

## $\mathcal{T}$ -NORMAL DECOMPOSITIONS OF MODULES

IULIU CRIVEI and LIANA CRIVEI

**Abstract.** Let  $\tau$  be a hereditary torsion theory on the category of modules. A module  $A$  is called finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable if it is a finite direct sum of  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules. For a submodule  $B$  of a module  $A$ , we show that the  $\tau$ -injective envelope of  $A/B$  is finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable if and only if  $B$  has a so-called  $\tau$ -normal decomposition in  $A$ .

**MSC 2010.** 16S90,16D50

**Key words.** Torsion theory,  $\tau$ -injective module,  $\tau$ -irreducible submodule,  $\tau$ -uniform module,  $\tau$ -completely decomposable module,  $\tau$ -normal decomposition.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical result of E. Matlis states that every injective module over a left noetherian ring has a decomposition as a direct sum of indecomposable injective modules [9, Theorem 2.5]. Over an arbitrary ring, a module (not necessarily injective) having such a direct sum decomposition was called *completely decomposable* by C. Faith and E. Walker [6, p. 217], and played an important part in the theory of injective modules. For a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$  on the category of modules, a torsion-theoretic counterpart is the so-called  *$\tau$ -completely decomposable* module (i.e. direct sum of some minimal  $\tau$ -injective modules), which was studied by K. Masaike and T. Horigome [8], S. Mohamed, B.J. Müller and S. Singh [10, 11], J.L. Bueso, P. Jara and B. Torrecillas [1], S. Crivei [3, 4] or S. Charalambides and J. Clark [2]. For a submodule  $B$  of a module  $A$ , our main result characterizes when the  $\tau$ -injective envelope of  $A/B$  is finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable in terms of the existence of a so-called  *$\tau$ -normal decomposition* of  $B$  in  $A$ . By specialising our results to the hereditary torsion theory having all modules torsion, one obtains properties from the classical theory of injective modules.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper  $R$  is an associative ring with non-zero identity and all modules are unitary left  $R$ -modules. We recall some terminology on torsion theories following [5, 7]. By  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  we denote a torsion theory on the category of modules. The modules in the class  $\mathcal{T}$  are called  *$\tau$ -torsion*, and the modules in the class  $\mathcal{F}$  are called  *$\tau$ -torsionfree*. The class  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under direct sums, factor modules and extensions, while the class  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under direct products, submodules and extensions. If the class  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under submodules, then  $\tau$  is called *hereditary*. Throughout the paper  $\tau$  will be a hereditary torsion theory on the category of left  $R$ -modules.

The following definitions give the torsion-theoretic versions of the notions of essential submodule, irreducible submodule, uniform module and injective module.

DEFINITION 2.1. A submodule  $B$  of a module  $A$  is called:

- (1)  $\tau$ -dense if  $A/B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion.
- (2)  $\tau$ -essential if  $B$  is essential and  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ .
- (3)  $\tau$ -irreducible if  $B \neq A$  and for every submodules  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $A$  with  $B \subset B_1$  and  $B \subset B_2$ , we have  $B \subset B_1 \cap B_2$  and  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A module  $A$  is called:

- (1)  $\tau$ -uniform if  $A \neq 0$  and every non-zero submodule  $B$  of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $A$ .
- (2)  $\tau$ -injective if it is injective with respect to every monomorphism with a  $\tau$ -torsion cokernel.

The following known proposition will be frequently used [7, p. 84].

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Every module  $A$  has a  $\tau$ -injective envelope, which is unique up to isomorphism and denoted by  $E_\tau(A)$ . The  $\tau$ -injective envelope of  $A$  is a  $\tau$ -essential extension of  $A$ .*

