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#### Abstract

In this article, regions of variability for a family of analytic univalent mappings satisfying a certain differential inequality are explicitly determined. The geometric view of our main result is also shown by using Mathematica.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and consider $\mathcal{A}$ as a topological vector space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence over compact subsets of $E$. Also, let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the class of analytic functions $w$ on $E$ such that $|w(z)|<1$ and $w(0)=0$. A complex valued function $f$ is said to be convex in $E$ if it is univalent and if the image domain $D=f(E)$ is convex. That is $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in D(0 \leq t \leq 1) \Longrightarrow(1-t) \omega_{1}+t \omega_{2} \in D$. Similarly, a complex valued function $f$ is said to be starlike in $E$ if it is univalent and if the image domain $D=f(E)$ is starshaped with respect to 0 . Let $C$ and $S^{*}$ denote the classes of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are convex and starlike, respectively. Now, let $\gamma$ be a complex number with $\Re \gamma>-1(\gamma \neq-1)$ and $\mu$ be a non-negative real number and say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $R(\gamma, \mu)$ if the following inequality is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)\right| \leq \mu, \quad z \in E . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known [1] that $R(\gamma, \mu) \varsubsetneqq S^{*}$, if $0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1+\Re \gamma}{1+|\gamma|+\Re \gamma}$, and $R(\gamma, \mu) \varsubsetneqq C$, if $0 \leq 2 \mu \leq \frac{1+\Re \gamma}{1+|\gamma|+\Re \gamma}$. In a recent work, Ponnusamy et al. [2] studied the variability regions for a certain family of univalent mappings satisfying (2) with $\gamma=0$. For a related study, see [3].
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In this article, we are interested in determining the variability regions, when $f$ ranges over a certain family of analytic and univalent mappings satisfying a certain inequality.

## 2. THE CLASS $R_{\mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\Re \gamma>-1,0<\mu \leq|\alpha|(\Re \gamma+1)$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$. Let $R_{\mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ denote the family of functions $f$ analytic and univalent in $E$, with $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=\alpha \neq 0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{\mu \beta}{\gamma+1}$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right)\right| \leq \mu, \quad z \in E \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\gamma=0$, this class was introduced and discussed by Ponnusamy et al. [2]. If $f \in R_{\mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then it may be written as

$$
z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right)=\mu w(z)
$$

for some $w \in B$. From this, we have the following integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\alpha+\mu \int_{0}^{1} t^{\gamma-1} w(t z) \mathrm{d} t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Schwarz lemma, we have

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right|<\frac{\mu}{\Re \gamma+1}
$$

This shows that the functions in $R_{\mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are univalent in $E$, if $\mu \leq|\alpha|(\Re \gamma+$ 1).

Since $f \in R_{\mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{f}(z)=\frac{z\left(f^{\prime \prime}(z)-\mu \beta\right)+\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right)}{z\left(\mu-\bar{\beta} f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)-\bar{\beta} \gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right)}, z \in E \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{B}$. Applying the Schwarz lemma, it can be shown that $f \in$ $R_{\mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ implies a restriction on $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)$. In particular,

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\right|=\frac{2 \mu\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)}{\gamma+2}\left|w_{f}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{2 \mu\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)}{|\gamma+2|}
$$

For $\lambda \in \bar{E}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$ and $z_{0} \in E$, set

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=\left\{f \in R_{\mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma): f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\frac{2 \mu\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)}{(\gamma+2)} \lambda\right\}, \\
V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)=\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right): f \in R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

The aim of this paper is to investigate explicitly the region of variability $V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)$ for the class $R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Some general properties of the set $V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)$ are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. We have:
(i) $V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)$ is a compact set.
(ii) $V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)$ is convex.
(iii) If $|\lambda|=1$ or $z_{0}=0$, then

$$
V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha+\frac{\mu z_{0}}{\bar{\beta}(\gamma+1)}-\frac{\mu z_{0}}{\bar{\beta}(\gamma+1)}\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)_{2} F_{1}\left(1, \gamma+1, \gamma+2,-\bar{\beta} \lambda z_{0}\right), & \beta \neq 0 \\ \alpha+\frac{\mu \lambda}{\gamma+2} z_{0}^{2}, & \beta=0\end{cases}
$$

