

ON CONNECTED SPACES VIA m -STRUCTURES

AHMAD AL-OMARI and TAKASHI NOIRI

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce and investigate m -separated sets and m -connected sets in a topological space (X, τ) with a minimal structure m_X . As a special case, by setting $m_X = \tau^*$, we obtain properties of $*$ -separated sets and $*_s$ -connected sets.

MSC 2010. 54A05.

Key words. m -structure, m -separated, m -connected, ideal topological space, $*$ -separated, $*_s$ -connected.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ekici and Noiri [11] introduced and studied $*$ -separated sets and $*_s$ -connected sets in an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) [13]. Sathiyasundari and Renukadevi [19] obtained further properties of $*$ -separated sets and $*_s$ -connected sets. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the notions of m -separated sets and m -connected sets in a topological space (X, τ) with a minimal structure m_X . By setting $m_X = \tau^*$, we obtain properties of $*$ -separated sets and $*_s$ -connected sets established in [11] and [19] as a special case of results of this paper. Recently, papers [1–7] have introduced some new classes of sets via m -structures.

2. MINIMAL STRUCTURES

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the power set of X . A subfamily m_X of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a minimal structure (briefly m -structure) on X [18] if $\emptyset \in m_X$ and $X \in m_X$. Each member of m_X is said to be m_X -open and the complement of an m_X -open set is said to be m_X -closed.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be

- (1) α -open [17] if $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))$,
- (2) semi-open [14] if $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$,
- (3) preopen [16] if $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$,
- (4) b -open [10] if $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)) \cup \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$,
- (5) β -open [8] or semi-preopen [9] if $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$.

The authors thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

The family of all α -open (resp. semi-open, preopen, b -open, semi-preopen) sets in (X, τ) is denoted by $\alpha(X)$ (resp. $SO(X)$, $PO(X)$, $BO(X)$, $SPO(X)$).

DEFINITION 2.3. Let X be a nonempty set and m_X an m -structure on X . For a subset A of X , the m_X -closure of A and the m_X -interior of A are defined in [15] as follows:

- (1) $mCl(A) = \cap\{F \subseteq X : A \subseteq F, X \setminus F \in m_X\}$,
- (2) $mInt(A) = \cup\{U \subseteq X : U \subseteq A, U \in m_X\}$.

REMARK 2.4. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A a subset of X . If $m_X = \tau$ (resp. $SO(X)$, $PO(X)$, $BO(X)$, $SPO(X)$), then we have

- (1) $mCl(A) = Cl(A)$ (resp. $sCl(A)$, $pCl(A)$, $bCl(A)$, $spCl(A)$),
- (2) $mInt(A) = Int(A)$ (resp. $sInt(A)$, $pInt(A)$, $bInt(A)$, $spInt(A)$).

LEMMA 2.5 ([15]). Let X be a nonempty set and m_X a minimal structure on X . For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:

- (1) $mCl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus mInt(A)$ and $mInt(X \setminus A) = X \setminus mCl(A)$,
- (2) If $(X \setminus A) \in m_X$, then $mCl(A) = A$ and if $A \in m_X$, then $mInt(A) = A$,
- (3) $mCl(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $mCl(X) = X$, $mInt(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $mInt(X) = X$,
- (4) If $A \subseteq B$, then $mCl(A) \subseteq mCl(B)$ and $mInt(A) \subseteq mInt(B)$,
- (5) $A \subseteq mCl(A)$ and $mInt(A) \subseteq A$,
- (6) $mCl(mCl(A)) = mCl(A)$ and $mInt(mInt(A)) = mInt(A)$.

LEMMA 2.6 ([18]). Let X be a nonempty set with an m -structure m_X and A a subset of X . Then $x \in mCl(A)$ if and only if $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$. for every $U \in m_X$ containing x .

DEFINITION 2.7. An m -structure m_X on a nonempty set X is said to have property \mathcal{B} [15] if the union of any family of subsets belong to m_X belongs to m_X .

REMARK 2.8. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then the families $\alpha(X)$, $SO(X)$, $PO(X)$, $BO(X)$ and $SPO(X)$ are m -structures on X with property \mathcal{B} .