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let  $A$  be a  $\tau$ -injective module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A$  is  $\tau$ -uniform.
- (ii)  $A \neq 0$  and  $A = E_\tau(B)$  for every non-zero submodule  $B$  of  $A$ .
- (iii) The zero submodule of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that  $A$  is  $\tau$ -uniform. Then  $A \neq 0$ . Let  $B$  be a non-zero submodule of  $A$ . Then  $B$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $A$ . We may consider  $E_\tau(B) \subseteq A$ . Since  $B$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ ,  $A/B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion. Then the factor module  $A/E_\tau(B)$  is  $\tau$ -torsion, hence  $E_\tau(B)$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ . Then  $\tau$ -injectivity implies that  $E_\tau(B)$  is a direct summand of  $A$ . Since  $B$  is essential in  $A$ , so is  $E_\tau(B)$ . It follows that  $E_\tau(B) = A$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Assume that (ii) holds. Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be non-zero submodules of  $A$ . Then  $A = E_\tau(B_1)$  by hypothesis. Since  $B_1$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $E_\tau(B_1) = A$ ,  $B_1$  is essential in  $A$ , hence we have  $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq 0$ . Then  $A = E_\tau(B_1 \cap B_2)$  by hypothesis, hence  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $E_\tau(B_1 \cap B_2) = A$ . It follows that the zero submodule of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume that the zero submodule of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible. Then  $A \neq 0$ . Let  $B$  be a non-zero submodule of  $A$ . By hypothesis, for every non-zero submodule  $C$  of  $A$ ,  $B \cap C$  is a non-zero  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ . Then  $B$  is essential in  $A$ . Since  $B \cap C$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ ,  $A/(B \cap C)$  is  $\tau$ -torsion. It follows that the factor module  $A/B$  is  $\tau$ -torsion, hence  $B$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ . Therefore,  $B$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $A$ , and so  $A$  is  $\tau$ -uniform.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let  $A$  be a module. Then:*

- (1) *The zero submodule of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible if and only if  $E_\tau(A)$  is  $\tau$ -uniform.*
- (2) *A submodule  $B$  of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible if and only if  $E_\tau(A/B)$  is  $\tau$ -uniform.*

*Proof.* (1) Assume that the zero submodule of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible. Then  $A \neq 0$ . By Proposition 2.4, in order to show that  $E_\tau(A)$  is  $\tau$ -uniform it is enough to prove that the zero submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible. Let  $B_1, B_2$  be non-zero submodules of  $E_\tau(A)$ . Since  $A$  is essential in  $E_\tau(A)$ , we have  $B_1 \cap A \neq 0$  and  $B_2 \cap A \neq 0$ . By hypothesis,  $B_1 \cap B_2 \cap A$  is a non-zero  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ . Then we have  $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq 0$ . In the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A/(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap A) \rightarrow E_\tau(A)/(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap A) \rightarrow E_\tau(A)/A \rightarrow 0$$

the first and the last terms are  $\tau$ -torsion, hence the middle one must also be  $\tau$ -torsion. Then the factor module  $E_\tau(A)/(B_1 \cap B_2)$  must be  $\tau$ -torsion, hence  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $E_\tau(A)$ . Therefore, the zero submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible.

Conversely, assume that  $E_\tau(A)$  is  $\tau$ -uniform. Then the zero submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible by Proposition 2.4. Let  $B_1, B_2$  be non-zero submodules of  $A$ . It follows that  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is a non-zero  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $E_\tau(A)$ . Since  $E_\tau(A)/(B_1 \cap B_2)$  is  $\tau$ -torsion, so is its submodule  $A/(B_1 \cap B_2)$ . Hence  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ . Therefore, the zero submodule of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible.

(2) Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be submodules of  $A$  such that  $B \subset B_1$  and  $B \subset B_2$ . Then we have  $B \subset B_1 \cap B_2$  if and only if  $B_1/B \cap B_2/B \neq 0$ . Also,  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$  if and only if  $A/(B_1 \cap B_2)$  is  $\tau$ -torsion if and only if  $(B_1/B) \cap (B_2/B)$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A/B$ . It follows that the submodule  $B$  of  $A$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible if and only if the zero submodule of  $A/B$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible. But this is equivalent to  $E_\tau(A/B)$  being  $\tau$ -uniform by Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

Another needed notion is that of irredundant intersection of submodules. If  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  are submodules of a module  $A$ , then the intersection  $B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$  is called *irredundant* if

$$B_i \not\subseteq B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_{i-1} \cap B_{i+1} \cap \dots \cap B_n$$

for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  [12, p. 91]. Note that one can always refine a finite intersection of submodules to an irredundant intersection by omitting certain submodules.

### 3. $\tau$ -NORMAL DECOMPOSITION

In this section we characterize when the  $\tau$ -injective envelope of a factor module is finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable in the sense of the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. A module  $A$  is called *finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable* if it is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules, say  $E_1, \dots, E_n$ . Then  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  is called a *complete set of associated  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules* of  $A$ .