and if $|\lambda|<1$ and $z_{0} \neq 0$, then $\alpha+\frac{\mu}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \zeta^{\gamma} \frac{\lambda \zeta+\beta}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda \zeta} \mathrm{d} \zeta$ is an interior point of the set $V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)$, where ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b, c ; z)$ is the well known Gauss Hypergeometric function.
Proof. The proof of (i) and (ii) follow immediately from the compactness and convexity of the class $R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Now we prove (iii). Since $|\lambda|=\left|w_{f}^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$, from the Schwarz lemma, we obtain $w_{f}(z)=\lambda z$, which yields

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right)}{\mu}=\frac{[\lambda z+\beta] z}{1++\bar{\beta} \lambda z} .
$$

Integrating the above expression from 0 to $z_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) & =\alpha+\frac{\mu}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \zeta^{\gamma} \frac{\lambda \zeta+\beta}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda \zeta} \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& =\alpha+\frac{\mu}{\beta z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \zeta^{\gamma}\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda \zeta}\right)+\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda \bar{\beta}}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda \zeta}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \zeta,
\end{aligned}
$$

and simple computations yield, for $\beta \neq 0$,

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha+\frac{\mu z_{0}}{\bar{\beta}(\gamma+1)}-\frac{\mu z_{0}}{\bar{\beta}(\gamma+1)}\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right){ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1, \gamma+1, \gamma+2,-\bar{\beta} \lambda z_{0}\right)
$$

and, for $\beta=0$,

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha+\frac{\mu \lambda}{\gamma+2} z_{0}^{2}
$$

So, for $\beta \neq 0$,

$$
V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)=\left\{\alpha+\frac{\mu z_{0}}{\bar{\beta}(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right){ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1, \gamma+1, \gamma+2,-\bar{\beta} \lambda z_{0}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

and, for $\beta=0$,

$$
V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)=\alpha+\frac{\mu \lambda}{\gamma+2} z_{0}^{2}
$$

This is trivially true when $z_{0}=0$.
For $\lambda \in E$ and $a \in \bar{E}$, set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta(z, \lambda)=\frac{z+\lambda}{1+\bar{\lambda} z} \\
H_{a, \lambda}(z)=\alpha z+\int_{0}^{z}\left[\int_{0}^{\zeta_{2}} \frac{\mu \zeta_{1}^{\gamma}}{\zeta_{2}^{\gamma}} \frac{\left[\delta\left(a \zeta_{1}, \lambda\right) \zeta_{1}+\beta\right]}{1+\bar{\beta} \delta\left(a \zeta_{1}, \lambda\right) \zeta_{1}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta_{1}\right] \mathrm{d} \zeta_{2}, z \in E .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $H_{a, \lambda} \in R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $w_{H_{a, \lambda}}(z)=z \delta(a z, \lambda)$. For fixed $\lambda \in E$ and $z_{0} \in E \backslash\{0\}$, the function

$$
E \ni a \mapsto H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha+\frac{\mu}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \zeta^{\gamma} \frac{[\delta(a \zeta, \lambda) \zeta+\beta]}{1+\bar{\beta} \delta(a \zeta, \lambda) \zeta} \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

is a non-constant analytic function of $a \in E$ and therefore is an open mapping. Hence $H_{0, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha+\frac{\mu}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \zeta^{\gamma} \frac{[\lambda \zeta+\beta]}{1+\bar{\beta} \backslash \zeta} \mathrm{d} \zeta$ is an interior point of

$$
\left\{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right): a \in E\right\} \subset V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right) .
$$

Keeping in view the above proposition, it is sufficient to find $V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)$ for $0 \leq \lambda<1$ and $z_{0} \in E \backslash\{0\}$. For this we need the following lemma, stated below.

Lemma 2.2 ([5]). For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\lambda|<1$, the function

$$
G(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta^{2}}{\left(1+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda\right) \zeta+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \bar{\beta} \zeta^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta, \quad z \in E,
$$

has a zero of order three at the origin and no zero elsewhere in $E$. Moreover, there exists a starlike normalized univalent function $s$ in $E$ such that $G(z)=$ $3^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} s^{3}(z)$.

