(s))ds. \]
Let $\lambda \in X$ and $X_\lambda := \{x \in C([a, b], X) | x(a) = \lambda\}$. Then

$$C([a, b], X) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in X} X_\lambda$$

is a partition of $C([a, b], X)$ and $X_\lambda \in I(A_f)$, for all $\lambda \in X$.

We have

**Theorem 5.1.** We suppose that

(i) there exists $l > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \leq l\|u - v\|, \forall t \in [a, b], u, v \in X;$$

(ii) $f(t, \cdot)$ is monotone increasing for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Let $x, y \in C^1([a, b], X)$ be two solutions of the equation (5.1). If $x(a) \leq y(a)$, then $x \leq y$.

**Proof.** From (i) we have that the operator

$A_f|_{X_\lambda} : X_\lambda \to X_\lambda$

is a PO, for all $\lambda \in X$ (see [3], [14], [39]). So the operator $A_f$ is WPO.

From the condition (ii), the operator $A_f$ is monotone increasing. If $u \in X$, then denote by $\tilde{u}$ the constant operator

$\tilde{u} : C([a, b], X) \to C([a, b], X)$

defined by

$$\tilde{u}(t) = u, \forall t \in [a, b].$$

It is clear that

$$\tilde{x}(a) \in X_{x(a)} \text{ and } \tilde{y}(a) \in X_{y(a)}.$$  

By Lemma 3.1 we have that

$$x(a) \leq y(a) \Rightarrow A_f^\infty(\tilde{x}(a)) \leq A_f^\infty(\tilde{y}(a)).$$

But $x = A_f^\infty(\tilde{x}(a))$ and $y = A_f^\infty(\tilde{y}(a))$. So, $x \leq y$.

**Theorem 5.2.** Consider the following differential equations

$$x' = f_i(t, x), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (i)$$

We suppose that

(i) $f_i \in C([a, b] \times X, X), \ i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } f_1 \leq f_2 \leq f_3$;

(ii) there exists $l_i > 0$ such that

$$\|f_i(t, u) - f_i(t, v)\| \leq l_i\|u - v\|, \forall t \in [a, b], u, v \in X, \ i = 1, 2, 3;$$

(iii) $f_i(t, \cdot)$ is monotone increasing.

Let $x_i$ be a solution of the equation (i), $i = 1, 2, 3$. If $x_1(a) \leq x_2(a) \leq x_3(a)$, then $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3$. 
Proof. We consider the operator $A_{f_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ (see the proof of the Theorem 5.1). These operator are WPOs. Condition (iii) implies that the operator $A_{f_2}$ is monotone increasing. Consider the partition of $C([a, b], X)$ as in the proof of the Theorem 5.1. We remark that

$$x_i \in X_{\overline{x_i(a)}} , \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$ 

So,

$$x_i = A_{f_i}^{\infty}(\overline{x_i(a)}).$$

Now the proof follows from the Lemma 3.2.

Remark 5.1. For other proofs of the above results see [16], [60], [2].

Remark 5.2. Similar results in the case of Carathéodory solution can be given.

Remark 5.3. Let $f$ be as in the Theorem 5.1. Let $c \leq a$, $g \in C([a, b], [c, b])$ such that $g(t) \leq t$, for all $t \in [a, b]$. Consider the following differential equation with deviating argument

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(g(t))), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

(5.3)

By definition a function

$$x \in C([c, b], X) \cap C^1([a, b], X)$$

is a solution of (5.3) if it satisfies the relation (5.3).

By a similar technique as in the case of the equation (5.1) we have

Theorem 5.3. Let $x, y \in C([c, b], X) \cap C^1([a, b], X)$ be two solutions of the equation (5.3). If $x(t) \leq y(t)$ for all $t \in [c, a]$, then $x \leq y$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $f_i$ be as in the Theorem 5.2, and $g$ as in the Theorem 5.3. Let $x_i$ be a solution of the equation

$$x'_i(t) = f_i(t, x_i(g(t))), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$ 

