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#### Abstract

Let $p$ be a prime number and $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ a finite field of order $p$. Let $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ denote the general linear group and let $U_{n}$ denote the unitriangular group of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal, over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. This is a finite group of order $p \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. In this work, we characterize some $p$-subgroups of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ with respect to a given property. By the Sylow theorems, every $p$-subgroup of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is contained in some Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and then it is conjugate to a $p$-subgroup of $U_{n}$, which is why we characterize the $p$-subgroups of $U_{n}$. More precisely, we compute the number of $T$-invariant $p$-subgroups of $U_{n}$, where $T$ is the diagonal subgroup of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. Furthermore, for $n \leq p$, we obtain an interesting formula which computes the number of abelian $p$-subgroups of order $p^{t}$ in $U_{n}$ where $t \leq\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right]$.


MSC 2010. Primary: 20H30, 20D20; Secondary: 20E45, 16 U80.
Key words. General linear group, unitriangular group, $T$-invariant $p$-subgroup, elementary abelian subgroup, conjugacy classes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $p$ be a prime number, and let $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ be a finite field of order $p$. Let $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ denote the general linear group and let $U_{n}$ denote the unitriangular group of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal, over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. In the study of the general linear group $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, many problems reduce to a characterization of subgroups of its Sylow subgroup $U_{n}$. This is a fairly old problem in the theory of finite groups. Notably, Goozeff proves that the maximal order of an abelian $p$-subgroup of $U_{n}$ is $p^{\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right]}$ where $\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right]$ is the integer part of $\frac{n^{2}}{4}\left[9\right.$. After two years, Thwaites shows that $U_{n}$ contains precisely one maximal abelian subgroup of order $p^{n^{2}}$, if $n$ is even, and contains

[^0]precisely two maximal abelian subgroups of order $p^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{4}}$, if $n$ is odd and $n \geq 5$ [17.

Let $k\left(U_{n}\right)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes of $U_{n}$. Bounding $k\left(U_{n}\right)$ is a fundamental problem in group and representation theory. Recently, there are many works about the character theory of $U_{n}$ and related topics (see e.g. [2, 7, 8, 13]), which are partly motivated by Higman's conjecture that for every $n$, the number of conjugacy classes of $U_{n}$ is a polynomial in $p$ with integer coefficients [11]. The primary interest of Higman was not in this conjecture, but rather determining the function that enumerates the number of isomorphism classes of groups of order $p^{n}$. Higman originally checked that the conjecture holds for $n \leq 5$ [11]. Gudivok et al. proved later that this conjecture was valid for $n \leq 8$ [10]. Vera-López and Arregi explain a general method to find the conjugacy classes of $U_{n}$ in [18, 19] and verified Higman's conjecture for $n \leq 13$ in [20]. Pak and Soffer used an indirect enumeration technique to verify Higman's Conjecture for $n \leq 16$ [14, Theorem 1.2]. There are people that believe Higman's conjecture is false based on certain evidence. So, Pak and Soffer conjectured that Higman's conjecture fails for $n \geq 59$ [14, Conjecture 1.6]. But in general, this problem is still open despite of different efforts to solve it.

In this work, we characterize some $p$-subgroups of $U_{n}$ with respect to a given property. More precisely, we describe a recursive counting formula for $T$-invariant $p$-subgroups of $U_{n}$ based on the factorization of subgroups of $U_{n}$ as a semidirect product (see Theorem 2.3). Furthermore, we investigate the number of commuting m-tuples $c_{m}(G)$ for a finite group $G$ and prove that $c_{m}(G)$ is divisible by $|G|$ (see Proposition 3.1). The quotient $\frac{c_{m}(G)}{|G|}$ is then described recursively in terms of numbers of conjugacy classes in iterated centralizers of $G$ (see Corollary 3.3). Finally, we are interested in Higman's Conjecture, and as an application we give a recursive counting formula for abelian subgroups of order $p^{t}$ in $U_{n}$ based on the number of commuting $t$-tuples $c_{t}\left(U_{n}\right)$ where $n \leq p$ and $t \leq\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right]$ (see Theorem 4.2.