LEMMA 2.9 ([18]). Let X be a nonempty set and m_X an m -structure on X satisfying property \mathcal{B} . For a subset A of X , the following properties hold:

- (1) $A \in m_X$ if and only if $mInt(A) = A$,
- (2) A is m_X -closed if and only if $mCl(A) = A$,
- (3) $mInt(A) \in m_X$ and $mCl(A)$ is m_X -closed.

A subfamily \mathcal{I} of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a nonempty set X is called an ideal if the following properties are satisfied: (1) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$ imply $B \in \mathcal{I}$; (2) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$ imply $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$. A topological space (X, τ) with an ideal \mathcal{I} on X is called an ideal topological space and is denoted by (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . For an ideal topological space and a subset A of X , $A^*(\mathcal{I})$ is defined as follows: $A^*(\mathcal{I}) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every open set } U \text{ containing } x\}$. In [13], $A^*(\mathcal{I})$ (briefly A^*) is called the local function of A with respect to \mathcal{I} and τ

and $Cl^*(A) = A^* \cup A$ defines a Kuratowski closure operator for a topology τ^* . which is finer than τ . A subset A is $*$ -closed if and only if $A^* \subseteq A$. Naturally, the complement of a $*$ -closed set is said to be $*$ -open.

DEFINITION 2.10 ([12]). An ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be $*$ - connected if X cannot be written as the disjoint union of a nonempty open set and a nonempty $*$ -open set.

DEFINITION 2.11 ([11]). Nonempty subsets A, B of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) are said to be $*$ -separated if $Cl^*(A) \cap B = A \cap Cl(B) = \emptyset$.

DEFINITION 2.12 ([11]). A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be $*$ _s-connected if A is not the union of two $*$ -separated sets in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

3. m -SEPARATED SETS

A topological space (X, τ) with an m -structure m_X on X is called briefly a mixed space and is denoted by (X, τ, m_X) .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. Nonempty subsets A, B of X are said to be m -separated if $Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap mCl(B)$.

If $\tau \subseteq m_X$, then every separated sets are m -separated but the converse is not true as shown in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ and $m_X = \mathcal{P}(X)$. Let $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{b\}$. Then A and B are m -separated sets but they are not separated.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. If A and B are non-empty disjoint subsets of X such that A is m_X -open and B is open, then A and B are m -separated.

Proof. Since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $A \subseteq X \setminus B$ and so $Cl(A) \subseteq Cl(X \setminus B) = X \setminus B$. Then, $Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Again $B \subseteq X \setminus A$ and so $mCl(B) \subseteq mCl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$. Thus, $mCl(B) \cap A = \emptyset$. Therefore, A and B are m -separated. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and $\tau \subseteq m_X$. Then the disjoint nonempty open sets of X are m -separated.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let A and B be two m -separated sets in a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) . If C and D are nonempty subsets such that $C \subseteq A$ and $D \subseteq B$, then C and D are also m -separated.

Proof. Since A and B are m -separated, $Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap mCl(B)$. Now, $C \cap mCl(D) \subseteq A \cap mCl(B) = \emptyset$ and so $C \cap mCl(D) = \emptyset$. Similarly, we can prove that $Cl(C) \cap D = \emptyset$. Hence C and D are m -separated. \square

THEOREM 3.6. Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space, m_X have property \mathcal{B} and $\tau \subseteq m_X$. If the union of two m -separated sets is a closed set, then one set is an m_X -closed and the other is closed.