If

$$x_1(t) \leq x_2(t) \leq x_3(t), \forall t \in [c, a],$$

then

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3.$$ 

Remark 5.4. From the abstract Gronwall lemma we have

Theorem 5.5. Let $f$ and $g$ be as in the Theorem 5.3. Let $x$ be a solution of the equation (5.3) and $y$ a solution of the inequality

$$y'(t) \leq f(t, y(g(t))), \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Then

$$y|_{[c, a]} \leq x|_{[c, a]} \Rightarrow y \leq x.$$
6 Boundary value problem

Let $f \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R})$. Then the following problems are equivalent (see [7], [18], [31], [39])

\[ -x'' = f(t, x), \quad t \in [a, b]; \quad x \in C^2[a, b] \]  

(6.1)

and

\[ x(t) = \frac{t - a}{b - a} x(b) + \frac{b - t}{b - a} x(a) + \int_a^b G(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad x \in C[a, b]. \]  

(6.2)

Here $G$ stands for the Green functions.

Consider the operator $A_f : C[a, b] \to C[a, b]$, $A_f(x)(t) :=$ second part of the integral equation (6.2).

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X_{\lambda, \mu} := \{ x \in C[a, b] \mid x(a) = \lambda, x(b) = \mu \}$. Then

\[ C[a, b] = \bigcup_{\lambda, \mu} X_{\lambda, \mu} \]

is a partition of $C[a, b]$ and $X_{\lambda, \mu} \in I(A_f)$, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

We have

**Theorem 6.1.** We suppose that

(i) there exists $l > 0$ such that

\[ |f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq l|u - v|, \quad \forall \, t \in [a, b], \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}; \]

(ii) $l \int_a^b G(t, s)ds \leq q < 1, \quad \forall \, t \in [a, b]$;

(iii) $f(t, \cdot)$ is monotone increasing for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Let $x, y \in C^2[a, b]$ be two solution of the equation (6.1). If $x(a) \leq y(a)$, $x(b) \leq y(b)$, then $x \leq y$.

**Proof.** From the conditions (i) and (ii) the operator $A_f|_{X_{\lambda, \mu}} : X_{\lambda, \mu} \to X_{\lambda, \mu}$ is a PO, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. So the operator $A_f$ is WPO.

From the condition (iii), the operator $A_f$ is monotone increasing.

For $x \in C[a, b]$ we denote by $\tilde{x}$ the function defined by

\[ \tilde{x}(t) = \frac{b - t}{b - a} x(a) + \frac{t - a}{b - a} x(b), \quad t \in [a, b]. \]

It is clear that $\tilde{x} \in X_{x(a), x(b)}$.

By Lemma 3.1 we have that

\[ x(a) \leq y(a), \quad x(b) \leq y(b) \Rightarrow A_f^\infty(\tilde{x}) \leq A_f^\infty(\tilde{y}). \]

But $x = A_f^\infty(\tilde{x})$ and $y = A_f^\infty(\tilde{y})$.

So, $x \leq y$.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let $f_i \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the condition (i) and (ii) in the Theorem 6.1. We suppose that
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- \( f_2(t, \cdot) \) is monotone increasing;
- \( f_1 \leq f_2 \leq f_3 \).

Let \( x_i \) be a solution of the equation

\[-x'' = f_i(t, x), \quad t \in [a, b]; \quad i = 1, 2, 3.\]

If

\[ x_1(a) \leq x_2(a) \leq x_3(a), \quad x_1(b) \leq x_2(b) \leq x_3(b), \]
then

\[ x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3. \]

**Proof.** The proof follows from Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 6.3.** Let \( f \) be as in the Theorem 6.1. Let \( x \) be a solution of the equation (6.1) and \( y \) a solution of the inequality

\[-y'' \leq f(t, y).\]

Then

\[ y(a) \leq x(a), \quad y(b) \leq x(b) \Rightarrow y \leq x. \]

**Proof.** The proof follows from Lemma 3.3.

**Remark 6.1.** In the case of the Dirichlet problem (see [15])

\[-\Delta u = f(x, u), \quad f \in C(I \times R)\]

\[ u|_{\partial \Omega} = \varphi, \quad \varphi \in C(\partial \Omega)\]

the corresponding WPO is the following

\[ A_f(u)(x) := \int_{\Omega} G(x, s)f(s, u(s))ds + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G(x, s)}{\partial n_s} u(s)d\sigma_s. \]

**Remark 6.2.** In the case of the Darboux problem (see [26])

\[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} = f \left( x, y, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right), \quad 0 < x < a, \quad 0 < y < b, \]