## 3. SOME USEFUL RESULTS

In this section, we state and prove some results which are needed in the proof of our main theorems.

Proposition 3.1. For $f \in R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-q(z, \lambda)\right| \leq r(z, \lambda), \quad z \in E, \lambda \in \bar{E}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(z, \lambda) & =\frac{\mu\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left[\beta\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)+\beta^{2} \bar{\lambda} \bar{z}+\lambda z\right]}{1-|\beta|^{2}|z|^{4}-\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)|\lambda|^{2}|z|^{2}+2\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Re(\bar{\beta} \lambda z)}, \\
r(z, \lambda) & =\frac{\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)|z|^{2}}{1-|\beta|^{2}|z|^{4}-\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)|\lambda|^{2}|z|^{2}+2 \mu\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Re(\bar{\beta} \lambda z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality is sharp for $z_{0} \in E \backslash\{0\}$ if and only if $f(z)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{i} \theta, \lambda}(z)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since, for $w_{f} \in \mathcal{B}, w_{f}^{\prime}(0)=\lambda$, from the Schwarz lemma, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-\frac{\mu[\lambda z+\beta]}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z}}{f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-\frac{\mu(z+\bar{\lambda} \beta)}{\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda}}}\right| \leq|z|\left|\frac{\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda}}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z}\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) this can be written equivalently as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-b(z, \lambda)}{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)+c(z, \lambda)}\right| \leq|z||\tau(z, \lambda)|, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
b(z, \lambda)=\frac{\mu[\lambda z+\beta]}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z}, \quad c(z, \lambda)=-\frac{\mu(z+\bar{\lambda} \beta)}{\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda}}  \tag{9}\\
\tau(z, \lambda)=\frac{\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda}}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Simple computations show that the inequality (8) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)\right. & \left.-\frac{b(z, \lambda)+|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2} c(z, \lambda)}{1-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}} \right\rvert\,  \tag{10}\\
& \leq \frac{|z||\tau(z, \lambda)||b(z, \lambda)+c(z, \lambda)|}{1-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}=\frac{1-|\beta|^{2}|z|^{4}-\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)|\lambda|^{2}|z|^{2}+2\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Re(\bar{\beta} \lambda z)}{|1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z|^{2}}, \\
& b(z, \lambda)+c(z, \lambda)=\frac{\mu\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right) z}{(1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z)(\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda})} \\
& b(z, \lambda)+|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2} c(z, \lambda)=\frac{\mu[\lambda z+\beta]}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z}-|z|^{2}\left|\frac{\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda}}{1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z}\right|^{2} \frac{\mu(z+\bar{\lambda} \beta)}{\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda}} \\
& \\
& =\frac{\mu\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left[\beta\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)+\beta^{2} \bar{\lambda} \bar{z}+\lambda z\right]}{|1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b(z, \lambda)+|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2} c(z, \lambda)}{1-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}}=q(z, \lambda) \\
& \frac{|z||\tau(z, \lambda)||b(z, \lambda)+c(z, \lambda)|}{1-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}}=r(z, \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

All these relations together with (10) give (6). Equality in (6) occurs when $f(z)=F_{i \theta, \lambda}(z)$, for $z \in E$. Conversely, if equality in (6) occurs for some $z \in E \backslash\{0\}$, then equality must hold in (7). Thus, by the Schwarz lemma, there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $w_{f}(z)=z \delta(a z, \lambda)$, for all $z \in E$. This implies $f(z)=F_{i \theta, \lambda}(z)$.