If  $B$  is a submodule of a module  $A$  and  $A \cong E_\tau(A/B) \cong E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$  is a finite direct sum of  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules such that  $E_1 \cong \dots \cong E_n \cong E$ , then  $B$  is called  *$E$ -isotopic*.

The following lemma is immediate from the above definition.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $A$  be a module and let  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  be  $E$ -isotopic submodules of  $A$  for some  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective module  $E$ . Then  $B = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$  is an  $E$ -isotopic submodule of  $A$ .*

DEFINITION 3.3. Let  $A$  be a module and let  $B = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$  be an irredundant intersection of submodules of  $A$ . This intersection is called a  *$\tau$ -normal decomposition* of  $B$  in  $A$  if for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $B_i$  is  $E_i$ -isotopic for some non-isomorphic  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules  $E_i$ .

Now we may give our main result.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $B$  be a submodule of a module  $A$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E_\tau(A/B)$  is finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable.
- (ii)  $B$  is a finite intersection of  $\tau$ -irreducible submodules of  $A$ .
- (iii)  $B$  has a  $\tau$ -normal decomposition in  $A$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that  $E_\tau(A/B)$  is finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable, say  $E_\tau(A/B) = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$  for some  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules  $E_1, \dots, E_n$ . Let  $p : A \rightarrow A/B$  be the natural homomorphism and  $j : A/B \rightarrow E_\tau(A/B)$  the inclusion homomorphism. For every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $q_i : E_\tau(A/B) \rightarrow E_i$  be the canonical projection,  $f_i = q_i j p : A \rightarrow E_i$  and  $B_i = \text{Ker}(f_i)$ . Then we have  $B = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$ .

Let  $i \in I$ . Since  $A/B$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $E_\tau(A/B)$  and  $E_i \neq 0$ , we have  $(A/B) \cap E_i \neq 0$ . Then we must have  $B_i \neq A$ . It follows that  $0 \neq A/B_i \cong \text{Im}(f_i) \subseteq E_i$ . Since  $E_i$  is  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective, we have  $E_i \cong E_\tau(A/B_i)$  by Proposition 2.4. Then  $B_i$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible by Corollary 2.5, and so  $B$  is a finite intersection of  $\tau$ -irreducible submodules of  $A$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that  $B = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$  is an intersection of  $\tau$ -irreducible submodules of  $A$ . We may assume that the intersection is already irredundant. By Proposition 2.4, a non-zero module is  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective if and only if it is the  $\tau$ -injective envelope of each of its non-zero submodules, that is, it is minimal  $\tau$ -injective in the sense of [4]. By Corollary 2.5 it follows that each  $E_\tau(A/B_i)$  is minimal  $\tau$ -injective. By [4, Theorem 3.6] we have

$$E_\tau(A/B) \cong E_\tau(A/B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E_\tau(A/B_n),$$

hence  $E_\tau(A/B)$  is finitely  $\tau$ -completely decomposable.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Suppose that  $B = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$  is an intersection of  $\tau$ -irreducible submodules of  $A$ . We may assume that the intersection is already irredundant. Then each  $B_i$  is  $E_\tau(A/B_i)$ -isotopic. We may group together the submodules  $B_i$  having isomorphic associated  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules and use Lemma 3.2 to produce a writing of  $B$  as a finite intersection of isotopic submodules with non-isomorphic associated  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules. Finally, one obtains a  $\tau$ -normal decomposition of  $B$  in  $A$  by making the intersection irredundant.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that  $B = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$  is a  $\tau$ -normal decomposition of  $B$  in  $A$ . Then for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $B_i$  is  $E_i$ -isotopic for some non-isomorphic  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules  $E_i$ . If  $B_i = B_{i1} \cap \dots \cap B_{im}$ , then  $E_\tau(A/B_{ij}) \cong E_i$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and each  $B_{ij}$  is  $\tau$ -irreducible by Corollary 2.5. Hence  $B$  may be written as a finite intersection of  $\tau$ -irreducible submodules of  $A$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $B$  be a submodule of a module  $A$  and let*

$$B = B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n = B'_1 \cap \dots \cap B'_m$$

