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#### Abstract

Let $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, b_{n}>0$ be a fixed analytic function defined on $\Delta=\{z ;|z|<1\}$. In the present investigation, we introduce the class of functions $f=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, a_{n} \geq 0$ satisfying $$
\Re\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * g)(z)}\right)<\alpha \quad(z \in \Delta ; 1<\alpha<3 / 2)
$$ and obtain the coefficient inequality, coefficient estimate, distortion theorem, and a closure theorem. Also we consider a radius problem. Our result contains several new results as special cases.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let $T$ be the class of all analytic univalent functions

$$
f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(a_{n} \geq 0 ; z \in \Delta=\{z ;|z|<1\}\right)
$$

A function $f(z) \in T$ is called a function with negative coefficients. The subclass of $T$ consisting of starlike functions of order $\alpha$, denoted by $T S^{*}(\alpha)$, is studied by Silverman [6]. Several other class of starlike functions with negative coefficients were studied; e.g., see [1]. For two analytic functions $f(z)=$ $z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, the convolution (or Hadamard product) of $f$ and $g$, denoted by $f * g$ or $(f * g)(z)$, is defined to be function $(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}$. Let $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ be a fixed analytic function in $\Delta$ with $b_{n}>0,(n \geq 2)$. Using convolution, Ali et al. [2] (see also [4]) have studied a more general class of multivalent functions which includes the class $T S_{g}(\alpha)$ defined by

$$
T S_{g}^{*}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in T: \Re\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * g)(z)}\right)>\alpha \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1 ; z \in \Delta)\right\}
$$

Ravichandran and Sivaprasad Kumar [5] have studied a similar class of meromorphic functions. Note that several well-known subclasses of functions are special cases of the class $T S_{g}^{*}(\alpha)$ for suitable choices of $g(z)$. When $g(z)=$ $z /(1-z)$, the class $T S_{g}^{*}(\alpha)$ is the class $T S^{*}(\alpha)$ of starlike functions with negative coefficients of order $\alpha$ introduced and studied by Silverman [6]. When
$g(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$, the class $T S_{g}^{*}(\alpha)$ is the class of convex functions with negative coefficients of order $\alpha$ introduced and studied by Silverman [6]. The class $T_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ studied by Ahuja [1] is a special case of $T S_{g}^{*}(\alpha)$ when $g(z)=z /(1-z)^{\lambda+1}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of all analytic functions $f(z)$ with $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. The class $M(\alpha)$ defined by

$$
M(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)<\alpha \quad(1<\alpha<3 / 2 ; z \in \Delta)\right\}
$$

was investigated by Uralegaddi et al. [7]. A subclass of $M(\alpha)$ was recently investigated by Owa and Srivastava [3].

In this paper, we introduce a more general class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ of analytic function with positive coefficient motivated by $M(\alpha)$ and the earlier work of Ali et al. [2]. For the newly defined class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$, we obtain the coefficient inequality, coefficient estimate, distortion theorem, and a closure theorem. Also we compute the radius of starlikeness of order $\beta$ and the radius of convexity of order $\beta$ for the functions in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$. Our result contains several results as special cases.

Definition 1. Let $P$ be the class of all analytic functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(a_{n} \geq 0\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(b_{n}>0\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a fixed analytic function in $\Delta$. Define the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ by

$$
P M_{g}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in P: \Re\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * g)(z)}\right)<\alpha \quad(1<\alpha<3 / 2 ; z \in \Delta) .\right\}
$$

When $g(z)=z /(1-z)$, the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ reduces to the subclass $P M(\alpha)=$ $P \cap M(\alpha)$. When $g(z)=z /(1-z)^{\lambda+1}$, the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ reduces to the following class $P_{\lambda}(\alpha)$

$$
P_{\lambda}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in P: \Re\left(\frac{z\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\lambda} f(z)}\right)<\alpha, \quad(\lambda>-1,1<\alpha<3 / 2 ; z \in \Delta)\right\},
$$

where $D^{\lambda}$ denotes the Ruscheweyh derivative of order $\lambda$. When $g(z)=z+$ $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{m} z^{n}$, the class of function $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ reduces to the class $P M_{m}(\alpha)$ where

$$
P M_{m}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in P: \Re\left(\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{m} f(z)}\right)<\alpha \quad(1<\alpha<3 / 2 ; m \geq 0 ; z \in \Delta)\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{m}$ denotes the Salagean derivative of order $m$. Also we have

$$
P M(\alpha) \equiv P_{0}(\alpha) \equiv P M_{0}(\alpha) .
$$

## 2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

Throughout the paper, we assume that the function $f(z)$ is given by the equation (1) and $g(z)$ is given by (2). We first prove a necessary and sufficient condition for functions to be in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ in the following:

Theorem 1. A function $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) a_{n} b_{n} \leq \alpha-1 \quad(1<\alpha<3 / 2) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$, then (3) follows from

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * g)(z)}\right)<\alpha
$$

by letting $z \rightarrow 1$ - through real values. To prove the converse, assume that (3) holds. Then by making use of (3), we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)-(f * g)(z)}{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)-(2 \alpha-1)(f * g)(z)}\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) a_{n} b_{n}}{2(\alpha-1)-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n-(2 \alpha-1)] a_{n} b_{n}} \leq 1
$$

or, equivalently, $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$.
Corollary 1. A function $f \in P_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) a_{n} B_{n}(\lambda) \leq \alpha-1 \quad(1<\alpha<3 / 2)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(\lambda)=\frac{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2) \cdots(\lambda+n-1)}{(n-1)!} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. A function $f \in P M_{m}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) a_{n} n^{m} \leq \alpha-1 \quad(1<\alpha<3 / 2) .
$$

Our next Theorem gives an estimate for the coefficient of functions in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2. If $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$, then

$$
a_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) b_{n}}
$$

with the equality only for functions of the form

$$
f_{n}(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) b_{n}} z^{n} .
$$

Proof. Let $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$. By making use of the inequality (3) for $f \in$ $P M_{g}(\alpha)$, we have

$$
(n-\alpha) a_{n} b_{n} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) a_{n} b_{n} \leq \alpha-1
$$

or $a_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) b_{n}}$. Clearly for

$$
f_{n}(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) b_{n}} z^{n} \in P M_{g}(\alpha),
$$

we have $a_{n}=\frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) b_{n}}$.
Corollary 3. If $f \in P_{\lambda}(\alpha)$, then

$$
a_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) B_{n}(\lambda)}
$$

with the equality only for functions of the form

$$
f_{n}(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) B_{n}(\lambda)} z^{n},
$$

where $B_{n}(\lambda)$ is given by (4).
Corollary 4. If $f \in P M_{m}(\alpha)$, then

$$
a_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) n^{m}}
$$

with the equality only for functions of the form

$$
f_{n}(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) n^{m}} z^{n} .
$$

## 3. GROWTH THEOREM

We now prove the growth theorem for the functions in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$.
Theorem 3. If $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$, then

$$
r-\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) b_{2}} r^{2} \leq|f(z)| \leq r+\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) b_{2}} r^{2}, \quad|z|=r<1,
$$

provided $b_{n} \geq b_{2}$. The result is sharp for

$$
f(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) b_{2}} z^{2} .
$$

Proof. By making use of the inequality (3) for $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$ together with

$$
(2-\alpha) b_{2} \leq(n-\alpha) b_{n},
$$

we obtain

$$
b_{2}(2-\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) a_{n} b_{n} \leq \alpha-1
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) b_{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (5) for the function $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq r+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} r^{n} \quad(|z|=r) \\
& \leq r+r^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \\
& \leq r+r^{2} \frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) b_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly we have

$$
|f(z)| \geq r-r^{2} \frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) b_{2}}
$$

Corollary 5. If $f \in P_{\lambda}(\alpha)$, then

$$
r-\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha)(\lambda+1)} r^{2} \leq|f(z)| \leq r+\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha)(\lambda+1)} r^{2} \quad(|z|=r)
$$

The result is sharp for

$$
f(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha)(\lambda+1)} z^{2}
$$

Corollary 6. If $f \in P M_{m}(\alpha)$, then

$$
r-\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) 2^{m}} r^{2} \leq|f(z)| \leq r+\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) 2^{m}} r^{2} \quad(|z|=r)
$$

The result is sharp for

$$
f(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(2-\alpha) 2^{m}} z^{2}
$$

## 4. CLOSURE THEOREMS

Let the functions $F_{k}(z)$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_{n, k} z^{n} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, m) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now prove the following closure theorems for the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$.
ThEOREM 4. Let the function $F_{k}(z)$ defined by (6) be in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ for every $k=1,2, \ldots, m$. Then the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(a_{n} \geq 0\right)
$$

belongs to the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$, where $a_{n}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{n, k}(n=1,2, \ldots)$.
Proof. Since $F_{k}(z) \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) g_{n} f_{n, k} \leq \alpha-1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k=1,2, . ., m$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) g_{n} a_{n} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) g_{n}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{n, k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) g_{n} f_{n, k}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 1, it follows that $f(z) \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$.
Theorem 5. The class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$ is closed under convex linear combination.
Proof. Let the function $F_{k}(z), k=1,2$, given by (6) be in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$. Then it is enough to show that the function

