

# UNIPOTENT-REGULAR MATRICES AND RINGS

GRIGORE CĂLUGĂREANU, HORIA F. POP

ABSTRACT. An element  $a$  in a ring  $R$  is called unipotent-regular if there is a unipotent  $u$  such that  $a = auu$ . A ring is unipotent-regular if so are all its elements. We show that a ring is unipotent-regular iff it is Boolean. Additionally, we characterize the unipotent-regular  $2 \times 2$  matrices over Prüfer domains. Not all unipotent-regular matrices are idempotent.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

To the best of our knowledge, so far there is no characterization of unit-regular matrices (over commutative rings), not even for  $2 \times 2$  matrices.

Therefore we introduce and characterize a naturally defined subset of unit-regular matrices.

**Definition.** An element  $a \in R$  is called *unipotent-regular* if there exists a unipotent unit  $u$  such that  $a = auu$ . Equivalently,  $a$  is unipotent-regular if there exists a nilpotent  $t$  such that  $a = a(1+t)a$ . A ring is called *unipotent-regular* if so are all its elements.

Obviously, unipotent-regular elements are unit-regular and unit-regular elements are regular.

As in case of unit-regular elements, it is easy to see that *an element is unipotent-regular iff it is a product of an idempotent and a unipotent element (in either order)*.

In this short note we first show that unipotent-regular *rings* are scarce: these are precisely the Boolean rings.

Secondly, we characterize the unipotent-regular  $2 \times 2$  *matrices* over Prüfer domains.

The rings we consider are associative with identity. By  $U(R)$  we denote the set of all units of  $R$  and by  $N(R)$  we denote the set of all nilpotents of  $R$ . The "regular" word for elements or rings means Von Neumann regular.

## 2. UNIPOTENT-REGULAR RINGS AND $2 \times 2$ MATRICES

The so-called *UU-rings* (rings with only unipotent units) were defined and studied in [1]. Their study was further developed in [3].

Thus, a ring  $R$  is UU iff  $U(R) = 1 + N(R)$ . For the sake of completeness we recall (see [5]) the following

**Definitions.** An element  $r$  of a ring  $R$  is called *clean* if  $r = e + u$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $u \in U(R)$ . An element  $x$  of a ring  $R$  is called *left exchange* (initially left "suitable") if there exists  $e^2 = e \in Rx$  such that  $1 - e \in R(1 - x)$ . Right exchange elements are defined similarly and it is proved that the exchange property is left-right symmetric (see [5] **Theorem 2.1**). A ring is called *Abelian* if all its idempotents are central.

---

Keywords: unipotent-regular, matrix, Prüfer domain. MSC 2020 Classification: 16U10, 16U30, 16U40, 16S50. Orcid: 0000-0002-3353-6958; 0000-0003-2777-7541.

As already mentioned in the Introduction we have the following result. For reader's convenience we supply (almost) all the details.

**Theorem 1.** *A ring is unipotent-regular iff it is Boolean.*

*Proof.* First observe that a unit is unipotent-regular iff it is unipotent. Indeed, as inverses of unipotents are unipotent, one way is obvious. Conversely, suppose  $u \in U(R)$  and  $u = e(1+t)$  for an idempotent  $e$  and a nilpotent  $t$ . Then  $eu = u$  and so  $e = 1$ . Hence  $u = 1+t$ , as claimed.

As a consequence, every unipotent-regular ring is UU. Secondly, we show that  $R$  is a regular UU-ring iff  $R$  is a Boolean ring. Again, one way is obvious, so assume  $R$  is a regular UU-ring. We first show that  $R$  is reduced. The only existing proof goes like this. Assume  $R$  is not reduced, i.e., there exists a nonzero nilpotent in  $R$ . Then there exists  $e^2 = e$  in  $R$  such that the corner  $eRe$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}_n(S)$  for some  $S$  (by Levitzki, [4], Th. 2.1), but  $eRe$  is UU while  $\mathbb{M}_n(S)$  is not UU (see [1]), a contradiction.

Since  $R$  is reduced, it is easy to see  $R$  is Abelian (we just show  $(er - ere)^2 = 0 = (re - ere)^2$ ).

Next, recall that every regular element is exchange. Indeed, if  $a = axa$ , write  $f = xa = f^2$ . Then take  $e = f + (1-f)xf = e^2 \in Rx$  and so  $1-e = (1-f)(1-x)$  (see [5] **Proposition 1.6**). Hence regular rings are exchange.

Further, we show that any Abelian exchange ring is clean. As  $R$  is exchange for any  $x \in R$  we choose  $e^2 = e \in Rx$  with  $1-e \in R(1-x)$ . If  $e = ax$  we may assume  $ea = a$  so that  $axa = a$ . Since idempotents are central  $xa = ax$ . Similarly we write  $1-e = b(1-x)$  where  $(1-e)b = b$  and  $b(1-x) = (1-x)b$ . Then an easy calculation shows that  $a-b$  is the inverse of  $x - (1-e)$  (see [5] **Proposition 1.8**).

Finally, as  $R$  is clean and  $U(R) = \{1\}$ , start with any element  $r \in R$ . Since  $r+1$  is clean,  $r+1 = e+u$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $u \in U(R)$  and so  $r = e$ , all elements of  $R$  are idempotents.  $\square$

The second part of the proof appeared in [3] as **Theorem 4.1**, (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). It would be nice to have a direct (somewhat elementary) proof for the statement: "any regular UU ring is reduced". For the time being, the one mentioned above (via Levitzky's result) is the only one known.

As for matrix rings, since for any ring  $R \neq 0$  and any integer  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is not a UU-ring (see [1]), we have the following result

**Proposition 2.** *For any ring  $R \neq 0$  and any integer  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is not unipotent-regular.*

In what follows we determine the  $2 \times 2$  unipotent-regular matrices over a Prüfer domain.

First notice that *only zero determinant  $2 \times 2$  matrices can be unipotent-regular*. Indeed, this follows at once since  $\det(E(I_2 + T)) = \det(E) \det(I_2 + T) = 0 \cdot 1 = 0$ , for any idempotent  $E$  and nilpotent  $T$ .

Next, since in our characterization we use Prüfer domains, recall that a *Prüfer domain* is a semihereditary integral domain. Equivalently, an integral domain  $R$  is a Prüfer domain if every nonzero finitely generated ideal of  $R$  is invertible. Fields, PIDs and Bézout domains are Prüfer domains but UFDs may not be Prüfer.

In the next theorem we intend to use the Kronecker (Rouché) - Capelli theorem for compatible linear systems. As early as 1971 we recall from [2] the following characterization. In this characterization, the ideal  $D_t(A)$  generated by the  $t \times t$  minors of the matrix  $A$  is called the  $t$ -th *determinantal ideal* of  $A$  and we put  $D_0 = 1$ . As customarily,  $[A, \mathbf{b}]$  denotes the augmented matrix.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain,  $A$  a matrix of rank  $r$  over  $R$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  column vectors over  $R$ . The condition  $D_r(A) = D_r[A, \mathbf{b}]$  is necessary and sufficient for the system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  to be solvable iff  $R$  is a Prüfer domain.*

Our characterization follows.

**Theorem 4.** *A (zero determinant) matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$  over a Prüfer domain is unipotent-regular iff there exist  $a, c$  with  $c \mid a(1-a)$  such that  $\text{crow}_1(A) = \text{arow}_2(A)$  and if  $bc = a(1-a)$  then  $(a_{11} - a)^2, (a_{12} - b)^2$  and  $(a_{11} - a)(a_{12} - b)$  are divisible by  $ba_{11} - aa_{12}$ . The divisibilities are equivalent with  $(a_{21} - c)^2, (a_{22} + a - 1)^2$  and  $(a_{21} - c)(a_{22} + a - 1)$  being divisible by  $(1-a)a_{21} - ca_{22}$ .*

We discuss separately the cases  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ , so below we assume  $a, b, c \neq 0$  and  $a \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* As noticed in the Introduction, an element is unipotent-regular iff it is a product of an idempotent and a unipotent element (in either order). Therefore, over any integral domain a unipotent-regular  $2 \times 2$  matrix is of form  $E(I_2 + T) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & 1-a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1+x & y \\ z & 1-x \end{bmatrix}$  with  $a(1-a) = bc$  and  $x^2 + yz = 0$ . Denoting  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ , the equality  $A = E(I_2 + T)$  amounts to the system

$$\begin{aligned} a(1+x) + bz &= a_{11} \\ b(1-x) + ay &= a_{12} \\ c(1+x) + (1-a)z &= a_{21} \\ -(1-a)(1-x) + cy &= a_{22} \end{aligned}$$

We write the linear system as follows

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bz &= a_{11} - a \\ -bx + ay &= a_{12} - b \\ cx + (1-a)z &= a_{21} - c \\ -(1-a)x + cy &= a_{22} + a - 1 \end{aligned}$$

The four equations form a linear system with 3 unknowns and 4 equations whose augmented matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & b & a_{11} - a \\ -b & a & 0 & a_{12} - b \\ c & 0 & 1-a & a_{21} - c \\ a-1 & c & 0 & a_{22} + a - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

An easy computation shows that the system matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ -b & a & 0 \\ c & 0 & 1-a \\ a-1 & c & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has

rank 2, as  $a(1-a) = bc$ .

Since the  $3 \times 3$  minors of the system matrix are zero, so is the determinant of the augmented matrix.

Another easy computation shows that the remaining twelve  $3 \times 3$  minors of the augmented matrix are zero iff  $\text{crow}_1(A) = \text{arow}_2(A)$ .

Thus, in order to find a solution we select (say) the first two equations i.e.,  $ax + bz = a_{11} - a$ ,  $-bx + ay = a_{12} - b$ . Then  $x = \frac{a_{11} - a - bz}{a} - 1$  and  $y = \frac{b(a_{11} - a - bz) + a(a_{12} - b)}{a^2}$  and by replacing in  $x^2 + yz = 0$  we obtain  $x = -\frac{(a_{11} - a)(a_{12} - b)}{ba_{11} - aa_{12}}$ ,  $y = -\frac{(a_{12} - b)^2}{ba_{11} - aa_{12}}$  and  $z = \frac{(a_{11} - a)^2}{ba_{11} - aa_{12}}$ . Hence, the existence of this solution requires the divisibilities in the statement.  $\square$

**The case  $a = 1$ .** As  $a(1 - a) = bc$ , at least one of  $b, c$  must be zero and (say)  $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $a_{21} = a_{22} = 0$  are necessary conditions for a matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$  to be unipotent-regular. As in the previous proof,  $x = a_{11} - bz - 1$ ,  $y = a_{12} - b + bx = a_{12} - b + b(a_{11} - bz - 1)$  and  $x^2 + yz = 0$  gives  $x = -\frac{(a_{11} - 1)(a_{12} - b)}{ba_{11} - a_{12}}$ ,  $y = -\frac{(a_{12} - b)^2}{ba_{11} - a_{12}}$  and  $z = \frac{(a_{11} - 1)^2}{ba_{11} - a_{12}}$  with  $(a_{11} - 1)^2$ ,  $(a_{12} - b)^2$  and  $(a_{11} - 1)(a_{12} - b)$  divisible by  $ba_{11} - a_{12}$ .

The case  $b = 0$  follows by transpose.

**The case  $a = 0$ .** Again at least one of  $b, c$  must be zero and (say)  $E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . The first two equations of the linear system are  $bz = a_{11}$ ,  $b(1 - x) = a_{12}$ . Therefore if both  $a_{11}, a_{12}$  are divisible by  $b$ , we get  $x = 1 - \frac{a_{12}}{b}$ ,  $z = \frac{a_{11}}{b}$  and arbitrary  $y$ .

**Remark.** If  $R$  is not an integral domain, we don't have a known form for  $2 \times 2$  idempotent or nilpotent matrices and so the above proof is not suitable.

Same for  $n \times n$  matrices with  $n \geq 3$ .

In view of Theorem 1, we could wonder whether there exist unipotent-regular matrices which are not idempotent. Such matrices do exist.

**Example.** The zero determinant integral matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  is *not idempotent but is unipotent-regular*.

The rows are dependent so we can take  $a = k$ ,  $c = 2k$  for any  $k$ . To choose  $b$ , from  $k(1 - k) = 2kb$  we need  $2b = 1 - k$ .

For  $k = 1$ , that is  $a = 1$ ,  $c = 2$ ,  $c$  divides  $a(1 - a) = 0$ . Then  $b = 0$  and  $0^2, 2^2$  and  $0 \cdot 2$  are divisible by  $a_{12} = 2$ . Indeed,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an idempotent-unipotent product.

The decomposition is unique. Since  $2b = 1 - k$ ,  $k$  must be odd, say  $k = 2l - 1$ . Then  $b - 2a = 3 - 5l$  should divide  $2(1 - l)^2$ ,  $(1 - l)^2$  and  $4(1 - l)^2$ . Over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , this amounts to a quadratic Diophantine equation  $l^2 + 5lm - 2l - 3m + 1 = 0$  which has only one solution:  $(l, m) = (1, 0)$ . Hence  $k = 1$ .

[The details. Solving the first two equations of the corresponding linear system and replacing in  $x^2 + yz = 0$ , we get  $x = -\frac{(1 - a)(2 - b)}{b - 2a}$ ,  $y = -\frac{(2 - b)^2}{b - 2a}$  and

$z = \frac{(1-a)^2}{b-2a}$ . For  $a = 1$ , we obtain  $x = z = 0$ ,  $y = 2-b$ . Finally, as  $a(1-a) = 0 = bc$  we have  $b = 0$ ].

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Călugăreanu *UU rings*. Carpathian J. Math. **31** (2) (2015), 157-163.
- [2] P. Camion, L. S. Levy, H. B. Mann *Linear equations over commutative rings*. J. of Algebra **18** (1971), 432-446.
- [3] P. Danchev, T. Y. Lam *Rings with unipotent units*. Publ. Math. Debrecen **88** (3-4) (2016), 449-466.
- [4] J. Levitzki *On the structure of algebraic algebras and related rings*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1953), 384-409.
- [5] W. K. Nicholson *Lifting idempotents and exchange rings*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **229** (1977), 269-278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, 1 KOGĂLNICEANU STREET, CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA; DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, 1 KOGĂLNICEANU STREET, CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

*Email address:* `calu@math.ubbcluj.ro`; `horia.pop@ubbcluj.ro`