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#### Abstract

The main purpose of the present paper is to formulate Kepler problem for two charged particles as a consequence of Synge's equation of motion. We show also an existence of circular orbits. Keywords: Synge's equations, plane orbit. AMS Subject Classification: 70F05.


## 1. Introduction

At the very beginning of 20 -th century conventional physics was completely unable to account for the observed existence of stable atoms whose electrons remain at great distance from their respective nuclei (cf. for instance [1]). N. Bohr (1918) in his atom model postulated discrete stationary states even though this violates the classical electrodynamics. Irrespective of the development of quantum mechanics J. L. Synge [2] (cf. also [3]) formulated two-body problem of classical electrodynamics using Lienard-Wiechert retarded potentials (cf. [4]). So, for the first time, he took into account the finite velocity of propagation of interaction in the equations of motion the basic assumption of Einstein special relativity theory. Synge's result was based on previous ones due to W. Pauli [5], who succeeded to express the Lorentz pondermotive force in a relativistic form. Not until 1963 Driver [6] recognized the one-dimensional case of the two-body problem as a system of functional differential equations with delays depending on the unknown trajectories. It turned out that from the point of view of modern theory of functional differential equations (cf. [7], [8]) Synge's equations (3-dimensional case) form a nonlinear system of neutral type with respect to unknown velocities. By fixed point approach sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of escape trajectories have been formulated (cf. [9],[10]).

The paper consists of six sections. Section 2 is devoted to the equations of motion namely the Synge's equations. They are 8 in number while the unknown trajectories are 6 in number. First it is shown that 2 of equations are implied by the rest ones. So we have to consider a system of 6 equations for 6 unknown functions. In section 3 equations of motion for two-dimensional case in polar coordinates are given. Section

4 treats one-dimensional case. The result obtained confirms those of R.D.Driver [6]. Section 5 is devoted to two-dimensional case. The system of equations of motion is presented as a second order one. It is easy to check it has circle orbits, that is, $\rho=$ const with constant angular velocity $\dot{\varphi}=$ const. This system is presented in equivalent form as a first order one.

## 2. J.L. Synge's equations of motion

As in [9] we denote by $x^{(p)}=\left(x_{1}^{(p)}(t), x_{2}^{(p)}(t), x_{3}^{(p)}(t), x_{4}^{(p)}(t)=i c t\right)(p=1,2)\left(i^{2}=\right.$ $-1)$ the space-time coordinates of the moving particles, by $m_{p}$ - their proper masses, by $e_{p}$ - their charges, $c$ - the speed of light. The coordinates of the velocity vectors are $u^{(p)}=\left(u_{1}^{(p)}(t), u_{2}^{(p)}(t), u_{3}^{(p)}(t)\right)(p=1,2)$. The coordinates of the unit tangent vectors to the world-lines are (cf. [2], [3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}^{(p)}=\frac{\gamma_{p} u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)}{c}=\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)}{\Delta_{p}}(\alpha=1,2,3), \lambda_{4}^{(p)}=i \gamma_{p}=\frac{i c}{\Delta_{p}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{p}=\left(1-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)\right]^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_{p}=\left(c^{2}-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)\right]^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. It follows $\gamma_{p}=c / \Delta_{p}$.
By $<., .>_{4}$ we denote the scalar product in the Minkowski space, while by $<., .>$ - the scalar product in 3-dimensional Euclidean subspace. The equations of motion modelling the interaction of two moving charged particles are the following (cf. [2], [3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p} \frac{d \lambda_{r}^{(p)}}{d s_{p}}=\frac{e_{p}}{c^{2}} F_{r n}^{(p)} \lambda_{n}^{(p)}(r=1,2,3,4) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the elements of proper time are $d s_{p}=\frac{c}{\gamma_{p}} d t=\Delta_{p} d t(p=1,2)$. Recall that in (2) there is a summation in $n(n=1,2,3)$. The elements $F_{r n}^{(p)}$ of the electromagnetic tensors are derived by the retarded Lienard-Wiechert potentials $A_{r}^{(p)}=-\frac{e_{p} \lambda_{r}^{(p)}}{\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}}(r=1,2,3,4)$, that is, $F_{r n}^{(p)}=\frac{\partial A_{n}^{(p)}}{\partial x_{r}^{(p)}}-\frac{\partial A_{r}^{(p)}}{\partial x_{n}^{(p)}}$. By $\xi^{(p q)}$ we denote the isotropic vectors (cf. [9], [10])

$$
\xi^{(p q)}=\left(x_{1}^{(p)}(t)-x_{1}^{(q)}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right), x_{2}^{(p)}(t)-x_{2}^{(q)}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right), x_{3}^{(p)}(t)-x_{3}^{(q)}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right), i c \tau_{p q}(t)\right)
$$