Proof. Let A and B be two m -separated sets such that $A \cup B$ is closed. Then $A \cap m\text{Cl}(B) = \emptyset = \text{Cl}(A) \cap B$. Since $A \cup B$ is closed, $A \cup B = \text{Cl}(A) \cup \text{Cl}(B)$. Now, $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(A) \cap [\text{Cl}(A) \cup \text{Cl}(B)] = \text{Cl}(A) \cap [A \cup B] = [\text{Cl}(A) \cap A] \cup [\text{Cl}(A) \cap B] = A \cup \emptyset = A$ and so A is closed. Also, $B \subseteq A \cup B$ implies that $m\text{Cl}(B) \subseteq m\text{Cl}[A \cup B] \subseteq \text{Cl}[A \cup B] = A \cup B$ and so $m\text{Cl}(B) = m\text{Cl}(B) \cap [A \cup B] = [m\text{Cl}(B) \cap A] \cup [\text{Cl}(B) \cap B] = \emptyset \cup B = B$. Hence by Lemma 2.9, B is m_X -closed. \square

THEOREM 3.7. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and τ and m_X satisfy the conditions:*

- (1) m_X has property \mathcal{B} .
- (2) the intersection of an open set and an m_X -open set is m_X -open.

If A and B are m -separated sets of X and $A \cup B \in \tau$, then A and B are m_X -open and open respectively.

Proof. Since A and B are m -separated in X , then $B = [A \cup B] \cap [X \setminus \text{Cl}(A)]$. Since $A \cup B \in \tau$ and $\text{Cl}(A)$ is closed in X , then B is open. Since A and B are m -separated in X , then $A = [A \cup B] \cap [X \setminus m\text{Cl}(B)]$. Since $A \cup B \in \tau$ and $m\text{Cl}(B)$ is m_X -closed in X , then A is m_X -open. \square

LEMMA 3.8. *Let (X, τ) be topological space. $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ and $Y \in \tau$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is open in Y ;
- (2) A is open in X .

LEMMA 3.9. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and $B \subseteq Y \subseteq X$. Then $m\text{Cl}^Y(B) = m\text{Cl}(B) \cap Y$.*

LEMMA 3.10. *Let (X, τ) be topological space and $A, B \subseteq Y \subseteq X$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) A, B are m -separated in Y ;
- (2) A, B are m -separated in X .

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that $m\text{Cl}^Y(A) \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap \text{Cl}^Y(B)$ if and only if $m\text{Cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap \text{Cl}(B)$. \square

4. m -CONNECTED SPACES

In this section, we give the properties of m -separated sets and m -connected sets.

DEFINITION 4.1. A subset A of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is said to be m -connected if A is not the union of two m -separated sets in (X, τ, m_X) .

If $\tau \subseteq m_X$, then every m -connected mixed space is connected but the converse is not true as shown in the following example.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let $X = \mathbb{Q}$ be the set of all rational numbers with left ray topology τ_L and $m_X = \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then the mixed space (X, τ_L, m_X) is a connected space but it is not m -connected.

DEFINITION 4.3. A mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is said to be m^* -connected if X cannot be written as the disjoint union of a nonempty m_X -open set and a nonempty open set.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let Y be an open subset of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) . If m_X has property \mathcal{B} and the intersection of an open set and an m_X -open set is m_X -open, then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *The subset Y is m -connected in X ;*
- (2) *The subspace $(Y, \tau_Y, (m_X)_Y)$ is m -connected in X .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that Y is not m^* -connected. There exist nonempty disjoint an m_Y -open set A and an open set B in Y such that $Y = A \cup B$. Since Y is open in X , A is m_X -open and by Lemma 3.8, B open in X . Since A and B are disjoint, then $\text{Cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap m\text{Cl}(B)$. This implies that A, B are m -separated sets in X . Thus, Y is not m -connected in X . This is a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that Y is not m -connected in X . There exist m -separated sets A, B such that $Y = A \cup B$. By Theorem 3.7, A and B are m_X -open and open in X , respectively. Since Y is open in X , A is m_Y -open and B is open in Y . Since A and B are m -separated in X , then A and B are nonempty disjoint. Thus, Y is not m^* -connected. This is a contradiction. \square

COROLLARY 4.5 ([11]). *Let Y be an open subset of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Y is $*_s$ -connected in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) ,*
- (2) *Y is $*$ -connected in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .*

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space such that m_X has property \mathcal{B} and the intersection of an open set and an m_X -open set is m_X -open. Then X is m -connected if and only if X is m^* -connected.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4.4. \square