\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in [0, a], \\ u(0, y) = \psi(y), \quad y \in [0, b] \end{array} \right. \]

\( f \in C([0, a] \times [0, b] \times R^3), \quad f(x, y, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in Lip, \ \varphi \in C[0, a], \ \psi \in C[0, b] \) the corresponding WPO is

\[ A(u, v, w) = (A_1(u, v, w), A_2(u, v, w), A_3(u, v, w)), \]
where
\[
A_1(u, v, w)(x, y) := u(x, 0) + u(0, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u(s, t), v(s, t), w(s, t))dsdt,
\]
\[
A_2(u, v, w)(x, y) := v(x, 0) + \int_0^y f(x, t, u(x, t), v(x, t), w(x, t))dt,
\]
\[
A_3(u, v, w)(x, y) := w(0, y) + \int_0^x f(s, y, u(s, y), v(s, y), w(s, y))ds.
\]

7 Integral equations

Some applications of WPOs technique to integral equations are given in [40], [43]-[46], [48]-[50], [30]. In what follow we consider the integral equation
\[
u(x) = h(x, u(a)) + \int_{a_1}^{x_1} \ldots \int_{a_m}^{x_m} K(x, s, u(s))ds, \quad x \in \prod_{i=1}^{i=m}[a_i, b_i]. \tag{8.1}
\]

We denote \(D := [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_m, b_m]\). We have

**Theorem 8.1.** We suppose that

(i) \(h \in C(\overline{D} \times R)\) and \(K \in C(\overline{D} \times \overline{D} \times R)\);

(ii) \(h(a, \alpha) = \alpha\), for all \(\alpha \in R\);

(iii) \(h(x, \cdot)\) and \(K(x, s, \cdot)\) are monotone increasing for all \(x, s \in \overline{D}\);

(iv) there exists \(L_K > 0\) such that
\[
|K(x, s, u_1) - K(x, s, u_2)| \leq L_K |u_1 - u_2|,
\]
for all \(x, s \in \overline{D}\) and \(u_1, u_2 \in R\).

In these condition the equation (8.1) has in \(C(\overline{D})\) an infinity of solutions. Moreover if \(u\) and \(v\) are two solutions of the equation then
\[
u(a) \leq v(a) \Rightarrow u \leq v.
\]

**Proof.** Consider the operator \(A_{h,K} : C(\overline{D}) \to C(\overline{D}), A_{h,K}(u)(x) :=\) second part of (8.1).

Let \(\lambda \in R\) and \(X_\lambda := \{u \in C(\overline{D}) | u(a) = \lambda\}\). Then
\[
C(\overline{D}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in R} X_\lambda
\]
is a partition of \(C[a, b]\) and \(X_\lambda \in I(A)\), for all \(\lambda \in R\). From the condition (iv), the operator
\[
A_{h,K}|X_\lambda : X_\lambda \to X_\lambda
\]
is a PO, for all \(\lambda \in R\). So the operator \(A_{h,K}\) is WPO. From the condition (iii), the operator \(A_{h,K}\) is monotone increasing.
By Lemma 3.1 we have that
\[ u(a) \leq v(a) \Rightarrow A_{h,K}^\infty(u(a)) \leq A_{h,K}^\infty(v(a)). \]
But
\[ u = A_{h,K}^\infty(u(a)) \quad \text{and} \quad v = A_{h,K}^\infty(v(a)). \]
So, \( u \leq v. \)

**Theorem 8.2.** Let \( h_i \in C(\mathcal{D} \times R) \) and \( K_i \in C(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \times R) \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iv) in the Theorem 8.1.

We suppose that
- \( h_2(x, \cdot) \) and \( K_2(x, s, \cdot) \) are monotone increasing, for all \( x, s \in \mathcal{D}; \)
- \( h_1 \leq h_2 \leq h_3 \) and \( K_1 \leq K_2 \leq K_3 \).

Let \( u_i \) be a solution of the equation (8.1) corresponding to \( h_i \) and \( K_i \).