where $\left\langle\xi^{(p, q)}, \xi^{(p, q)}\right\rangle_{4}=0$ or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{p q}(t)=\frac{1}{c}\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{3}\left[x_{\beta}^{(p)}(t)-x_{\beta}^{(q)}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},((p q)=(12),(21)) \\
& \text { Calculating } F_{r n}^{(p)} \text { as in [9], we write equations from (2) in the form: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{d \lambda_{\alpha}^{(p)}}{d s_{p}}=\frac{Q_{p}}{c^{2}}\left\{\frac{\xi_{\alpha}^{(p q)}\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \lambda^{(q)}\right\rangle_{4}-\lambda_{\alpha}^{(q)}\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}}{\left\langle\lambda^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}^{3}}\left[1+\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \frac{d \lambda^{(q)}}{d s_{q}}\right\rangle_{4}\right]+\right.$
$\left.+\frac{1}{\left\langle\lambda^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}^{2}}\left[\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4} \frac{d \lambda_{\alpha}^{(q)}}{d s_{q}}-\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \frac{d \lambda^{(q)}}{d s_{q}}\right\rangle_{4} \xi_{\alpha}^{(p q)}\right]\right\}(\alpha=1,2,3)$
$\frac{d \lambda_{4}^{(p)}}{d s_{p}}=\frac{Q_{p}}{c^{2}}\left\{\frac{\xi_{4}^{(p q)}\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \lambda^{(q)}\right\rangle_{4}-\lambda_{4}^{(q)}\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}}{\left\langle\lambda^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}^{3}}\left[1+\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \frac{d \lambda^{(q)}}{d s_{q}}\right\rangle_{4}\right]+\right.$
$\left.+\frac{1}{\left\langle\lambda^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}^{2}}\left[\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4} \frac{d \lambda_{4}^{(q)}}{d s_{q}}-\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \frac{d \lambda^{(q)}}{d s_{q}}\right\rangle_{4} \xi_{4}^{(p q)}\right]\right\}$
where $Q_{p}=e_{1} e_{2} / m_{p}(p=1,2)$. Further on we denote $u^{(q)} \equiv u^{(q)}\left(t-\tau_{p q}\right)$,
$\lambda^{(q)}=\left(\gamma_{p q} u_{1}^{(q)} / c, \gamma_{p q} u_{2}^{(q)} / c, \gamma_{p q} u_{3}^{(q)} / c, i \gamma_{p q}\right)=\left(u_{1}^{(q)} / \Delta_{p q}, u_{2}^{(q)} / \Delta_{p q}, u_{3}^{(q)} / \Delta_{p q}, i c / \Delta_{p q}\right)$
where $\gamma_{p q}=\left(1-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[u_{\alpha}^{(q)}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right]^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_{p q}=\left(c^{2}-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[u_{\alpha}^{(q)}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.$
and $\frac{d \lambda_{\alpha}^{(p)}}{d s_{p}}=\frac{d\left(\frac{\gamma_{p}}{c} u_{\alpha}^{(p)}\right)}{\frac{c}{\gamma_{p}} d t}=\frac{d\left(\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(p)}}{\Delta_{p}}\right)}{\Delta_{p} d t}=\frac{1}{\Delta_{p}^{2}} \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(p)}+\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(p)}}{\Delta_{p}^{4}}\left\langle u^{(p)}, \dot{u}^{(p)}\right\rangle(\alpha=1,2,3)$
$\frac{d \lambda_{4}^{(p)}}{d s_{p}}=\frac{d\left(i \gamma_{p}\right)}{\frac{c}{\gamma_{p}} d t}=\frac{i c d\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{p}}\right)}{\Delta_{p} d t}=\frac{i c}{\Delta_{p}^{4}}\left\langle u^{(p)}, \dot{u}^{(p)}\right\rangle$, where the dot means a differentiation in $t$.

In order to calculate $\frac{d \lambda_{\alpha}}{d s_{q}}$ we need the derivative $\frac{d t}{d t_{p q}} \equiv D_{p q}$ which should be calculated from the relation $t-t_{p q}=\frac{1}{c}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[x_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)-x_{\alpha}^{(q)}\left(t_{p q}\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t_{p q}<t ; t-\tau_{p q}(t)=t_{p q}\right.$ by assumption).