THEOREM 4.7. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space, X not m -connected and A be a subset of X such that (i) $A \neq \emptyset, X$ and (ii) A is open in X and m_X -closed in X . If Y is a nonempty m -connected subset of X , then either $Y \subseteq A$ or $Y \subseteq X \setminus A$.*

Proof. Let $X = A \cup B$, where $B = X \setminus A$. Then $Y = X \cap Y = [A \cup B] \cap Y = (A \cap Y) \cup (B \cap Y)$. Also $[A \cap Y] \cap \text{Cl}[B \cap Y] \subseteq A \cap \text{Cl}(B) = \emptyset$, since $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and A is open. This implies that $[A \cap Y] \cap \text{Cl}[B \cap Y] = \emptyset$. Similarly, $m\text{Cl}[A \cap Y] \cap [B \cap Y] \subseteq m\text{Cl}(A) \cap B = A \cap B = \emptyset$ implies that $m\text{Cl}[A \cap Y] \cap [B \cap Y] = \emptyset$. It is given that Y is m -connected subspace of X . Hence it cannot happen that $A \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, Since Y is an m -connected subspace of X , Y cannot admit any m -separation. Hence $A \cap Y = \emptyset$ or $B \cap Y = \emptyset$ implies that $Y \subseteq A$ or $Y \subseteq X - A$. \square

THEOREM 4.8. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. If A is an m -connected set of X and H, G are m -separated sets of X with $A \subseteq H \cup G$, then either $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq G$.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq H \cup G$. Since $A = [A \cap H] \cup [A \cap G]$, then $[A \cap H] \cap mCl[A \cap G] \subseteq H \cap mCl(G) = \emptyset$. By similar way, we have $[A \cap G] \cap Cl[A \cap H] \subseteq G \cap Cl(H) = \emptyset$. Then $A \cap H$ and $A \cap G$ are m -separated sets. Suppose that $A \cap H$ and $A \cap G$ are nonempty. Then A is not m -connected. This is a contradiction. Thus, either $A \cap H = \emptyset$ or $A \cap G = \emptyset$. This implies that $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq G$. \square

COROLLARY 4.9 ([11]). *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. If A is a $*_s$ -connected set of X and H, G are $*$ -separated sets of X with $A \subseteq H \cup G$, then either $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq G$.*

THEOREM 4.10. *Let A be an m -connected set of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) . If $A \subseteq B \subseteq mCl(A)$, then B is m -connected.*

Proof. Suppose that B is not m -connected. There exist m -separated sets H and G such that $B = H \cup G$. This implies that H and G are nonempty and $G \cap Cl(H) = \emptyset = H \cap mCl(G)$. By Theorem 4.8, we have either $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq G$. Suppose that $A \subseteq G$. Then $mCl(A) \subseteq mCl(G)$ and $H \cap mCl(A) = \emptyset$. This implies that $H \subseteq B \subseteq mCl(A)$ and $H = mCl(A) \cap H = \emptyset$. Thus H is an empty set. Since H is nonempty, this is a contradiction. Suppose that $A \subseteq H$. By similar way, it follows that G is empty. This is a contradiction. Hence, B is m -connected. \square

COROLLARY 4.11 ([11]). *If A is a $*_s$ -connected set of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) and $A \subseteq B \subseteq Cl^*(A)$, then B is $*_s$ -connected.*

COROLLARY 4.12. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. If A is an m -connected set, then $mCl(A)$ is m -connected.*

THEOREM 4.13. *Let $\{N_i : i \in I\}$ is a nonempty family of m -connected sets of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) . If $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} N_i$ is m -connected.*

Proof. Suppose that $\bigcup_{i \in I} N_i$ is not m -connected. Then we have $\bigcup_{i \in I} N_i = H \cup G$, where H and G are m -separated sets in X . Since $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i \neq \emptyset$, we have a point $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$. Since $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} N_i$, either $x \in H$ or $x \in G$. Suppose that $x \in H$. Since $x \in N_i$ for each $i \in I$, then N_i and H intersect for each $i \in I$. By Theorem 4.8 $N_i \subseteq H$ or $N_i \subseteq G$. Since H and G are disjoint, $N_i \subseteq H$ for all $i \in I$ and hence $\bigcup_{i \in I} N_i \subseteq H$. This implies that G is empty. This is a contradiction. Suppose $x \in G$. By similar way, we have that H is empty. This is a contradiction. Thus $\bigcup_{i \in I} N_i$ is m -connected. \square

