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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential polynomials, proving the following theorem: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$, and let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n \geq 12 k+20$. If $\left[f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM (ignoring multiplicities), then either $\left[f^{n}(z)(f(z)-\right.$ $1)]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1)\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f(z) \equiv g(z)$. This generalizes and improves some results given by M.L. Yang, S.S. Bhoosnurmath and R.S. Dyavanal.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function defined in the whole complex plane. We use the standard notations in Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions such as the characteristic function $T(r, f)$, the counting function of the poles $N(r, f)$ and the proximity function $m(r, f)$ and so on. For any nonconstant meromorphic function $f$, we denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$ possibly outside a set of $r$ of finite linear measure. We refer the reader to Hayman [2], Yang [4], Yi and Yang [5] and for more details.

Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. Let $a$ be a finite complex number. We say that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ CM (counting multiplicities) if $f$ and $g$ have the same $a$-points with the same multiplicities and we say that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ IM (ignoring multiplicities) if we do not consider the multiplicities. We denote by $N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)$ the counting function for common simple 1-points of $f$ and $g$ where multiplicity is not counted. $\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)$ is the counting function for 1-points of both $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ about which $f^{(k)}$ has larger multiplicity than $g^{(k)}$, with multiplicity being not counted. For any constant $a$, we define

$$
\Theta(a, f)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}
$$

[^0]Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $a$ a finite complex number and $k$ a positive integer. We denote by $N_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\bar{N}_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\right)$ the counting function for zeros of $f-a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$ (ignoring multiplicities), and by $N_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\right)$ the counting function for zeros of $f-a$ with multiplicity at least $k$ (ignoring multiplicities). Set

$$
N_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\ldots+\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)
$$

We further define $\delta_{k}(a, f)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$.
Fang [3] proved the following result.
THEOREM 1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n \geq 2 k+8$. If $\left[f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ share $1 C M$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Recently, S.S Bhoosnurmath[1] and R.S. Dyavanal extended Theorem 1 and proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{3}{n+1}$, and let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n \geq 3 k+13$. If $\left[f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ share $1 C M$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

It is natural to ask the following question: what can be said if CM shared value is replaced by an IM shared value in Theorem 1 and 2? In this paper, we answer the question by proving the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$, let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n \geq 12 k+$ 20. If $\left[f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, then either $\left[f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1)\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

## 2. SOME LEMMAS

For the proof of our result we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. (See [2]) Let $f$ be nonconstant meromorphic function, and let $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be finite complex numbers such that $a_{n} \neq 0$. Then

$$
T\left(r, a_{n} f^{n}+a_{n-1} f^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{0}\right)=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

LEMMA 2. (See [2]) Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $k$ be a positive integer, and let c be a nonzero finite complex number. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $f^{(k+1)}=0$ but $f\left(f^{(k)}-c\right) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3. (See [5]) Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $a_{1}(z), a_{2}(z)$ be two meromorphic functions such that $T\left(r, a_{i}\right)=S(r, f), i=$ 1, 2. Then $T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a_{1}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a_{2}}\right)+S(r, f)$.

Lemma 4. (See [6]) Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and $k, p$ be two positve integers. Then $N_{p}\left(r \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{p+k}\left(r \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)$. Clearly $\bar{N}\left(r \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)=N_{1}\left(r \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)$.

Lemma 5. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two meromorphic functions, and let $k$ be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta & =(2 k+3) \Theta(\infty, f)+(2 k+4) \Theta(\infty, g)+(k+2) \Theta(0, f) \\
& +(2 k+3) \Theta(0, g)+\delta_{k+1}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, g)>7 k+13 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

then either $f^{(k)} g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{f^{(k+2)}(z)}{f^{(k+1)}(z)}-2 \frac{f^{(k+1)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)-1}-\frac{g^{(k+2)}(z)}{g^{(k+1)}(z)}+2 \frac{g^{(k+1)}(z)}{g^{(k)}(z)-1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z_{0}$ is a common simple 1-point of $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$, substituting their Taylor series at $z_{0}$ into (2), we see that $z_{0}$ is a zero of $h(z)$. Thus, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) & =N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{h}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \leq T(r, h)+O(1) \leq N(r, h)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

By our assumptions, $h(z)$ has poles only at zeros of $f^{(k+1)}$ and $g^{(k+1)}$ and poles of $f$ and $g$, and those 1-points of $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ whose multiplicities are distinct from the multiplicities of corresponding 1-points of $g^{(k)}$ and $f^{(k)}$ respectively. Thus, we deduce from (2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
N(r, h) & \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& +N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ has the same meaning as in Lemma 2. By Lemma 2, we have:
(5) $T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f)$,
(6) $T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-c}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, g)$.

