

QUASICONFORMAL EXTENSIONS AND q -SUBORDINATION CHAINS IN \mathbb{C}^n

PAULA CURT and GABRIELA KOHR

Abstract. Let B be the unit ball with respect to Euclidean norm on \mathbb{C}^n . In this note we introduce the notion of a q -subordination chain defined on $B \times [0, \infty)$ and we deduce conditions for the first element of a q -subordination chain to be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} onto itself.

MSC 2000. 32H, 30C45.

Key words. Biholomorphic mapping, Loewner differential equation, subordination, subordination chain, q -subordination chain, quasiconformal extension.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{C}^n denote the space of n complex variables $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. The origin $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is denoted by 0 and by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)$ we denote the space of continuous linear operators from \mathbb{C}^n into \mathbb{C}^m with the standard operator norm. Let I denote the identity in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)$.

We consider \mathbb{C}^n with the usual inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the Euclidean norm $\| \cdot \|$. By $\mathcal{H}(B)$ we denote the set of function

$$f(z) = (f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z)), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

that are holomorphic in $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < 1\}$ with values in \mathbb{C}^n . If $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$, we say that f is normalized if $f(0) = 0$ and $Df(0) = I$. Here $Df(z)$ means the first Fréchet derivative of f at $z \in B$.

We say that $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ is locally biholomorphic on B if f has a local holomorphic inverse at each point in B .

If $f, g \in \mathcal{H}(B)$, we say that f is subordinate to g if there is a Schwarz mapping v such that $f(z) = g(v(z))$, $z \in B$. We shall write $f \prec g$ to mean that f is subordinate to g .

DEFINITION 1.1. The mapping $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is called a normalized Loewner chain (normalized subordination chain) if

- (i) $L(\cdot, t)$ is holomorphic and univalent on B , $t \geq 0$;
- (ii) $L(0, t) = 0$, $DL(0, t) = e^t I$, $t \geq 0$;
- (iii) $L(\cdot, s) \prec L(\cdot, t)$ for $0 \leq s < t < \infty$;

The subordination condition (iii) is equivalent to the fact that

$$L(z, s) = L(v(z, s, t), t), \quad z \in B, \quad 0 \leq s < t < \infty$$

where $v = v(z, s, t)$ is a univalent Schwarz mapping, normalized by $v(0, s, t) = 0$ and $Dv(0, s, t) = e^{s-t} I$.

The mapping v is called the transition mapping associated to the Loewner chain L .

An important role in our discussion is played by the n -dimensional version of the Carathéodory set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{h \in \mathcal{H}(B) : h(0) = 0, Dh(0) = I, \operatorname{Re} \langle h(z), z \rangle \geq 0, z \in B\}.$$

Recently in [4] (see also [2] and [5]), the authors proved the following result, which will be used in the next.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a normalized Loewner chain. Then $f(z, \cdot)$ is locally absolutely continuous on $[0, \infty)$ locally uniformly with respect to $z \in B$, and there exists a set $E \subset (0, \infty)$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that for all $t \in [0, \infty) \setminus E$, there exists $h = h(z, t)$ such that $h(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{M}$, $h(z, \cdot)$ is Lebesgue measurable on $[0, \infty)$ for each $z \in B$, and*

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(z, t) = DL(z, t), \quad t \in [0, \infty) \setminus E, \quad \forall z \in B.$$

DEFINITION 1.3. Let G, G' be domains in \mathbb{R}^m . Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^m . A homeomorphism $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is said to be K -quasiconformal if it is differentiable a.e., ACL (absolutely continuous on lines) and

$$\|Df(x)\|^m \leq K |\det Df(x)| \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,$$

where $Df(x)$ denotes the (real) Jacobian matrix of f , K is constant and

$$\|Df(x)\| = \sup\{\|Df(x)(a)\| : \|a\| = 1\}.$$

In this note we deduce conditions for the first element of a q -subordination chain to be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} onto itself. Other results related to quasiconformal extension of the first element of a Loewner chain were recently obtained by Hamada and Kohr ([7], [8]) and Curt and Kohr [3].

2. MAIN RESULTS

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a normalized subordination chain and let $q \in [0, 1)$.