COROLLARY 4.14. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of m -connected subsets of X , and A be an m -connected subset of X . If $A \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for every $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $A \cup (\bigcup A_\alpha)$ is m -connected.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.13, $A \cup A_\alpha$ is m -connected for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\bigcap (A \cup A_\alpha) \supseteq A \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.13 $\bigcup (A \cup A_\alpha) = A \cup (\bigcup A_\alpha)$ is m -connected. \square

COROLLARY 4.15 ([11]). *If $\{M_i : i \in I\}$ is a nonempty family of $*_s$ -connected sets of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) with $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ is $*_s$ -connected.*

THEOREM 4.16. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and $\tau \subseteq m_X$. Every continuous image of an m -connected space is a connected space.*

Proof. Let $f : (X, \tau, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a continuous function and X is m -connected space. If possible suppose that $f(X)$ is not a connected subset of Y . Then, there exists nonempty separated sets A and B such that $f(X) = A \cup B$. Since f is continuous and $A \cap \text{Cl}(B) = \emptyset = \text{Cl}(A) \cap B$, $\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(A)) \cap f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(A)) \cap f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}[\text{Cl}(A) \cap B] = \emptyset$, $f^{-1}(A) \cap m\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A) \cap \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(B)) = f^{-1}[A \cap \text{Cl}(B)] = \emptyset$. Since A and B are nonempty, $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are nonempty. Therefore, $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are m -separated and $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$. This is contrary to the assumption that X is m -connected. Therefore, $f(X)$ is connected. \square

THEOREM 4.17. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and H a subset of X . If every pair of distinct points of H are elements of some m -connected subset of H , then H is an m -connected subset of X .*

Proof. Suppose H is not m -connected. Then there exist nonempty subsets A and B of X such that $\text{Cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap m\text{Cl}(B)$ and $H = A \cup B$. Since A and B are nonempty, there exists a point $a \in A$ and a point $b \in B$. By hypothesis, a and b must be elements of an m -connected subset C of H . Since $C \subseteq A \cup B$, by Theorem 4.8, either $C \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq B$. Consequently, either a and b are both in A or both in B . Let $a, b \in A$. Then $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. This is contrary to the fact that A and B are disjoint. Similarly, if we suppose that $a, b \in B$, then we have a contradiction. Therefore, H must be m -connected. \square

THEOREM 4.18. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and X is m -connected. If A is an m -connected subset of X such that $X \setminus A$ is the union of two m -separated sets B and C , then $A \cup B$ and $A \cup C$ are m -connected.*

Proof. Suppose $A \cup B$ is not m -connected. Then there exist two nonempty m -separated sets G and H such that $A \cup B = G \cup H$. Since A is m -connected, $A \subseteq A \cup B = G \cup H$, by Theorem 4.8, either $A \subseteq G$ or $A \subseteq H$. Suppose $A \subseteq G$. Since $A \cup B = G \cup H$, $A \subseteq G$ implies that $A \cup B \subseteq G \cup B$ and so $G \cup H \subseteq G \cup B$. Hence $H \subseteq B$. Since B and C are m -separated, H and C are also m -separated. Thus, H is m -separated from G as well as C . Now, $m\text{Cl}(H) \cap [G \cup C] = [m\text{Cl}(H) \cap G] \cup [m\text{Cl}(H) \cap C] = \emptyset$ and $H \cap \text{Cl}[G \cup C] = H \cap [\text{Cl}(G) \cup \text{Cl}(C)] = [H \cap \text{Cl}(G)] \cup [H \cap \text{Cl}(C)] = \emptyset$. Therefore, H is m -separated from $G \cup C$. Since $X \setminus A = B \cup C$, $X = A \cup [B \cup C] = [A \cup B] \cup C = [G \cup H] \cup C$,

since $A \cup B = G \cup H$ and so $X = [G \cup C] \cup H$. Thus, X is union of two nonempty m -separated sets $G \cup C$ and H , which is a contradiction. Similar contradiction will arise if $A \subseteq H$. Hence, $A \cup B$ is m -connected. Similarly, we can prove that $A \cup C$ is m -connected. \square

The following example shows that the union of two m -connected sets is not an m -connected set.

