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#### Abstract

Let $D$ denote the open unit disc and $f: D \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ be meromorphic and injective in $D$. We further assume that $f$ has a simple pole in the point $p \in(0,1)$ and an expansion $$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}(f) z^{n}, \quad|z|<p
$$

Especially, we consider $f$ that map $D$ onto a domain whose complement with respect to $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ is convex. Concerning a (sharper) conjecture of Livingston ([5]) we prove that for $n \geq 2$ the inequality $$
\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{n}(f)\right) \geq \frac{1+p^{2 n}}{p^{n-1}(1+p)^{2}}
$$

\section*{is valid.}
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In the last century, many beautiful results have been proved in Geometric Function Theory for functions holomorphic in the open unit disc $D$ that map $D$ conformally onto a convex domain.

The present paper is devoted to a pendant of the family of convex functions, the family of concave univalent functions with pole $p \in(0,1)$ denoted by $C o(p)$ here. To be precise, we say that a function $f: D \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ belongs to the family $C o(p)$ if and only if:
(1) $f$ is meromorphic in $D$ and has a simple pole in the point $p \in(0,1)$.
(2) $f$ has an expansion

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}(f) z^{n}, \quad|z|<p
$$

(3) $f$ maps $D$ conformally onto a set whose complement with respect to $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ is convex.

There are results on $C o(p)$ that resemble very much those on convex functions, for example it has been proved in [3] that $\left|a_{n}(f)\right|>1$ for $f \in C o(p)$. Other results look very different from the analogous results on convex functions. Results of this type are the exact domains of variability of the Taylor coefficients $a_{n}(f), f \in C o(p)$. J. Miller proved in [6] in principle that the
inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}(f)-\frac{1+p^{2}+p^{4}}{p\left(1+p^{2}\right)}\right| \leq \frac{p}{1+p^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

describes the exact domain of variability of $a_{2}(f), f \in \operatorname{Co}(p)$ (compare [5], [1], [3], too).
Livingston proved in [5] that the lower bound in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{3}(f)\right) \geq \frac{1-p^{2}+p^{4}}{p^{2}}, f \in \operatorname{Co}(p), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is sharp for any $p \in(0,1)$. The functions for which the bounds are attained in (1) and (2) map $D$ onto the whole extended plane minus a line segment. The consideration of these extremal functions lead Livingston in [5] to the conjecture

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{n}(f)\right) \geq \frac{1+p^{2 n}}{p^{n-1}\left(1+p^{2}\right)}, f \in C o(p), n \geq 2, p \in(0,1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present article we prove the existence of a positive lower bound for $\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{n}(f)\right), f \in C o(p), n \geq 2, p \in(0,1)$, which differs from the conjectured bound in (3) by the factor

$$
\frac{1+p^{2}}{(1+p)^{2}} \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) .
$$

This will be the content of Theorem 2. As a preparation for its proof we show
Theorem 1. Let $p \in(0,1), f \in \operatorname{Co}(p)$ and $c \in \overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash f(D)$. Then the sharp inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{p}{(1-p)^{2}} \leq \operatorname{Re}(c) \leq-\frac{p}{(1+p)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid. Equality in (4) is attained if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e}(z)=\frac{z}{\left(1-\frac{z}{p}\right)(1-z p)} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c=f_{e}(1)$ in the left inequality (4), resp. $c=f_{e}(-1)$ in the right inequality (4).

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash f(D)$ is starlike with respect to $c$ and $f$ is normalized as defined above and has a simple pole in the point $p$, the function

$$
F(z):=\frac{\left(1-\frac{z}{p}\right)(1-z p) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-c}
$$

resp. its holomorphic extension from $D \backslash\{p\}$ onto $D$ has the following properties
(1) $\operatorname{Re}(F(z))>0$ for $z \in D$ (compare [5], Theorem 6).
(2) $F(0)=-\frac{1}{c}$ and $F(p)=\frac{1-p^{2}}{p}$.