We say that L is a q -normalized subordination chain if the mapping h defined by Theorem 1.2 satisfies the following conditions:

(i) The following inequalities hold

$$(2) \quad \|z\|^2 \frac{1 - q\|z\|}{1 + q\|z\|} \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle h(z, t), z \rangle \leq \|z\|^2 \frac{1 + q\|z\|}{1 - q\|z\|}, \quad z \in B, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, \infty).$$

(ii) There is $q_1 > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \|h(z, t)\| \leq q_1, \quad z \in B, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Next, we shall present some classes of mappings which satisfy the conditions (2) and (3).

REMARK 2.2. Let $q \in [0, 1)$ and $h : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be defined by

$$(4) \quad h(z, t) = [I - E(z, t)]^{-1}[I + E(z, t)](z)$$

where the mapping E satisfies

- (i) $E(z, t) \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $z \in B$, $t \in [0, \infty)$
- (ii) $E(\cdot, t) : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is an holomorphic mapping
- (iii) $E(0, t) = 0$, $\|E(z, t)\| \leq q < 1$.

Then h satisfies (2) and (3).

Proof. By using the Schwarz lemma (see [9]) we easily obtain

$$\|E(z, t)\| \leq q\|z\|, \quad z \in B.$$

The previous inequality and Definition 2.1 imply that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \|h(z, t)\| - \|z\| \right| &\leq \|h(z, t) - z\| = \|E(z, t)(h(z, t) + z)\| \\ &\leq q\|z\|(\|h(z, t)\| + \|z\|) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|h(z, t)\| \leq \|z\| \frac{1 + q\|z\|}{1 - q\|z\|} < \frac{1 + q}{1 - q}.$$

We obtain that (3) holds with $q_1 = \frac{1+q}{1-q}$.

The right inequality in (2) is an immediate consequence of the following inequality

$$\|h(z, t)\| \leq \|z\| \frac{1 + q\|z\|}{1 - q\|z\|}.$$

In order to prove the left part of (2) we shall first prove that

$$(6) \quad \|z\| \frac{1 - q\|z\|}{1 + q\|z\|} \leq \|h(z, t)\|, \quad z \in B.$$

From the definition of h we have

$$\|h(z, t) - z\|^2 \leq q^2\|z\|^2\|h(z, t) + z\|^2$$

and hence

$$\|h(z, t)\|^2 + \|z\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \langle h(z, t), z \rangle \leq q^2\|z\|^2(\|h(z, t)\|^2 + \|z\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \langle h(z, t), z \rangle).$$

By using the previous two inequalities we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + q^2\|z\|^2)\operatorname{Re} \langle h(z, t), z \rangle &\geq (1 - q^2\|z\|^2)(\|h(z, t)\|^2 + \|z\|^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1 - q^2\|z\|^2}{(1 + q\|z\|)^2}(1 + q^2\|z\|^2)\|z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where from the left part (3) is an easily consequence. \square

In the next remark we shall present a large class of mappings which satisfy (3).

REMARK 2.3. Let $h : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

(i) $h(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}(B)$, $h(0, t) = 0$, $Dh(0, t) = I$, $t \in [0, \infty)$.

(ii) There exists $q \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(7) \quad \left| \frac{\langle h(z, t), z \rangle}{\|z\|^2} - \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2} \right| \leq \frac{2q}{1 - q}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then h satisfies the inequality (3).

Proof. Let $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$, $t \geq 0$ and let $p : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$p(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} \left\langle h \left(\zeta \frac{z}{\|z\|}, t \right), \frac{z}{\|z\|} \right\rangle, \quad \text{if } \zeta \neq 0$$

and

$$p(0) = \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow 0} p(\zeta).$$

Since $p(0) = 1$ and $\left| p(\zeta) - \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2} \right| \leq \frac{2q}{1 - q^2}$ we have $p(\zeta) \prec \frac{1 + q\zeta}{1 - q\zeta}$, and hence

$$\frac{1 - q|\zeta|}{1 + q|\zeta|} \leq \operatorname{Re} p(\zeta) \leq \frac{1 + q|\zeta|}{1 - q|\zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in U.$$

If we take $\zeta = \|z\|$ in the previous inequality we easily obtain that (3) holds. \square

We now are able to present our main result.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $q \in [0, 1)$ and $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a normalized q -subordination chain. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *There exist $M > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$(8) \quad \|DL(z, t)\| \leq \frac{e^t M}{(1 - \|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

(ii) *There exists $K > 0$ such that $L(\cdot, t)$ is K -quasiconformal for each $t \geq 0$.*

Further, suppose that there exist a sequence $\{t_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $t_m > 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = \infty$, and a mapping $F \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ such that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(z, t_m)}{e^{t_m}} = F(z),$$

locally uniformly on B . Then $f(z) = L(z, 0)$ extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} onto itself.