EXAMPLE 4.19. Consider the mixed space (X, τ, m_X) where $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $m_X = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$. If $A = \{b\}$ and $B = \{a, d\}$, then A and B are m -connected. But $A \cup B = \{a, b, d\}$. Here $m\text{Cl}(\{b\}) \cap \{a, d\} = \{b\} \cap \{a, d\} = \emptyset$ and $\{b\} \cap \text{Cl}(\{a, d\}) = \{b\} \cap \{a, d\} = \emptyset$ and so $\{b\}$ and $\{a, d\}$ are m -separated sets. Hence, $A \cup B$ is not m -connected.

COROLLARY 4.20 ([19]). *If A is a $*_s$ -connected subset of a $*_s$ -connected ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) such that $X \setminus A$ is the union of two $*_s$ -separated sets B and C , then $A \cup B$ and $A \cup C$ are $*_s$ -connected.*

THEOREM 4.21. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. If A and B are m -connected sets of X such that none of them is m -separated, then $A \cup B$ is m -connected.*

Proof. Let A and B be m -connected in X . Suppose $A \cup B$ is not m -connected. Then, there exist two nonempty m -separated sets G and H such that $A \cup B = G \cup H$. Since A and B are m -connected, by Theorem 4.8, either $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$ or $B \subseteq G$ and $A \subseteq H$. Let $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$. Then, since G and H are m -separated, by Proposition 3.5 A and B are m -separated. This is a contradiction. Similarly, let $B \subseteq G$ and $A \subseteq H$. Then B and A are m -separated. This is a contradiction. Hence $A \cup B$ is m -connected. \square

DEFINITION 4.22. Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space and $x \in X$. The union of all m -connected subsets of X containing x is called the m -component of X containing x .

LEMMA 4.23. *The m -component of each point x of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is the maximal m -connected set of X that contains x .*

LEMMA 4.24. *The set of all distinct m -components of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) forms a partition of X .*

Proof. Let A and B be two distinct m -components of X . Suppose A and B intersect. Then, by Theorem 4.13, $A \cup B$ is m -connected in X . Since $A \subseteq A \cup B$, then A is not maximal. Thus, A and B are disjoint. \square

LEMMA 4.25. *Each m -component of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) , where m_X has property \mathcal{B} , is an m_X -closed in X .*

Proof. Let A be an m -component of X . By Corollary 4.12, $m\text{Cl}(A)$ is m -connected and $A = m\text{Cl}(A)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.9 A is m_X -closed in X . \square

THEOREM 4.26. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. Then each m -connected subset of X which both open and m_X -closed is m -component of X .*

Proof. Let A be an m -connected subset of X which both open and m_X -closed. Let $x \in A$. Since A is an m -connected subset of X containing x , if C is the m -component containing x , then $A \subseteq C$. Let A be a proper subset of C . Then C is nonempty and $C \cap (X \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$. Since A is open and m_X -closed, $X \setminus A$ is closed and m_X -open and $[A \cap C] \cap [(X \setminus A) \cap C] = \emptyset$. Also $[A \cap C] \cup [(X \setminus A) \cap C] = [A \cup (X \setminus A)] \cap C = C$. Again A and $X \setminus A$ are two nonempty disjoint open and m_X -open set respectively, such that $A \cap \text{Cl}(X \setminus A) = \emptyset = m\text{Cl}(A) \cap (X \setminus A)$. This implies $(A \cap C) \cap \text{Cl}[(X \setminus A) \cap C] = \emptyset = m\text{Cl}(A \cap C) \cap [(X \setminus A) \cap C]$. This shows that A and $C \setminus A$ are m -separated sets. This is a contradiction. Hence, A is not a proper subset of C and $A = C$. Therefore, A is an m -component of X . \square