The case $\lambda=0$ leads us to the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Let $f \in R(0)$. Then

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-\frac{\mu \beta\left(1-|z|^{4}\right)}{1-|\beta|^{2}|z|^{4}}\right| \leq \frac{\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)|z|^{2}}{1-|\beta|^{2}|z|^{4}} .
$$

The special case $\gamma=0$ in the above corollary gives us the known result [2]. For $|\beta|=1$, the above corollary gives us

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-\mu \beta\right|=0,
$$

which further yields

$$
f(z)=\alpha z+\mu \beta \frac{z^{2}}{\gamma+1} .
$$

Geometrically, Proposition 1 means that the functional

$$
z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right)
$$

lies in the closed disk centred at $q(z, \lambda)$ with radius $r(z, \lambda)$. From this fact we have the below corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\gamma: z(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ be a $C^{1}$-curve in $E$ with $z(0)=0$ and $z(1)=z_{0}$. Then we have

$$
V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}(Q(\lambda, \gamma), W(\lambda, \gamma))=\{w \in C:|w-Q(\lambda, \gamma)| \leq W(\lambda, \gamma)\},
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q(\lambda, \gamma)=\alpha+\frac{1}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} z^{\gamma}(t) q(z(t), \lambda) z^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t, \\
W(\lambda, \gamma)=\int_{0}^{1} r(z(t), \lambda) \frac{z(t)}{z_{0}}\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is in $R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$,

$$
\frac{1}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1}\left[z^{\gamma}(t)\left(f^{\prime}(z(t)-\alpha)\right]^{\prime} z^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=f^{\prime}(z(1))-\alpha=f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-\alpha .\right.
$$

Now, from Proposition 2, it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\quad\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-Q(\lambda, \gamma)\right|=\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-\alpha-\frac{1}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} z^{\gamma}(t) q(z(t), \lambda) z^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right| \mathrm{d} t \\
=\left\lvert\, \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left.f^{\prime \prime}\left(z(t)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z(t))-\alpha}{z(t)}\right)-q(z(t), \lambda)\right]\left(\frac{z(t)}{z_{0}}\right)^{\gamma}\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
\leq \int_{0}^{1} r(z(t), \lambda)\left|\left(\frac{z(t)}{z_{0}}\right)^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(t)\right|\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t=W(\lambda, \gamma) .
\end{array}
$$

This implies the required result.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\theta \in(-\pi, \pi]$ and $z_{0} \in E \backslash\{0\}$. Then $H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \in$ $\partial V\left(z_{0}, \lambda\right)$. Moreover, for some $\theta \in(-\pi, \pi]$ and $f \in R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$,

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{i} \theta, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow f(z)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}(z) .
$$

Proof. We have for $z \in E$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right) & =\frac{\mu[\delta(a z, \lambda) z+\beta]}{1+\bar{\beta} \delta(a z, \lambda) z} \\
& =\frac{\mu[(a z+\lambda) z+\beta(1+a \bar{\lambda} z)]}{1+(a \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda) z+a \bar{\beta} z^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from (9), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-b(z, \lambda)=\frac{\mu\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right) a z^{2}}{\left[1+(a \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda) z+a \bar{\beta} z^{2}\right][1+\bar{\beta} \lambda z]} \\
& H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)+c(z, \lambda)=\frac{-\mu\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right) z}{\left[1+(a \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda) z+a \bar{\beta} z^{2}\right][\bar{\beta} z+\bar{\lambda}]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-q(z, \lambda)=H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right) \\
-\frac{b(z, \lambda)+|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2} c(z, \lambda)}{1-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}} \\
=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-b(z, \lambda) \\
-|z|^{2}|\tau(z, \lambda)|^{2}\left(H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{a, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)+c(z, \lambda)\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
=\frac{\mu\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right) z^{2}}{1-|\beta|^{2}|z|^{4}-\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)|\lambda|^{2}|z|^{2}+2\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Re(\bar{\beta} \lambda z)} \frac{\overline{J(a, z)}}{J(a, z)},
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
J(a, z)=1+(a \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda) z+a \bar{\beta} z^{2}
$$

Putting $a=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$, we obtain

$$
H_{\mathrm{e}^{i} \theta, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-q(z, \lambda)=r(z, \lambda) \frac{\left|J\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, z\right)\right|^{2}}{|z|^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} z^{2}}{\left(J\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, z\right)\right)^{2}} .
$$