The proof is based on several lemmas which will be first presented.

Lemma 2.5 (see [11]) is the n -dimensional version of Hardy's and Littlewood's Theorem [6]. This result will be applied in order to extend to \overline{B} the mappings $L(\cdot, t)$ ($t \geq 0$) given in Theorem 2.4.

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and g is a complex valued holomorphic function for $z \in B$ such that*

$$(10) \quad \left| \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z_j} \right| \leq \frac{M_j}{(1 - \|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad z \in B.$$

Then g has a continuous extension to \overline{B} and there is $A > 0$ such that

$$(11) \quad |g(z) - g(w)| \leq A\|z - w\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad z, w \in \overline{B}.$$

LEMMA 2.6. [11] *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$, $M > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ be such that*

$$(12) \quad \|Df(z)\| \leq \frac{M}{(1 - \|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad z \in B.$$

Then f has a continuous extension to \overline{B} (also denoted by f) and there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$(13) \quad \|f(z) - f(w)\| \leq A\|z - w\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad z, w \in B.$$

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $v : B \times [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the transition mapping associated to a q -normalized subordination chain. Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$(14) \quad \frac{e^t \|v(z, s, t)\|}{(1 + q\|v(z, s, t)\|)^2} \geq \frac{e^s \|z\|}{(1 + q\|z\|)^2}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq s,$$

$$(15) \quad \frac{e^t \|v(z, s, t)\|}{(1 - q\|v(z, s, t)\|)^2} \leq \frac{e^s \|z\|}{(1 - q\|z\|)^2}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq s.$$

Also, for all $t \geq s$ we have

$$(16) \quad \overline{v(B, s, t)} \subseteq B.$$

Proof. For all $s \geq 0$ and a.e. $t \geq s$ we have (see [2])

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(z, s, t) = -h(v(z, s, t)), \quad z \in B$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\| = \frac{1}{\|v(t)\|} \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \frac{dv}{dt}(t), v(t) \right\rangle.$$

By using the previous inequalities and (2) we obtain that

$$(17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\| = -\frac{1}{\|v(t)\|} \operatorname{Re} \langle h(v(t), t), v(t) \rangle, \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq s.$$

$$-\|v(t)\| \frac{1 + q\|v(t)\|}{1 - q\|v(t)\|} \leq \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\| \leq -\|v(t)\| \frac{1 - q\|v(t)\|}{1 + q\|v(t)\|}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq s.$$

We may integrate the inequality (17) and make a change of variable to obtain (14).

In order to obtain (15) we use the inequality

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\|v(\tau)\|}{\|v(\tau)\|} \leq -\frac{1-q\|v(\tau)\|}{1+q\|v(\tau)\|} \leq -\frac{1-q}{1+q} \text{ a.e. } \tau \in [s, t].$$

We integrate the previous inequality and obtain that

$$\|v(z, s, t)\| \leq \|z\| e^{-\frac{1-q}{1+q}(t-s)}$$

which shows that (16) holds. \square

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a q -normalized subordination chain and let $\{t_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence with $t_m > 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = \infty$, $F \in \mathcal{H}(B)$, such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(z, t_m)}{e^{t_m}} = F(z)$$

locally uniformly on B . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$(18) \quad \frac{e^s \|z\|}{(1+q\|z\|)^2} \leq \|L(z, s)\| \leq \frac{e^s \|z\|}{(1-q\|z\|)^2}, \quad z \in B, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Proof. The inequalities (18) are easily consequence of (14), (15) and of the fact that (see [2])

$$L(z, s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(z, s, t)$$

locally uniformly on B . \square

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a q -normalized subordination chain and let $M > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ be such that*

$$(19) \quad \|DL(z, t)\| \leq \frac{e^t M}{(1-\|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) *For each $t \geq 0$ the mapping $L(\cdot, t)$ has a continuous and univalent extension to \overline{B} (also denoted by $L(\cdot, t)$).*

(ii) *There exist $K, L > 0$ such that*

$$(20) \quad e^{-t} \|L(z, t) - L(w, t)\| \leq K \|z - w\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad z, w \in \overline{B}, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$(21) \quad \|L(z, t) - L(z, s)\| \leq L e^t (t-s)^{1-\alpha}, \quad z \in \overline{B}, \quad 0 \leq s < t.$$

Proof. By using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 and the assumption (19) we deduce that the mapping $e^{-t} L(\cdot, t)$ has a continuous extension to \overline{B} and

$$e^{-t} \|L(z, t) - L(w, t)\| \leq K \|z - w\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad z, w \in \overline{B}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence, the condition (21) is fulfilled.