Then
\[ u_1(a) \leq u_2(a) \leq u_3(a) \Rightarrow u_1 \leq u_2 \leq u_3. \]

**Proof.** The proof follows from Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 8.3.** Let \( h \) and \( K \) be as in the Theorem 8.1. Let \( u \) be a solution of the equation (8.1) and \( v \) a solution of the inequality
\[ v(x) \leq h(x, v(a)) + \int_{x_1}^{x} \ldots \int_{x_m}^{x} K(x, s, v(s))ds. \]

Then
\[ v(a) \leq u(a) \Rightarrow v \leq u. \]

**Proof.** The proof follows from Lemma 3.3.

## 8 Difference equations

Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space and \( f : X^k \to X \) an operator \((k \in \mathbb{N}^*, k \geq 2)\). Consider the following difference equation
\[ x_{n+k} = f(x_n, \ldots, x_{n+k-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \]
with the initial values \( x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1} \in X. \)

We consider the following operator
\[ A_f : X^k \to X^k, \quad (u_1, \ldots, u_k) \mapsto (u_2, \ldots, u_k, f(u_1, \ldots, u_k)). \]

We have

**Theorem 9.1** (see [46]). The equation (9.1) has a global asymptotic stable equilibrium iff the operator \( A_f \) is a Picard operator.

**Remark 9.1.** From Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, and the Theorem 9.1 we have some Gronwall type lemmas and comparison theorems for the difference equations ([1]).
9 Smooth dependence of solutions on parameters

The fiber WPOs theorem is very useful for proving solutions of some operatorial equations to be differentiable with respect to parameters. For example:

• (J. Sotomayor) differentiability with respect to initial data for the solution of the Cauchy problem
  \[ x' = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}; \]

• (I.A. Rus) differentiability with respect to \( \lambda \) for the solution of the integral equation
  \[ x(t) = 1 + \lambda \int_0^t x(s)x(s-t)ds, \quad y \in [0,1]; \]

• (A. Tămăşan) differentiability with respect to lag function for pantograph equation
  \[ x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(\lambda t)), \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \]
  \[ x(0) = 0 \]

• (V. Mureşan) differentiability with respect to a parameter for the solution of a Volterra-Sobolev integral equation;

• (G. Dezső) differentiability with respect to a parameter for the solution of Darboux-Ionescu problem;

• (I.A. Rus) differentiability with respect to a parameter for the solution of the following integral equation
  \[ x(t) = \int_t^{t-\tau} f(x, s(s)); \lambda)ds \]
  modeling population growth in a periodic environment.

10 Open problems

The above considerations give rise to the following open problems.

**Problem 11.1.** Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space. Which are the conditions on \(X\) and \(A : X \to X\) such that \(A\) is WPO \(\iff\) \(A\) is asymptotically regular?

References: [45], [47], [38], [35], [63].

**Problem 11.2a.** Let \((X, +, R, \| \cdot \|)\) a Banach space and \(A \in C^1(X, X)\). Are the following conditions equivalent?

(i) The operator \(A\) is WPO.

(ii) The operator \(A'(x)\) is WPO, for all \(x \in X\).

**Problem 11.2b.** Let \((X, +, R, \| \cdot \|)\) a Banach space and \(A \in C^1(X, X)\). Are the following conditions equivalent?
(i) The operator $A$ is PO.
(ii) The operator $A'(x)$ is PO, for all $x \in X$.
References: [38], [47], [10], [16], [45].

**Problem 11.3.** Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $A : X \to X$. Give some metric conditions on $A$ which imply that $A$ is WPO.
References: [45], [47], [35], [36], [38].

**Problem 11.4.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $f, g : X \to X$ two weakly Picard operators. If exists $\eta > 0$ such that
\[ d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \eta, \quad \forall \ x \in X, \]
estimate $H(F_f, F_g)$.
References: [38], [46], [45], [48].

**Problem 11.5.** Fiber WPOs problem. Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be two metric spaces. Let $A : X \times Y \to X \times Y$ be such that $A(x, y) = (B(x), C(x, y))$. We suppose that
(i) $B$ is WPO;
(ii) $C(x, \cdot)$ is WPO, $\forall \ x \in X$.
Is the operator $A$ WPO?
References: see §4 of this paper.

**Problem 11.6.** Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ be some continuous WPOs. These operators generate the following operator (fractal operator!)
\[ T_A : P_{cp}(X) \to P_{cp}(X), \quad Y \mapsto A_1(Y) \cup \cdots \cup A_m(Y). \]
Is the operator \[ T_A : (P_{cp}(X), H) \to (P_{cp}(X), H) \]a WPO?
References: [45], [30].
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