From this we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-q(z, \lambda)=r(z, \lambda) \frac{G^{\prime}(z)}{\left|G^{\prime}(z)\right|} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $s$ is starlike in $E$, for any $z_{0} \in E \backslash\{0\}$, the linear segment joining 0 and $s\left(z_{0}\right)$ lies entirely in $s(E)$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be the curve defined by

$$
\Gamma_{0}: z(t)=s^{-1}\left(t s\left(z_{0}\right)\right), t \in[0,1]
$$

This relation, together with (11), leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t)=3 t^{2} G\left(z_{0}\right), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation, together with (11), leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-Q\left(\lambda, \gamma_{0}\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(H_{\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)-q(z(t), \lambda)\right)\left(\frac{z(t)}{z_{0}}\right)^{\gamma}\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
= & \int_{0}^{1} r(z(t), \lambda) \frac{G^{\prime}(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t)}{\left|G^{\prime}(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t)\right|}\left(\frac{z(t)}{z_{0}}\right)^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \frac{z_{0}}{\gamma+1} \frac{G\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left|G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|} \int_{0}^{1} r(z(t), \lambda)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \frac{G\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left|G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|} W\left(\lambda, \gamma_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-Q\left(\lambda, \gamma_{0}\right)=\frac{G\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left|G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|} W\left(\lambda, \gamma_{0}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \in \partial \overline{\mathbb{D}}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \gamma_{0}\right), W\left(\lambda, \gamma_{0}\right)\right)$. Hence, from Corollary 1, we have $H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \in \partial V\left(\lambda, z_{0}\right)$.

Now, we prove the uniqueness part. Suppose that $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ for some $\theta \in(-\pi, \pi]$ and $f \in R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Let

$$
g(t)=\frac{\overline{G\left(z_{0}\right)}}{\left|G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(z(t)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z(t))-\alpha}{z(t)}\right)-q(z(t), \lambda)\right)\left(\frac{z(t)}{z_{0}}\right)^{\gamma}\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right.
$$

where $\gamma_{0}(t)=z(t), \quad t \in[0,1]$. Then the function $g$ is continuous and satisfies $|g(t)| \leq r(z(t), \lambda)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|$. Further, from (13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \Re g(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{1} \Re\left[\frac{\overline{G\left(z_{0}\right)}}{\left|G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}\right. & \left(f ^ { \prime \prime } \left(z(t)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z(t))-\alpha}{z(t)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-q(z(t), \lambda))\left(\frac{z(t)}{z_{0}}\right)^{\gamma}\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\Re\left[\frac{\overline{G\left(z_{0}\right)}}{\left|G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}\left(f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-Q\left(z(t), \gamma_{0}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\Re\left[\frac{\overline{G\left(z_{0}\right)}}{\left|G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}\left(H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-Q\left(z(t), \gamma_{0}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \Re r(z(t), \lambda)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $g(t)=r(z(t), \lambda)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|$, for all $t \in[0,1]$. From (11) and (12), this implies that $f^{\prime \prime}\left(z(t)+\gamma\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\gamma\left(\frac{H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta, \lambda}}^{\prime}(z)-\alpha}{z}\right)\right.$ on $\gamma_{0}$. The identity theorem for analytic functions yields us $f(z)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}(z), z \in E$.

## 4. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 4.1. Let $|\lambda|<1, z_{0} \in E \backslash\{0\}$. Then boundary $\partial V\left(\lambda, z_{0}\right)$ is the Jordan curve given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha+ & \frac{\mu z_{0}}{\bar{\beta}(\gamma+1)} \\
& +\frac{\mu}{\beta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} z_{0}^{\gamma}} \log \frac{\left(1-\frac{z_{0}}{\zeta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\zeta_{2}^{\gamma}\left(|\beta|^{2}-1\right)\left(\overline{\left.\lambda e^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta_{2}+1\right)}\right.}{\bar{\beta}\left(\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right)}}}{\left(1-\frac{z_{0}}{\zeta_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\zeta_{1}^{\gamma}\left(|\beta|^{2}-1^{2}\right)\left(\bar{\lambda} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta_{1}+1\right)}{\bar{\beta}\left(\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right)}},}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ are the zeros of the equation

$$
1+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda\right) x+\bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} x^{2}=0
$$

If $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ for some $f$ in $R_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\theta \in(-\pi, \pi]$, then $f\left(z_{0}\right)=F_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}\left(z_{0}\right)$.

