

# A zero determinant $2 \times 2$ matrix which has not stable range one

G. Călugăreanu, H. F. Pop

July 4, 2023

## Abstract

In an attempt to answer a question stated by T. Y. Lam, we tried, without success to find such an example over  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ .

## 1 Introduction

In [1] it is shown that over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the only sr1  $2 \times 2$  matrices have determinant in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ . In a forthcoming paper ([3]), T. Y. Lam generalized this result, proving

**Theorem 1** *Let  $A \in R = M_n(S)$ , where  $n \geq 1$  and  $S$  is a commutative elementary divisor domain (EDD). If  $\det(A) \in \{0\} \cup U(S)$ , then  $sr_R(A) = 1$ . In the case where  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ , the converse of this statement also holds.*

Accordingly, Lam also asks the following

**Question.** Let  $R = M_n(S)$  where  $S$  is any commutative ring, and  $n \geq 1$ . If  $A \in R$  is such that  $\det(A) = 0$ , does it follow that  $sr_R(A) = 1$  ?

## 2 The example

In an attempt to provide an example justifying a negative answer, we thought of the commutative ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ , well-known of not being UFD and at the (also well-known) zero determinant  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 - i\sqrt{5} \\ 1 + i\sqrt{5} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Notice that  $R$  is not an EDD: if  $R$  would be EDD, it should be a PID and so UFD, a contradiction.

We first recall the following result (see [2] Cor. 5 (a), p. 13).

**Proposition 2**  *$sr(R) = 1$  iff for each  $b \in R$ , the homomorphism  $U(R) \rightarrow U(R/Rb)$  is surjective .*

Then we have

**Lemma 3**  $sr(R) = sr(\mathbb{M}_n(R)) = 2$  for any  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** (A. Vasiu) Since  $R$  is the normalization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in a totally imaginary quadratic extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it follows that  $U(R)$  is finite.

Using the previous proposition,  $sr(R) \neq 1$ ; as  $sr(R) \leq 2$ , we conclude that  $sr(R) = 2$ . Finally, a matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  (for a fixed positive integer  $n$ ) has stable range one iff the base ring  $R$  has stable range one (see [4]). ■

Summarizing,  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$  and  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}])$  both have stable range two, but some elements in each of these may have stable range one.

The question stated above asks to what extent zero determinant  $2 \times 2$  matrices have sr1. As will follow below, the matrix  $M$  above, has sr1, so is not a suitable counterexample. A simple sufficient [so far...] condition for zero determinant  $2 \times 2$  matrices will be found.

We next recall the characterization theorem proved in [1].

**Theorem 4** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $A \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$ . Then  $A$  has left stable range 1 iff for any  $X \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$  there exists  $Y \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$  such that

$$\det(Y)(\det(X)\det(A) - \text{Tr}(XA) + 1) + \det(A(\text{Tr}(XY) + 1)) - \text{Tr}(A\text{adj}(Y))$$

is a unit of  $R$ .

Here  $\text{adj}(Y)$  is the classical adjoint (the adjugate).

The following consequences will be useful.

**Corollary 5** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $A \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$ . If  $\det(A) = 0$ , then  $sr_R(A) = 1$  iff for any  $X \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$  there exists  $Y \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$  such that  $\det(Y)(1 - \text{Tr}(XA) - \text{Tr}(A\text{adj}(Y))) = 1$ .

**Corollary 6** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $0_2 \neq A \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$  and  $\det(A) = 0$ . If there exist  $Y \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$  such that  $\det(Y) = 0$  and  $\text{Tr}(A\text{adj}(Y)) = -1$  then  $sr_R(A) = 1$ .

**Corollary 7** The matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 - i\sqrt{5} \\ 1 + i\sqrt{5} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  has sr1. Moreover, a unitizer exists which is independent of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\det(M) = 0$ , using the last corollary, it suffices to take  $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2i\sqrt{5} & 3 \\ -2 + 2i\sqrt{5} & 2 + i\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$ . One verifies that  $\det(Y) = 0$  and  $\text{Tr}(M\text{adj}(Y)) = -1$ . ■

We can rephrase Corollary 6 as follows.

**Theorem 8** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $0_2 \neq A \in \mathbb{M}_2(R)$  and  $\det(A) = 0$ . If there exists a matrix  $Y$  such that  $\det(Y) = 0$  and  $AY$  is a nontrivial idempotent, then  $sr_R(A) = 1$ .