So we have $\frac{d t}{d t_{p q}}-1=\frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[x_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)-x_{\alpha}^{(q)}\left(t_{p q}\right)\right]\left[u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t) \frac{d t}{d t_{p q}}-u_{\alpha}^{(q)}\left(t_{p q}\right)\right]}{c\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left[x_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)-x_{\alpha}^{(q)}\left(t_{p q}\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$.
Since (3pq) has a unique solution (cf. [9], [10]) we can solve the above equation with respect to $D_{p q}$ :
$D_{p q}=\frac{c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle\xi^{p q}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle_{4}}{c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle\xi^{p q}, u^{(p)}\right\rangle_{4}}$. We have also $\frac{d}{d s_{p}}=\frac{d}{\Delta_{p} d t}$.
Then $\frac{d}{d s_{q}}=\frac{1}{\Delta_{p q}} \frac{d}{d t_{p q}}=\frac{1}{\Delta_{p q}} \frac{d}{d t_{p q}} \frac{d}{d t}=\frac{D_{p q}}{\Delta_{p q}} \frac{d}{d t}$;
$\frac{d \lambda_{\alpha}^{(p)}}{d s_{q}}=\frac{d\left(\frac{\gamma_{p q}}{c} u_{\alpha}^{(q)}\right)}{\frac{c}{\gamma_{p q}} d t_{p q}}=\frac{d\left(\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(q)}}{\Delta_{p q}}\right)}{\Delta_{p q} d t_{p q}}=D_{p q} \frac{d\left(\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(q)}}{\Delta_{p_{q}}}\right)}{\Delta_{p q} d t_{p q}}=$
$=D_{p q}\left[\dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(q)} \frac{1}{\Delta_{p q}^{2}}+\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(q)}}{\Delta_{p q}^{4}}\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle\right](\alpha=1,2,3) ;$
$\frac{d \lambda_{4}^{(q)}}{d s_{q}}=\frac{i c D_{p q}}{\Delta_{p q}^{4}}\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle ;\left\langle\lambda^{(p)} \lambda^{(q)}\right\rangle_{4}=\frac{\left\langle u^{(p)}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle-c^{2}}{\Delta_{p} \Delta_{p q}} ;$
$\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}=\frac{\left\langle u^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{p q}}{\Delta_{p}} ;\left\langle\lambda^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle_{4}=\frac{\left\langle u^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{p q}}{\Delta_{p q}} ;$
$\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \frac{d \lambda^{q}}{d s_{q}}\right\rangle_{4}=D_{p q}\left[\frac{1}{\Delta_{p q}^{2}}\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle+\frac{\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, u^{q}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{p q}}{\Delta_{p q}^{4}}\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle\right] ;$
$\left\langle\lambda^{(p)}, \frac{d \lambda^{q}}{d s_{q}}\right\rangle_{4}=\frac{D_{p q}}{\Delta_{p} \Delta_{p q}^{2}}\left[\left\langle u^{(p)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle+\frac{\left\langle u^{(p)}, u^{q}\right\rangle-c^{2}}{\Delta_{p q}^{2}}\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle\right]$.
We note that in the above expressions $\xi^{(p q)}$ is 4 -dimensional vector in the lefthand sides, while in the right-hand sides $\xi^{(p q)}$ is 3-dimensional part of the first three coordinates.

Replacing the above expressions in (4. $\alpha$ ) and (4.4) and performing some obvious transformations we obtain for $(p q)=(12),(21), \alpha=1,2,3$ :
$\frac{1}{\Delta_{p}} \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(p)}+\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(p)}}{\Delta_{p}^{3}}\left\langle u^{(p)}, \dot{u}^{(p)}\right\rangle=\frac{Q_{p}}{c^{2}}\left\{\frac{\left[c^{2}-\left\langle u^{(p)}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle\right] \xi_{\alpha}^{(p q)}-\left[c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle u^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle\right] u_{\alpha}^{q}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle u^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle\right]^{3}}\right.$.
$\frac{\Delta_{p q}^{4}+D_{p q}\left[\Delta_{p q}^{2}\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle+\left(\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{p q}\right)\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle\right]}{\Delta_{p q}^{2}}+$
$+D_{p q} \frac{\left[\left\langle u^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{p q}\right]\left[\dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(q)}+u_{\alpha}^{q}\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{p q}^{2}\right]}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle u^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle\right]^{2}}-$
$\left.-D_{p q} \frac{\left[\left\langle u^{(p)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle+\left(\left\langle u^{(p)}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle-c^{2}\right) / \Delta_{p q}^{2}\right]\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle \xi^{(p q)}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle u^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle\right]^{2}}\right\}$,
$\frac{1}{\Delta_{p}^{3}}\left\langle u^{(p)}, \dot{u}^{(p)}\right\rangle=\frac{Q_{p}}{c^{2}}\left\{\frac{\left\langle u^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle-\tau_{p q}\left\langle u^{(p)}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle u^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle\right]^{3}}\right.$
$\left[\Delta_{p q}^{2}+D_{p q}\left(\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle+\left(\left\langle\xi^{(p q)}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{p q}\right)\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{p q}^{2}\right)\right]+\quad\left(5_{p 4}\right)$
$+D_{p q} \frac{\left\langle u^{(p)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{p q}^{2}-\tau_{p q}\left\langle u^{(p)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle-\tau_{p q}\left\langle u^{(p)}, u^{(q)}\right\rangle\left\langle u^{(q)}, \dot{u}^{(q)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{p q}^{2}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{p q}-\left\langle u^{(q)}, \xi^{(p q)}\right\rangle\right]^{2}}$
One can prove (as in [10]) that $\left(5_{p 4}\right)$ is a consequence of $\left(5_{p \alpha}\right)$. Indeed, multiplying $\left(5_{p \alpha}\right)$ by $u_{\alpha}^{(p)}$, summing up in $\alpha$ and dividing into $c^{2}$ we obtain ( $5_{p 4}$ ). Therefore we can consider a system consisting of the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{n d}, 3^{r d}, 5^{t h}, 6^{t h}$ and $7^{t h}$ equations. The last equations form a nonlinear functional differential system of neutral type (cf. [7], [8]) with respect to the unknown velocities. The delays $\tau_{p q}$ depend on the unknown trajectories by the relations $\left(3_{p q}\right)$.