Since $L(z, s) = L(v(z, s, t), t)$ for $0 \leq s < t$ and $L(\cdot, s)$ is continuous on \overline{B} , by using (16) we have $L(\overline{B}, s) \subset L(B, t)$ for $0 \leq s < t$. Then $v(z, s, t) = L^{-1}(L(z, s), t)$, $z \in \overline{B}$, defines a continuous extension of v to \overline{B} .

For $z \in B$, $t > s \geq 0$, we have

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \|z - v(z, s, t)\| &= \left\| \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} v(z, s, \tau) d\tau \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_s^t h(v(z, s, \tau), \tau) d\tau \right\| \leq q_1(t - s). \end{aligned}$$

Since v is continuous on \overline{B} , the previous relation holds for $z \in \overline{B}$. Next, we shall prove that $L(\cdot, s)$ is univalent on \overline{B} . Suppose that $L(z_1, s) = L(z_2, s)$, for $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{B}$. Then for $t > s$ we have

$$L(v(z_1, s, t), t) = L(v(z_2, s, t), t).$$

Since $v(z_1, s, t), v(z_2, s, t) \in B$ for $0 \leq s < t$ and $L(\cdot, t)$ is univalent on B , we obtain $v(z_1, s, t) = v(z_2, s, t)$. If we let $t \rightarrow s$, $v(z_1, s, t) = v(z_2, s, t)$ we obtain that $z_1 = z_2$. Here we also use (22).

From (22) and (19) we easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(z, s) - L(z, t)\| &= \|L(v(z, s, t), t) - L(z, t)\| \leq e^t M \|z - v(z, s, t)\|^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq e^t M q_1^{1-\alpha} (t - s)^{1-\alpha}, \quad z \in \overline{B}, \quad t > s \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that (21) holds with $L = M q_1^{1-\alpha}$. \square

We are now able to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} L(z, 0), & \|z\| \leq 1 \\ L\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \log \|z\|\right), & \|z\| > 1. \end{cases}$$

First, we will show that F is a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} onto itself. Since for every $t \geq 0$, the mapping $L(\cdot, t)$ is univalent on \overline{B} and for all $0 \leq s < t$ we have $L(\overline{B}, s) \subseteq L(B, t)$ we obtain that F is univalent on \mathbb{C}^n (\mathbb{R}^{2n}).

The continuity in \mathbb{C}^n (\mathbb{R}^{2n}) of the extension F follows since (20) and (21) yield that $L(z, t)$ is continuous in $\overline{B} \times [0, \infty)$. The left-hand inequality (18) shows that $F(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and hence that F is a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . It remains to show that F is quasiconformal in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We shall do this by using an approximation argument similar to Becker's [1] and Pfaltzgraff [11].

Let $r > 1$ and let

$$(23) \quad L_r(z, t) = rL\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right), \quad h_r(z, t) = rh\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(24) \quad F_r(z) = \begin{cases} L_r(z, 0), & \|z\| \leq 1 \\ L_r\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \log \|z\|\right), & \|z\| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $L_r(z, t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(25) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} L_r(z, t) = DL_r(z, t)h_r(z, t) \text{ a.e. } t \geq 0, \text{ for all } \|z\| < r$$

and hence $\|z\| \leq 1$.

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_r(z, t) - L(z, t)\| &\leq \left\| rL\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right) - L\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right) \right\| + \left\| L\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right) - L(z, t) \right\| \\ &\leq (1-r) \left\| L\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right) \right\| + Me^t \left\| \frac{z}{r} - z \right\|^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{t\frac{\|z\|}{r}}}{\left(1 - \frac{q\|z\|}{r}\right)^2} (1-r) + Me^t \frac{\|z\|^{1-\alpha}}{r^{1-\alpha}} (1-r)^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{\frac{T}{r}}}{\left(1 - \frac{q}{r}\right)^2} (1-r) + Me^T \frac{1}{r^{1-\alpha}} (1-r)^{1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\|z\| \leq 1$, $0 < t \leq T$, we deduce that $L_r(z, t) \rightarrow L(z, t)$, uniformly in $\|z\| \leq 1$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, as r decreases to 1. Hence F_r converges to F uniformly in \mathbb{R}^{2n} as r decreases to 1.