## 2. $T$-INVARIANT $p$-SUBGROUPS OF $U_{n}$

In the matrix ring $M_{n \times n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, the element $E_{i, j}$ will denote the element which is one in cell $(i, j)$ and zero everywhere else. For each $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, we will let $E\left(a_{i, j}\right)=a_{i, j} E_{i, j}$, where $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$. Let $D=\left(d_{i i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in T$. Recall that a diagonal automorphism $\varphi_{D}$ of $U_{n}$ is an automorphism defined by $\varphi_{D}(M)=D M D^{-1}$. Thus, if $M=I_{n}+\sum_{i<j} E\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in U_{n}$, then $\varphi_{D}(M)=$ $I_{n}+\sum_{i<j} E\left(b_{i, j}\right)$ where $b_{i, j}=d_{i, i} a_{i, j} d_{j, j}^{-1}$.

Definition 2.1. A $p$-subgroup of $U_{n}$ is called $T$-invariant if it is invariant under the diagonal automorphisms of $U_{n}$.

Let $V_{n-1}$ be the subgroup $\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{n-1} & b \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-1}\right\}$. The group $V_{n-1}$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{n-1}$ and is normal in $U_{n}$. Furthermore, we have the following interesting lemma:

Lemma 2.2. The Sylow p-subgroup $U_{n}$ is the semidirect product of $V_{n-1}$ by $U_{n-1}$.

Proof. Indeed, let $\pi$ the map described in block matrices as

$$
\pi:\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A \in U_{n-1}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-1}$. Obviously, $\pi$ is an idempotent endomorphism of $U_{n}$ and then $U_{n}=\operatorname{Ker}(\pi) \rtimes \operatorname{Im}(\pi)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n-1} & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\pi)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, A \in U_{n-1}\right\} \cong U_{n-1}
$$

it follows that $U_{n} \cong V_{n-1} \rtimes U_{n-1}$.
Suppose $U$ is a $T$-invariant $p$-subgroup of $U_{n}$, the subgroups $U \cap V_{n-1}$ and $U \cap U_{n-1}$ are $T$-invariants $p$-subgroups of $U_{n}$. Recall that the number of subgroups of order $p^{m}$ in an elementary $p$-group of order $p^{n}$ is given by the Gaussian coefficients $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ m\end{array}\right]_{p}=\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{p^{n-k}-1}{p^{m-k}-1}$. Hence, we have the following interesting result:

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime number and let $n$ and $m$ be two positive integers, where $m<n$. The number of $T$-invariant $p$-subgroups of order $p^{m}$ in $U_{n}$ is equal to:

$$
T_{m}\left(U_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left[{ }_{k}^{n-1}\right]_{p} T_{m-k}\left(U_{n-1}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $U$ be a $T$-invariant $p$-subgroup of $U_{n}$. The $p$-subgroup $U \cap V_{n-1}$ is normal in $U$ and $U \cap V_{n-1} \cap U \cap U_{n-1}=I_{n-1}$, by Lemma 2.2. Obviously, we have $\left(U \cap V_{n-1}\right) \rtimes\left(U \cap U_{n-1}\right) \leq U$. The only inclusion to prove is $U \leq$ $\left(U \cap V_{n-1}\right) \rtimes\left(U \cap U_{n-1}\right)$. Thus, pick any $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & b \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \in U$ where $A \in$ $U_{n-1}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-1}$. The matrix $M$ is written in the form $M=X Y$ where $X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{n-1} & b \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Let $D=\left(d_{i i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in T$, where $d_{i i}=1$ if $i=n$ and $d_{i i}=2$ else. Since $U$ is a $T$-invariant $p$-subgroup, it follows that $\varphi_{D}(M)=X M \in U$, so $X \in U \cap V_{n-1}$ and $Y \in U \cap U_{n-1}$ which deduces the reverse inclusion. Thus, we get $U=V_{0} \rtimes U_{0}$ for some $T$-invariant $p$-subgroups $V_{0} \leq V_{n-1}$ and $U_{0} \leq U_{n-1}$ which concludes the result.