Let us formulate the initial value problem for $\left(5_{p \alpha}\right)$ in the following way: to find unknown velocities $u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)(p=1,2 ; \alpha=1,2,3)$ for $t \geq 0$ satisfying equations $\left(6_{1 \alpha}\right)$, $\left(6_{2 \alpha}\right)$ of motion (written in details below):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\Delta_{1}} \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(1)}+\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(1)}}{\Delta_{1}^{3}}\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle=\frac{Q_{1}}{c^{2}}\left\{\frac{\left[c^{2}-\left\langle u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right\rangle\right] \xi^{(12)}-\left[c^{2} \tau_{12}-\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle\right] u_{\alpha}^{(2)}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{12}-\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle\right]^{3}}\right. \\
& .\left[\Delta_{12}^{4}+D_{12} \Delta_{12}^{2}\left\langle\xi_{(12)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle+\left(\left\langle\xi_{(12)}, u^{(2)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{12}\right)\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle\right] / \Delta_{12}^{2}+
\end{align*}
$$

$+D_{12} \frac{\left(\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{12}\right) \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(2)}-\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle \xi_{\alpha}^{(12)}+\left(\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{12}\right) u_{\alpha}^{(2)}\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{12}^{2}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{12}-\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle\right]^{2}}$
$\left.+D_{12} \frac{\left(c^{2}-\left\langle u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right\rangle\right) \xi_{\alpha}^{(12)}\left\langle u^{(2)}, u^{\dot{(2)}\rangle}\right\rangle / \Delta_{12}^{2}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{12}-\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle\right]^{2}}\right\}$
Recall that in the above equations $u^{(1)}=u^{(1)}(t), u^{(2)}=u^{(2)}\left(t-\tau_{12}\right)$. We also have
$\frac{1}{\Delta_{2}} \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(2)}+\frac{u_{\alpha}^{(2)}}{\Delta_{2}^{3}}\left\langle u^{2}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle=\frac{Q_{1}}{c^{2}}\left\{\frac{\left.\left[c^{2}-\left\langle u^{2}, u^{(1)}\right\rangle\right] \xi^{(21)}-c^{2} \tau_{21}-\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle\right] u_{\alpha}^{(1)}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{21}-\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle\right]^{3}}\right.$.
$\left[\Delta_{21}^{4}+D_{21} \Delta_{21}^{2}\left\langle\xi_{(21)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left(\left\langle\xi_{(21)}, u^{(1)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{21}\right)\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right] / \Delta_{21}^{2}+\quad\left(6_{2 \alpha}\right)$
$+D_{21} \frac{\left(\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{21}\right) \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(1)}-\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle \xi_{\alpha}^{(21)}+\left(\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle-c^{2} \tau_{21}\right) u_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{21}^{2}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{21}-\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle\right]^{2}}$
$\left.+D_{21} \frac{\left(c^{2}-\left\langle u^{(2)}, u^{(1)}\right\rangle\right) \xi_{\alpha}^{(21)}\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{21}^{2}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{21}-\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle\right]^{2}}\right\}$
Recall that in the above equations $u^{(2)}=u^{(2)}(t), u^{(1)}=u^{(1)}\left(t-\tau_{21}\right)$. We note the delay functions $\tau_{p q}(t)$ satisfy functional equations $\left(3_{p q}\right)$ for $t \in R^{1}$. For $t \leq 0 \quad u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)$ are prescribed functions $u_{\alpha}^{-(p)}(t)$, i.e.
$u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)=u_{\alpha}^{-(p)}(t), t \leq 0$, where $u_{\alpha}^{-(p)}(t)=\frac{d \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)}{d t}, t \leq 0$
This means that for prescribed trajectories
$\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(1)}(t), \bar{x}_{2}^{(1)}(t), \bar{x}_{3}^{(1)}(t)\right),\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(2)}(t), \bar{x}_{2}^{(2)}(t), \bar{x}_{3}^{(2)}(t)\right)$ for $t \leq 0$ one has to find trajectories, satisfying the above system of equations for $t>0$. (We recall, $x_{\alpha}^{(p)}(t)=x_{\alpha 0}^{(p)}+$ $\int_{0}^{t} u_{\alpha}^{(p)}(s) d s$ where $x_{\alpha 0}^{(p)}$ are the coordinates of the initial positions).