Proof. We will show that the curve $(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \rightarrow F_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is simple. Let us assume that $H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{1}, \lambda}}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{2}, \lambda}}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ for some $\theta_{1,}, \theta_{2} \in(-\pi, \pi]$ with $\theta_{1} \neq \theta_{2}$. Then the use of Proposition 3 yield us that $H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{1}, \lambda}}\left(z_{0}\right)=H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{2}, \lambda}}\left(z_{0}\right)$, which further gives the following relation

$$
\tau\left(\frac{w_{H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{1, \lambda}}}^{\prime}}(z)}{z}, \lambda\right)=\tau\left(\frac{w_{H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{2}, \lambda}}^{\prime}}(z)}{z}, \lambda\right)
$$

This implies that

$$
\frac{\left(\bar{\lambda}^{2}+\bar{\beta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{1}} z+\bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda}{(\bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{1}} z+1+\bar{\beta} \lambda^{2}}=\frac{\left(\bar{\lambda}^{2}+\bar{\beta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{2}} z+\bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda}{(\bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{2}} z+1+\bar{\beta} \lambda^{2}}
$$

After some simplifications, we obtain $z \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{1}}=z \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{2}}$, which leads us to a contradiction. Therefore the curve is simple.

As $V\left(\lambda, z_{0}\right)$ is a compact convex subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and has non-empty interior, the boundary $\partial V\left(\lambda, z_{0}\right)$ is a simple closed curve. From Proposition 3, the curve $\partial V\left(\lambda, z_{0}\right)$ contains the curve $(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Since a simple closed curve cannot contain any simple closed curve other than itself, $\partial V\left(\lambda, z_{0}\right)$ is given by $(-\pi, \pi] \ni \theta \mapsto H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$.

Now, we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) & =\alpha+\frac{\mu}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \zeta^{\gamma} \frac{\left[\delta\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta, \lambda\right) \zeta+\beta\right]}{1+\bar{\beta} \delta\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta, \lambda\right) \zeta} \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& =\alpha+\frac{\mu}{z_{0}^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \zeta^{\gamma} \frac{\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta^{2}+\left[\lambda+\beta \bar{\lambda} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right] \zeta+\beta\right]}{1+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\beta} \lambda\right) \zeta+\bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \\
& =\alpha+\frac{\mu z_{0}}{\bar{\beta}(\gamma+1)}+\frac{\mu}{\beta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} z_{0}^{\gamma}} \log \frac{\left(1-\frac{z_{0}}{\zeta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\zeta_{2}^{\gamma}\left(|\beta|^{2}-1\right)\left(\bar{\lambda} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta_{2}+1\right)}{\bar{\beta}\left(\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right)}}}{\left(1-\frac{z_{0}}{\zeta_{1}}\right)^{\left.\frac{\zeta_{1}^{\gamma}\left(\mid \beta \beta^{2}-1^{2}\right)(\overline{\mathrm{e}}}{} \overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \zeta_{1}+1\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\gamma=0$, we obtain the variability regions shown by Ponnusamy et al. [2].

## 5. GEOMETRIC VIEW OF THEOREM 1

In the below figures, the geometric view of Theorem 1 is given by assigning different values to the involved parameters. All the values except those of $\gamma$ are taken from the article [2], for comparison purposes. It can also be seen that, when $\gamma=0$, we obtain the geometric view of $[2$, Theorem 2.4].


| Values of parameters [2] | Theorem 1 <br> $\gamma=10+1.0 i$ | Theorem 2.4 of [2] <br> $\gamma=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Values of parameters [2] | Theorem 1 <br> $\gamma=-0.5-0.9 i$ | Theorem 2.4 of [2] <br> $\gamma=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Values of parameters [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Theorem 1 } \\ & \gamma=10+1.0 i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Theorem } 2.4 \text { of }[2] \\ & \gamma=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & z_{0}=-0.605185+0.789592 i \\ & \alpha=-100.796+233.556 i \\ & \lambda=0.0523661+0.167249 i \\ & \mu=164.079 \\ & \beta=0.00810121-0.00819085 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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