## 3. ON THE NUMBER OF COMMUTING $m$-TUPLES

Let $G$ be a finite group. By [6, Theorem 2.1], the number of commuting pairs of elements in $G$ is equal to the product $k(G)|G|$ where $k(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of $G$. For a positive integer $m$, let $c_{m}(G)$ denote the number of commuting $m$-tuples of elements of $G$. For $m=2$, we have $c_{2}(G)=$ $|G| k(G)=\sum_{x \in G}\left|C_{G}(x)\right|$. For $m=3$, if we fix the first component $x$ of the triple $(x, y, z)$, the only such pairs fixed by $x$ are the commuting pairs with components which lie in $C_{G}(x)$. Hence $x$ fixes $k\left(C_{G}(x)\right)\left|C_{G}(x)\right|$ commuting pairs and it follows that $c_{3}(G)=\sum_{x \in G} k\left(C_{G}(x)\right)\left|C_{G}(x)\right|=\sum_{x \in G} c_{2}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$. In general, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{m+1}(G) \\
= & \left|\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m+1}\right) \in G^{m+1} \mid \forall(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, m+1\}^{2}, x_{i} x_{j}=x_{j} x_{i}\right\}\right| \\
= & \sum_{x \in G}\left|\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in C_{G}(x)^{m} \mid \forall(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, m\}^{2}, x_{i} x_{j}=x_{j} x_{i}\right\}\right|  \tag{1}\\
= & \sum_{x \in G} c_{m}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $G$ be a finite group and $m$ a positive integer. Then $c_{m}(G)$ is divisible by $|G|$.

Proof. If the group $G$ is abelian, then $c_{m}(G)=|G|^{m}$, so it is divisible by a very high power of $|G|$. Otherwise, we use induction on $m$. Assume that the proposition has been proved for $c_{m-1}(G)$. There is nothing to do if $m=1$. For $m=2$, we have $c_{2}(G)=|G| k(G)$. By induction, there exists an integer $t_{x}$ such that $c_{m-1}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)=t_{x}\left|C_{G}(x)\right|$. Let $\left\{x_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq k(G)\right\}$ be a system of representatives for the conjugacy classes of $G$, then by using formula (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{m}(G) & =\sum_{x \in G} c_{m-1}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k(G)}\left|G: C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| c_{m-1}\left(C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k(G)} t_{x_{i}}\left|G: C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|\left|C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{k(G)} t_{x_{i}}|G|
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, it follows that $c_{m}(G)=|G| \sum_{i=1}^{k(G)} t_{x_{i}}$, which is divisible by $|G|$.
In view of the preceding proposition, the quotient $\frac{c_{m+1}(G)}{|G|}$ is an integer which we denote by $h_{m}(G)$. The group $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{m}, G\right)$ can be identified with the set of ordered m-tuples of commuting elements in $G$ [1]. By using Burnside's lemma,
we get that the number of conjugacy classes of homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}^{m} \rightarrow G$ is equal to $h_{m}(G)$. Furthermore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left\{x_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq k(G)\right\}$ be a system of representatives for the conjugacy classes of $G$. Then

$$
h_{m}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{k(G)} h_{m-1}\left(C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Indeed, by using formula (1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{m+1}(G) & =\sum_{x \in G} c_{m}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k(G)}\left|G: C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| c_{m}\left(C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =|G| \sum_{i=1}^{k(G)} \frac{c_{m}\left(C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}{\left|C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the proposition follows.
Corollary 3.3. Let $G$ be a finite group and $m$ a positive integer. Set $G_{1}=G$ and $G_{s+1}=C_{G_{s}}\left(x_{s, i_{s}}\right)$ for all $1 \leq s \leq m-1$, such that the set $\left\{x_{s, i_{s}}: 1 \leq i_{s} \leq k\left(G_{s}\right)\right\}$ is a system of representatives for the conjugacy classes of $G_{s}$. Then, we have

$$
h_{m}(G)=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{k\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{k\left(G_{2}\right)} \sum_{i_{3}=1}^{k\left(G_{3}\right)} \cdots \sum_{i_{m-1}=1}^{k\left(G_{m-1}\right)} k\left(G_{m}\right)
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $m$. If $m=1$, then $h_{1}(G)=\frac{c_{2}(G)}{|G|}=$ $k\left(G_{1}\right)$. Now let $m>1$ and assume that the corollary has been proved for $h_{m-1}(G)$. By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$
h_{m}(G)=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{k\left(G_{1}\right)} h_{m-1}\left(C_{G_{1}}\left(x_{1, i_{1}}\right)\right)
$$

and then, by induction, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{m}(G) & =\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{k\left(G_{1}\right)} h_{m-1}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{k\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{k\left(\left(G_{2}\right)_{1}\right.}\right) \sum_{i_{3}=1}^{k\left(\left(G_{2}\right)_{2}\right)} \cdots \sum_{i_{m-1}=1}^{k\left(\left(G_{2}\right)_{m-2}\right)} k\left(\left(G_{2}\right)_{m-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the required formula follows since $\left(G_{2}\right)_{s}=G_{s+1}$ for all $1 \leq s \leq$ $m-1$.