## 3. Equation of motion in polar coordinates

In what follows we consider plane motion in $O x_{2} x_{3}$ coordinate plane for equations $\left(6_{p \alpha}\right),\left(6_{p q}\right),\left(\overline{6}_{\alpha p}\right), p=1,2 ; \alpha=1,2,3 ;(p q)=(12),(21)$. We suppose that the first particle $P_{1}$ is fixed at the origin $O(0,0,0)$, that is, $P_{1}: \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x_{1}^{(1)}(t)=0 \\ & x_{2}^{(1)}(t)=0, t \in(-\infty, \infty) \\ & x_{3}^{(1)}(t)=0\end{aligned}\right.$. It follows by necessity $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x_{1}^{-(1)}(t)=0 \\ & x_{2}^{-(1)}(t)=0 \\ & x_{3}^{-(1)}(t)=0\end{aligned}\right.$. Passing to the polar coordinates we can put $P_{2}: \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x_{1}^{(2)}(t)=0 \\ & x_{2}^{(2)}(t)=\rho(t) \cos \varphi(t) \quad \text { where } \rho(t)>0 . \\ & x_{3}^{(2)}(t)=\rho(t) \sin \varphi(t)\end{aligned} \quad\right.$.

For the velocities and accelerations of the particles we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l}
u_{1}^{(1)}(t)=0 & w_{1}^{(1)}(t)=0 & u_{1}^{(2)}(t)=0 \\
u_{2}^{(1)}(t)=0 & w_{2}^{(1)}(t)=0 & u_{2}^{(2)}(t)=\dot{\rho}(t) \cos \varphi(t)-\rho(t) \dot{\varphi}(t) \sin \varphi(t) \\
u_{3}^{(1)}(t)=0 & w_{3}^{(1)}(t)=0 & u_{3}^{(3)}(t)=\dot{\rho}(t) \sin \varphi(t)+\rho(t) \dot{\varphi}(t) \cos \varphi(t)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& w_{1}^{(2)}(t)=0 \\
& w_{2}^{(2)}(t)=\left[\ddot{\rho}(t)-\rho(t) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(t)\right] \cos \varphi(t)-[2 \dot{\rho}(t) \dot{\varphi}(t)+\rho(t) \ddot{\varphi}(t)] \sin \varphi(t) \\
& w_{3}^{(3)}(t)=\left[\ddot{\rho}(t)-\rho(t) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(t)\right] \sin \varphi(t)+[2 \dot{\rho}(t) \dot{\varphi}(t)+\rho(t) \ddot{\varphi}(t)] \cos \varphi(t)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Then for $(p q)=(12)$ we have
$\Delta_{1}=c, \quad \Delta_{12}=\sqrt{c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}-\rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}}$,
$\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle=-\rho \dot{\rho}^{2}$, $\xi^{(12)}(0,-\rho \cos \varphi,-\rho \sin \varphi)$.

Recall that in the above equations $u^{(1)}=u^{(1)}(t), u^{(2)}=u^{(2)}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)$ and the argument of $\rho, \dot{\rho}, \ddot{\rho}, \varphi, \dot{\varphi}, \ddot{\varphi}$ is $t-\tau_{12}(t)$.