**Proof.** We just replace in Corollary 6,  $adj(Y)$  with  $Y$  and then  $Y$  by  $-Y$  (this can be done as  $\det(Y) = \det(adj(Y))$  for  $2 \times 2$  matrices, and  $Tr(-B) = -Tr(B)$ ) and then use Cayley-Hamilton theorem (as  $\det(AY) = 0$  and  $Tr(AY) = 1$ ). ■

**Example.** For the matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 - i\sqrt{5} \\ 1 + i\sqrt{5} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  we started with and  $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2i\sqrt{5} & 3 \\ -2 + 2i\sqrt{5} & 2 + i\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$  we have  $-adj(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 - i\sqrt{5} & 3 \\ -2 + 2i\sqrt{5} & -2 - 2i\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$ . Indeed,  $M(-adj(Y)) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 + i\sqrt{5} & -3 \\ -1 + i\sqrt{5} & -1 - i\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$  is idempotent (zero determinant and trace = 1) and  $\det \begin{bmatrix} -2 - i\sqrt{5} & 3 \\ -2 + 2i\sqrt{5} & -2 - 2i\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} = 0$ .

### 3 Computer aid

First, everything is about zero determinant  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ ; the computer will browse the zero determinant nonzero  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A$ .

If there exists  $Y$  such that  $\det(Y) = 0$  and  $AY$  is a nontrivial idempotent, computer displays nothing and continues browsing the  $A$ 's.

Computer displays only the matrices  $A$  for which **NO** zero determinant matrix  $Y$  with idempotent  $AY$  exists.

Computer displays  $A = -(1 + i\sqrt{5}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , since for  $z = 1$  it is the first matrix considered. It is easy to generalize this example.

**Lemma 9** *In the conditions of Theorem 8, if  $\gcd(A) \notin \{\pm 1\} = U(\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}])$  then a (zero determinant) matrix  $Y$  does not exist.*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$  with  $\alpha \notin \{\pm 1\}$  and  $A = \alpha A'$ . Then  $Tr(AY) = \alpha Tr(A'Y) = 1$  implies  $\alpha$  is a unit, a contradiction. ■

Indeed, displays also  $(1 + i\sqrt{5}) \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\pm(1 + i\sqrt{5}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\pm(1 + i\sqrt{5}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and others similar.

In the general case, if  $A = \alpha A'$ , then  $\det(Y)(1 - Tr(XA)) - Tr(Aadj(Y)) = \det(Y) - \alpha\beta = 1$  implies  $\gcd(\det(Y), \alpha) = 1$ .

...  
Computer displays also  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \alpha \\ \bar{\alpha} & \bar{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$  with  $\alpha = 1 + i\sqrt{5}$ . Both  $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$  are irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$  so  $\gcd(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) = 1$ .

Also transpose and other two similar like  $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\alpha} \\ -\alpha & -\bar{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Explanation:  $Tr(AY) = \alpha(y_{11} + y_{21}) + \bar{\alpha}(y_{12} + y_{22}) = 1$ . See proof below: there is no linear combination of  $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$  equal to 1.

Also  $\pm \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ \bar{\alpha} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\pm \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or with opposite signs. Again, of course zero determinant.

$$\text{Tr}(AY) = \alpha y_{11} + \bar{\alpha} y_{12} = 1.$$

**The explanation:**  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$  is not Bézout!  $\gcd(\alpha, \bar{\alpha}) = 1$  but there is no linear combination of  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  equal to 1.

*Proof.*  $(1 + i\sqrt{5})(a + bi\sqrt{5}) + (1 - i\sqrt{5})(c + di\sqrt{5}) = 1$  amounts to  $a + c - 5(b - d) = 1$  and  $a + b - c + d = 0$ . Replacing  $c = a + b + d$  gives  $2a - 4b + 6c = 1$  with no integer solutions.

Like in the above proof,  $(1 + i\sqrt{5})(a + bi\sqrt{5}) + (1 - i\sqrt{5})(c + di\sqrt{5}) = x$  is equivalent to  $2 \mid x$ .

We abandoned the program: after 3 days and a half only matrices with  $z = 1$  and upper left corner  $-(1 + i\sqrt{5})$  were partly covered.

## 4 Attempts

**First attempt.** Coming back to the general case,  $\det(Y)[1 - \text{Tr}(XA)] - \text{Tr}(A \text{adj}(Y)) = 1$ , we consider  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + i\sqrt{5} & 0 \\ 1 - i\sqrt{5} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

By computation (the usual notations), for every  $X$  we should (not) find an  $Y$  [we no more suppose  $\det(Y) = 0$ ] such that

$$\det(Y)[1 - x_{11}(1 + i\sqrt{5}) - x_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5})] - y_{22}(1 + i\sqrt{5}) + y_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5}) = 1$$

of course with  $\det(Y) = y_{11}y_{22} - y_{12}y_{21}$ .

The benefit is now that computer has to browse only two entries of  $X$ :  $x_{11}, x_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ . It displays only when a matrix  $Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  is **not** found.

**Summary.** Computer browses  $x_{11}, x_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ . For each such pair, it searches for 4 entries  $y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22} \in \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$  such that

$$(y_{11}y_{22} - y_{12}y_{21})[1 - x_{11}(1 + i\sqrt{5}) - x_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5})] - y_{22}(1 + i\sqrt{5}) + y_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5}) = 1.$$

That is, an equation in  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ , without matrices, determinants or traces.

**Second attempt.** In the first attempt, replace  $1 + i\sqrt{5}$  by 2. That is

$$(y_{11}y_{22} - y_{12}y_{21})[1 - 2x_{11} - x_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5})] - 2y_{22} + y_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5}) = 1.$$

**Third attempt.** In the first attempt replace  $1 + i\sqrt{5}$  by  $2 + i\sqrt{5}$ . That is

$$(y_{11}y_{22} - y_{12}y_{21})[1 - x_{11}(2 + i\sqrt{5}) - x_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5})] - y_{22}(2 + i\sqrt{5}) + y_{12}(1 - i\sqrt{5}) = 1.$$

**Forth attempt.** Consider  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1+i\sqrt{5} & 1+i\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $Aadj(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(y_{11} + y_{21}) & * \\ * & (1+i\sqrt{5})(y_{12} + y_{22}) \end{bmatrix}$  and  $XA = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_{11} + (1+i\sqrt{5})x_{12} & * \\ * & 2x_{21} + (1+i\sqrt{5})x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ . Now  $\det(Y)[1 - 2x_{11} - (1+i\sqrt{5})x_{12} - 2x_{21} - (1+i\sqrt{5})x_{22}] - 2(y_{11} + y_{21}) - (1+i\sqrt{5})(y_{12} + y_{22}) = 1$ .

After days, no matrix was displayed.

## 5 Another idea

Would be to vanish (instead of  $\det(Y)$ )  $1 - Tr(XA)$ . That is, to find an  $X$  such that  $Tr(XA) = 1$  but there is no  $Y$  with  $Tr(Aadj(Y)) = -1$ .

Unfortunately, this is not possible as  $Tr(CD) = Tr(DC)$  for any matrices  $C, D$ .

Hence, if a matrix  $X$  exists with  $Tr(XA) = 1$  then for  $Y = -adj(X)$  we get  $Tr(Aadj(Y)) = Tr(Aadj(-adj(X))) = -Tr(AX) = -Tr(XA) = -1$ .

In general, if we take  $Y = -adj(X)$ , the characterization gives  $\det(X) - (1 - Tr(XA)) = 0$ , so this unitizer choice works only when  $\det(X) = 1$  or else  $Tr(XA) = 1$ .

## 6 Final comment

As considerable efforts were made, without success, to find a zero determinant  $2 \times 2$  matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$  which has not sr1, it remains *plausible* that the initial question has an affirmative answer, i.e., *zero determinant  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$  have stable range one.*

## References

- [1] G. Călugăreanu, H. F. Pop *On stable range one matrices.* Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome **65 (113)** (3) (2022), 317-327.
- [2] G. Călugăreanu, H. F. Pop, A. Vasiu *On some invertible completions over commutative rings*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08413>, to appear.
- [3] T. Y. Lam *Ring elements of stable range one*, to appear.
- [4] L. N. Vaserstein *The stable range of rings and dimension of topological spaces.* Func. Anal. Appl. **5** (1971), 17-27.