Next, we shall show that F_r (as a mapping from \mathbb{R}^{2n} to \mathbb{R}^{2n}) is ACL, differentiable a.e., and has outer dilatation bounded a.e. by a bound independent of r . Then it will follow [12] that F is quasiconformal.

We show that $e^{-t}L_r(z, t)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on \bar{B} with exponent 1. Indeed, we have

$$\|DL_r(z, t)\| = \left\| DL\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right) \right\| \leq \frac{e^t M}{\left(1 - \frac{\|z\|}{r}\right)^\alpha} \leq \frac{e^t M}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^\alpha}$$

and hence

$$(26) \quad \|L_r(z, t) - L_r(w, t)\| \leq \frac{e^t M}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^\alpha} \|z - w\| = e^t M(r) \|z - w\|, \quad z, w \in \bar{B}.$$

By using (26) and the fact that L is a Loewner chain we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_r(z, t) - L_r(z, s)\| &= r \left\| L\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right) - L\left(\frac{z}{r}, s\right) \right\| \\ &= r \left\| L\left(\frac{z}{r}, t\right) - L\left(v\left(\frac{z}{r}, s, t\right), t\right) \right\| \\ (27) \quad &\leq e^t M(r) r \left\| \frac{z}{r} - v\left(\frac{z}{r}, s, t\right) \right\| \\ &\leq e^t M(r) r q_1 (t - s) \\ &= e^t L(r) (t - s), \quad z \in \bar{B}, \quad 0 \leq s < t. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will show that F_r satisfies a local Lipschitz condition (with exponent one) on \mathbb{C}^n . It is sufficient to prove this condition for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\|z - w\| < 1$. We prove this condition in the following 3 cases:

- i) $z, w \in \overline{B}$;
 - ii) $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus B$, $\|z\| \leq \|w\|$ and $\|w - z\| < 1$;
 - iii) $z \in B$, $w \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus B$.
- i) If $z, w \in \overline{B}$ we obtain by (26) that:

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \|F_r(z) - F_r(w)\| &= \|L_r(z, 0) - L_r(w, 0)\| \\ &\leq M(r)\|z - w\|. \end{aligned}$$

ii) If $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\|z\| \leq \|w\|$ and $\|w - z\| < 1$ we obtain by (26) and (27) that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \|F_r(z) - F_r(w)\| &= \left\| L_r\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \log \|z\|\right) - L_r\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|}, \log \|w\|\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| L_r\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \log \|z\|\right) - L_r\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \log \|w\|\right) \right\| + \\ &\quad + \left\| L_r\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \log \|w\|\right) - L_r\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|}, \log \|w\|\right) \right\| \\ &\leq M(r) \left\| z - \frac{\|z\|}{\|w\|} w \right\| + \|w\| \log \frac{\|w\|}{\|z\|} L(r) \\ &\leq qM(r)\|z - w\| + \frac{\|w\|}{\|z\|} (\|w\| - \|z\|) L(r) \\ &\leq 2[M(r) + L(r)]\|w - z\|. \end{aligned}$$

iii) If $z \in B$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{B}$ then there exists a real number β with $0 < \beta < 1$ such that $u = (1 - \beta)z + \beta w \in \partial B$. By using (28) and (29) we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_r(z) - F_r(w)\| &\leq \|F_r(z) - F_r(u)\| + \|F_r(u) - F_r(w)\| \\ &= \|L_r(z, 0) - L_r(u, 0)\| + \left\| L_r(u, 0) - L_r\left(\frac{w}{\|w\|}, \log \|w\|\right) \right\| \\ &\leq M(r)\|u - z\| + 2[M(r) + L(r)]\|u - w\| \\ &\leq [3M(r) + 2L(r)]\|z - w\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, F_r satisfies a local Lipschitz condition. Hence F_r is ACL in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and so is (real) differentiable a.e. in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

It remains to prove that F_r has outer dilatation bounded a.e. by a bound independent of r .