We know from $[6]$ that $\tau_{12}=\sqrt{\left\langle\xi^{(12)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle} / c$ or in polar coordinates $\tau_{12}(t)=\rho(t-$ $\left.\tau_{12}\right) / c$. The last equation has a unique solution provided $|\dot{\rho}| \leq \bar{c}<c$ for some constant $\bar{c}>0$ (cf. [9]). Since $D_{12}=\frac{c \sqrt{\left\langle\xi^{(12)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle}-\left\langle\xi^{(12)}, u^{(2)}\right\rangle}{c \sqrt{\left\langle\xi^{(12)}, \xi^{(12)}\right\rangle}-\left\langle\xi^{(12)}, u^{(1)}\right\rangle}=\frac{c^{2} \tau_{12}+\rho \dot{\rho}}{c^{2} \tau_{12}}=\frac{c+\dot{\rho}}{\rho}$,
$\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle\xi^{(12)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle=\rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}-\rho \ddot{\rho}$,
$\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle=\dot{\rho} \ddot{\rho}+\rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+\rho^{2} \dot{\varphi} \ddot{\varphi}$
then replacing the above expressions in $\left(6_{1 \alpha}\right)$ we obtain
$\frac{c^{2} \xi_{\alpha}^{(12)}-c^{12} \tau_{12} u_{\alpha}^{2}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{12}+\rho \dot{\rho}\right]^{3}}\left[\Delta_{12}^{2}+D_{12}\left(\rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}-\rho \ddot{\rho}\right)+\frac{D_{12}\left(-\rho \dot{\rho}-c^{2} \tau_{12}\right)}{\Delta_{12}^{2}}\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle\right]+$
$+D_{12} \frac{-c^{12} \tau_{12}\left[\dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(2)}+u_{\alpha}^{(2)}\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle / \Delta_{12}^{2}\right]+c^{2}\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle \xi_{\alpha}^{(12)} / \Delta_{12}^{2}}{\left[c^{2} \tau_{12}+\rho \dot{\rho}\right]^{2}}=0$,
$\tau_{12} D_{12}\left(c^{2} \tau_{12}+\rho \dot{\rho}\right) \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(2)}+\rho D_{12}\left(\xi_{\alpha}^{(12)}-\tau_{12} u_{\alpha}^{(2)}\right) \ddot{\rho}=\left(\Delta_{12}^{2}+\rho^{2} D_{12} \dot{\varphi}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{\alpha}^{(12)}-\tau_{12} u_{\alpha}^{(2)}\right)$.
For $\alpha=1$ we obtain the identity $0=0$. For $\alpha=2,3$ we obtain the system
$\left[(c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \cos \varphi+(c+\dot{\rho}) M\right] \ddot{\rho}-(c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \rho \sin \varphi \ddot{\varphi}=(c+\dot{\rho})^{2}\left(\rho \dot{\varphi}^{2} \cos \varphi+2 \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi\right)+M P_{12}$ $\left[(c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \sin \varphi+(c+\dot{\rho}) N\right] \ddot{\rho}+(c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \rho \cos \varphi \ddot{\varphi}=(c+\dot{\rho})^{2}\left(\rho \dot{\varphi}^{2} \sin \varphi-2 \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi\right)-N P_{12}$ where $M=-c \cos \varphi-\dot{\rho} \cos \varphi+\rho \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi, N=c \sin \varphi+\dot{\rho} \cos \varphi+r h o \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi, P_{12}=$ $\Delta_{12}^{2}+\rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2} D_{12}$. We assume there is no collision for $t \leq 0$, i.e. $\rho\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right) \neq 0$. Therefore the above system has no solution because its determinant
$\delta=\left|\begin{array}{cc}(c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \cos \varphi+(c+\dot{\rho}) M & -(c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \rho \sin \varphi \\ (c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \sin \varphi-(c+\dot{\rho}) N & (c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \rho \cos \varphi\end{array}\right|=0$, while in view of $|\dot{\rho}| \leq \bar{c}<c$
$\delta_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}(c+\dot{\rho})^{2}(\rho \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi+2 \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi)+M P_{12} & -(c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \rho \sin \varphi \\ (c+\dot{\rho})^{2}(\rho \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi-2 \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi)-N P_{12} & (c+\dot{\rho})^{2} \rho \cos \varphi\end{array}\right|=-\rho(c+\dot{\rho})^{3} \Delta_{12}^{2} \neq$
0.

So we have to consider only the second group of equations namely $\left(6_{2 \alpha}\right)$
( $\alpha=1,2,3$ ). Since
$\xi^{(21)}(0, \rho(t) \cos \varphi(t), \rho(t) \sin \varphi(t)) ; \quad\left\langle u^{(1)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle=0 ; \quad\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle=0 ;$
$\left\langle\xi^{(21)}, \dot{u}^{(1)}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}\right\rangle=\dot{\rho} \ddot{\rho}+\rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+\rho^{2} \dot{\varphi} \ddot{\varphi} ; \quad\left\langle u^{(2)}, u^{(1)}\right\rangle=0 ;$
$\left\langle u^{(2)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle=-\rho \dot{\rho} ; \quad\left\langle u^{(1)}, \xi^{(21)}\right\rangle=0 ; \tau_{21}(t)=\frac{\rho(t)}{c} ; \quad \Delta_{2}=\sqrt{c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}-\rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}} ;$
$\Delta_{21}=c ; \quad D_{21}=\frac{c}{c+\dot{\rho}}$ for $\left(6_{2 \alpha}\right)(\alpha=1,2,3)$ we obtain:

$$
\Delta_{2}^{2} \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(2)}+u_{\alpha}^{(2)}<u^{(2)}, \dot{u}^{(2)}>=Q_{2} \Delta_{2}^{3} \xi_{\alpha}^{(21)} / c \rho^{3}
$$

For $\alpha=1$ we obtain the identity $0=0$. For $\alpha=2,3$ we obtain the following system: $\left[\Delta_{2}^{2} \cos \varphi+\dot{\rho}(\dot{\rho} \cos \varphi-\rho \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi)\right] \ddot{\rho}+\left[(\dot{\rho} \cos \varphi-\rho \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi) \rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}-\Delta_{2}^{2} \rho \sin \varphi\right] \ddot{\varphi}=$ $=\Delta_{2}^{2} \rho \dot{\varphi}^{2} \cos \varphi+2 \Delta_{2}^{2} \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi+(\rho \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi-\dot{\rho} \cos \varphi) \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+\frac{Q_{2} \Delta_{2}^{3} \cos \varphi}{c \rho^{2}}$,
$\left[\Delta_{2}^{2} \sin \varphi+\dot{\rho}(\dot{\rho} \sin \varphi+\rho \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi)\right] \ddot{\rho}+\left[(\dot{\rho} \sin \varphi+\rho \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi) \rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}+\Delta_{2}^{2} \rho \cos \varphi\right] \ddot{\varphi}=$ $=\Delta_{2}^{2} \rho \dot{\varphi}^{2} \sin \varphi-2 \Delta_{2}^{2} \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi-(\rho \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi+\dot{\rho} \sin \varphi) \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+\frac{Q_{2} \Delta_{2}^{3} \sin \varphi}{c \rho^{2}}$.