COROLLARY 4.27 ([19]). *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. Then, each $*_s$ -connected subset of X which is both open and $*$ -closed is a $*$ -component of X .*

THEOREM 4.28. *Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space such that $\tau \subseteq m_X$ and $A \subseteq X$. If C is an m -connected subset of X that intersects both A and $X \setminus A$, then C intersects $Bd(A)$, the boundary of A .*

Proof. Suppose $C \cap Bd(A) = \emptyset$. Then $C \cap \text{Cl}(A) \cap \text{Cl}(X \setminus A) = \emptyset$. Now, $C = C \cap X = C \cap (A \cup (X \setminus A)) = (C \cap A) \cup (C \cap (X \setminus A))$. Also, $m\text{Cl}(C \cap A) \cap (C \cap (X \setminus A)) \subseteq m\text{Cl}(C) \cap m\text{Cl}(A) \cap C \cap (X \setminus A) = C \cap m\text{Cl}(A) \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$. and $(C \cap A) \cap \text{Cl}(C \cap (X \setminus A)) \subseteq C \cap A \cap \text{Cl}(C) \cap \text{Cl}(X \setminus A) = C \cap \text{Cl}(X \setminus A) \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus, $C \cap A$ and $C \cap (X \setminus A)$ form an m -separation for C , which is a contradiction. Hence, $C \cap Bd(A) \neq \emptyset$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *On operators in ideal minimal spaces*, *Mathematica*, **58(81)** (2016), 3–13.
- [2] A. Al-Omari and H. Al-saadi, *A topology via ω -local functions in ideal spaces*, *Mathematica*, **60(83)** (2018), 103–110.
- [3] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *Generalizations of regular and normal spaces*, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest.*, **61** (2018), 121–135.
- [4] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *Operators in minimal spaces with hereditary classes*, *Mathematica*, **61(84)** (2019), 101–110.
- [5] A. Al-Omari, H. Al-saadi and T. Noiri, *On extremally disconnected spaces via m -structures*, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **34**, 1, (2019), 351–359.
- [6] H. Al-saadi and A. Al-Omari, *Some operators in ideal topological spaces*, *Missouri J. Math. Sci.*, **30** (2018), 59–71.
- [7] H. Al-saadi, A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *On hyperconnected spaces via m -structures*, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **42** (2019), 290–300.
- [8] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, S.N. El-Deeb and R.A. Mahmoud, *β -open sets and β -continuous mappings*, *Bulletin of the Faculty of Science. Assiut University*, **12** (1983), 77–90.
- [9] D. Andrijević, *Semi-preopen sets*, *Mat. Vesnik*, **38** (1986), 24–32.

- [10] D. Andrijević, *On b -open sets*, Mat. Vesnik, **48** (1996), 59–64.
- [11] E. Ekici and T. Noiri, *Connectedness in ideal topological spaces*, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics, **38** (2008), 65–70.
- [12] E. Ekici and T. Noiri, **-hyperconnected ideal topological spaces*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.), **58** (2012), 121–129.
- [13] D. Jankovic and T.R. Hamlett, *New topologies from old via ideals*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **97** (1990), 295–310.
- [14] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [15] H. Maki, K.C. Rao and A. Nagoor Gani, *On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal, **49** (1999), 17–29.
- [16] A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deep, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, **53** (1982), 47–53.
- [17] O. Njastad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 961–970.
- [18] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *On M -continuous functions*, Anal. Univ. “Dunărea de Jos” Galaţi, Ser. Mat. Fiz. Mec. Teor., Fasc. II, **18** (2000), 31–41.
- [19] N. Sathiyasundari and V. Renukadevi, *Note on *-connected ideal spaces*, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics, **42** (2012), 15–20.

Received October 10, 2020

Accepted February 14, 2021

Al al-Bayt University

Faculty of Sciences

Department of Mathematics

P.O. Box 130095, Mafrq 25113, Jordan

E-mail: omarimutah1@yahoo.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6696-1301>

2949-1 Shiokita-cho

Hinagu, Yatsushiro-shi, Kumamoto-ken

869-5142 Japan

E-mail: t.noiri@nifty.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0862-5297>