$$
H(z)=\lambda F_{1}(z)+(1-\lambda) F_{2}(z) \quad(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)
$$

is also in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$. Since for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$
H(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\lambda f_{n, 1}+(1-\lambda) f_{n, 2}\right]
$$

we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) g_{n}\left[\lambda f_{n, 1}+(1-\lambda) f_{n, 2}\right] \\
= & \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) g_{n} f_{n, 1}+(1-\lambda) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha) g_{n} f_{n, 2} \\
\leq & \alpha-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 1, we have $H(z) \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$.
Theorem 6. Let $F_{1}(z)=z$ and $F_{n}(z)=z+\frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) g_{n}} z^{n}$ for $n=2,3, \ldots$. Then $f(z) \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$ if and only if $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form $f(z)=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} F_{n}(z)$ where $\lambda_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} F_{n}(z) \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}(\alpha-1)}{(n-\alpha) g_{n}} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}(\alpha-1)}{(n-\alpha) g_{n}} \frac{(n-\alpha) g_{n}}{(\alpha-1)}=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1-\lambda_{1} \leq 1
$$

By Theorem 1, we have $f(z) \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$.
Conversely, let $f(z) \in P M_{g}(\alpha)$. From Theorem 2, we have

$$
f_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{(n-\alpha) g_{n}} \quad \text { for } \quad n=2,3, \ldots
$$

Therefore we may take

$$
\lambda_{n}=\frac{(n-\alpha) g_{n} f_{n}}{\alpha-1} \quad \text { for } \quad n=2,3, \ldots
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{1}=1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}
$$

Then $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} F_{n}(z)$.

## 5. RADIUS PROBLEM

In this section, we find the radius of starlikeness of order $\beta$ and the radius of convexity of order $\beta$ for functions in the class $P M_{g}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 7. If $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)(1<\alpha \leq 3 / 2)$, then $f$ is starlike of order $\beta$ $(0 \leq \beta<1)$ in $|z|<r(\beta, \alpha, g)$ where

$$
r(\beta, \alpha, g)=\inf _{n \geq 2}\left[\frac{(1-\beta)(n-\alpha)}{(\alpha-1)(n-\beta)} b_{n}\right]^{1 /(n-1)}
$$

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\beta}{1-\beta} a_{n}|z|^{n-1}<1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will imply that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|<1-\beta
$$

The inequality (8) follows if

$$
\frac{n-\beta}{1-\beta} a_{n}|z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{n-\alpha}{\alpha-1} a_{n} b_{n}
$$

and this proves the result.
We have the following:
Corollary 7. If $f \in P M_{g}(\alpha)(1<\alpha \leq 3 / 2)$, then $f$ is convex of order $\beta$ $(0 \leq \beta<1)$ in $|z|<r(\beta, \alpha, g)$ where

$$
r(\beta, \alpha, g)=\inf _{n \geq 2}\left[\frac{(1-\beta)(n-\alpha)}{n(\alpha-1)(n-\beta)} b_{n}\right]^{1 /(n-1)}
$$

## Acknowledgements

The author V. Ravichandran is supported by a post-doctoral research fellowship from Universiti Sains Malaysia and the author Maslina Darus is supported by an IRPA grant 09-02-02-0080-EA208, Malaysia.

## REFERENCES

[1] Ahuja, O.P., Hadamard products of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, in: Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, (H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa, eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, pp. 13-28.
[2] Ali, R.M., Hussain Khan, M., Ravichandran, V. and Subramanian, K.G., A class of multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined by convolution, preprint.
[3] Owa, S. and Srivastava, H.M., Some generalized convolution properties associated with certain subclass of analytic functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 3 (2002), Article No. 42. (http://jipam.vu.edu.au)
[4] Ravichandran, V., On starlike functions with negative coefficients, Far East J. Math. Sci., 8 (2003), 359-364.
[5] Ravichandran, V. and Sivaprasad Kumar, S., On a class of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined using convolution, preprint.
[6] Silverman, H., Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1975), 109-116.
[7] Uralegaddi, B.A., Ganigi, M.D. and Sarangi, S.M., Univalent functions with positive coefficients, Tamkang J. Math., 25 (1994), 225-230.

Received March 15, 2004

School of Mathematical Sciences Universiti Sains Malaysia 11800 USM Penang, Malaysia<br>E-mail: vravi@cs.usm.my<br>Department of Applied Mathematics<br>Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering Sriperumbudur 602 105 E-mail: mari@svce.ac.in<br>School of Mathematical Sciences<br>Faculty of Science and Technology<br>Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia<br>Banki 43600, Malaysia<br>E-mail: maslina@pkrisc.cc.ukm.my