*be  $\tau$ -normal decompositions of  $B$  in  $A$  such that  $B_i$  is  $E_i$ -isotopic and  $B_j$  is  $E'_j$ -isotopic for some  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective modules  $E_i$  and  $E'_j$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Then  $n = m$  and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the modules  $E_i$  and  $E'_j$  such that the corresponding modules are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4 and [7, Proposition 8.16], the endomorphism ring of a  $\tau$ -uniform  $\tau$ -injective module is local. Then the corollary follows by the Krull-Remak-Schmidt-Azumaya Theorem.  $\square$

As already mentioned in the introduction, one may specialize our results to the hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$  having all modules  $\tau$ -torsion in order to obtain similar properties from the classical theory of injective modules (e.g. see [12, Chapter 4]).

We end with an example for another hereditary torsion theory.

**EXAMPLE 3.6.** Following [4, Example 3.7], consider the polynomial ring  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_{n+2}]$ , where  $K$  is a field and  $n$  is a positive integer. Consider the prime ideals  $p = (X_1X_2, X_1X_3)$ ,  $p_1 = (X_1)$  and  $p_2 = (X_2, X_3)$  of  $R$  generated by the specified elements. Then we have  $p = p_1 \cap p_2$ . Let  $\tau_n$  be the hereditary torsion theory generated by all modules  $M$  of Krull dimension  $\dim M \leq n$ . Then  $E_{\tau_n}(R/p_1)$  and  $E_{\tau_n}(R/p_2)$  are  $\tau_n$ -uniform  $\tau_n$ -injective and

$$E_{\tau_n}(R/p) \cong E_{\tau_n}(R/p_1) \oplus E_{\tau_n}(R/p_2)$$

by [4, Example 3.7]. Hence  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are  $\tau_n$ -irreducible by Corollary 2.5, and so  $p = p_1 \cap p_2$  is an irredundant intersection of  $\tau_n$ -irreducible ideals of  $R$ . Moreover,  $p_1$  is  $E_{\tau_n}(R/p_1)$ -isotopic and  $p_2$  is  $E_{\tau_n}(R/p_2)$ -isotopic. Hence  $p = p_1 \cap p_2$  is a  $\tau_n$ -normal decomposition of  $p$  in  $R$  by Theorem 3.4.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BUESO, J.L., JARA P. and TORRECILLAS, B., *Decomposition of injective modules relative to a torsion theory*, Israel J. Math., **52** (1985), 266–272.
- [2] CHARALAMBIDES, S. and CLARK, J.,  $\tau$ -*injective modules*. In: *Modules and Comodules* (T. Brzezinski, J.L. Gómez Pardo, I. Shestakov, P.F. Smith Eds.), Series: Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2008, pp. 143–168.
- [3] CRIVEI, S., *On  $\tau$ -completely decomposable modules*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **70** (2004), 163–175.
- [4] CRIVEI, S.,  $\tau$ -*injective submodules of indecomposable injective modules*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **43** (2006), 65–76.
- [5] DICKSON, S.E., *A torsion theory for abelian categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **121** (1966), 223–235.
- [6] FAITH, C. and WALKER, E., *Direct sum representations of injective modules*, J. Algebra, **5** (1967), 203–221.
- [7] GOLAN, J.S., *Torsion Theories*, Longman Scientific and Technical, New York, 1986.
- [8] MASAIKE, K. and HORIGOME, T., *Direct sum of  $\sigma$ -injective modules*, Tsukuba J.Math., **4** (1980), 77–81.
- [9] MATLIS, E., *Injective modules over noetherian rings*, Pacific J. Math., **8** (1958), 511–528.
- [10] MOHAMED, S., MÜLLER, B.J. and SINGH, S., *A note on the decomposition of  $\sigma$ -injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, **12** (1984), 663–672.
- [11] MOHAMED, S. and SINGH, S., *Decomposition of  $\sigma$ -injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, **9** (1981), 601–611.
- [12] SHARPE, D.W. and VÁMOS, P., *Injective Modules*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1972.

Received March 5, 2014

Accepted July 12, 2014

*Technical University*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Str. C. Daicoviciu 15*  
*400020 Cluj-Napoca, Romania*  
*E-mail: crivei@math.utcluj.ro*

*Babeş-Bolyai University*  
*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Str. M. Kogălniceanu 1*  
*400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania*