

On the recognition of rings of upper triangular matrices

October 18, 2023

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the elements a of a (unital associative) ring R may be identified with the scalar matrices $aI_n \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ and these matrices commute with all the e_{ij} . The converse also holds (see [2] or [5]): *Let R be a ring containing n^2 elements e_{ij} satisfying $e_{ij}e_{rs} = \delta_{jr}e_{is}$ [the δ 's equations] and $\sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii} = 1$ [also called a full set of matrix units]. Then $R \cong \mathbb{M}_n(C)$ where the ring C is the centralizer of the e_{ij} in R .*

Sketch of the proof. Define C as in the statement and for $a \in R$ put $a_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^n e_{ri}ae_{jr}$. Then $a_{ij} \in C$ and we have $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}e_{ij} = a$. Hence the bijection needed is $a \longleftrightarrow [a_{ij}]$, and this is verified to be an isomorphism.

Note that according to the δ 's equations, this characterization of (full) matrix rings uses n idempotents and $n^2 - n$ zerosquare elements, that is, n^2 elements satisfying some conditions.

In the last decade of the past century, the "recognition" of (full) matrix rings, has seen a new impetus, mainly by diminishing the number of elements needed for a characterization.

In [7], a characterization was given using only $n + 1$ elements (one nilpotent together with all its powers and another n elements) and in [1] (see also [5]), a characterization of matrix rings was given using only 3 elements (only two powers of a nilpotent and another two elements).

More precisely, these characterizations follow.

Robson: *A ring is a complete $n \times n$ matrix ring if and only if it contains elements a_1, \dots, a_n, f such that $f^n = 0$ and*

$$1 = a_1f^{n-1} + fa_2f^{n-2} + f^2a_3f^{n-3} + \dots + f^{n-1}a_n.$$

Agnarrson et altri: *Let R be a ring and $p, q \geq 1$ be fixed integers. Then $R \cong \mathbb{M}_{p+q}(S)$ for some ring S iff there exist elements $a, b, f \in R$ such that $f^{p+q} = 0$ and $af^p + f^qb = 1$.*

In both characterizations, one nilpotent of index n (or $p+q$) is given together with some other elements which permit the reconstruction of the idempotents.

In the second characterization (see also [5], the "only if" part of **(17.10)**), a (strictly) *lower* triangular matrix (the sum of the elements on the subdiagonal) is taken

$$f = E_{21} + E_{32} + \cdots + E_{p+q,p+q-1},$$

for which $f^{p+q} = 0$, and another a, b two (strictly) *upper* triangular matrices (with identity superdiagonal) are needed.

Notice that here *it was essential* to use both lower and upper triangular matrices.

We proceed with the subject of this exposition, that is, the recognition of the upper triangular matrix rings.

A result of the same genre (the base ring of the ring of upper triangular matrices is the centralizer of the e_{ij} 's) is a special case (Theorem 2.9) in [8]. The characterization of rings of (upper) triangular matrices was given using $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ matrix units (i.e. *all* the upper triangular matrix units) together with some conditions.

More precisely, we recall this special case (for which the natural quasi-order on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is considered).

Theorem 1 *Let R be a ring with a set $\{e_{ij} : i \leq j\}$ of matrix units, that is, $e_{11} + \dots + e_{nn} = 1$ and $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$.*

R is isomorphic with a ring of upper triangular matrices $\mathbb{T}_n(S)$ if and only if R has a subring S with $1 \in S$ and the following properties.

- (i) $S \subseteq C(\{e_{ij} : i \leq j\})$;
- (ii) $e_{ii}Re_{jj} = Se_{ij}$ for $i \leq j$;
- (iii) $e_{jj}Re_{ii} = \{0\}$ for $i < j$;
- (iv) If $se_{ij} = 0$ for some $s \in S$ (and $i \leq j$), then $s = 0$.

Moreover, the isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{T}_n(S) \rightarrow R$ is given: $\phi([a_{ij}]) = \sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij}e_{ij}$.

The goal of this exposition is to perform the explicit inverse of ϕ , which is not an easy task even for $n = 2$.

2 The $n = 2$ case

To simplify the writing denote $e := e_{11}$, $t := e_{12}$ and so $\bar{e} = e_{22}$.

2.1 Prerequisites

Lemma 2 *Let $e = e^2$ and $r \in R$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $ere = re$;
- (ii) $\bar{e}re = 0$;
- (iii) $\bar{e}r\bar{e} = \bar{e}r$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) $\bar{e}(re) = (\bar{e}e)re = 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) $\bar{e}r\bar{e} = \bar{e}r(1 - e) = \bar{e}r$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) $ere = (1 - \bar{e})r(1 - \bar{e}) = r - \bar{e}r - r\bar{e} + \bar{e}r\bar{e} = r - r\bar{e} = re$. ■

Definition. An idempotent e is called *left semicentral* if $\bar{e}Re = \{0\}$. Equivalently, $re = ere$ for every $r \in R$ and also equivalently, $eR \triangleleft R$.

Lemma 3 *If e is left semicentral, and $t \in R$ satisfies $et = t$, $te = 0$ then*

(iv) $trt = 0$,

(v) $tre = 0$, (v') $tr\bar{e} = tr$,

(vi) $\bar{e}rt = 0$; (vi') $ert = rt$,

(vii) $et = t$ equivalent to $\bar{e}t = 0$;

(viii) $t\bar{e} = t$ equivalent to $te = 0$.

Proof. Just notice that in any ring and for any two elements a, b from $ab = b$, $ba = 0$ follows $b^2 = 0$. Then $t^2 = 0$ and the rest is easy. ■

In what follows, (i)-(viii) will be used **only** with respect to this previous lemma.

Remark. Note that $t \neq 0$ implies $e \notin \{0, 1\}$ (from $et = t$ resp $te = 0$). However all equalities hold also for $t = 0$.

We also mention $er\bar{e} = er(1 - \bar{e}) \stackrel{(i)}{=} er - re$ (so a left semicentral idempotent may **not** be central).

In the sequel we suppose $e^2 = e$, $et = t$ and $te = 0$ (so $t^2 = 0$). Notice that $eR\bar{e} = e_{11}Re_{22} = e_{12}S = Se_{12}$.

Lemma 4 *Let $S = C(t)$. If $eR\bar{e} = St = tS$ then for every $r \in R$ there exist $s, s', s'' \in S$ such that $rt = ts$, $tr = s't$ and $er\bar{e} = s''t$.*

Proof. The existence follows from the hypothesis and the following equalities

$$rt \stackrel{(vi)}{=} ert \stackrel{(viii)}{=} e(rt)\bar{e} = ts, tr \stackrel{(v')}{=} tr\bar{e} \stackrel{(vii)}{=} etr\bar{e} = s't \text{ resp. } er\bar{e} = s''t. \quad \blacksquare$$

2.2 Direct characterization for triangular 2×2 matrix rings

To simplify the wording, we say that t has the *cancellation property* if $s = 0$ whenever $s \in S$ and $st = 0$.

Theorem 5 *Let e be a left semicentral idempotent in a ring R , $t \in R$ is such that $et = t$, $te = 0$ and for $S = C(e, t)$ assume that t has the cancellation property (with respect to S) and $eR\bar{e} = St = tS$. Then the map $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_2(S)$ given by $\varphi(r) = \begin{bmatrix} re + \bar{e}s & s'' \\ 0 & \bar{e}r + s'e \end{bmatrix}$ where $rt = ts$, $tr = s't$ and $er\bar{e} = s''t$ is a ring isomorphism.*

The inverse is given by $\varphi^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & w \end{bmatrix} \right) = xe + yt + w\bar{e}$.

Proof. We have already mentioned that from $et = t$, $te = 0$ follows $t^2 = 0$. The existence of the elements s, s', s'' follows from Lemma 4. Notice that, by the cancellation property of t , these elements are unique with respect to $rt = ts$, $tr = s't$ and $er\bar{e} = s''t$, respectively.

The entries of $\varphi(r)$ belong to S , the centralizer $C(e, t) = C(t, \bar{e})$.

Indeed, $e(re + \bar{e}s) = ere \stackrel{(i)}{=} re \stackrel{(ii)}{=} (re + \bar{e}s)e$, and $t(re + \bar{e}s) \stackrel{\{v\},(viii)}{=} ts$, $(re + \bar{e}s)t \stackrel{(vi)}{=} rt$, and

$\bar{e}(\bar{e}r + s'e) = \bar{e}r + \bar{e}s'e \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \bar{e}r \stackrel{(iii)}{=} \bar{e}r\bar{e} = (\bar{e}r + s'e)\bar{e}$, and $t(\bar{e}r + s'e)t \stackrel{(viii),(v)}{=} t(\bar{e}r + s'e)t$
 $tr \stackrel{(v')}{=} tr\bar{e} \stackrel{(vii)}{=} etr\bar{e} = s't \stackrel{(vi)}{=} (\bar{e}r + s'e)t$

φ is **unital**, i.e., $\varphi(1) = I_2$: indeed, for $r = 1$ we get $s = s' = 1$ and $s'' = 0$ [using the cancellation property of elements of S with respect to t and $e + \bar{e} = 1$].

φ is **additive**: we have $r_1t = ts_1$, $r_2t = ts_2$, $tr_1 = s'_1t$, $tr_2 = s'_2t$ and $er_1\bar{e} = s''_1t$, $er_2\bar{e} = s''_2t$. ■

Then $\varphi(r_1) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1e + \bar{e}s_1 & s''_1 \\ 0 & \bar{e}r_1 + s'_1e \end{bmatrix}$, $\varphi(r_2) = \begin{bmatrix} r_2e + \bar{e}s_2 & s''_2 \\ 0 & \bar{e}r_2 + s'_2e \end{bmatrix}$

and so $\varphi(r_1) + \varphi(r_2) = \begin{bmatrix} (r_1 + r_2)e + \bar{e}(s_1 + s_2) & s''_1 + s''_2 \\ 0 & \bar{e}(r_1 + r_2) + (s'_1 + s'_2)e \end{bmatrix} = \varphi(r_1 + r_2)$. Indeed, $(r_1 + r_2)t = ts_1 + ts_2 = t(s_1 + s_2)$, $t(r_1 + r_2) = s'_1t + s'_2t = (s'_1 + s'_2)t$ and $e(r_1 + r_2)\bar{e} = s''_1t + s''_2t = (s''_1 + s''_2)t$.

φ is **multiplicative** amounts to [comparing the corresponding entries of both upper triangular matrices]:

(1,1): $(r_1e + \bar{e}s_1)(r_2e + \bar{e}s_2) = r_1r_2e + \bar{e}s_1s_2$ [indeed $\bar{e}(s_1r_2)e \stackrel{(ii)}{=} 0$ and $r_1(er_2e) \stackrel{(i)}{=} r_1r_2e$, $(\bar{e}s_1\bar{e})s_2 \stackrel{(iii)}{=} \bar{e}s_1s_2$]

(1,2): $(r_1e + \bar{e}s_1)s''_2 + s''_1(\bar{e}r_2 + s'_2e) = s''_{1,2}$ where $e(r_1r_2)\bar{e} = s''_{1,2}t$ [here we have to check $[(r_1e + \bar{e}s_1)s''_2 + s''_1(\bar{e}r_2 + s'_2e)]t = e(r_1r_2)\bar{e} = er_1r_2 - r_1r_2e$;

indeed $[(r_1e + \bar{e}s_1)s''_2 + s''_1(\bar{e}r_2 + s'_2e)]t = (r_1e + \bar{e}s_1)er_2\bar{e} + s''_1\bar{e}r_2t + s''_1tr_2 \stackrel{(ii)}{=} r_1er_2\bar{e} + er_1\bar{e}r_2 = r_1er_2 - r_1r_2e + er_1r_2 - r_1er_2 \stackrel{(i)}{=} -r_1r_2e + er_1r_2$]

(2,2): $(\bar{e}r_1 + s'_1e)(\bar{e}r_2 + s'_2e) = \bar{e}r_1r_2 + s'_1s'_2e$ [indeed $\bar{e}(r_1s'_2)e \stackrel{(ii)}{=} 0$ and $\bar{e}r_1\bar{e}r_2 \stackrel{(iii)}{=} \bar{e}r_1r_2$, $s'_1es'_2e \stackrel{(i)}{=} s'_1s'_2e$].

φ is **injective**: assume $\varphi(r) = \begin{bmatrix} re + \bar{e}s & s'' \\ 0 & \bar{e}r + s'e \end{bmatrix} = 0_2$, that is, $re + \bar{e}s = \bar{e}r + s'e = s'' = 0$ with $rt = ts$, $tr = s't$ and $er\bar{e} = s''t$.

As $s'' = 0$ we have $er\bar{e} = 0$ and (using (ii)) $er = ere = re$. Right multiplication by e of $re + \bar{e}s = 0$ gives (by (iii)) $re = 0$. Left multiplication by \bar{e} of $\bar{e}r + s'e = 0$ gives (also by (iii)) $\bar{e}r = 0$, and so $0 = r - er = r - re = r$, as desired.

φ is **surjective**: $xe + yt + w\bar{e} = (re + \bar{e}s)e + er\bar{e} + (\bar{e}r + s'e)\bar{e} = re + er(1 - e) + (1 - e)r(1 - e) = r$, as desired.

3 The 3×3 case

Here we start with the δ 's relations for three orthogonal idempotents e_{11}, e_{22}, e_{33} , two zerosquare e_{12}, e_{23} and we define $e_{13} := e_{12}e_{23}$.

The additional δ 's relations hold: $e_{13}^2 = e_{12}(e_{23}e_{12})e_{23} = 0$, $e_{11}e_{13} = e_{11}e_{12}e_{23} = e_{12}e_{23} = e_{13}$, $e_{13}e_{11} = e_{12}(e_{23}e_{11}) = 0$, $e_{13}e_{22} = e_{12}(e_{23}e_{22}) = 0$, $e_{22}e_{13} = (e_{22}e_{12})e_{23} = 0$.

Notice that $e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33} = 1$ implies $\overline{e_{11}} = e_{22} + e_{33}$ and other two permutations.

We gather here some obvious equivalences, consequences of the assumed δ 's relations.

Lemma 6 (vii) $e_{11}e_{12} = e_{12}$ equivalent to $\overline{e_{11}}e_{12} = 0$;

(viii) $e_{12}\overline{e_{11}} = e_{12}$ equivalent to $e_{12}e_{11} = 0$.

(xii) $e_{11}e_{13} = e_{13}$ equivalent to $\overline{e_{11}}e_{13} = 0$.

(xiii) $e_{13}\overline{e_{11}} = e_{13}$ equivalent to $e_{13}e_{11} = 0$.

$e_{12}e_{22} = e_{12}$ equivalent to $e_{12}\overline{e_{22}} = 0$.

$\overline{e_{22}}e_{12} = e_{12}$ equivalent to $e_{22}e_{12} = 0$.

$e_{22}e_{23} = e_{23}$ equivalent to $\overline{e_{22}}e_{23} = 0$.

$e_{23}\overline{e_{22}} = e_{23}$ equivalent to $e_{23}e_{22} = 0$.

(xxi) $e_{23}e_{33} = e_{23}$ equivalent to $e_{23}\overline{e_{33}} = 0$.

(xxii) $\overline{e_{33}}e_{23} = e_{23}$ equivalent to $e_{33}e_{23} = 0$.

(xxv) $e_{13}e_{33} = e_{13}$ equivalent to $e_{13}\overline{e_{33}} = 0$.

$\overline{e_{33}}e_{13} = e_{13}$ equivalent to $e_{33}e_{13} = 0$.

Summarizing, for any idempotent e and element a ,

$ea = a$ is equivalent to $\overline{ea} = 0$

$ae = a$ is equivalent to $a\overline{e} = 0$

$ae = 0$ is equivalent to $a\overline{e} = a$, and

$ea = 0$ is equivalent to $\overline{ea} = a$.

As $\overline{e_{33}} = e_{11} + e_{22}$, we also have $\overline{e_{33}}e_{22} = e_{22}\overline{e_{33}} = e_{22}$ and similarly $\overline{e_{33}}e_{11} = e_{11}\overline{e_{33}} = e_{11}$, $\overline{e_{22}}e_{11} = e_{11}\overline{e_{22}} = e_{11}$.

We still suppose e_{11} is *left semicentral* (i.e., $\overline{e_{11}}Re_{11} = \{0\}$). Hence all properties in Lemma 3 hold but we can add many new ones (related to e_{11}) corresponding to the zerosquares e_{23}, e_{13} . However, *here $\overline{e_{11}} = e_{22}$ fails!* We now have $\overline{e_{11}} = e_{22} + e_{33}$ (from $e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33} = 1$).

Lemma 7 (i) $e_{11}re_{11} = re_{11}$;

(ii) $\overline{e_{11}}re_{11} = 0$;

(iii) $\overline{e_{11}}r\overline{e_{11}} = \overline{e_{11}}r$.