Since $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \\
& \leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \\
& \leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)+O(1) \\
& \leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+m(r, f) \\
& +m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+N(r, f)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+T(r, f)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that by Lemma 4 we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) & =N_{1}\left(r \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)
\end{aligned} \quad \leq N_{1+k}\left(r \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f), ~ \begin{aligned}
& \leq(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f),  \tag{8}\\
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)-\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) \\
& \leq N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

So, we have:

$$
\text { (9) } \quad \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f) \text {. }
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, g) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3)-(10) we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, g) & \leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
& +(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set $I$ with infinite measure such that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$ for $r \in I$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, g) & \leq\{[(7 k+14)-(2 k+3) \Theta(\infty, f)-(2 k+4) \Theta(\infty, g)-(k+2) \Theta(0, f) \\
& \left.\left.-(2 k+3) \Theta(0, g)-\delta_{k+1}(0, f)-\delta_{k+1}(0, g)\right]+\varepsilon\right\} T(r, g)+S(r, g), \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

for $r \in I$ and $0<\varepsilon<\Delta-(7 k+13)$. Thus, we obtain from (1) and (11) that $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction. Hence, we get $h(z) \equiv 0$; that is:

$$
\frac{f^{(k+2)}(z)}{f^{(k+1)}(z)}-2 \frac{f^{(k+1)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)-1}=\frac{g^{(k+2)}(z)}{g^{(k+1)}(z)}-2 \frac{g^{(k+1)}(z)}{g^{(k)}(z)-1} .
$$

By solving this equation, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}=\frac{b g^{(k)}+a-b}{g^{(k)}-1}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are two constants. Next, we consider three cases.
Case 1: $b \neq 0$ and $a=b$.
Subcase 1: $b=-1$. Then we deduce from (12) that $f^{(k)}(z) g^{(k)}(z) \equiv 1$.
Subcase 2. $b \neq-1$. Then we get from (12) that $\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}=\frac{b g^{(k)}}{(1+b) g^{(k)}-1}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-\frac{1}{1+b}}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (8), we get:

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-\frac{1}{1+b}}\right) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) .
$$

By Lemma 2 we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, g) & \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-\frac{1}{b+1}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is $T(r, g) \leq(7 k+14-\Delta) T(r, g)+S(r, g)$ for $r \in I$. Thus, by (1), we obtain that $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction.

Case 2: $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$.
Subcase 1. $b=-1$. Then we obtain from (12) that $f^{(k)}=\frac{a}{-g^{(k)}+a+1}$, so

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{a}{-g^{(k)}+a+1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)=\bar{N}(r, f) .
$$

By Lemma 2 we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, g) & \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-(a+1)}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using an argument as in Case 1, we get a contradiction.
Subcase 2. $b \neq-1$. Then we get from (12) that $f^{(k)}-\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)=\frac{-a}{b^{2}\left(g^{(k)}+\frac{a-b}{b}\right)}$. Therefore

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}+\frac{a-b}{b}}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, f^{(k)}-\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)\right)=\bar{N}(r, f) .
$$

By Lemma 2, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, g) & \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}+\frac{a-b}{b}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using an argument as in Case 1, we get a contradiction.
Case 3: $b=0$. From (12), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{1}{a} g+P(z), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z)$ is a polynomial. If $P(z) \neq 0$, then by Lemma 3 we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-P}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

From (14), we obtain $T(r, f)=T(r, g)+S(r, f)$. Hence, substituting this into (15), we get:

$$
T(r, f) \leq\{3-[\Theta(\infty, f)+\Theta(0, f)+\Theta(0, g)]+\varepsilon\} T(r, f)+S(r, f),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\varepsilon & <1-\delta_{k+1}(0, f)+1-\delta_{k+1}(0, g)+(2 k+2)[1-\Theta(\infty, f)] \\
& +(2 k+4)[1-\Theta(\infty, g)]+[1-\Theta(0, f)]+2[1-\Theta(0, g)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $T(r, f) \leq[7 k+14-\Delta] T(r, f)+S(r, f)$. Then $[\Delta-(7 k+13)] T(r, f)<$ $S(r, f)$. Hence, by (1), we deduce that $T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction. Therefore, we deduce that $P(z) \equiv 0$, that is $f=\frac{1}{a} g$. If $a \neq 1$, then $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ sharing the value 1 IM , we deduce that $g^{(k)} \neq 1$. That is $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)=0$. Next, we can deduce a contradiction as in Case 1. Thus, we get that $a=1$, that is $f \equiv g$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5 is completed.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let $F(z)=f^{n}(f-1)$ and $G(z)=f^{n}(f-1)$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =(2 k+3) \Theta(\infty, F)+(2 k+4) \Theta(\infty, G)+(k+2) \Theta(0, F) \\
& +(2 k+3) \Theta(0, G)+\delta_{k+1}(0, F)+\delta_{k+1}(0, G)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(0, F) & =1-\overline{\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}(f-1)}\right)}{(n+1) T(r, F)}=1-\overline{\lim }_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)}{(n+1) T(r, f)} \\
& \geq 1-\overline{\lim }_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 T(r, f)}{(n+1) T(r, f)} \geq \frac{n-1}{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have:

$$
\Theta(0, G) \geq \frac{n-1}{n+1}, \quad \Theta(\infty, F) \geq \frac{n}{n+1}, \quad \Theta(\infty, G) \geq \frac{n}{n+1}
$$

Next, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{k+1}(0, F) & =1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)}{T(r, F)}=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k+1) N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}(f-1)}\right)}{(n+1) T(r, f)} \\
& \geq 1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k+2) T(r, f)}{(n+1) T(r, f)} \geq 1-\frac{k+2}{n+1}=\frac{n-(k+1)}{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $\delta_{k+1}(0, G) \geq \frac{n-(k+1)}{n+1}$. From the above equalities we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =(2 k+3) \frac{n}{n+1}+(2 k+4) \frac{n}{n+1}+(k+2) \frac{n-1}{n+1} \\
& +(2 k+3) \frac{n-1}{n+1}+\frac{n-(k+1)}{n+1}+\frac{n-(k+1)}{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n>12 k+20$, we get $\Delta>7 k+13$. Considering $F^{(k)}(z)=\left[f^{n}(z)\right]^{(k)}$ and $G^{(k)}(z)=\left[g^{n}(z)\right]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM, then by Lemma 5 we deduce that either $F^{(k)}(z) G^{(k)}(z) \equiv 1$ or $F \equiv G$.

Next we consider two cases.

Case 1. $F^{(k)}(z) G^{(k)}(z) \equiv 1$, so $\left[f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1)\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1)\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$.
Case 2. $F \equiv G$, so $f^{n}(f-1) \equiv g^{n}(g-1)$.
Suppose $f \neq g$. Then we consider two cases:
(i) Let $H=\frac{f}{g}$ be a constant. Then it follows that $H \neq 1, H^{n} \neq 1, H^{n+1} \neq 1$ and $g=\frac{1-H^{n}}{1-H^{n+1}}$ is a constant, which leads to a contradiction.
(ii) Let $H=\frac{f}{g}$ be not a constant. Since $f \neq g$, we have $H \neq 1$ and hence we deduce that $g=\frac{1-H^{n}}{1-H^{n+1}}$ and $f=\frac{1-H^{n}}{1-H^{n+1}} H=\frac{\left(1+H+H^{2}+\cdots+H^{n-1}\right) H}{1+H+H^{2}+\cdots+H^{n}}$, where $H$ is a non-constant meromorphic function. It follows that $T(r, f)=T(r, g H)=$ $n T(r, H)+S(r, f)$.

On the other hand, by the second fundamental theorem, we deduce that $\bar{N}(r, f)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{H-\alpha_{j}}\right) \geq(n-2) T(r, H)+S(r, f)$, where $\alpha_{j} \neq 1(j=$ $1,2, \ldots, n)$ are distinct roots of the algebraic equation $H^{n+1}=1$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\infty, f) & =1-\overline{\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\bar{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq 1-\overline{\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{(n-2) T(r, H)+S(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \\
& \leq 1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n-2) T(r, H)+S(r, f)}{n T(r, H)+S(r, f)} \leq 1-\frac{n-2}{n}=\frac{2}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the assumption $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n}$.
Thus $f \equiv g$. This completes the proof.
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