Let $r > 1$ and let $G(z) = F_r(z)$ (in order to simplify notation).

We let $z = (x, y) = (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$, $\|z\| \geq 1$, be a point when the mapping $G = (U, V) = (U_1, V_1, U_2, V_2, \dots, U_n, V_n)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G((x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)) &= (U_1, V_1, \dots, U_n, V_n) \\ U_k &= \operatorname{Re} G_k(x, y), \quad V_k = \operatorname{Im} G_k(x, y), \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

is differentiable.

To compute the (real) derivative of (30) we use the chain rule on the composed mappings.

By denoting $\zeta = \frac{z}{r\|z\|}$, $t = \log \|z\|$, $u_k = \operatorname{Re} L_k(\zeta, t)$, $v_k = \operatorname{Im} L_k(\zeta, t)$ we obtain:

$$(30) \quad D(U, V, x, y) = \frac{1}{\|z\|} D(u, v, \xi, \eta) \left\{ I + r^2 \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} (h(\zeta, t) - \zeta) \\ \operatorname{Im} (h(\zeta, t) - \zeta) \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \eta) \right\}$$

If we denote by $A = r^2 \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} (h(\zeta, t) - \zeta) \\ \operatorname{Im} (h(\zeta, t) - \zeta) \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \eta)$ by using a similar argument as in [11] we obtain that

$$\det(I + A) \geq \frac{1 - q}{1 + q}$$

and hence

$$D(U, V; x, y) = \frac{1}{\|z\|} D(u, v, \xi, \eta) [I + A]$$

Also, we have

$$\|D(U, V; x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{\|z\|} \|DL(\zeta, t)\| \|I + A\|.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\| &\leq r^2 \|h(\zeta, t) - \zeta\| \left\| \frac{z}{r\|z\|} \right\| = t \|h(\zeta, t) - \zeta\| \\ &\leq 1 + r \|h(\zeta, t)\| \leq 1 + q_1 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|I + A\| \leq 2 + q_1$.

By using the previous inequalities and the fact that $L(z, t)$ is a quasiconformal mapping we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(U, V; x, y)\|^{2n} &\leq \|z\|^{-2n} \|DL(\zeta, t)\|^{2n} (2 + q_1)^{2n} \\ &\leq \frac{1 + q}{1 - q} (2 + q_1)^{2n} |J(U, V; x, y)|. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] BECKER, J., *Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **255** (1972), 23–43.
- [2] CURT, P. and KOHR, G., *Subordination chains and Loewner differential equations in several complex variables*, Ann. Univ. Mariae-Curie Skłodowska, **57** (2003), 35–43.
- [3] CURT, P. and KOHR, G., *The asymptotical case of certain quasiconformal extension results for holomorphic mappings in \mathbb{C}^n* , Bull. Belgian Math. J., to appear.
- [4] GRAHAM, I., HAMADA, H. and KOHR, G., *Parametric representation of univalent mappings in several complex variables*, Canadian J. Math., **54** (2002), 324–351.
- [5] GRAHAM, I. and KOHR, G., *Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions*, Marcel Dekker Inc., 2003.

- [6] GOLUZIN, G.M., *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. **26**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1969.
- [7] HAMADA, H. and KOHR, G., *Loewner chains and quasiconformal extension of holomorphic mappings*, Ann. Polon. Math., **81** (2003), 85–100.
- [8] HAMADA, H. and KOHR, G., *Quasiconformal extension of biholomorphic mappings in several complex variables*, J. Anal. Math., **96** (2005), 269–282.
- [9] HILLE, E. AND PHILLIPS, R.S., *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., **31** (1957).
- [10] PFALTZGRAFF, J.A., *Subordination chains and univalence of holomorphic mappings in \mathbb{C}^n* , Math. Ann., **210** (1974), 55–68.
- [11] PFALTZGRAFF, J.A., *Subordination chains and quasiconformal extension of holomorphic maps in \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae, Ser. A, Mathematica, **1** (1975), 13–25.
- [12] VÄISÄLÄ, J., *Lectures on n -Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, vol. **229**, Springer Verlag, 1971.

Received January 15, 2007

*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Babeş-Bolyai University
Str. M. Kogălniceanu nr. 1
400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
E-mail: paula@math.ubbcluj.ro
E-mail: gkohl@math.ubbcluj.ro*