(iv) $e_{12}re_{12} = 0$,

(v) $e_{12}re_{11} = 0$, (v') $e_{12}r\overline{e_{11}} = e_{12}r$,

(vi) $\overline{e_{11}}re_{12} = 0$; (vi') $e_{11}re_{12} = re_{12}$,

(ix) $e_{13}re_{13} = 0$,

(x) $e_{13}re_{11} = 0$, (x') $e_{13}r\overline{e_{11}} = e_{13}r$,

(xi) $\overline{e_{11}}re_{13} = 0$; (xi') $e_{11}re_{13} = re_{13}$,

However e_{22} may not be left nor right semicentral but e_{33} is *right semicentral*. Assuming $e_{33}r\overline{e_{33}} = 0$ leads to another (similar and symmetric properties):

Lemma 8 (xiv) $e_{33}re_{33} = e_{33}r$,

- (xv) $e_{33}r\overline{e_{33}} = 0$,
- (xvi) $\overline{e_{33}}r\overline{e_{33}} = r\overline{e_{33}}$,
- (xvii) $e_{33}re_{23} = 0$, (xvii') $\overline{e_{33}}re_{23} = re_{23}$,
- (xviii) $e_{23}re_{23} = 0$
- (xx) $e_{23}r\overline{e_{33}} = 0$; (xx') $e_{23}re_{33} = e_{23}r$,
- (ix) $e_{13}re_{13} = 0$,
- (xiii) $e_{33}re_{13} = 0$, (xiii') $\overline{e_{33}}re_{13} = re_{13}$,
- (xiv) $e_{13}r\overline{e_{33}} = 0$, (xiv') $e_{13}re_{33} = e_{13}r$.

We start with

$$r = x_{11}e_{11} + x_{12}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13} + x_{22}e_{22} + x_{23}e_{23} + x_{33}e_{33} \quad (2)$$

and are looking to $x_{ij} \in C(e_{11}, e_{22}, e_{12}, e_{23}) = C(e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{22}, e_{33}) = C(e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{22}, e_{23}, e_{33})$.

The 12 relations obtained by left and right multiplication of (2) with the six e_{ij} . The x_{ij} 's are supposed to belong to the centralizer.

$$\begin{aligned} e_{11}r &= x_{11}e_{11} + \underline{x_{12}}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13}, & re_{11} &= x_{11}e_{11} \\ e_{22}r &= x_{22}e_{22} + x_{23}e_{23}, & re_{22} &= \underline{x_{12}}e_{12} + x_{22}e_{22} \\ e_{33}r &= x_{33}e_{33}, & re_{33} &= x_{13}e_{13} + x_{23}e_{23} + x_{33}e_{33} \\ e_{12}r &= x_{22}e_{12} + x_{23}e_{13}, & re_{12} &= x_{11}e_{12} \\ e_{13}r &= x_{33}e_{13}, & re_{13} &= x_{11}e_{13} \\ e_{23}r &= x_{33}e_{23}, & re_{23} &= \underline{x_{12}}e_{13} + x_{22}e_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

11. For x_{11} , by left and right multiplication with e_{11} we get $(x_{11} - r)e_{11} = 0 = (x_{11} - r)e_{12}$ [actually also $(x_{11} - r)e_{13} = 0$] and the continuation is (almost !) identical to the $n = 2$ case.

Since $(x_{11} - r)e_{11} = (x_{11} - r)e_{12} = 0$ we are searching for $x_{11} = r + \alpha$, commuting with e_{11} and e_{12} , where $\alpha e_{11} = \alpha e_{12} = 0$.

$$e_{11}x_{11} = x_{11}e_{11} \text{ is equivalent to } e_{11}\alpha = re_{11} - e_{11}r \stackrel{(i)}{=} e_{11}re_{11} - e_{11}r = -e_{11}r\overline{e_{11}} \text{ whence } e_{11}(\alpha + r\overline{e_{11}}) = 0, \alpha + r\overline{e_{11}} \in r(e_{11}) \ni \overline{e_{11}}$$

and so we choose $\alpha + r\overline{e_{11}} = \overline{e_{11}}\beta$. This way $e_{11}x_{11} = e_{11}re_{11} \stackrel{(i)}{=} re_{11} = x_{11}e_{11}$ and we have to choose β for $e_{12}x_{11} = x_{11}e_{12}$.

We have $e_{12}x_{11} = e_{12}re_{11} + e_{12}\overline{e_{11}}\beta \stackrel{(v),(viii)}{=} e_{12}\beta$ and $x_{11}e_{12} = re_{11}e_{12} + \overline{e_{11}}\beta e_{12} \stackrel{(vi)}{=} re_{12}$, so we need β such that $re_{12} = e_{12}\beta$.

$$\text{As } re_{12} \stackrel{(vi')}{=} e_{11}re_{12} \stackrel{(viii)}{=} e_{11}re_{12}\overline{e_{11}} \in e_{11}R\overline{e_{11}} = e_{11}R(e_{22}+e_{33}) \subseteq e_{11}Re_{22} + e_{11}Re_{33} = e_{12}S + e_{13}S = e_{12}S + e_{12}e_{23}S = e_{12}S.$$

For $n = 3$, $\overline{e_{11}} \neq e_{22}$. It is now $\overline{e_{11}} = e_{22} + e_{33}$.

Hence

$$x_{11} = re_{11} + \overline{e_{11}}s$$

with $re_{12} = e_{12}s$.

The commutation with e_{13} : $x_{11}e_{13} \stackrel{(xi)}{=} re_{13} = re_{12}e_{23} = e_{12}se_{23}$ and $e_{13}x_{11} \stackrel{(x),(xiii)}{=} e_{13}s = e_{12}e_{23}s = e_{12}se_{23}$ since e_{ij} commute with elements in S .

The commutation with e_{22} : $x_{11}e_{22} = \overline{e_{11}}se_{22} = \overline{e_{11}}e_{22}s = e_{22}s$ and $e_{22}x_{11} = e_{22}re_{11} + e_{22}\overline{e_{11}}s = e_{22}s$ since $e_{22}Re_{11} = \{0\}$ [was already checked]

The commutation with e_{23} : $x_{11}e_{23} = \overline{e_{11}}se_{23} = \overline{e_{11}}e_{23}s = e_{23}s$ and $e_{23}x_{11} = e_{23}re_{11} + e_{23}\overline{e_{11}}s = e_{23}s$ since $e_{23}re_{11} = e_{22}(e_{23}r)e_{11} = 0$ (as above).

Checking $x_{11} = re_{11} + \overline{e_{11}}s$ with $re_{12} = e_{12}s$.

$$re_{11} = (re_{11} + \overline{e_{11}}s)e_{11} \stackrel{(ii)}{=} re_{11}, \text{ ok, } re_{13} = (re_{11} + \overline{e_{11}}s)e_{13} \stackrel{(xi)}{=} re_{13}, \text{ ok}$$

$$re_{12} = (re_{11} + \overline{e_{11}}s)e_{12} \stackrel{(vi)}{=} re_{12} \text{ ok, and}$$

$$e_{11}r = (re_{11} + \overline{e_{11}}s)e_{11} + x_{12}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13} \stackrel{(ii)}{=} re_{11} + x_{12}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13}, \text{ that is,}$$

$$x_{12}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13} = e_{11}r - re_{11} \quad (3)$$

remains to be checked. That x_{11} belongs to the centralizer was checked above.

33. Now x_{33} will be similar to x_{11} . We start with $[e_{13}(x_{33} - r) =]e_{23}(x_{33} - r) = e_{33}(x_{33} - r) = 0$ that is $x_{33} = r + \alpha$ with $[e_{13}\alpha =]e_{23}\alpha = e_{33}\alpha = 0$.

$e_{33}x_{33} = x_{33}e_{33}$ is equivalent to $\alpha e_{33} = e_{33}r - re_{33} \stackrel{(xiv)}{=} e_{33}re_{33} - re_{33} = -\overline{e_{33}}re_{33}$ whence $(\alpha + \overline{e_{33}}r)e_{33} = 0$, $\alpha + \overline{e_{33}}r \in l(e_{33}) \ni \overline{e_{33}}$ and so we choose $\alpha + \overline{e_{33}}r = \beta\overline{e_{33}}$. Thus $x_{33} = e_{33}r + \beta\overline{e_{33}}$ and we choose β for $e_{23}x_{33} = x_{33}e_{23}$. This (using (xx) resp. (xxii), (xvii)) gives $e_{23}r = \beta e_{23}$. Since $e_{23}r \stackrel{(xx')}{=} e_{23}re_{33} = e_{22}e_{23}re_{33} \in e_{22}Re_{33} = e_{23}S$ so there is s_3 such that $e_{23}r = s_3e_{23}$ and

$$x_{33} = e_{33}r + s_3\overline{e_{33}}.$$

The commutation with e_{13} : $x_{33}e_{13} = e_{33}re_{13} + s_3\overline{e_{33}}e_{13} \stackrel{(xviii'),(xviii)}{=} s_3e_{13}$ and $e_{13}(x_{33} + s_3\overline{e_{33}}) \stackrel{(xiv)}{=} e_{13}r$, which holds, left multiplying $e_{23}r = s_3e_{23}$ by e_{12} , since e_{ij} commute with elements in S .

The commutation with e_{22} : $x_{33}e_{22} = (e_{33}r + s_3\overline{e_{33}})e_{22} = s_3e_{22}$ and $e_{22}x_{33} = e_{22}(e_{33}r + s_3\overline{e_{33}}) = s_3e_{22}$ since $e_{22}\overline{e_{33}} = \overline{e_{33}}e_{22} = e_{22}$.

The commutation with e_{12} : $x_{33}e_{12} = (e_{33}r + s_3\overline{e_{33}})e_{12} = s_3e_{12}$ and $e_{12}x_{33} = e_{12}(e_{33}r + s_3\overline{e_{33}}) = s_3e_{12}$ since $e_{12}\overline{e_{33}} = \overline{e_{33}}e_{12} = e_{12}$.

It remains to verify $re_{33} = x_{13}e_{13} + x_{23}e_{23} + x_{33}e_{33}$, which amounts to $x_{13}e_{13} + x_{23}e_{23} = re_{33} - (e_{33}r + s_3\overline{e_{33}})e_{33} = re_{33} - e_{33}r$.

12+22. The relations are $e_{11}r = x_{11}e_{11} + \underline{x_{12}}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13}$, $re_{22} = \underline{x_{12}}e_{12} + x_{22}e_{22}$ and $re_{23} = \underline{x_{12}}e_{13} + x_{22}e_{23}$.

Replacement in the first $e_{11}r = (re_{11} + \overline{e_{11}}s)e_{11} + \underline{x_{12}}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13}$ gives (again) (3): $x_{12}e_{12} + x_{13}e_{13} = e_{11}r - re_{11}$.

We can write the other two $(r - x_{22})e_{22} = x_{12}e_{12}$ and $(r - x_{22})e_{23} = x_{12}e_{13} = x_{12}e_{12}e_{23}$ [the later follows from previous by right multiplication with e_{23}].

So $r - x_{22} - x_{12}e_{12} \in l(e_{23}) = \{e_{11}, e_{13}, e_{23}, e_{33}\}$.

We write also the other x_{22} relations: $e_{22}r = x_{22}e_{22} + x_{23}e_{23}$, $e_{12}r = x_{22}e_{12} + x_{23}e_{13}$. Hence

$e_{22}(r - x_{22}) = x_{23}e_{23}$ and $e_{12}(r - x_{22}) = x_{23}e_{13}$ [the x 's are in the centralizer]. Both include x_{23} .

The later can be written $r - x_{22} - x_{23}e_{23} \in r(e_{12}) = \{e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{33}\}$ and so $(1 - e_{22})(r - x_{22}) \in r(e_{12}) = \{e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{33}\}$.

Here $1 - e_{22} = e_{11} + e_{33}$.

Too complicated, so I give up !

References

- [1] G. Agnarsson, S. A. Amitsur, J. C. Robson *Recognition of matrix rings II*. Israel J. of Math. **96** (1996), 1-13.
- [2] P. M. Cohn *An Introduction to Ring Theory*. Undergraduate Maths Series. Springer, 2000.
- [3] J. Han, Y. Lee, S. Park *Semical central idempotents in a ring*. J. Korean Math. Soc. **51** (3) (2014), 463-472.
- [4] T. Y. Lam, A. Leroy *Recognition and Computations of Matrix Rings*. Israel J. of Math. **96** (1996), 379-397.
- [5] T. Y. Lam *Lectures on modules and rings*. Graduate Texts in Maths, Springer, 1999.
- [6] J. Lambek *Lectures on Rings and Modules*. Blaisdell Publishing Company 1966.
- [7] J. C. Robson *Recognition of matrix rings*. Comm. Algebra **19** (7) (1991), 2113-2124.
- [8] K. C. Smith, L. van Wyk *An internal characterization of structural matrix rings*. Comm. Algebra **22** (14) (1994), 5599-5622.
- [9] L. van Wyk *Matrix rings satisfying column sum conditions versus structural matrix rings*. Linear Algebra and Appl. **249** (1996), 15-28.