The above system can be solved with respect to $\ddot{\rho}, \ddot{\varphi}$ because
$\delta=\left|\begin{array}{cc}\Delta_{2}^{2} \cos \varphi+\dot{\rho}(\dot{\rho} \cos \varphi-\rho \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi) & (\dot{\rho} \cos \varphi-\rho \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi) \rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}-\Delta_{2}^{2} \rho \sin \varphi \\ \Delta_{2}^{2} \sin \varphi+\dot{\rho}(\dot{\rho} \sin \varphi+\rho \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi) & (\dot{\rho} \sin \varphi+\rho \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi) \rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}+\Delta_{2}^{2} \rho \cos \varphi\end{array}\right|=c^{2} \rho \Delta_{2}^{2} \neq 0$.
$\delta_{1}=\Delta_{2}^{2} c^{2} \rho^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+\frac{Q_{2} \Delta_{2}^{3}\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}\right)}{c \rho}$ and $\delta_{2}=-2 \Delta_{2}^{2} \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi}\left(c^{2}+\frac{Q_{2} \Delta_{2}}{2 c \rho}\right)$
Then we have
$\ddot{\rho}=\rho \dot{\varphi}^{2}+\frac{Q_{2} \Delta_{2}\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}\right)}{\rho^{2} c^{3}}$ and $\ddot{\varphi}=-\frac{2 \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi}}{\rho}-\frac{Q_{2} \dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \Delta_{2}}{c^{3} \rho^{2}}$
Therefore we consider just equations (7) for $t \geq 0$.

## 4. One dimensional case

Here we consider the motion of two charged particles on a straight line $\dot{\varphi}=0$. Then the second equation from (7) becomes the identity and the first one $\ddot{\rho}=$ $Q_{2}\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} / c^{3} \rho^{2}$, where $\rho=\rho(t)$ and $t \geq 0$. Assume that the particles have opposite signs. Therefore $-Q_{2}>0$. Denote by $A=-\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{3}}>0$. Then as usually we set $\dot{\rho}=z, \ddot{\rho}=z \frac{d z}{d \rho}$. So we obtain $z \frac{d z}{d \rho}=-A \frac{\left(c^{2}-z^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\rho^{2}},\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{A}{\rho}+D$, where $D=\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}_{0}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{3} \rho_{0}}$ and $\rho_{0}=\rho(0), \dot{\rho}_{0}=\dot{\rho}(0)$ are initial conditions.Further on we have $\int \frac{D \rho+A}{\sqrt{\left(c^{2} D^{2}-1\right) \rho^{2}+2 c^{2} D A \rho+c^{2} A^{2}}} d \rho= \pm t+E$, where $E$ is a constant.

Introduce a new variable $\eta$ by Euler substitution and putting $B=c^{2} D^{2}-1$ we obtain $\left(B \rho^{2}+2 c^{2} D A \rho+c^{2} A^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\rho \eta+c A$. Hence $2 A \int \frac{-\eta^{2}+2 c D \eta-B-2}{\left(\eta^{2}-B^{2}\right)} d \eta=$ $\pm t+E$.

Consider the case $B>0$, that is, $c^{2}\left[\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}_{0}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{3} \rho_{0}}\right]^{2}-1>0$. The last inequality is satisfied for suitably chosen $\rho_{0}, \dot{\rho}_{0}$. It is easy to formulate conditions implying the above inequality. Indeed, since $\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}_{0}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}>1 / c$ then $D>\frac{1}{c}+\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{3} \rho_{0}}=$ $\frac{1}{c} \frac{\rho_{0} c^{2}+Q_{2}}{c^{2} \rho_{0}}>0$ because for the hydrogen atom $Q_{2}=e_{1}^{2} / m_{2}, e_{1}=1,6.10^{-19} q, m_{2}=$ $9.10^{31} \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{c}=3.10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ which yields $\rho_{0} c^{2}+Q_{2}=28 \cdot 10^{-11} .9 \cdot 10^{16}-2,84.10^{-8}>0$.

Then $B>0 \Leftrightarrow c D>1$ or $c\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}_{0}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{2} \rho_{0}}>0 \Leftrightarrow c\left(c^{2}-\dot{\rho}_{0}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}>1-\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{2} \rho_{0}}>1$.