Let $c=x+\mathrm{i} y$. From the properties of the function $F$ we conclude that $x<0$ and that there exists a function $\varphi$ holomorphic in $D$ such that $\varphi(D) \subset D$, $\varphi(0)=0$ and

$$
-\frac{F(z)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\mathrm{i} y}{x}=\frac{1-\varphi(z)}{1+\varphi(z)}, z \in D .
$$

Hence, there exists a function $\Phi$ holomorphic in $D$ such that $\Phi(D) \subset \bar{D}$,

$$
-\frac{F(z)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\mathrm{i} y}{x}=\frac{1-z \Phi(z)}{1+z \Phi(z)}, z \in D
$$

and

$$
-\frac{\frac{1-p^{2}}{p}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\mathrm{i} y}{x}=\frac{1-p \Phi(p)}{1+p \Phi(p)} .
$$

This equation together with $\Phi(D) \subset \bar{D}$ yields that for every $c=x+\mathrm{i} y \in$ $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash f(D)$ there exists a $w \in \bar{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\frac{1-p^{2}}{p}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\mathrm{i} y}{x}=\frac{1-p w}{1+p w}=: u+\mathrm{i} v, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u+\mathrm{i} v$ varies in the disc described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u-\frac{1+p^{2}}{1-p^{2}}\right)^{2}+v^{2} \leq\left(\frac{2 p}{1-p^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6) we get

$$
y=x v
$$

and therefore

$$
x=-\frac{u p}{\left(1-p^{2}\right)\left(1+v^{2}\right)} .
$$

According to (7), this implies (4), where equality in the left inequality is attained only for

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1+p}{1-p}, v=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the right inequality only for

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1-p}{1+p}, v=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (8) means that $\Phi(p)=-1$. According to the maximum principle this implies $\Phi \equiv-1$. The initial value problem

$$
\frac{p}{(1-p)^{2}} \frac{\left(1-\frac{z}{p}\right)(1-z p) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)+\frac{p}{(1-p)^{2}}}=\frac{1+z}{1-z}, f(0)=0,
$$

has as its unique solution the extremal function $f_{e}$ defined in (6), which maps $D$ onto

$$
\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash\left[-\frac{p}{(1-p)^{2}},-\frac{p}{(1+p)^{2}}\right]
$$

(compare [5], [6] and[1]). The reasoning concerning the right inequality in (4) is analogous with (9) and $\Phi(p)=1$.

Theorem 2. Let $p \in(0,1), f \in C o(p)$ and $n \geq 2$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{n}(f)\right) \geq \frac{1+p^{2 n}}{p^{n-1}(1+p)^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid.
Proof. In principal, we proceed as in [1], where the inequality

$$
\left|a_{n}(f)\right| \geq \frac{1+p^{2 n}}{p^{n-1}(1+p)^{2}}
$$

was proved and we use some arguments from [2] where the Taylor coefficients of meromorphic univalent functions have been considered. For $n \geq 2$ the function $h$ defined by

$$
h(z):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\left(1-z^{n}\left(p^{n}+\frac{1}{p^{n}}\right)+z^{2 n}\right) f(z), & z \in D \backslash\{p\} \\
\lim _{0<|w-p| \rightarrow 0} h(w), & z=p
\end{array}\right.
$$

is bounded and holomorphic in $D$. Therefore the angular limits $h\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$, and, in turn, the angular limits $f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ exist almost everywhere in $[0,2 \pi)$ by Fatou's theorem (see [4], chapter IX). Apparently,

$$
a_{n}(h)=a_{n}(f)
$$

As a consequence of a theorem of F. Riesz (see for instance [4], p. 404) we get

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow 1-0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|h\left(R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)-h\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} \theta=0
$$

This together with the residue theorem and the above yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{n}(f)=a_{n}(h)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta} \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\left(p^{n}+\frac{1}{p^{n}}-2 \cos (n \theta)\right) \mathrm{d} \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we use the the right inequality in (4) for $c=f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ to get immediately the inequality (10).
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