## 4. COUNTING THE NUMBER OF ABELIAN $p$-SUBGROUPS OF $U_{n}$ FOR $n \leq p$

It can be easily seen that the exponent of $U_{n}$ is the least power of $p$ greater than or equal to $n$. However, if $n \leq p$, by [12, Satz 16.5], all non-trivial elements of $U_{n}$ have order $p$. In this case, $U_{n}$ contains $N_{p}\left(U_{n}\right)=\frac{\left|U_{n}\right|-1}{p-1}$ groups of order $p$. Furthermore, each abelian subgroup of $U_{n}$ is elementary abelian. But this is not the case for $n>p$, since $U_{p+1}$ has a cyclic subgroup of order $p^{2}$. Let $E_{p^{t}}$ denote the elementary abelian group of rank $t$.

As $\left|Z\left(U_{n}\right)\right|=p, Z\left(U_{n}\right)$ is the only minimal normal subgroup of $U_{n}$. Furthermore, we have the following interesting result.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $n \leq p$, and let $M$ be a maximal p-subgroup of $U_{n}$. Then $Z(M)=E_{p^{t}}$ where $t \leq p-1$.

Proof. If $|Z(M)|=p$, the result holds. Now, assume that $|Z(M)|>p$. Let $X \in U_{n}-M$ and $U=\langle X, Z(M)\rangle$. Then $Z(U)=Z\left(U_{n}\right)$ and $|Z(U)|=p$. Since $|U: Z(M)|=p$, by [4, Lemma 135.4], $U$ is of maximal class. As in the proof of the second part of [5, Proposition 3.2], we get $|U| \leq p^{p}$ and the result follows, since $|U|=p|Z(M)|$.

Define the subgroup $U_{m}$ by the formula: $U_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{m} & A \\ 0 & I_{n-m}\end{array}\right)$ where $I_{m}$ and $I_{n-m}$ are the identity matrices of size $m$ and $n-m$, respectively, and $A$ ranges over all $m \times(n-m)$ matrices. The subgroup $U_{m}$ is a maximal abelian normal subgroup of $U_{n}$ [16, Exercise 3, p. 94]. In fact, the subgroup $U_{m}$ is an elementary abelian subgroup of $U_{n}$ of rank $m \times(n-m)$. Let $A_{i, j}=I_{n}+E_{i, j}$. If there exist $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}$ such that the $A_{i_{k}, j_{k}}$ pairwise commute, then we have $E_{p^{m}} \cong\left\langle A_{i_{1}, j_{1}}, \ldots, A_{i_{m}, j_{m}}\right\rangle \subset U_{n}$. The largest value of $m$ for which such subgroups exist is $m=\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right][9]$. Let $N_{p^{t}}\left(U_{n}\right)$ denote the number of abelian $p$-subgroups of order $p^{t}$ in $U_{n}$ where $t \leq\left[\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right]$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $p$ be a prime number and $n$ an integer such that $n \leq p$. Then

$$
N_{p^{t}}\left(U_{n}\right)=\frac{c_{t}\left(U_{n}\right)-1-\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} N_{p^{k}}\left(U_{n}\right) \prod_{s=0}^{k-1}\left(p^{t}-p^{s}\right)}{\prod_{k=0}^{t-1}\left(p^{t}-p^{k}\right)}
$$

where $c_{t}\left(U_{n}\right)$ is the number of commuting $t$-tuples in $U_{n}$.
Proof. Indeed, such $t$-tuples of $U_{n}$ must generate a $p$-subgroup of order $p^{k}$, where $0 \leq k \leq t$. As $n \leq p$, every element of $U_{n}$ has order $p$ and each abelian $p$-subgroup of order $p^{k}$ is of rank $k$ and has $\prod_{s=0}^{k-1}\left(p^{t}-p^{s}\right)$ generating $t$-tuples. So the number of commuting $t$-tuples generating abelian $p$-groups of order $p^{k}$
in $U_{n}$ is $N_{p^{k}}\left(U_{n}\right) \prod_{s=0}^{k-1}\left(p^{t}-p^{s}\right)$. Thus, we get

$$
c_{t}\left(U_{n}\right)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{t} N_{p^{k}}\left(U_{n}\right) \prod_{s=0}^{k-1}\left(p^{t}-p^{s}\right)
$$

and it follows that the number of commuting $t$-tuples generating abelian $p$ groups of order $p^{t}$ in $U_{n}$ is equal to

$$
N_{p^{t}}\left(U_{n}\right) \prod_{k=0}^{t-1}\left(p^{t}-p^{k}\right)=c_{t}\left(U_{n}\right)-1-\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} N_{p^{k}}\left(U_{n}\right) \prod_{s=0}^{k-1}\left(p^{t}-p^{s}\right)
$$

and then we get the required result.
Corollary 4.3. Keep the assumptions of the previous theorem. The number of abelian p-subgroups of order $p^{2}$ in $U_{n}$ is equal to:

$$
N_{p^{2}}\left(U_{n}\right)=\frac{p+\left|U_{n}\right|\left(k\left(U_{n}\right)-p-1\right)}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)\left(p^{2}-p\right)}
$$