Denote by $q=1-\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{2} \rho_{0}}$. It follows $c>\dot{\rho}_{0}>c \sqrt{q^{2}-1} / q$ Therefore $B>0$ and then we have
$2 A \int \frac{-\eta^{2}+2 c D \eta-B-2}{\left(\eta^{2}-B^{2}\right)} d \eta=$
$2 A\left(-\frac{A_{1}}{\eta-\sqrt{B}}+A_{2} \ln |\eta-\sqrt{B}|-\frac{A_{3}}{\eta+\sqrt{B}}+A_{4} \ln |\eta+\sqrt{B}|\right)= \pm t+E$
where $A_{1}=(-B+c D \sqrt{B}-1) / 2 B, A_{2}=1 / 2 B \sqrt{B}, A_{3}=-(B+c D \sqrt{B}+1) / 2 B, A_{4}=$ $-1 / 2 B \sqrt{B}$.

It is easy to verify that $-A_{1}>0$ and $A_{2}>0$. Since $\eta=\left(\sqrt{B \rho^{2}+2 c^{2} D A \rho+c^{2} A^{2}}-\right.$ $c A) / \rho$ then we have $\eta-\sqrt{B}=\left(\sqrt{B \rho^{2}+2 c^{2} D A \rho+c^{2} A^{2}}-c A-\sqrt{B} \rho\right) / \rho$. For $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ the right-hand side of (8) should tend to $\pm \infty$. This is possible if $\eta-\sqrt{B} \rightarrow 0$. Obviously the last relation is implied by $\rho(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand the differential equation $\ddot{\rho}(t)=\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{3}} \frac{\left[c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}(t)\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\rho^{2}(t)}$ shows that if $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}} \rho(t)=0$ for some $t_{0}>0$ it follows by necessity $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}} \dot{\rho}(t)=c$ because $\ddot{\rho}(t)$ should be bounded. So we obtain a confirmation of the results from [6].

It remains to consider the case $B>0$ or $\dot{\rho}_{0}<\frac{c \sqrt{q^{2}-1}}{q}$. Put $B_{1}=-B>0$ and then (8) becomes
$2 A \int \frac{-\eta^{2}+2 c D \eta-B_{1}-2}{\left(\eta^{2}-B_{1}^{2}\right)} d \eta=2 A\left(-B_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{B_{1}}}\right)+\frac{\left(B_{1}-1\right) \eta}{B_{1}\left(\eta^{2}+B^{1}\right)}-\frac{c D}{\eta^{2}+B^{1}}\right)=$ $\pm t+$ const.

Obviously the left-hand side of the last equality remains bounded while the right hand side is unbounded for any values of $t \rightarrow \infty$.

## 5. Two-DIMEnsional case

This section is devoted to the investigation of two-dimensional case of two-body problem. First we consider the system of equations of motion already derived in a previous section, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\rho}(t)=\rho(t) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(t)+\frac{Q_{2}}{c^{3}} \frac{\left[c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}(t)\right] \sqrt{c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}(t)-\rho^{2}(t) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(t)}}{\rho^{2}(t)}  \tag{9.1}\\
& \ddot{\varphi}=-\frac{2 \dot{\rho}(t) \dot{\varphi}(t)}{\rho(t)}\left[1+\frac{Q_{2} \sqrt{c^{2}-\dot{\rho}^{2}(t)-\rho^{2}(t) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(t)}}{2 c^{3} \rho(t)}\right] \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t>0$ and initial conditions $\rho(0)=\rho_{0}, \dot{\rho}(0)=\dot{\rho}_{0}, \varphi(0)=\varphi_{0}, \dot{\varphi}(0)=\dot{\varphi}_{0}$.
Let us put $\rho=$ const which implies $\dot{\rho}(t)=\ddot{\rho}(t)=0$. Then (9.1) and (9.2) become $\rho(t) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(t)+\frac{Q_{2} \sqrt{c^{2}-\rho^{2}(t) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(t)}}{c \rho^{2}(t)}=0, \ddot{\varphi}(t)=0$.

The second equation of (10) yields $\varphi(t)=\dot{\varphi}_{0} t+\varphi_{0}$. Without loss of generality one can assume $\varphi_{0}=0$. Since $\nu=\rho \dot{\varphi}$ then for the linear velocity $\nu$ we obtain the following equation

$$
c \rho \nu^{2}+Q_{2} \sqrt{c^{2}-\nu^{2}}=0 .
$$

This equation obviously has a positive solution $\nu^{2}=\frac{-Q_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{Q_{2}^{4}+4 c^{4} \rho^{2} Q_{2}^{2}}}{2 c^{2} \rho^{2}}$ since $Q_{2}=e^{2} / m<0$. But $Q_{2}=-\left(1,6.10^{-19}\right)^{2} / 9 \cdot 10^{-31} \approx-2,84.10^{-8}$ and then $\nu^{2} \approx$ $\left|Q_{2}\right| / \rho=e^{2} / m \rho$ which consides with known results (cf.[14]).

In the next paper we prove more general existence result.
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