Proof. By [6, Theorem 2.1], the number of commuting pairs of elements in $U_{n}$ is equal to the product $k\left(U_{n}\right)\left|U_{n}\right|$. Therefore, we conclude the corollary directly from Theorem 4.2, by taking $t=2$.

Example 4.4. For $n \leq 5$, the number of conjugacy classes of $U_{n}$ has been calculated in [18. Therefore, by Corollary 4.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{p^{2}}\left(U_{3}\right)=p+1 \\
& N_{p^{2}}\left(U_{4}\right)=2 p^{5}+3 p^{4}+2 p^{3}+2 p^{2}+p+1 \\
& N_{p^{2}}\left(U_{5}\right)=5 p^{10}+5 p^{9}+5 p^{8}+4 p^{7}+4 p^{6}+3 p^{5}+3 p^{4}+2 p^{3}+2 p^{2}+p+1
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar calculation, we get $N_{p^{2}}\left(U_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$ whenever $k\left(U_{n}\right)$ is calculated. For $n>3$, we find that $N_{p^{2}}\left(U_{n}\right)$ is congruent to $1+p+2 p^{2}$ modulo $p^{3}$ and this is in agreement with the main result given in [3].

In view of the above, it is natural to ask: How many elementary abelian $p$-subgroups of rank $m$ are there in $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ ? In fact, this question led to the characterization of conjugacy-classes in $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ of elementary abelian $p$ subgroups of rank $m$. However, this is another open problem even for $n \leq p$. In the following proposition we consider the case when $m=2$ and $n=3$.

Proposition 4.5. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime number. The group $G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ contains $\left(p^{2}+p+1\right)\left(p^{2}+1\right)$ elementary abelian p-subgroup of rank 2.

Proof. Indeed, an elementary abelian $p$-subgroup of rank 2 in $G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is conjugate to exactly one of the $p$-groups $H_{1}=\left\langle I+a E_{12}+a E_{23}, I+b E_{13}\right\rangle, H_{2}=$ $\left\langle I+a E_{12}, I+b E_{13}\right\rangle$, and $H_{3}=\left\langle I+a E_{23}, I+b E_{13}\right\rangle$. By a simple calculation, we get $\left|N_{G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)}\left(H_{1}\right)\right|=p^{3}(p-1)^{2}$ and then, by the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem,
it follows that there are $\left(p^{3}-1\right)(p+1)$ elementary abelian $p$-subgroup of rank 2 conjugate to $H_{1}$. Similarly, the $p$-groups $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ are both conjugate to $\left(p^{2}+p+1\right)$ elementary abelian $p$-subgroup of rank 2 . In total, we get $\left(p^{2}+p+1\right)\left(p^{2}+1\right)$ elementary abelian $p$-subgroup of rank 2 in $G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, as required.

Remark 4.6. For $n>3$, it is useful to consider the Quillen complex of elementary abelian subgroups, that is, the complex associated to the poset of elementary abelian subgroups of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ ordered by inclusion. The poset of elementary abelian groups of rank at least 2 is homotopy equivalent to the standard Grassmanian complex 15. However, this way uses hard mathematics to answer easier questions than the one we asked above. So what we can certainly propose now is to use the following GAP function:

```
NumberOfElementaryAbelianpSubgroupsOfRank2InGLnp:=function(n,p)
local G, S, cclS, cclG;
G := Image(IsomorphismPermGroup(GL(n,p)));
S := SylowSubgroup(G,p);
cclS := Filtered(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(S),
cl->Size(Representative(cl))=p^2
and not IsCyclic(Representative(cl)));
cclG := List(EquivalenceClasses(cclS,
function(cl1,cl2)
return IsConjugate(G,Representative(cl1),
Representative(cl2));
end), Representative);
cclG := List(cclG,cl->Representative(cl)^G);
return Sum(List(cclG,Size));
end;
```
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